

Article



Is It Safe to Treat Stable Patients with Bacteremic Urinary Tract Infections with High-Resistant-Rate Antibiotics?

Zvi Shimoni 1,2, Hanna Salama 3, Talya Finn 1,2 and Paul Froom 4,5*

- ¹ The Adelson School of Medicine, Ariel University, Ariel 4070001, Israel
- ² Sanz Medical Center, Laniado Hospital, Netanya 4244916, Israel; zshimoni@laniado.org.il (Z.S.); tfinnfried@laniado.org.il (T.F.)
- ³ Internal Medicine Department A, Sanz Medical Center, Laniado Hospital, Netanya 4244916, Israel; hanasalama3008@gmail.com
- ⁴ Clinical Utility Department, Sanz Medical Center, Laniado Hospital, Netanya 4244916, Israel
- ⁵ School of Public Health, University of Tel Aviv, Tel Aviv 6997801, Israel
- * Correspondence: froomp@gmail.com; Tel.: +972-506261353; Fax: +972-46243302

Abstract: Background and Objectives: In most areas of the world, urine bacteria have high resistance rates to third-generation cephalosporins, and it is unclear if it is safe to treat stable patients with bacteremic urinary tract infections (UTI) with those antibiotics. There are recommendations that empiric therapy for a suspected UTI should include only antibiotics with resistance rates less than 10%. Materials and Methods: In this historical observational single center study, we selected 180 stable internal medicine patients hospitalized between January 2019 and December 2021, with identical bacteria isolated from blood and urine cultures. Charts were reviewed to determine if deaths and readmissions up to 30 days after discharge were due to bacterial resistance to initial antibiotic therapy (BRIAT). Results: The patient's median age was 82 years (1st-3rd quartiles, 73-87 years). A total of 54.4% were female. There were 125 patients treated with ceftriaxone. A total of 38 (30.3%) had BRIAT. Four patients died, but none were because of a delay in appropriate treatment. The median days of hospitalization for all patients was 7 days, and 9 days versus 6 days in those with and without BRIAT. There were no re-hospitalizations for a UTI in patients with BRIAT. Conclusions: We conclude that, despite high resistance rates, empiric ceftriaxone in stable hospitalized patients with a bacteremic UTI is safe. There was no urosepsis-related mortality during the hospitalization or on follow-up. The treatment of all patients with wider-spectrum antibiotics might have decreased the median hospital stay by only one day. The potential effect would be even lower if all patients with a suspected systemic UTI were treated with wide-spectrum antibiotics, because some patients do not have an infection of the urinary tract. A reassessment of the recommendation that empiric therapy for a suspected systemic urinary tract infection should include only wider-spectrum antibiotics is warranted.

Keywords: bacteremic urinary tract infection; ceftriaxone; internal medicine; bacterial resistance; safety; length of stay

1. Introduction

The hospitalization rate of febrile elderly patients can be as high as 80%, and the best treatment option is unclear in those without an extra-urinary tract source [1–3]. There are claims that empiric treatment for a suspected systemic urinary tract infection (UTI) should include only antibiotics with resistance rates of <10% [4–6], precluding the use of third-generation cephalosporins in most areas of the world [7]. However, despite high resistance rates, many hospitals use empiric therapy with cephalosporins [8–11]. It is essential to show that bacterial resistance to initial antibiotic therapy (BRIAT) does not reduce survival or treatment success among stable, non-ICU patients [12], which would support

Citation: Shimoni, Z.; Salama, H.; Finn, T.; Froom, P. Is It Safe to Treat Stable Patients with Bacteremic Urinary Tract Infections with High-Resistant-Rate Antibiotics? *Diagnostics* 2024, 14, 1620. https://doi.org/10.3390/ diagnostics14151620

Academic Editor: Alessandro Russo

Received: 17 June 2024 Revised: 18 July 2024 Accepted: 25 July 2024 Published: 26 July 2024



Copyright: © 2024 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/license s/by/4.0/). the use of agents with high resistance rates and lower the use of empiric wide-spectrum antibiotics.

In our hospital, most of the patients with a suspected UTI are treated with ceftriaxone despite resistance rates around 30% for *Escherichia coli*, and 40–50% for *Klebsiella pneumonia* and *Proteus mirabilis* [9], which in previous studies did not cause in-hospital deaths in patients with and without bacteremia despite BRIAT [13,14]. However, others have shown an increased mortality rate [8], and further studies are warranted to ensure that in-hospital deaths are not related to empiric ceftriaxone treatment in the face of bacterial resistance [8,12].

In the following study, we selected consecutive patients with a bacteremic urinary tract infection, including patients with low blood pressure, to determine if BRIAT increases the risk for in-hospital mortality and 30-day readmissions after discharge.

2. Materials and Methods

In this historical prospective observational study, we selected consecutive patients, hospitalized in one of three internal medicine departments at Laniado Hospital from 1 January 2019 to 31 December 2021, that had identical bacteria isolated from blood and urine cultures and no other cause for their hospitalization. Patients are treated empirically with antibiotics upon admission to the emergency department and changes are later made if necessary (based on culture results). The standard treatment recommendation is 3–7 days with intravenous antibiotics that cover the bacteria grown in culture, and 7–14 days with antibiotics including the recommended oral antibiotics on discharge. We excluded all patients admitted with hypotension who did not respond to fluids, were treated with vasopressors or were mechanically ventilated. The following variables were extracted from the electronic database and the charts: age, gender, referral from a nursing home, a history of urinary tract infections, significant urological pathology (benign prostatic hypertrophy, a permanent urinary catheter, bladder cancer, prostatic cancer, renal cancer, urethral stricture, or a nephrostome), and fever in the hospital (≥38 °C or <36 °C). Presenting symptoms were classified according to those consistent (yes/no) with a urinary tract infection (dysuria, hematuria, abdominal or costal vertebral pain, urgency, and difficulty urinating). We also extracted blood pressure, and hypotension was a systolic blood pressure <100 mm Hg. Other admission laboratory tests were extracted and binned according to the normal reference ranges and clinically significant cut-off values [15].

