

# Posttraumatic Stress Disorder of the Tsunami Survivors in Thailand

Nuntika Thavichachart MD, MSc\*, Sookjaroen Tangwongchai MD\*,  
Puangsoy Worakul MEd\*, Buranee Kanchanatawan MD, MSc\*,  
Siriluck Suppakitiporn MD, MSc\*, Autapol Sukoltapirom na Pattalung MD\*,  
Chutima Roomruangwong MD\*, Ongart Chareonsook BSc\*\*

\* Department of Psychiatry, Faculty of Medicine, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok, Thailand

\*\* Thailand Center of Excellence for Life Sciences, Bangkok, Thailand

---

**Background:** The natural disaster known as “the Tsunami” occurred in the Andaman sea coast of Thailand in December 2004, and there had been questions whether it could cause PTSD amongst the population who lives in the affected area and how to avoid PTSD condition to occur.

**Objective:** Establish statistical results of psychosocial factors, and their correlation to PTSD and other mental disorders to generate the PTSD database.

**Material and Method:** A cross-sectional community surveys from 3,133 samples had been conducted in two phases from the same sampling group. The first phase was concerned with prevalence of PTSD, depression, and related factors. The second phase included 2,573 samples from the first phase and focused on chronic PTSD and other mental disorders.

**Results:** The 3,133 samples used in the first phase show that 33.6% suffered from PTSD, 14.27% with depression, and 11.27% suffered from both. The 2,573 samples from the first phase were followed, collected the blood, and interview data only 21.6% were diagnosed with chronic PTSD.

**Conclusion:** The statistical analysis has identified risks factors that could cause PTSD, and protective actions that could help to prevent PTSD. The prevalence of PTSD was still higher in the affected region six months after the Tsunami.

**Keywords:** Posttraumatic stress disorder, Tsunami, Thailand

*J Med Assoc Thai* 2009; 92 (3): 420-9

**Full text. e-Journal:** <http://www.mat.or.th/journal>

---

At 7.58 am, on December 26, 2004 an earthquake magnitude of 9.0 Richter scales took place toward the North of Sumatra Island, Indonesia. It generated gigantic waves called Tsunami along the coast of Andaman Sea and Indian Ocean and claimed more than 300,000 lives from 11 affected countries including Thailand. The 2004 Tsunami disaster in the six provinces of the southern part of Thailand not only claimed thousands of lives and brought extensive destruction to the affected areas, but also left the survivors with mental disorders especially Posttraumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) and other mental health problems.

*Correspondence to:* Thavichachart N, Department of Psychiatry, Faculty of Medicine, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok 10330, Thailand.

PTSD is a psychiatric disorder that results from the experience or witnessing of traumatic or life-threatening events. During the Civil War, a PTSD-like disorder had been referred to as the ‘Da Costa’s Syndrome’<sup>(1)</sup>. PTSD has profound psychobiological correlates, which can impair the person’s daily life and be life threatening<sup>(2,3)</sup>. The diagnosis of PTSD describes symptoms that develop in response to exposure to “extreme traumatic stressors involving direct personal experience of an event or witnessing an event”<sup>(4)</sup>. These events include natural disasters. Symptoms range from re-experiencing the trauma, persistent avoidance of reminders of the event, numbing of responsiveness, and persistent anxiety or hyper-arousal. For a diagnosis of PTSD, these

symptoms must be present for more than one month, and must cause clinically significant distress or impairment in functioning<sup>(5)</sup>.

The prevalence of PTSD from disaster varies ranging from 11-31.8%. Kar Bastia, who studied the prevalence of PTSD in adolescents after a natural disaster, found that post-disaster PTSD presentation in adolescents was 26.9%<sup>(6)</sup>. Fullerton et al examined PTSD in exposed disaster workers (the events of Sept. 11, 2001) found 20.4% of subjects<sup>(7)</sup>. Mills et al explored the prevalence of mental disorders among tortured Tibetan refugees, and found that the prevalence of PTSD ranged from 11-23% of subjects<sup>(8)</sup>. Ozen & Ser determined that the frequency of PTSD in a group of search-and-rescue workers, two months after the May 2003 Bingol earthquake, was 25%<sup>(9)</sup>. Guo et al investigated the prevalence of PTSD among professional and non-professional rescue workers involved in the 1999 Chi-Chi Earthquake in Taiwan and found that the prevalence of PTSD of professional and non-professional rescuers were 19.8% and 31.8%<sup>(10)</sup>.

Not everyone who experiences the Tsunami develops PTSD. The purposes of the present study in the first phase were to determine PTSD and other mental disorders among people aged over 18 years old in six provinces affected by the Tsunami in Thailand by conducting the community survey from directed affected and controlled area in the same province. To determine the risk and resiliency factors for PTSD by comparing a group of volunteers who developed PTSD from Tsunami with a group of individuals exposed to Tsunami who did not develop the disorder.

