

Original Research

Research on the Synergistic Effects of Tourism from a Symbiotic Perspective – Jiangsu Province as an Example

Yujiao Wu^{1,2}, Xiuwen Zheng², Meijuan Hu^{2*}¹School of Business, Jiangnan University, Wuxi, 214122, China²School of Tourism and Cuisine, Yangzhou University, Yangzhou, 225009, China*Received: 5 February 2024**Accepted: 27 April 2024*

Abstract

The synergistic development among the tourism industry (TI), economic development (ED), and ecological environment (EE) is of significant importance for regional high-quality and sustainable development. Based on a symbiotic perspective, this study systematically considers the interactive relationship between the TI, ED, and EE, constructs an evaluation index system for the synergistic development of three systems, analyzes the interactive relationship and coupling coordination effects among the above three, and diagnoses the obstacles restricting the coordinated development of the systems. The main conclusions are as follows: (1) There is an interaction relationship between the three systems, and the interaction between TI and ED is significant in the short term; in the long term, the correlation and promotion between the tourism industry-economic development-ecological environment are increasing. (2) The degree of coupling and coordination of the three systems in Jiangsu Province from 2000 to 2020 continued to rise and showed a fluctuating pattern of rising and then falling in 2012-2013 and 2019-2020; (3) The degree of synergy between the three systems showed significant regional differences, basically presenting a spatial pattern of decreasing from the southern Jiangsu to the northern Jiangsu, and the polarization effect of the southern Jiangsu continues to increase; (4) The barrier degrees of TI system indicators show a clear upward trend, while the barrier degrees of EE system and ED system indicators show a smooth or even gradually decreasing trend.

Keywords: Jiangsu Province, tourism industry, synergy effect, symbiotic perspective

Introduction

The concept of "symbiosis" originated in the field of biology, introduced by German microbiologist Anton de Bary in 1879 [1]. Initially, it described the

close material relationship formed by different species cohabiting [2]. However, since the 1950s, symbiotic theory has transcended biology and extended into diverse disciplines, including sociology, economics, and tourism [3-6]. At its core, this theory advocates for establishing stable relationships of mutual dependence and harmonious coexistence among humans, nature, and between humans and nature. The tourism industry,

*e-mail: humeijuan156@163.com

a significant outcome of economic development, has emerged as a prominent expression of modern human activities. With its expanding scale, the tourism industry has increasingly become an intrinsic driver for fostering global economic development [7].

Meanwhile, the level of socio-economic development also provides a solid foundation for the emergence and sustainable development of the tourism industry. The relationship between the tourism industry and the ecological environment is equally inseparable. As an industry that relies on the environment and consumes resources, the healthy development of the tourism industry is closely linked to the state of the ecological environment. A high-quality ecological environment is not only an important resource for the emergence and growth of the tourism industry [8, 9], but also the fundamental guarantee for achieving sustainable development of the tourism industry [10, 11]. Considering the comprehensive, interconnected, and clustered characteristics of the tourism industry, which highly coincide with the theory of symbiosis, the relationship between the tourism industry, economic development, and the ecological environment can be viewed as a symbiotic relationship. They mutually benefit and support each other.

However, as the tourism industry continues to expand, the self-integrity of tourist destination ecosystems and their ecological service functions are threatened [12], and the negative impact of tourism industry development on the ecological environment is increasingly prominent [13]. Therefore, it becomes an urgent scientific issue to analyze the synergistic relationship among the tourism industry, economic development, and ecological environment from the perspective of symbiosis and to promote the continuous improvement of coupling coordination among the three systems as a practical approach to achieving the common goal of sustainable development. This is also one of the marginal contributions of this study.

Literature Review

As the coordinated development of tourism has become a hotspot [14], academic research on the relationship between the tourism industry, economic development, and ecological environments has gradually deepened. On the one hand, in terms of the tourism industry and economic development, research primarily focuses on the relationship between the two [15] and the pathways of influence between them. The former mainly includes two forms: the tourism-led growth hypothesis (TLGH) and the economic-led tourism hypothesis (ELTH); the latter includes studies on intermediate variables and independent variables [16-24]. Additionally, following the outbreak of the COVID-19 pandemic, the new prospects for the tourism industry under epidemic conditions [25, 26], as well as

the new relationships between tourism and the economy [27, 28], have become hot topics among scholars.

On the other hand, regarding the relationship between the tourism industry and the ecological environment, with the continuous development of the tourism economy since World War II, the increasingly prominent ecological issues have led scholars to deepen their research on tourism environmental capacity and tourism carrying capacity [29]. Furthermore, with the rise of “environmental protection awareness,” the concept of “ecotourism” has gradually emerged. Since the 1980s, scholars have systematically studied the contradictions between the tourism industry, economic development, and the ecological environment [30-32], as well as issues such as sustainable tourism development [24, 33-37].

Furthermore, in the research on the synergistic effects of the tourism industry, scholars mainly focus on two aspects: research content and research methods. In terms of research content, the synergistic effects between the tourism industry and the ecological environment are a hot research topic. Scholars conduct research from perspectives such as ecological footprint [38], green development [39], natural disasters [40], and ecological resilience [41]. Additionally, with the introduction of China’s rural revitalization strategy, discussions on the synergistic relationship between the tourism industry and rural development have gradually increased [42-44]. In terms of research methods, scholars commonly use the Haken model [41], the regression control method (HCW) [45], the fsQCA method [43], the coupling coordination model [43], and regression analysis [40].