Urine cultures were processed using standard microbiologic methods, and isolates were identified by the VITEK 2 system (bioMerieux, Marcy l'Etoile, France). A positive culture required the presence of at least 10⁵ colony-forming units per milliliter of urine. Resistance to ceftriaxone was characterized by a minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) of \geq 4 mg/mL or ESBL positivity.

The outcome variables were in-hospital deaths, length of stay, and readmission within 30 days of discharge. All charts were reviewed to determine if a delay in appropriate antibiotic therapy contributed to the in-hospital deaths and readmissions.

Statistical Analysis

We determined rates, means with standard deviations, medians with 1st and 3rd quartiles, and odds ratios with 95% confidence limits. We divided the patients into those treated with ceftriaxone and those treated with wider-spectrum antibiotics. To determine selection bias, we compared the independent variables in those treated with ceftriaxone to those treated upfront with other antibiotics. Logistic regression determined the odds that BRIAT in patients treated with ceftriaxone increased extended hospitalizations, before and after adjustment for other risk factors. All independent variables not adding significantly to the model were removed and added back one at a time, and then retained if they added significantly to the model.

3. Results

There were 196 patients with the same organism in their blood and urine. We excluded 13 on mechanical ventilators or with septic shock, 2 patients admitted because of a cerebral vascular accident, and 1 post-operative patient, leaving a cohort of 180 patients. The patients were elderly, often not alert, frequently came from a nursing home and had a history of a UTI (Table 1). The median length of stay was 7 days.

Variable	N = 180 Patients	
	Median (1st–3rd quartiles)	
Age	82 (73–87)	
Length of stay	7 (5–10)	
	Number (%)	
Female gender	98 (54.4)	
Permanent catheter	29 (10.3)	
Not alert	91 (50.6)	
Nursing home	48 (26.7)	
History of UTI	70 (38.9)	

Table 1. Patient characteristics.

Over 70% of the patients had a nonspecific presentation without urinary tract symptoms (Table 2) and 82.2% presented with fever. The most common non-specific complaints were fever and general deterioration, but 6.7% presented with syncope/falls. There were six patients whose chief complaint was fever, but did not have fever in the hospital. Therefore, there were 16.1% (29/180) patients who did not have either fever or urinary tract symptoms.

	Total	Fever
Symptoms	N (%)	N (%)
Dysuria	28 (15.6)	19 (67.9)
Hematuria	5 (2.8)	4 (80.0)
Abdominal pain	12 (6.7)	9 (75.0)
Difficulty urinating	11 (6.1)	11 (100)
Urgency	1 (0.6)	1 (100)
Total urinary tract symptoms *	57 (31.7)	44 (77.2)
Fever only	46 (25.5)	40 (87.0)
Syncope/falls	12 (6.7)	5 (41.7)
Nausea/vomiting	8 (4.4)	6 (75.0)
Shortness of breath	8 (4.4)	4 (50.0)
Hypotension	4 (2.2)	2 (50.0)
General deterioration	42 (23.3)	34 (81.0)
Transient ischemic attack	3 (1.7)	3 (100)
Total	180	148 (82.2)

Table 2. Presenting symptoms.

* one of the above.

Comparing patients with and without urinary tract symptoms, only the frequency of low serum albumin and referral from a nursing home were significantly different (Table 3). Those with urinary tract symptoms had a trend for being more alert and being febrile, as well as those with a permanent catheter and with a urological diagnosis. Upon logistic regression, only the nursing home referrals were negatively associated with the presence of urinary tract symptoms. None of the other variables added back one at a time significantly added to the model.

Variables *	None	Yes	Odds Ratio
Variables *	<i>N</i> = 123	N = 57	(95% CI)
SBP < 100 mmHg	37 (30.1)	10 (17.5)	0.49 (0.23-1.08)
Age 80 years or more	e 73 (59.3)	34 (59.6)	1.01 (0.54–1.92)
Females	69 (56.1)	29 (50.9)	0.81 (0.43-1.52)
Alert	58 (47.2)	31 (54.4)	1.34 (0.71–2.51)
Previous UTI	45 (36.6)	23 (40.4)	1.12 (0.74–1.70)
Fever	49 (86.0)	99 (80.5)	1.48 (0.62–3.54)
Hemoglobin	31 (25.2)	9 (15.8)	0.56 (0.25–1.26)
<10 gm/dL	51 (23.2)	9 (13.8)	0.36 (0.23–1.26)
Albumin	55 (44.7)	13 (22.8)	0.37 (0.18–0.75)
<3.5 g/dL	55 (44.7)	15 (22.6)	0.57 (0.10-0.75)
Creatinine	34 (27.6)	9 (15.8)	0.49 (0.22–1.11)
≥2 mg/dL	34 (27.0)	9 (15.6)	0.49 (0.22–1.11)
Permanent catheter	15 (12.2)	9 (15.8)	1.35 (0.55–3.30)
Urological diagnosis	41 (33.3)	25 (43.9)	1.56 (0.82–2.97)
Nursing home	39 (31.7)	9 (15.8)	0.40 (0.18-0.90)

Table 3. Patients with urinary tract symptoms compared to the other patients.

* SBP-systolic blood pressure, UTI-urinary tract infection.

Of the infections, 70.6% were due to E coli and 34.6% were ESBL positive, whereas for all the infections, 37.8% were ESBL positive (Table 4). Leukocyte esterase was positive in 94.4% (170/180), and nitrite in 56.1% (101/180). There were 1.6% (3/180) of patients with a negative dipstick urinalysis. Physicians requested a urine culture in the three febrile patients despite the negative dipstick, and the negative test result did not delay antibiotic therapy.