The second phase study was to identify subjects diagnosed with chronic PTSD and subjects without chronic PTSD by re-interviewing the same subjects from the first phase with a set of standard structured interviews. The authors also looked for and interviewed subjects without chronic PTSD, a sib-subjects without chronic PTSD, 18 years or older, and both parents of the first phase subjects diagnosed with PTSD were included in the study. The blood was drawn from every subject in this phase for genetic study.

## **Material and Method**

### ***Participants***

The first phase of the study was collected by cross-sectional community survey data from 3,133 subjects aged over 18 years old in a direct affected and controlled area of the six provinces of Thailand, namely, Ranong, Pang-nga, Phuket, Krabi, Trang, and Satoon.

The second phase of the present study collected 2,573 subjects from the first phase. The subjects were followed and collected the data by well trained interviewers including subjects diagnosed with PTSD, subjects without PTSD, and the siblings of the subjects diagnosed with PTSD after being informed and signed the inform consent form including psychiatric treatment follow-up.

### ***Instruments***

#### ***The first phase***

- Demographic data and exposure to Tsunami information

- Davidson Trauma Scale, (confirmed by psychiatric interview using CAPSII)

It is 17-item, brief global assessment scale for posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD).

The DTS showed good internal consistency (Cronbach alpha = 0.97) and test-retest reliability ( $r = 0.88-.93$ )<sup>(11-13)</sup>.

- Beck Depression Inventory: BDI

BDI was developed by Beck et al (1979). BDI is a self-report scale with 21 items that measure the emotional, somatic, cognitive, and motivational symptoms seen in depression. The aim of the scale is to determine the severity of depressive symptoms. Correlation coefficients by split half reliability were 0.74, BDI scores  $\geq 20$  were reported to discriminate depression that might require treatment with more than 90% accuracy. The score of each item ranges from 0 to 3<sup>(14)</sup>.

- Symptom Check List 90:SCL 90

SCL 90 is a self-rated scale that consists of nine psychiatric symptom domains.

It consists of 90 items in nine subscales: somatization, obsessive-compulsive, interpersonal sensitivity, depression, anxiety, hostility, phobic-anxiety, paranoid ideation, and psychoticism<sup>(15)</sup>.

- Maudsley Personality Inventory: MPI

MPI is a self-rated scale that consists of 48 items indicating scores on the dimensions of extraversion and neuroticism. The correlation between corresponding scales are high,  $r = 0.86$  for neuroticism and  $r = 0.87$  for extraversion<sup>(16)</sup>.

- Brief Cope Scale

Brief Cope Scale is a multidimensional coping inventory to assess the different ways in which people respond to stress. Brief Cope Scale consists of 28 items in 14 dimension as follows: Self-distraction, Active coping, Denial, Substance use, Use of emotional support, Use of instrumental support, Behavioral

disengagement, Venting, Positive reframing, Planning, Humor, Acceptance, Religion, and Self-blame<sup>(17,18)</sup>.

### *The second phase*

- Semi-Structured Assessment for Drug Dependence and Alcoholism: SSADDA

SSADDA is a diagnostic instrument developed for studies of the genetics of substance use and associated disorders<sup>(19)</sup>.

- Composite International Diagnostic Interview: CIDI

CIDI is a comprehensive and fully standardized diagnostic interview designed for assessing mental disorders according to the definitions of the Diagnostic Criteria for Research of ICD-10 and DSM-III-R<sup>(20,21)</sup>.

### *Procedure*

The first phase, Sample frame was multi-stage randomly selected, between February-March, 2005 (within 3 months after Tsunami). An Informed consent was obtained from all the participants. The samples were interviewed by well trained last-year nurse students, and confirmed by psychiatric interview with CAPS II and also gave the psychiatric treatment by psychiatrists which took approximately 55 minutes to complete the questionnaire.

The second phase, subjects from the first phase were followed and collected the data by well trained interviewers including subjects diagnosed with PTSD, subjects without PTSD, and the sib of the subject diagnosed with PTSD after being informed and signed the inform consent form including psychiatric treatment and follow-up. The second phase was implemented during May-August, 2005 (6 months post-Tsunami).

Descriptive Statistics were used to record the prevalence of PTSD, chronic PTSD and other mental disorders. Odd ratio was conducted to determine the

relationship between PTSD and other variables. These variables were then included as predictor variables in a Binary logistic regression analysis. All analyses were conducted using SPSS for windows<sup>(22)</sup>.

## **Results**

### *The first phase*

The 1,054 (33.60%) was diagnosed as PTSD, 447 (14.27%) was diagnosed as having depression and 353 (11.27%) had both PTSD and depression as a co-morbidity. The prevalence of PTSD, depression and co-morbidity classified by province are shown in Table 1.