The above research has laid the foundation for analyzing the mechanism of the interaction between the tourism industry, economic development, and the ecological environment. However, there are still gaps that persist in current research: (1) Although the academia has recognized the intrinsic connection between the tourism industry and economic development or the ecological environment, the discussion combining the three is still somewhat insufficient. (2) In the analysis of the relationships among multiple systems, scholars mostly study interactive relationships from the practical level, with few scholars exploring the dynamic relationships among the tourism industry, economic development, and the ecological environment from a specific theoretical perspective. (3) Most scholars conclude their research by assessing the degree of coupling coordination among the three systems, lacking identification of obstacle factors. In view of this, taking the symbiosis theory as the research framework, this study examines the 13 prefecture-level cities in Jiangsu Province as empirical cases and develops an evaluation index system to assess the interplay among the tourism industry, economic development, and the ecological environment. Utilizing a comprehensive approach involving the PVAR model, coupling coordination model, and obstacle degree model, the research analyzes the interactive dynamics and spatiotemporal evolution

Table 4. Granger causality test results.

Equation	chi2	Prob>chi2	Conclusion
lnED is not the Granger cause of lnTI	36.027	0.000	Reject the null hypothesis ***
lnEE is not the Granger cause of lnTI	14.372	0.000	Reject the null hypothesis ***
lnTI is not the Granger cause of lnED	12.531	0.000	Reject the null hypothesis ***
lnEE is not the Granger cause of lnED	0.352	0.553	Accept the null hypothesis
lnTI is not the Granger cause of lnEE	1.692	0.193	Accept the null hypothesis
lnED is not the Granger cause of lnEE	20.743	0.000	Reject the null hypothesis ***

Note: ***, **, and * in the table respectively indicate that the null hypothesis is rejected at the significance level of 1%, 5%, and 10%.

Table 5. Barrier degree ranking of system index layer.

City	System index layer obstacle ranking (top five)		
	2000	2010	2020
Nanjing	c5, c4, c1, c10, c6	c5, c4, c1, c10, c11	c5, c4, c1, c6, c2
Wuxi	c5, c4, c1, c10, c11	c5, c4, c1, c10, c11	c5, c4, c1, c6, c12
Changzhou	c5, c4, c1, c10, c6	c5, c4, c1, c10, c6	c5, c4, c1, c10, c6
Suzhou	c5, c4, c1, c10, c6	c5, c1, c10, c4, c6	c4, c5, c6, c1, c3
Zhenjiang	c5, c4, c1, c10, c6	c5, c4, c1, c10, c6	c5, c4, c1, c10, c6
Yangzhou	c5, c4, c1, c10, c6	c5, c4, c1, c10, c6	c5, c4, c1, c10, c6
Taizhou	c5, c4, c1, c10, c6	c5, c4, c1, c10, c6	c5, c4, c1, c6, c10
Nantong	c5, c4, c1, c10, c6	c5, c4, c1, c10, c6	c5, c4, c1, c6, c10
Xuzhou	c5, c4, c1, c10, c6	c5, c4, c1, c10, c6	c5, c4, c1, c6, c10
Lianyungang	c5, c4, c1, c10, c6	c5, c4, c1, c10, c6	c5, c4, c1, c10, c6
Huai'an	c5, c4, c1, c10, c6	c5, c4, c1, c10, c6	c5, c4, c1, c10, c6
Yancheng	c5, c4, c1, c10, c6	c5, c4, c1, c10, c6	c5, c4, c1, c6, c10
Suqian	c5, c4, c1, c10, c6	c5, c4, c1, c10, c6	c5, c4, c1, c10, c6

between the indicators in the economic system are complicated and varied, as is the level of barriers in the different subsystems. On the contrary, in the ecological environment system, the barrier degree of each indicator is relatively low, with an average value of 1.16%, and the minimum value of the obstacle degree among the seven specific indicators is close to zero. In terms of the degree of regional influence, except for Suzhou, the top three obstacle degree indicators for other cities are C5 (inbound tourism revenue), C4 (inbound tourism arrivals), and C1 (total tourism revenue), with mean values of 17.256%, 16.380%, and 10.853%, respectively.

It is worth noting that inbound tourism activities have become a key factor constraining the improvement of the coupling and coordination of the three systems in Jiangsu Province, especially under the impact of COVID-19. Tourism activities have been severely restricted, and the number of inbound tourists received in the province has drastically declined, resulting in the

indicators related to inbound tourism activities being the main constraints affecting the steady growth of the three-system synergies. From the time series, the obstacle degree of each indicator shows obvious fluctuating changes. In 2010, the top three indicators of Suzhou's obstacle degree were C5 (inbound tourism revenue), C1 (total tourism revenue), and C10 (added value of the tertiary industry), with average values of obstacle degree of 14.661%, 12.813%, and 9.991%, indicating that in addition to the inbound tourism activity, the overall development levels of domestic tourism activities and the tertiary industry's have significant constraints on the three systems coupling in Suzhou. The top three barrier factors in Suzhou in 2020 have evolved into C4, C5, and C6, with barrier degrees of 32.270%, 27.934%, and 6.862%, respectively. This indicates that the development status of inbound tourism activities in Suzhou in 2020 is a more significant constraint to the synergistic effect.