Table 4. Bacteria and extended-spectrum beta-lactamases.

De et e d'e	Total	ESBL	
Bacteria	N (%)	N (%)	
Escherichia coli	127 (70.6)	44 (34.6)	
Klebsiella species	21 (11.7)	13 (61.9)	
Proteus species	16 (8.9)	5 (31.3)	
Pseudomonas species	5 (2.8)	2 (40.0)	
Citrobacter species	3 (1.7)	1 (33.3)	
Providencia species	3 (1.7)	2 (66.7)	
Staphylococcus aureus	3 (1.7)	0 (0.0)	
Enterococcus faecalis	1 (0.6)	1 (100)	
Enterobacter cloaca	1 (0.6)	0 (0.0)	
Total	180	68 (37.8)	

There were 125 patients treated empirically with ceftriaxone. The others were treated with broader spectrum antibiotics (a carbapenem (n = 14), amikacin (n = 2), gentamicin (n = 10), and piperacillin/tazobactam (n = 25)) given because of previous culture results (n = 17), low blood pressure (n = 7), uropathology (n = 4), neutropenic fever (n = 1), and for no apparent reason in 20 patients. A total of 4 patients received chloramphenicol as part of end-of-life treatment. BRIAT occurred in 14.2% (2/14) of patients treated with a carbapenem, in 0% (0/10) treated with gentamicin, in 36.0% (9/25) treated with

piperacillin/tazobactam, in 50% (1/2) treated with amikacin, and in all patients treated with chloramphenicol.

Antibiotics were changed because of BRIAT in 28.8% of those receiving ceftriaxone and in 29.1% of those who were treated with other antibiotics (Table 5). Culture results allowed for de-escalation in 12.8% of those receiving primarily wider-spectrum antibiotics, i.e., the wider-spectrum antibiotics were given unnecessarily. Only 2 patients had antibiotics changed because of a lack of response, and 1 because of an allergic reaction.

Antibiotics	Ceftriaxone N = 125	Other N = 55	Total N = 180	
Antibiotics	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	
No change	83 (66.4)	23 (52.7)	106 (58.9)	
BRIAT *	36 (28.8)	16 (29.1)	52 (28.9)	
De-escalation	0 (0.0)	13 (23.6)	13 (12.8)	
No response	2 (1.6)	0 (0.0)	2 (1.1)	
Unclear	3 (2.4)	3 (5.5)	6 (3.3)	
Allergy	1 (0.8)	0 (0.0)	1 (0.6)	

Table 5. Reason antibiotics were changed.

* Bacterial resistance to initial antibiotic therapy.

There were 66 patients with uropathology, including a permanent catheter (n = 26), benign prostate hypertrophy (n = 36), cancer (n = 15), or a nephrostome (n = 3). Compared to those treated with other antibiotics, patients treated with ceftriaxone had similar ages, rates of referral from nursing homes, and hypotension on admission. However, they had significantly less uropathology, more urological-related symptoms, fewer patients with a previous UTI, fewer patients with BRIAT (Table 6), and more patients with anemia (Table 6). There were no significant differences in white blood counts, C-reactive proteins, lactate dehydrogenase, serum creatinine, blood urea nitrogen, and plasma glucose concentrations.

Patients treated with ceftriaxone who had BRIAT had an odds of 6.93 (Table 6) of a prolonged hospitalization. On multivariate analysis, the odds ratios (95% confidence intervals) for hospitalization of 10 days or more for those treated with ceftriaxone were 8.22 (2.99–22.62) for BRIAT after adjustment for uropathology (3.45 (1.18–10.04)) and low serum albumin concentrations (1.81 (0.98–3.34)). The median value for days of hospitalization was 7 days (95% confidence interval 5 to 10 days), 9 (7–14) and 6 (5–7) in those with and without BRIAT. Therefore, the potential decrease in hospitalization days was one, if all patients were treated with a wide-spectrum antibiotic.

Table 6. Ceftriaxone and treatment with other antibiotics.

V/arialalaa **	Ceftriaxone	Other	Odds Ratio
Variables **	<i>N</i> = 125	N = 55	(95% CI) *
Age≥80 years	78 (62.4)	29 (52.7)	1.49 (0.78–2.82)
Female	74 (59.2)	24 (43.6)	1.87 (0.99–3.56)
Previous UTI	37 (29.6)	31 (56.4)	0.33 (0.17–0.63)
Uropathology	35 (28.0)	31 (56.4)	0.30 (0.16-0.58)
Fever	105 (84.0)	43 (78.2)	1.47 (0.66–3.26)
UT symptoms	47 (37.6)	10 (18.2)	2.71 (1.25–5.88)
Previous UTI	37 (29.6)	31 (56.4)	0.33 (0.17–0.63)
Nursing home	33 (26.4)	15 (27.3)	0.96 (0.47–1.95)
Hypotension	32 (25.6)	15 (27.3)	0.92 (0.45–1.88)
BRIAT	38 (30.4)	16 (29.1)	1.06 (0.53-2.13)
ESBL	35 (28.0)	33 (60.0)	0.26 (0.13-0.50)

Death	3 (3.2)	1 (5.5)	0.88 (0.58–1.34)
LOS *≥10 days	28 (22.4)	17 (30.9)	
BRIAT-yes	18/38 (47.4)	7/16 (43.8)	0 (5 (0.22, 1.21)
BRIAT-no	10/87 (11.5)	10/39 (25.6)	0.65 (0.32–1.31)
Odds ratios	6.9 (2.8–17.3)	2.3 (0.7–7.6)	

* 95% CI—95% confidence interval. ** UTI—urinary tract infection, UT—urinary tract, BRIAT—bacterial resistance to initial antibiotic therapy, ESBL—extended spectrum beta-lactamase, LOS length of stay.