The comparison of characteristic variables between people diagnosed with PTSD and without PTSD which revealed that there was a difference between them in their gender (OR = 1.24, 95% CI: 1.07-1.45), affected area (OR = 3.47, 95% CI: 2.93-4.1), physical condition (OR = 1.51, 95% CI: 1.27-1.78), having history of previous trauma before the age of twelve (OR = 1.56, 95% CI: 1.34-1.81), having history of previous trauma during 12-18 years (OR = 1.49, 95% CI: 1.28-1.74), known tsunami before (OR = 1.19, 95% CI: 1.02-1.40), physical injury (OR = 2.85, 95% CI: 2.38-3.42), loss of family member(s) (OR = 1.48, 95% CI: 1.28-1.72), loss of property (OR = 0.31, 95% CI: 0.26-0.37), loss of career (OR = 0.55, 95% CI: 0.47-0.64), (Table 2).

The results from the Beck Depression Inventory-BDI and SCL90 revealed significant differences between those with and without PTSD; the depression from BDI (OR = 10.63, 95% CI: 8.34-13.56), and other symptoms from SCL 90; Somatization (OR = 6.95, 95% CI: 5.25-9.21), Obsessive-Compulsive (OR = 7.8, 95% CI: 5.29-11.50), Interpersonal Sensitivity (OR = 5.51, 95% CI: 3.24-9.39), Depression (OR = 12.64, 95% CI: 8.69-18.38), Anxiety (OR = 10.59, 95% CI: 6.16-18.21), Hostility (OR = 6.65, 95% CI: 3.37-13.11), Phobic Anxiety (OR = 7.76, 95% CI: 6.05-9.96), Paranoid

**Table 1.** The prevalence of PTSD, depression and co-morbidity

Province	PTSD n (%)	Depression n (%)	Co-morbidity n (%)	Normal n (%)
Pang-nga	545 (51.7)	238 (53.2)	194 (55.0)	1,058 (53.3)
Ranong	64 (6.1)	27 (6.0)	23 (6.5)	91 (4.6)
Phuket	71 (6.7)	24 (5.4)	16 (4.5)	161 (8.1)
Krabi	119 (11.3)	42 (9.4)	31 (8.8)	203 (10.2)
Trang	170 (16.1)	72 (16.1)	55 (15.6)	324 (16.3)
Satooon	85 (8.1)	44 (9.8)	34 (9.6)	148 (7.5)
Total	1,054 (100)	447 (100)	353 (100)	1,985 (100)

**Table 2.** The prevalence characteristics of the study sample (n = 3,133)

Characteristics	PTSD (n = 1,054) n (%)	Without PTSD (n = 2,079) n (%)	Statistics		
			OR	95% CI	
				Lower	Upper
Gender					
Male	414 (39.3)	927 (44.6)	1.24*	1.07	1.45
Female	640 (60.7)	1,152 (55.4)			
Affected area					
Direct affected area	823 (78.1)	1,053 (50.6)	3.47*	2.93	4.11
Non direct affected area	231 (21.9)	1,026 (49.4)			
Physical condition					
Illness	308 (29.2)	447 (21.5)	1.51*	1.27	1.78
Healthy	746 (70.8)	1,632 (78.5)			
History of previous trauma, < 12 yrs					
Trauma	482 (45.7)	730 (35.1)	1.56*	1.34	1.81
No trauma	572 (54.3)	1,349 (64.9)			
History of previous trauma, 12-18 yrs					
Trauma	419 (39.8)	638 (30.7)	1.49*	1.28	1.74
No trauma	635 (60.2)	1,441 (69.3)			
Known Tsunami before					
Known	358 (34.0)	626 (30.1)	1.19**	1.02	1.40
Unknown	696 (66.0)	1,453 (69.9)			
Physical injury					
Physical injury	327 (31.0)	283 (13.6)	2.85*	2.38	3.42
No physical injury	727 (69.0)	1,796 (86.4)			
Loss of family member					
Yes	588 (55.8)	956 (46.0)	1.48*	1.28	1.72
No	466 (44.2)	1,123 (54.0)			
Loss of property					
Yes	240 (22.8)	1,012 (48.7)	0.31*	0.26	0.37
No	814 (77.2)	1,067 (51.3)			
Loss of career					
Yes	493 (46.8)	678 (32.6)	0.55*	0.47	0.64
No	561 (53.2)	1,401 (67.4)			

\* p &lt; 0.01, \*\* p &lt; 0.05

Ideation (OR = 7.78, 95% CI: 4.46-13.58), Psychoticism (OR = 8.90, 95% CI: 5.29-14.96) (Table 3).

The results from the brief cope scale revealed significant differences used by those with and without PTSD; active coping (OR = 2.10, 95% CI: 1.80-2.44), planning (OR = 2.39, 95% CI: 2.05-2.79), positive reframing (OR = 1.60, 95% CI: 1.38-1.86), acceptance (OR = 1.37, 95% CI: 1.17-1.60), humor (OR = 1.34, 95% CI: 1.15-1.56), religion (OR = 1.56, 95% CI: 1.34-1.81), using emotion support (OR = 2.24, 95% CI: 1.92-2.60), using instrumental support (OR = 1.95, 95% CI: 1.68-2.28), self-distraction (OR = 2.40, 95% CI: 2.60-2.79), denial (OR = 3.30, 95% CI: 2.69-4.06), venting (OR = 2.66, 95% CI: 2.27-3.11), substance use (OR = 1.80, 95% CI:

1.34-2.40), behavioral disengagement (OR = 3.75, 95% CI: 2.81-5.00), and self-blame (OR = 3.25, 95% CI: 2.32-4.57) (Table 3).

The factors suggested by the bivariate analyses that were associated with PTSD were entered into a binary logistic regression analysis model. The model identified thirteen independent variables that correctly predicted 76.6% of individuals who developed to PTSD.

Binary Logistic Regression Model for PTSD. The logistic equation is: -3.41 + 0.95 (Direct Affected Area) + 0.21 (History of Previous Trauma < 12 years) + 0.50 (Physical Injury) + 0.12 (Planning) - 0.13 (Humor) + 0.93 (Use of Emotion Support) + 0.94 (Self Distraction)

**Table 3.** The psychological test score: BDI, SCL90, brief cope scale and MPI by PTSD and without PTSD (n = 3,133)

Characteristics	PTSD (n = 1,054) n (%)	Without PTSD (n = 2,079) n (%)	Statistics		
			OR	95% CI	
				Lower	Upper
Beck depression inventory					
Depression	353 (33.5)	94 (4.5)	10.63*	8.34	13.56
No Depression	701 (66.5)	1,985 (95.5)			
M = 9.32, SD = 8.86					
Symptom distress checklist (SCL90)					
Somatization	208 (19.7)	71 (3.4)	6.95*	5.25	9.21
Usual	846 (80.3)	2,008 (96.6)			
M = 0.53, SD = 0.52					
Obsessive-Compulsive	121 (11.5)	34 (1.6)	7.80*	5.29	11.50
Usual	933 (88.5)	2,045 (98.4)			
M = 0.66, SD = 0.61					
Interpersonal Sensitivity	51 (4.8)	19 (0.9)	5.51*	3.24	9.39
Usual	1,003 (95.2)	2,060 (99.1)			
M = 0.48, SD = 0.51					
Depression	183 (17.4)	34 (1.6)	12.64*	8.69	18.38
Usual	871 (82.6)	2,045 (98.4)			
M = 0.52, SD = 0.54					
Anxiety	80 (7.6)	16 (0.8)	10.59*	6.16	18.21
Usual	974 (92.4)	2,063 (99.2)			
M = 0.55, SD = 0.57					
Hostility	36 (3.4)	11 (0.5)	6.65*	3.37	13.11
Usual	1,018 (96.6)	2,068 (99.5)			
M = 0.68, SD = 0.37					
Phobic anxiety	281 (26.7)	93 (4.5)	7.76*	6.05	9.96
Usual	773 (73.3)	1,986 (95.5)			
M = 0.51, SD = 0.57					
Paranoid ideation	60 (5.7)	16 (.8)	7.78*	4.46	13.58
Usual	994 (94.3)	2,063 (99.2)			
M = 0.35, SD = 0.49					
Psychoticism	76 (7.2)	18 (0.9)	8.90*	5.29	14.96
Usual	978 (92.8)	2,061 (99.1)			
M = 0.27, SD = 0.38					
Brief cope scale					
Active coping	581 (55.12)	768 (36.94)	2.10*	1.80	2.44
Usual	473 (44.88)	1,311 (63.06)			
M = 2.33, SD = 1.41					
Planning	501 (47.53)	572 (27.51)	2.39*	2.05	2.79
Usual	553 (52.47)	1,507 (72.49)			
M = 2.03, SD = 1.45					
Positive Reframing	522 (49.53)	789 (37.95)	1.60*	1.38	1.86
Usual	532 (50.47)	1,290 (62.05)			
M = 2.29, SD = 1.47					
Acceptance	712 (67.55)	1,254 (60.32)	1.37*	1.17	1.60
Usual	342 (32.45)	825 (39.68)			
M = 3.00, SD = 1.53					
Humor	422 (40.04)	691 (33.24)	1.34*	1.15	1.56
Usual	632 (59.96)	1,388 (66.76)			
M = 2.05, SD = 1.48					