Laboratory Tests *		Ceftriaxone	Other	Odds Ratio
		n = 125	<i>n</i> = 55	(95% CI) *
	<12	57 (46.6)	28 (50.9)	
WBC (109 cells/L)	12-14.9	30 (24.0)	10 (18.2)	1.07 (0.74–1.54)
	≥15	38 (30.4)	17 (30.9)	
	≥12	62 (49.6)	20 (36.4)	
HB (gm/dL)	10-11.9	41 (32.8)	17 (30.9)	1.64 (0.43-0.95)
	<10	22 (17.6)	18 (32.7)	
Platelets (10 ⁹ /L)	<100	6 (4.8)	3 (5.5)	0.87 (0.21–3.64)
	<10	5 (4.0)	4 (7.3)	
CDD(ma/dI)	10–99	50 (40.0)	23 (41.8)	1.20(0.92, 1.72)
CRP (mg/dL)	100–199	38 (30.4)	17 (30.9)	1.20 (0.83–1.73)
	≥200	32 (25.6)	11 (20.0)	
	<400	54 (43.2)	23 (41.8)	
LDH (U/L)	400-599	50 (40.0)	24 (43.6)	1.02 (0.65–1.58)
	≥600	21 (16.8)	8 (14.5)	
	≥3.5	84 (67.2)	28 (50.9)	
Albumin (gm/dL)	3.0-3.49	24 (19.2)	16 (29.1)	0.68 (0.45-1.02)
	<3.0	17 (13.6)	11 (20.0)	
Bilirubin (mg/dL)	≥1.2	19 (15.2)	3 (5.5)	3.11 (0.88–10.97)
Creatinine (mg/dL)	≥2.0	28 (22.4)	15 (27.3)	0.77 (0.37–1.59)
	<20	26 (20.8)	11 (20.0)	
BUN (mg/dL)	20-29	37 (29.6)	16 (29.1)	0.97 (0.65–1.45)
	≥30	62 (49.6)	28 (50.9)	
	<150	78 (62.4)	40 (72.7)	
Glucose (mg/dL)	150-199	30 (24.0)	9 (16.4)	1.31 (0.82–2.10)
	≥200	17 (13.6)	6 (10.9)	

Table 6. Ceftriaxone versus other antibiotics; laboratory tests.

* WBC—white blood cells, HB—hemoglobin, CRP—c-reactive protein, LDH—lactic dehydrogenase, BUN—blood urea nitrogen, CI = confidence interval.

Four patients treated initially with ceftriaxone died, three deaths occurred in patients with BRIAT treated initially with ceftriaxone, changed to piperacillin/tazobactam in two patients and ertapenem in the other according to culture results. They did not die of a UTI because of a delay in "appropriate" antibiotic therapy (Table 7). One was an 81-year-old female with dementia (case 1) with urinary retention, who responded to antibiotics and died suddenly 19 days after admission. Another patient (case 2), a 63-year-old cachectic bedridden female patient who also responded to antibiotics, died from other causes 11 days after admission. Lastly, a 99-year-old female (case 3) responded to antibiotics but died of aspiration pneumonia. The fourth patient was a 94-year-old male who had an infection sensitive to ceftriaxone but died after one day.

Two patients treated with other antibiotics on admission died. A 69-year-old female did not have BRIAT but died of urosepsis (case 5), and an 88-year-old male admitted for

end-of-life care had BRIAT (case 6) but died of other causes. Of the patients excluded, 12/17 died, so for all patients with a bacteremic urinary tract infection, the death rate was 9.6% (19/197).

There were 35 patients treated with ceftriaxone who presented with hypotension. Antibiotics were changed because of BRIAT in 10, and none died because of a delay in "appropriate" antibiotics.

The prediction of ESBL-producing organisms was poor. There were 38.8% (68/180) bacteria with ESBL positivity. Upon univariate analysis, only a previous UTI, anemia, hypoalbuminemia, and an elevated BUN were significantly associated with ESBL positivity (Table 8). There was a positive trend for a referral from a nursing home, a previous hospitalization, uropathology, and serum creatinine $\geq 2 \text{ mg/dL}$. The other variables were not associated with ESBL positivity (not shown in the table; being alert, febrile, a systolic blood pressure $\leq 100 \text{ mmHg}$, and the other laboratory tests).

Age/Sex *	Organism	Changed to	Days
	Ceftriaxone initial treatm	ent	
81F	E-coli-ESBL	Piperacillin/ tazobactam	19
63F	E coli-ESBL	Piperacillin/ tazobactam	11
99F	Citrobacter-ESBL	Ertapenem	7
94M	Staph aureus ****	none	1
	Other antibioti	cs	
69F	E coli-ESBL **		7
88M	E coli ***	Ceftriaxone	5
	81F 63F 99F 94M 69F	Ceftriaxone initial treatm81FE-coli-ESBL63FE coli-ESBL99FCitrobacter-ESBL94MStaph aureus ****Other antibioti69FE coli-ESBL **	Ceftriaxone initial treatment Ceftriaxone initial treatment 81F E-coli-ESBL Piperacillin/ tazobactam 63F E coli-ESBL Piperacillin/ tazobactam 99F Citrobacter-ESBL Ertapenem 94M Staph aureus **** none Other antibiotics 69F E coli-ESBL **

Table 7. In-hospital deaths.

* F = female, M = male. ** treated initially with piperacillin/tazobactam. *** treated initially with chloramphenicol. **** sensitive to ceftriaxone.