\* p &lt; 0.01

**Table 3.** (Cont.)

Characteristics	PTSD (n = 1,054) n (%)	Without PTSD (n = 2,079) n (%)	Statistics		
			OR	95% CI	
				Lower	Upper
<b>Brief cope scale</b>					
Religion	612 (58.06)	979 (47.09)	1.56*	1.34	1.81
Usual	442 (41.94)	1,100 (52.91)			
M = 2.73, SD = 1.72					
Use of emotion support	629 (59.68)	828 (39.83)	2.24*	1.92	2.60
Usual	425 (40.32)	1,251 (60.17)			
M = 2.54, SD = 1.47					
Use of instrumental support	477 (45.26)	618 (29.73)	1.95*	1.68	2.28
Usual	577 (54.74)	1,461 (70.27)			
M = 2.15, SD = 1.40					
Self distraction	631 (59.87)	797 (38.34)	2.40*	2.06	2.79
Usual	423 (40.13)	1,282 (61.66)			
M = 2.40, SD = 1.54					
Denial	257 (24.38)	185 (8.90)	3.30*	2.69	4.06
Usual	797 (75.62)	1,894 (91.10)			
M = 1.07, SD = 1.28					
Venting	482 (45.73)	500 (24.05)	2.66*	2.27	3.11
Usual	572 (54.27)	1,579 (75.95)			
M = 2.00, SD = 1.36					
Substance use	92 (8.73)	105 (5.05)	1.80*	1.34	2.40
Usual	962 (91.27)	1,974 (94.95)			
M = 0.60, SD = 1.12					
Behavioral disengagement	136 (12.90)	79 (3.79)	3.75*	2.81	5.00
Usual	918 (87.1)	2,000 (96.20)			
M = 0.70, SD = 1.07					
Self blame	90 (8.54)	58 (2.79)	3.25*	2.32	4.57
Usual	964 (91.46)	2,021 (97.21)			
M = 0.55, SD = 0.96					
<b>Maudsley personality inventory</b>					
E scale (M = 25.72, SD = 6.01)					
Introvert	634 (60.2)	1,064 (51.2)	1.44*	1.24	1.67
Extrovert	420 (39.8)	1,015 (48.8)			
N Scale (M = 20.41, SD = 11.51)					
Neurotic	767 (72.8)	729 (35.1)	4.95*	4.21	5.82
Stability	287 (27.2)	1,350 (64.9)			

\* p < 0.01

+ 0.19 (Denial) + 0.10 (Venting) - 0.08 (Substance Use) + 0.15 (Behavioral Disengagement) + 0.07 (Personality: N scale) - 0.02 (Personality: E scale).

A weighted combination of the thirteen independent variables correctly predicted 76.6% of individuals who developed to PTSD (Table 4).

Table 5 presents, prevalence of chronic PTSD (from SADDA) and other mental disorders from CIDI, the 556 (21.60%) as chronic PTSD, and 100 (3.9%) having a life time prevalence PTSD, 472 (18.3%) as

having Major Depression, 165 (6.4%) as Manic, 214 (8.3%) as Panic, 103 (4.0%) as Simple Phobia, 162 (6.3%) as Agoraphobia, 65 (2.5%) as Generalized Anxiety, 284 (11.0%) as Obsessive-Compulsive, and 26 (1.0%) as Social Phobia.

For the substance use disorder: Alcohol Abuse and Dependence were 515 (20%) and 139 (5.4%), Nicotine Abuse and Dependence were 363 (14%) and 44 (1.7%), Cannabis Abuse and Dependence were 44 (1.7%) and 16 (.6%), Amphetamine Abuse and

**Table 4.** Binary logistic regression model of factors associated with PTSD

Characteristics	B	SE	p	OR (95% CI)
Constant	-3.412	0.253	-	-
Direct affected area	0.952	0.102	0.000	2.59 (2.12-3.16)
Having history of previous trauma (< 12 years)	0.214	0.091	0.018	1.24 (1.04-1.48)
Physical injury	0.504	0.110	0.000	1.66 (1.33-2.05)
Planning coping	0.124	0.035	0.000	1.13 (1.06-1.21)
Humor coping	-0.134	0.035	0.000	0.87 (0.82-0.94)
Use of emotion support coping	0.093	0.038	0.014	1.09 (1.02-1.18)
Self distraction coping	0.094	0.037	0.011	1.10 (1.02-1.18)
Denial coping	0.194	0.037	0.000	1.21 (1.13-1.31)
Venting coping	0.100	0.040	0.013	1.11 (1.02-1.19)
Substance use coping	-0.083	0.040	0.039	0.92 (0.85-0.99)
Behavioral disengagement coping	0.145	0.044	0.001	1.56 (1.06-1.26)
Personality: N scale	0.068	0.004	0.000	1.07 (1.06-1.08)
Personality: E scale	-0.021	0.008	0.007	0.98 (0.96-0.99)

**Table 5.** Prevalence of chronic PTSD and other mental disorders from CIDI

Characteristics (n = 2,573)	Case, n (%)	Non-case, n (%)
Chronic PTSD	556 (21.6)	2,017 (78.4)
Have a life time prevalence PTSD	100 (3.9)	2,473 (96.1)
Patient with mental disorders from CIDI		
Mood disorders		
Major depression	472 (18.3)	2,101 (81.7)
Manic	165 (6.4)	2,408 (93.6)
Anxiety disorders		
Panic	214 (8.3)	2,359 (91.7)
Simple phobia	103 (4.0)	2,470 (96.0)
Agoraphobia	162 (6.3)	2,411 (93.7)
Generalized anxiety	65 (2.5)	2,508 (97.5)
Obsessive-compulsive	284 (11.0)	2,289 (89.0)
Social phobia	26 (1.0)	2,547 (99.0)
Substance use disorders		
Alcohol abuse	515 (20.0)	2,058 (80.0)
Alcohol dependence	139 (5.4)	2,434 (94.6)
Nicotine abuse	363 (14.1)	2,210 (85.9)
Nicotine dependence	44 (1.7)	2,529 (98.3)
Cannabis abuse	44 (1.7)	2,529 (98.3)
Cannabis dependence	16 (0.6)	2,557 (99.4)
Amphetamine abuse	40 (1.6)	2,533 (98.4)
Amphetamine dependence	8 (0.3)	2,565 (99.7)
Khatom abuse	90 (3.5)	2,483 (96.5)
Khatom dependence	3 (0.1)	2,570 (99.9)
Betel abuse	56 (2.2)	2,517 (97.8)
Betel dependence	4 (0.2)	2,569 (99.8)

Dependence were 40 (1.6%) and 8 (.3%), Khatom Abuse and Dependence were 90 (3.5%) and 3 (.1%), Betel Abuse and Dependence were 56 (2.2%) and 4 (.2%) respectively.

## Discussion

The prevalence of PTSD, Depression, and Co-morbid after the Tsunami was 33.6% 14.3% and 11.3% respectively. PTSD and Depression were the

most prevalent disorders after the disaster and showed a decrease over time.

A weighted combination of the thirteen independent variables correctly predicted 76.6% of traumatized individuals who developed PTSD. All predicted variables correlate with psychological factors especially "Direct Affected Area" and "Physical Injury". The variables that explain in part of severity of the traumatic event are considered some of the most salient predictors of PTSD<sup>(23)</sup>. In the part of physical injury variable, Davidson and Smith found that PTSD tended to be caused by physically injured and hospitalized after a traumatic event<sup>(24)</sup>.

The variable "Having History of Previous Trauma before the age of twelve", was significant predictors of PTSD. Having reviewed the effects of childhood trauma as a risk factor for later developing PTSD, Breslau and Chilcoat indicated that those who reported any previous trauma were significantly more likely to experience PTSD than those with no previous exposure to trauma<sup>(25)</sup>.

Regarding, PTSD and Coping, literature suggests that some methods of coping are more effective for some people or some situations, and the way people process and interpret traumatic events and its consequences may play a role in the development or maintenance of PTSD<sup>(26,27)</sup>. The result from binary logistic analysis of the present study shows that some methods of coping, Humor and Substance use, are resilient in PTSD because reciprocal inhibition theory confirms that "two antagonistic responses could not coexist in the same organism" and happiness and sadness could not coexist in patients at the same time. Some therapists use this theory to develop exposure counter-conditioning technique, which is one of the cognitive behavioral therapies. The technique has strong empirical support as the treatments of choice for PTSD<sup>(28,29)</sup>. Patients consume psychoactive substances to improve their mood or to escape from adverse emotions. The reinforcement received may lead to elevated substance use rates and subsequently the use-related negative consequences that characterize substance abuse. That is a reason many researches find that PTSD symptomatology is significantly associated with alcohol and other substance use. However, several negative outcomes should not be neglected. Many researchers found out that the group of PTSD patients with substance use resulted in more other psychiatric problems and total psychiatric symptoms prior to relapse than those without substance use. Thus, this suggests that

both PTSD and substance use have a poorer clinical course<sup>(30)</sup>.

PTSD and Personality, predisposing personal characteristics possibility that there may be particular risk factors that make an individual vulnerable towards developing a PTSD. The authors found that introvert and neurotic personality are associated with PTSD. This is in agreement with Aidman and Kollaras-Mitsinikos who found that intrusion symptoms were predicted both by Extraversion and Neuroticism but avoidance symptoms was predicted by Neuroticism only<sup>(31)</sup>.

### Conclusion

The authors finally established a huge clinical database of mental health problems among Tsunami survivors in Thailand, 2004. The authors found 1,054 subjects diagnosed with PTSD, 447 depression and 353 co-morbidity subjects. Among 1,054 subjects diagnosed with PTSD, 556 were diagnosed to chronic PTSD after six months. There were psychosocial factors identified as risk and protective factors for PTSD and depression. In comparison between the first phase and the second phase, the present study showed that the prevalence of PTSD was still higher in the affected region six months after the Tsunami. Therefore, the research team will concentrate on treatment for the patients of chronic PTSD and other mental disorders in the third phase that include analysis of blood samples data for genetic study in the future.