Table 8. Associated	l variables with	ESBL positivity.
---------------------	------------------	------------------

Variables	ESBL +	Other	Odds Ratio
variables	<i>N</i> = 68	<i>N</i> = 112	Odds Katio
Age≥80 years	39 (57.4)	68 (60.7)	0.87 (0.47-1.60)
Female	36 (52.9)	62 (55.4)	0.91 (0.50–1.66)
Nursing home	20 (29.4)	28 (25.0)	1.25 (0.64–2.45)
Hospitalization	13 (19.1)	12(10.7)	1.97 (0.84–4.61)
<90 days before	13 (19.1)	12 (10.7)	1.97 (0.04-4.01)
Uropathology	28 (41.2)	38 (33.9)	1.36 (0.73–2.54)
Urethral catheter	12 (17.6)	12 (10.7)	1.79 (0.75-4.24)
Previous UTI	36 (52.9)	32 (28.6)	2.81 (1.50-5.27)
Hemoglobin	DE (26 P)	$1 \in (12, 4)$	276 (101 702)
<10 gm/dL	25 (36.8)	15 (13.4)	3.76 (1.81–7.83)
Albumin	17 (25.0)	11 (0.9)	2.0((1.22, 7.02))
<3 gm/dL	17 (25.0)	11 (9.8)	3.06 (1.33–7.02)
BUN	12 (62 2)	47(42.0)	2.38 (1.28-4.42)
≥30 mg/dL	43 (63.2)	47 (42.0)	2.30 (1.20-4.42)
Creatinine≥2 mg/dL	20 (29.4)	23 (20.5)	1.61 (0.81–3.23)

Only a previous urinary tract infection and a hemoglobin <10 gm/dL significantly added to the logistic regression model, with increased odds for predicting ESBL positivity of 2.77 (1.44–5.31) and 3.69 (1.74–7.89), respectively. ESBL positivity was 27.9% (19/90) in

those without either anemia or a previous urinary tract infection, 59.1% (13/22) in those with anemia, 48.0% (24/50) in those with a previous UTI, and 66.7% (12/18) in patients with both anemia and a previous urinary tract infection.

There were no readmissions for a UTI in patients with BRIAT over the 30-day followup period.

4. Discussion

The major finding of this study was that hospitalized patients with a bacteremic UTI treated empirically with ceftriaxone did not die of urosepsis. This is despite a high resistance rate, and including patients with hypotension on admission and other abnormal laboratory results. In patients with BRIAT, the length of hospital stay increased by a median of three days, with an 8-fold risk of a prolonged hospitalization (10 days or more) after adjustment for the other risk factors (uropathology and low serum albumin).

The strength of this study is that it included chart views of all patients, including those who died, and that there was a 30-day re-hospitalization follow-up period. Another strength of the study is that we only included patients with bacteremic UTIs. Other studies of patients with a systemic UTI include patients with bacteriuria without a clear extraurinary source, an undetermined number of whom will not have a UTI. In the elderly, a positive urine culture is not an abnormal finding, because reported frequencies in healthy elderly men and women have been reported to be 6% and 16.5%, respectively [16]. One study reported that 29.6% of febrile hospitalized geriatric patients with a clear extra-urinary source had a positive urine culture [17].

Other studies of UTIs that included patients with significant comorbidities reported discordant associations with BRIAT and short- or longer-term mortality. A previous study reviewed the charts of 934 elderly patients with a UTI, excluding patients with hypotension; 222 had bacteremia; 2/3 of the 316 responded to therapy, and no patient died from urosepsis [14]. Other studies have also found no increase in the mortality of BRIAT in those hospitalized with a urinary tract infection [12,18–22]. Babich et al. [21] found that BRIAT was not associated with a 30-day mortality of 30.8% in 315 patients with a catheterassociated UTI. Wiggers et al. [22] studied 469 patients with a bacteremic UTI; 21.5% had inappropriate antibiotic therapy that was not associated with the 4.3% mortality rate at 7 days or a 9.6% rate at 30 days. Eliakim-Raz et al. [12] reported on 981 young and old patients in a multicenter study where inappropriate antibiotic therapy was given in 47% of patients with a complicated UTI, not associated with the 8.7% mortality rate by day 30. In that study, mortality was related to septic shock, intensive care unit admission, corticosteroid treatment, being bedridden, older age metastatic cancer and a catheter-associated UTI, but not with inappropriate antibiotic therapy. The authors of those studies concluded that because there was no observable benefit of early appropriate empirical treatment on survival rates, physicians might consider limiting the use of antibiotics generally held in reserve if the patient is stable.

On the other hand, in Spain, 29.3% of 270 patients 75 years old or older with severe UTIs received inappropriate antibiotics, and the in-hospital death rate was 8.9% [23] Inappropriate antibiotics were associated with a 3.5 increase in odds for in-hospital deaths after adjustment for Apache II \geq 15, dementia and neoplasia. Mark et al. found an association between BRIAT and an increase in 30-day mortality [8]. Others have reported an increase in mortality in patients with BRIAT bacteremia, including patients with a urinary source [24]. However, controlling for co-morbidities in statistical models does not prove an association between BRIAT and mortality. There were no chart reviews in those studies to determine if BRIAT caused hospital deaths. This is important because most hospital deaths in those with a systemic UTI, as in our study, are unrelated to the infection [25]. To show an association with BRIAT, a chart review needs to confirm that the death was due to septic shock or end-organ damage that occurred because of the delay in "appropriate" antibiotic treatment. We are unaware of such reports.

Although BRIAT did not result in in-hospital deaths, it is unclear if initial empirical treatment with ceftriaxone or other narrower spectrum antibiotics rather than broader spectrum antibiotics with <10% resistance rates (only carbapenems or amikacin in our hospital [9]) is warranted, because of the increase in the length of hospital stay. If a carbapenem was substituted, we would expect a 3-day lower length of stay in those with BRIAT [8,13,14,18], as was found in this study. Most patients did not have BRIAT, and if all patients in this study were treated at admission with wider-spectrum antibiotics, the median length of hospitalization would have decreased by only one day. The potential decrease in the days of hospitalization for all patients with a suspected systemic UTI would be even less, because not all febrile patients without evidence of disease outside the urinary tract have a UTI. Shimoni et al. reported that 40% of hospitalized patients with fever from an unknown source had a negative urine culture, many of whom did not require antibiotic therapy [17]. A watch-and-wait policy could lower the days of hospitalization in some patients [26]. Therefore, although wider-spectrum antibiotics would benefit a subgroup of patients, they would have a negligible overall effect on the length of hospitalization. A reassessment of the recommendation that empiric therapy for a suspected systemic urinary tract infection includes only antibiotics with resistance rates < 10% is warranted.

We found that around 70% of the patients did not have urinary tract symptoms. The most common non-specific complaints were fever and general deterioration, but patients also presented with syncope/falls. This is consistent with other studies of patients with a bacteremic UTI [27–31], including those who are mentally stable [32]. We found that non-specific symptoms were associated with referrals from a nursing home and hypoalbuminemia, but patient characteristics cannot be used to determine when to suspect a UTI. This emphasizes the problem of underdiagnosing a UTI in elderly patients hospitalized with nonspecific complaints that can negatively affect the correct diagnosis [31].

One way to identify patients with ESBL-producing organisms and improve empiric antibiotic use is to identify risk factors. We found that only anemia and a previous UTI predicted ESBL positivity, with risks of 29.9% to 66.7% for those without and with both those risk factors, and in a study of patients with and without a bacteremic UTI we reported that risk factors for BRIAT, mostly due to ESBL positivity, were male sex, history of a UTI, a referral from a nursing home and the use of a permanent urethral catheter, with risk values from 13.0% to 68.9% as the number of the risk factors increased [14]. Despite the extensive literature attempting to predict ESBL positivity, Timbrook TT et al. [33] concluded in a systematic review that ESBL derivation studies have a median sensitivity and specificity of 55% and 94%, with external validations reflecting a lower median sensitivity and specificity of 32% and 90%, respectively, and that the two ESBL models with multiple external validations performed poorly, with c-statistics of 71% or less. No one has shown the clinical utility of using the risk factors to change therapy. It appears that using clinical indicators is not sensitive or specific enough to change antibiotic therapy, and the ability to rapidly identify the subgroup with ESBL-producing organisms awaits rapid genetic and other identification systems that are sensitive, specific [34] and affordable.

The major limitation of this study is that patients with uropathology and lower albumin tests were less likely to receive ceftriaxone. The markers of severity (laboratory test results), however, were not significantly different in those selected to receive broaderspectrum antibiotics. They still had around a 30% rate of BRIAT that did not cause inhospital deaths. It appears, therefore, that the selection treatment bias did not affect the results, and that it would be safe to treat all the stable patients with a bacteremic UTI with ceftriaxone.

Secondly, it is also unclear if our findings can be extrapolated to other settings. Detailed patient characteristics, including presentations, however, allow others to consider if the results apply to their patients. Thirdly, after the culture results, treatment for an additional 7 days was given according to bacteria sensitivities. Only two patients had antibiotics changed because they did not respond to initial therapy, and perhaps those who responded despite BRIAT did not need "appropriate" antibiotics for an additional 7 days. One study demonstrated that 5–7 days of antibiotics is equivalent to longer durations [35] for patients with pyelonephritis. Fourthly, we cannot assume that the results can be extrapolated to other cephalosporins.

Another limitation is that the follow-up was in a single hospital without contact with the patients or their families. We did not have readmission data from other hospitals, but 88% of readmissions in Israel were shown to be to the same regional hospital [36]. No patient was re-hospitalized with a UTI after BRIAT, nor discharged with significant endorgan damage due to sepsis. Finally, there were only eight patients treated empirically with ceftriaxone with the combination of hypotension and BRIAT. It may be prudent to treat those patients empirically with a carbapenem. Much larger cohorts of patients are warranted to demonstrate that patients with hypotension do not require treatment empirically with a carbapenem.

5. Conclusions

We conclude that stable hospitalized patients with a bacteremic UTI treated empirically with ceftriaxone, despite high resistance rates, did not die because of urosepsis during the hospitalization, including patients with hypotension on admission. The delay in concordant antibiotic treatment with bacterial sensitivities increased the risk of a prolonged hospitalization by 8-fold, but not the risk of readmissions. If all patients, however, were treated with wider-spectrum antibiotics, there would only be a one-day potential decrease in hospitalization days, which would be even less if all patients with a suspected UTI were included. A reassessment of the recommendation that empiric therapy for a suspected systemic urinary tract infection includes only antibiotics with resistance rates < 10% is warranted.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, Z.S., T.F. and P.F.; Methodology, H.S. and P.F.; Formal analysis, P.F.; Investigation, Z.S., H.S. and P.F.; Data curation, H.S.; Writing – original draft, P.F.; Writing – review & editing, Z.S., H.S., T.F. and P.F.; Supervision, P.F.; Project administration, Z.S. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the Local Ethics Committee of Laniado Hospital (protocol code: 0065-22-LND, (26 December 2022).

Informed Consent Statement: Patient consent was waived due to the historical nature of the study that did not alter the patients' management and clinical outcomes.