### Acknowledgements

Thailand Center of Excellence for Life Sciences (TCELS) has supported this study. The authors thank all those who assisted with data collection and most important all volunteers, who generously gave their time for interview.

### References

1. Da Costa JM. On irritable heart: a clinical study of a form of functional cardiac disorder and its consequences. *Am J Med Sci* 1871; 61: 17-52.
2. Vojdani A, Thrasher JD. Cellular and humoral immune abnormalities in Gulf War veterans. *Environ Health Perspect* 2004; 112: 840-6.
3. McKeown-Eyssen G, Baines C, Cole DE, Riley N, Tyndale RF, Marshall L, et al. Case-control study of genotypes in multiple chemical sensitivity: CYP2D6, NAT1, NAT2, PON1, PON2 and MTHFR. *Int J Epidemiol* 2004; 33: 971-8.
4. American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic



- and statistical manual of mental disorders. 4<sup>th</sup> ed. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Association; 2000.
5. Roxburgh A, Degenhardt L, Copeland J. Post-traumatic stress disorder among female street-based sex workers in the greater Sydney area, Australia. *BMC Psychiatry* 2006; 6: 24.
  6. Kar N, Bastia BK. Post-traumatic stress disorder, depression and generalised anxiety disorder in adolescents after a natural disaster: a study of comorbidity. *Clin Pract Epidemiol Ment Health* 2006; 2: 17.
  7. Fullerton CS, Ursano RJ, Wang L. Acute stress disorder, posttraumatic stress disorder, and depression in disaster or rescue workers. *Am J Psychiatry* 2004; 161: 1370-6.
  8. Mills EJ, Singh S, Holtz TH, Chase RM, Dolma S, Santa-Barbara J, et al. Prevalence of mental disorders and torture among Tibetan refugees: a systematic review. *BMC Int Health Hum Rights* 2005; 5: 7.
  9. Ozen S, Sir A. Frequency of PTSD in a group of search and rescue workers two months after 2003 Bingol (Turkey) earthquake. *J Nerv Ment Dis* 2004; 192: 573-5.
  10. Guo YJ, Chen CH, Lu ML, Tan HK, Lee HW, Wang TN. Posttraumatic stress disorder among professional and non-professional rescuers involved in an earthquake in Taiwan. *Psychiatry Res* 2004; 127: 35-41.
  11. Davidson JR, Book SW, Colket JT, Tupler LA, Roth S, David D, et al. Assessment of a new self-rating scale for post-traumatic stress disorder. *Psychol Med* 1997; 27: 153-60.
  12. Chen CH, Lin SK, Tang HS, Shen WW, Lu ML. The Chinese version of the Davidson Trauma Scale: a practice test for validation. *Psychiatry Clin Neurosci* 2001; 55: 493-9.
  13. Seo HJ, Chung SK, Lim HK, Chee IS, Lee KU, Paik KC, et al. Reliability and validity of the Korean version of the Davidson Trauma Scale. *Compr Psychiatry* 2008; 49: 313-8.
  14. Beck AT, Rush AJ, Shaw BF ve ark. *Cognitive therapy of depression*. New York: Guilford Press; 1979.
  15. Arrindell WA, Ettema JH. *SCL-90 Guide for a multidimensional psychopathology indicator*. Lisse, Netherlands: Swets Tests Services; 1992.
  16. Eysenck HJ. *Manual of the maudslay personality inventory*. London: University of London Press; 1959.
  17. Carver CS. You want to measure coping but your protocol's too long: consider the brief COPE. *Int J Behav Med* 1997; 4: 92-100.
  18. Carver CS, Scheier MF, Weintraub JK. Assessing coping strategies: a theoretically based approach. *J Pers Soc Psychol* 1989; 56: 267-83.
  19. Pierucci-Lagha A, Gelernter J, Chan G, Arias A, Cubells JF, Farrer L, et al. Reliability of DSM-IV diagnostic criteria using the semi-structured assessment for drug dependence and alcoholism (SSADDA). *Drug Alcohol Depend* 2007; 91: 85-90.
  20. World Health Organization. *The ICD 10 - Classification of mental and behavioral disorders: clinical descriptions and diagnostic guidelines*. Geneva: WHO; 1992.
  21. Thavichachart N, Intoh P, Thavichachart T, Meksupa O, Tangwongchai S, Sughondhabirorn A, et al. Epidemiological survey of mental disorders and knowledge attitude practice upon mental health among people in Bangkok Metropolis. *J Med Assoc Thai* 2001; 84 (Suppl 1): S118-26.
  22. Norusis MJ. *SPSS Advanced statistics user's guide*. Chicago: SPSS; 1990.
  23. Yehuda R. Biological factors associated with susceptibility to posttraumatic stress disorder. *Can J Psychiatry* 1999; 44: 34-9.
  24. Davidson J, Smith R. Traumatic experiences in psychiatric outpatients. *J Trauma Stress* 1990; 3: 459-75.
  25. Breslau N, Chilcoat HD, Kessler RC, Davis GC. Previous exposure to trauma and PTSD effects of subsequent trauma: results from the Detroit Area Survey of Trauma. *Am J Psychiatry* 1999; 156: 902-7.
  26. Ehlers A, Maercker A, Boos A. Posttraumatic stress disorder following political imprisonment: the role of mental defeat, alienation, and perceived permanent change. *J Abnorm Psychol* 2000; 109: 45-55.
  27. Voges MA, Romney DM. Risk and resiliency factors in posttraumatic stress disorder. *Ann Gen Hosp Psychiatry* 2003; 2: 4.
  28. Wolpe J. *Theories of behaviour therapy*. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association; 1995.
  29. Baldwin JD, Baldwin JI. *Behavior principles in everyday life*. New Jersey: Prentice-Hall; 1986.
  30. Norman SB, Tate SR, Anderson KG, Brown SA. Do trauma history and PTSD symptoms influence addiction relapse context? *Drug Alcohol Depend*