Data Availability Statement: The data presented in this study are available on request from the corresponding author.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

- Leung, Y.Y.; Ma, K.K.; Tsang, W.T.; Lau, C.L.; Ko, S.; Chan, W.L.; Ng, F. A retrospective study of geriatric patients presenting with fever to an accident and emergency department in Hong Kong. *Hong Kong J. Emerg. Med.* 2008, 15, 88–95. https://doi.org/10.1177/102490790801500204.
- Keating, H.J., 3rd; Klimek, J.J.; Levine, D.S.; Kiernan, F.J. Effect of aging on the clinical significance of fever in ambulatory adult patients. J. Am. Geriatr. Soc. 1984, 32, 282–287. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1532-5415.1984.tb02022.x.
- Limper, M.; Eeftinck Schattenkerk, D.; de Kruif, M.D.; van Wissen, M.; Brandjes, D.P.; Duits, A.J.; van Gorp, E.C. One-year epidemiology of fever at the emergency department. *Neth. J. Med.* 2011, 69, 124–128. PMID: 21444937.
- Malmros, K.; Huttner, B.D.; McNulty, C.; Rodríguez-Baño, J.; Pulcini, C.; Tängdén, T.; ESGAP UTI Working Group. Comparison of antibiotic treatment guidelines for urinary tract infections in 15 European countries-results of an online survey. *Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents* 2019, 54, 478–486. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2019.06.015.
- 5. Gupta, K.; Hooton, T.M.; Naber, K.G.; Wullt, B.; Colgan, R.; Miller, L.G.; Moran, G.J.; Nicolle, L.E.; Raz, R.; Schaeffer, A.J.; et al. International clinical practice guidelines for the treatment of acute uncomplicated cystitis and pyelonephritis in women: A 2010

update by the Infectious Diseases Society of America and the European Society for Microbiology and Infectious Diseases. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* **2011**, *52*, e103–e120. https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciq257.

- de Cueto, M.; Aliaga, L.; Alós, J.I.; Canut, A.; Los-Arcos, I.; Martínez, J.A.; Mensa, J.; Pintado, V.; Rodriguez-Pardo, D.; Yuste, J.R.; et al. Executive summary of the diagnosis and treatment of urinary tract infection: Guidelines of the Spanish Society of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases (SEIMC). *Enferm. Infecc. Microbiol. Clin.* 2017, 35, 314–320. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eimc.2016.11.005.
- World Health Organization Global Antimicrobial Resistance and Use Surveillance System (GLASS). 2021. ISBN 978-92-4-002733-6. Available online: https://www.who.int/health-topics/antimicrobial-resistance (accessed on 31 December 2023).
- Mark, D.G.; Hung, Y.Y.; Salim, Z.; Tarlton, N.J.; Torres, E.; Frazee, B.W. Third-Generation Cephalosporin Resistance and Associated Discordant Antibiotic Treatment in Emergency Department Febrile Urinary Tract Infections. *Ann. Emerg. Med.* 2021, 78, 357–369. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annemergmed.2021.01.003.
- 9. Shimoni, Z.; Froom, P. Ceftriaxone Usage and Resistance Rates in Internal Medicine Departments. *Qeios* 2023. https://doi.org/10.32388/25V7OW.
- Kayaaslan, B.; Oktay, Z.; Hasanoglu, I.; Kalem, A.K.; Eser, F.; Ayhan, M.; Guner, R. Increasing rates of extended-spectrum Blactamase-producing Escherichia coli and Klebsiella pneumoniae in uncomplicated and complicated acute pyelonephritis and evaluation of empirical treatments based on culture results. *Eur. J. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.* 2022, 41, 421–430. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10096-021-04392-2.
- Tocut, M.; Zohar, I.; Schwartz, O.; Yossepowitch, O.; Maor, Y. Short- and long-term mortality in patients with urosepsis caused by Escherichia coli susceptible and resistant to 3rd generation cephalosporins. *BMC Infect. Dis.* 2022, 22, 571. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12879-022-07538-5.
- Eliakim-Raz, N.; Babitch, T.; Shaw, E.; Addy, I.; Wiegand, I.; Vank, C.; Torre-Vallejo, L.; Joan-Miquel, V.; Steve, M.; Grier, S.; et al. Risk factors for treatment failure and mortality among hospitalized patients with complicated urinary tract infection: A multicenter retrospective cohort study (RESCUING Study Group). *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 2019, *68*, 29–36. https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciy418.
- 13. Shimoni, Z.; Cohen, R.; Avdiaev, R.; Froom, P. Treatment of febrile geriatric patients with suspected urinary tract infections in a hospital with high rates of ESBL producing bacteria: A cohort study. *BMJ. Open* **2016**, *6*, e013696. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2016-013696.
- Shimoni, Z.; Salah, M.; Kasem, A.; Hermush, V.; Froom, P. Bacterial Resistance to Cephalosporin Treatment in Elderly Stable Patients Hospitalized With a Urinary Tract Infection. *Am. J. Med. Sci.* 2020, 360, 243–247. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amjms.2020.05.008. PMID: 32482350.
- 15. Shimoni, Z.; Froom, P.; Silke, B.; Benbassat, J. The presence of a urinary catheter is an important predictor of in-hospital mortality in internal medicine patients. *J. Eval. Clin. Pract.* **2022**, *28*, 1113–1118. https://doi.org/10.1111/jep.13694. PMID: 35510815.
- 16. Nordenstam, G.; Sundh, V.; Lincoln, K.; Svanborg, A.; Edén, C.S. Bacteriuria in representative population samples of persons aged 72-79 years. *Am. J. Epidemiol.* **1989**, *130*, 1176–1186. https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.aje.a115446. PMID: 2686405.
- 17. Shimoni, Z.; Avdiaev, R.; Froom, P. Urine cultures in hospitalized geriatric patients presenting with fever. *Am. J. Med. Sci.* **2017**, 353, 17–21.
- 18. Lee, S.S.; Kim, Y.; Chung, D.R. Impact of discordant empirical therapy on outcome of community-acquired bacteremic acute pyelonephritis. *J. Infect.* **2011**, *62*, 159–164. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinf.2010.10.009.
- 19. Lee, S.; Song, D.Y.; Cho, S.H.; Kwon, K.T. Impact of extended-spectrum beta-lactamase on acute pyelonephritis treated with empirical ceftriaxone. *Microb. Drug Resist.* **2014**, *20*, 39–44. https://doi.org/10.1089/mdr.2013.0075.
- Jeon, J.H.; Kim, K.; Han, W.D.; Song, S.H.; Park, K.U.; Rhee, J.E.; Song, K.H.; Park, W.B.; Kim, E.S.; Park, S.W.; et al. Empirical use of ciprofloxacin for acute uncomplicated pyelonephritis caused by Escherichia coli in communities where the prevalence of fluoroquinolone resistance is high. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 2012, *56*, 3043–3046. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.06212-11.
- 21. Babich, T.; Zusman, O.; Elbaz, M.; Ben-Zvi, H.; Paul, M.; Leibovici, L.; Avni, T. Empirical antibiotic treatment does not improve outcomes in catheter-associated urinary tract infection: Prospective cohort study. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 2017, 65:1799–1805.
- Wiggers, J.B.; Sehgal, P.; Pinto, R.; MacFadden, D.; Daneman, N. The association of adequate empirical treatment and time to recovery from bacteraemic urinary tract infections: A retrospective cohort study. *Clin. Microbiol. Infect.* 2019, 25, 1253–1258. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmi.2019.02.027.
- 23. Esparcia, A.; Artero, A.; Eiros, J.M.; Balaguer, M.; Madrazo, M.; Alberola, J.; Nogueira, J.M. Influence of inadequate antimicrobial therapy on prognosis in elderly patients with severe urinary tract infections. *Eur. J. Intern. Med.* **2014**, *25*, 523–527.
- Schwaber, M.J.; Navon-Venezia, S.; Kaye, K.S.; Ben-Ami, R.; Schwartz, D.; Carmeli, Y. Clinical and economic impact of bacteremia with extended- spectrum-beta-lactamase-producing Enterobacteriaceae. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 2006, 50, 1257–1262. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.50.4.1257-1262.2006. PMID: 16569837; PMCID: PMC1426954.
- 25. Gómez-Zorrilla, S.; Becerra-Aparicio, F.; López Montesinos, I.; Ruiz de Gopegui, E.; Grau, I.; Pintado, V.; Padilla, B.; Benito, N.; Boix-Palop, L.; Fariñas, M.C.; et al. A Large Multicenter Prospective Study of Community-Onset Healthcare Associated Bacteremic Urinary Tract Infections in the Era of Multidrug Resistance: Even Worse than Hospital Acquired Infections? *Infect. Dis. Ther.* 2021, 10, 2677-2699. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40121-021-00537-0. PMID: 34626347; PMCID: PMC8572918.
- Froom, P.; Shimoni, Z. Laboratory Tests, Bacterial Resistance, and Treatment Options in Adult Patients Hospitalized with a Suspected Urinary Tract Infection. *Diagnostics* 2024, 14, 1078. https://doi.org/10.3390/diagnostics14111078.

- 27. Woodford, H.J.; Graham, C.; Meda, M.; Miciuleviciene, J. Bacteremic Urinary Tract Infection in Hospitalized Older Patients-Are Any Currently Available Diagnostic Criteria Sensitive Enough? J. Am. Geriatr. Soc. 2011, 59, 567–568.
- Gbinigie, O.A.; Ordóñez-Mena, J.M.; Fanshawe, T.R.; Plüddemann, A.; Heneghan, C. Diagnostic Value of Symptoms and Signs for Identifying Urinary Tract Infection in Older Adult Outpatients: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. J. Infect. 2018, 77, 379–390.
- 29. Barkham, T.M.; Martin, F.C.; Eykyn, S.J. Delay in the Diagnosis of Bacteraemic Urinary Tract Infection in Elderly Patients. *Age Ageing* **1996**, *25*, 130–132.
- Laborde, C.; Bador, J.; Hacquin, A.; Barben, J.; Putot, S.; Manckoundia, P.; Putot, A. Atypical Presentation of Bacteremic Urinary Tract Infection in Older Patients: Frequency and Prognostic Impact. *Diagnostics* 2021, *11*, 523. https://doi.org/10.3390/diagnostics11030523. PMID: 33804271; PMCID: PMC8001488.
- Komagamine, J.; Yabuki, T.; Noritomi, D.; Okabe, T. Prevalence of and factors associated with atypical presentation in bacteremic urinary tract infection. *Sci. Rep.* 2022, *12*, 5197. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-09222-9. PMID: 35338229; PMCID: PMC8956699.
- 32. Shimoni, Z.; Kasem, A.; Froom, P. The influence of mental status on reported local urinary tract symptoms in patients with bacteraemic urinary tract infections. *Int. J. Clin. Pract.* **2021**, *75*, e13741. https://doi.org/10.1111/ijcp.13741. PMID: 32991029.
- Timbrook, T.T.; Fowler, M.J. Predicting Extended-Spectrum Beta-Lactamase and Carbapenem Resistance in Enterobacteriaceae Bacteremia: A Diagnostic Model Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Antibiotics* 2023, 12, 1452. https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics12091452. PMID: 37760748; PMCID: PMC10525851.
- Andrews, S.R.; Timbrook, T.T.; Fisher, M.A.; Tritle, B.J. Comparative analysis of a rapid diagnostic test and scoring tools for ESBL detection in *Enterobacterales* bloodstream infections for optimizing antimicrobial therapy. *Microbiol. Spectr.* 2024, 12, e03131-23.https://doi.org/10.1128/spectrum.03131-23.
- 35. Spellberg, B. The new antibiotic mantra-"Shorter Is Better. JAMA Intern. Med. 2016, 176, 1254–1255. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamainternmed.2016.3646.
- Flaks-Manov, N.; Shadmi, E.; Hoshen, M.; Balicer, R.D. Health information exchange systems and length of stay in readmissions to a different hospital. *J. Hosp. Med.* 2016, *11*, 401–406. https://doi.org/10.1002/jhm.2535. PMID: 26714040.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.