2007;90: 89-96.  
31. Aidman EV, Kollaras-Mitsinikos L. Personality

dispositions in the prediction of posttraumatic stress reactions. Psychol Rep 2006; 99: 569-80.

---

### โรคเครียดรุนแรงหลังเผชิญเหตุการณ์สะเทือนขวัญของผู้รอดชีวิตจากคลื่นสึนามิในเขต 6 จังหวัดภาคใต้ของประเทศไทย

นันทิกา ทวีชาชาติ, สุขเจริญ ตั้งวงษ์ไชย, พวงสร้อย วรกุล, บุรณี กาญจนถวัลย์, ศิริลักษณ์ ศุภปิติพร, อรรถพล สุคนธาภิรมย์ ณ พัทลุง, ชุตินา หรัมเรืองวงษ์, องอาจ เจริญสุข

ภัยธรรมชาติที่รู้จักกันในชื่อ "สึนามิ" ได้เกิดขึ้นบริเวณชายฝั่งทะเลอันดามันของประเทศไทย ในเดือนธันวาคม พ.ศ. 2547 และทำให้เกิดคำถามว่า จะเป็นสาเหตุให้เกิด โรคเครียดรุนแรงหลังเผชิญเหตุการณ์สะเทือนขวัญ (Post-traumatic Stress Disorder: PTSD) ในประชาชนที่อาศัยบริเวณเกิดเหตุ และจะมีวิธีการใดที่จะเลี้ยงปัจจัยที่จะทำให้เกิด PTSD

วัตถุประสงค์ของการศึกษาค้นครั้งนี้เพื่อแสดงให้เห็นถึงผลการวิเคราะห์ทางสถิติของปัจจัยทางจิตสังคมต่าง ๆ ที่สัมพันธ์กับ PTSD และความผิดปกติทางจิตอื่น ๆ เพื่อพัฒนาฐานข้อมูล PTSD ต่อไป การสำรวจชุมชนในเชิงตัดขวาง ได้ดำเนินการ เป็น 2 ระยะ โดยติดตามจากกลุ่มตัวอย่างเดิม ในระยะแรกมุ่งเน้นที่การศึกษาความชุกของโรค PTSD และโรคซึมเศร้า รวมถึงปัจจัยที่เกี่ยวข้อง ผลการศึกษาในกลุ่มตัวอย่าง 3,133 ราย แสดงให้เห็นว่า มีผู้ป่วยเป็น PTSD ร้อยละ 33.6 เป็นโรคซึมเศร้า ร้อยละ 14.27 และมีอาการทั้งคู่ ร้อยละ 11.27 ในระยะที่ 2 มุ่งเน้นที่การเป็น PTSD เรื้อรัง และโรคทางจิตเวชอื่น ๆ จากจำนวนกลุ่มที่ได้เลือกไว้จากเดิมจำนวน 2,573 ราย ถูกสัมภาษณ์ และเจาะเลือด โดยพบว่าผู้ป่วยเป็น PTSD เรื้อรัง ร้อยละ 21.6

จากการวิเคราะห์ทางสถิติ สามารถช่วยบ่งชี้ปัจจัยเสี่ยงที่สามารถพัฒนาสู่การเกิด PTSD และยังสามารถทราบถึงปัจจัยปกป้องที่จะช่วยให้ใช้เป็นแนวทางการป้องกัน การเกิด PTSD ได้ต่อไป

---