Journal of Applied Pharmaceutical Science Vol. 9(05), pp 033-039, May, 2019 Available online at http://www.japsonline.com DOI: 10.7324/JAPS.2019.90504 ISSN 2231-3354

Bioanalytical HPLC method of *Piper betle* L. for quantifying phenolic compound, water-soluble vitamin, and essential oil in five different solvent extracts

Rayudika Aprilia Patindra Purba* , Pramote Paengkoum

School of Animal Technology and Innovation, Institute of Agricultural Technology, Suranaree University of Technology, Nakhon Ratchasima, Thailand.

ARTICLE INFO

Received on: 02/01/2019 Accepted on: 17/03/2019 Available online: 08/05/2019

Key words: Phenolic compounds, flavonoids, essential oil, *Piper betle* L., gradient HPLC, different solvent extracts.

ABSTRACT

A reversed-phase high-performance liquid chromatography with diode-array detection (HPLC-DAD) was developed and validated to estimate the phenolic acids (gallic acid, caffeic acid, syringic acid, p-coumaric acid, sinapic acid, and ferrulic acid), flavonoids (catechin rutin, myricetin, quercetin, apigenin, and kaempferol), ascorbic acid, and eugenol. The chromatogram condition was set in suitable wavelength 272 nm and run flow rate 0.7 µl/minutes using HPLC Agilent Technologies 1260 Infinity, a reversed-phase Zorbax SB-C18 column (3.5 µm particle size, i.d. 4.6 mm × 250 mm) with the mobile phase solution (1:9, HPLC-grade acetonitrile:1% acetic acid). The linearity, precision, limit of detection, limit of detection, and accuracy were $R^2 > 0.9907$, relative standard deviation $\lt 1\%$, 0.005 µg/ml, 0.015 µg/ ml, and 96%–102%, respectively. As a result, all the selective compounds were successfully separated, identified, and quantified. The enormous contents were found in quercetin and eugenol, expressing crude content (mean, 5.989 mg/g) and residue content (mean, 1.934 mg/g) for quercetin, while crude content (mean, 3.209 mg/g) and residue content (mean, 0.184 mg/g) for eugenol. Consequently, this method could be applied, repeated, and developed for the later observation, especially in commercially inclination of *Piper betle* analysis.

INTRODUCTION

Regarding pharmaceutical development inviting utilization of organic compounds is broadly critical issue for human health properties, even more in animal welfare. Phenolic compounds, or commonly as polyphenols, had been considered as rife organic compound characteristic, ubiquitously in plants, fruits, and vegetables. These are secondary metabolites that contain simple phenolic molecules and benzene rings through shikimic and pentose phosphate pathway. Lin *et al*[. \(2016\)](#page-6-0) reviewed polyphenols have a host of medicine values, such as anti-inflammatory, antioxidant, antidiabetic, and anticarcinogenic, representing action by its members: simple flavonoid, phenolic

** Corresponding Author*

acid, complex flavonoid, and hydroxycinnamic acid. The interaction of polyphenols with neighborhood substances and its derivative had been linked to specifically other functions. For instances, polyphenols persuading essential oil as eugenol had been used revealing antimicrobial mode and stress-linked phytochemical corresponding to water-soluble vitamin profoundly as ascorbic acid. Hence, elaborating study to obtain, extract, and modulate a comprehensive knowledge whether using single polyphenols or combining with other essential compounds should be more investigated.

In earlier study, Begam *et al*[. \(2018\)](#page-6-0) reported that the ethanol *Piper betle* L. extraction bring up 10 major fractions, leaded by eugenol using gas chromatography–mass spectrometry procedure. Moreover, [Syahidah](#page-6-0) *et al*. (2017) confirmed that the *Piper betle* L. has an abundant of flavonoids, tannins, and alkaloids where the methanolic assay was subjected under high-performance liquid chromatography with diode-array detection (HPLC-DAD) machine. Hence, elaborating study to obtain, extract, and modulate a comprehensive knowledge whether (about) using

Rayudika Aprilia Patindra Purba, School of Animal Technology and Innovation, Institute of Agricultural Technology, Suranaree University of Technology, Nakhon Ratchasima, Thailand. E-mail: rayudikaapp.007 @ gmail.com

^{© 2019} Purba and Paengkoum. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

single polyphenols or combining with other essential compounds should be more investigated.

Piper betle Linn. (Family: Piperaceae) is herb plant ([Fig. 1\)](#page-2-0) whose has a perennial dioecious, semi-woody climber, and swollen stems. Its common names are betel (English), paan (Indian), phlu (Thai), and sirih (Bahasa Indonesian). *Piper betle* L. is cultivated in tropical Asian area and has a strong pungent aromatic flavor. The plant is credited for conventional treatments covering antiseptic, antimicrobial, and medicinally useful for pulmonary affection by locals. In addition, nutrient value of this plant was categorized as low protein (3.5%–3.94%), fat (0.25%–0.33%), and energy 21.8 MJ/kg (unpublished data). [Dasgupta and De \(2004\)](#page-6-0) conducted *in vitro* study used *Piper betle* extracts exhibiting antioxidant role and Datta *et al.* [\(2011\)](#page-6-0) reported ethanol extract of *Piper betle* had confirmed diminishing significantly toward to human pathogenic bacteria (Gram-positive and Gram-negative). As a result, we hypothesized that the natural organic compound of *Piper betle* L. and corroboration method for compelling its interaction were suspected necessary for further study.

Therefore, quantification of natural present contents and validation method, including separation and identification of active constituents was a prerequisite. Hopefully, the actual sentence of these results could be clear-cut and useful for pharmaceutical biotechnology community, human nutrition, and animal welfare group. Thus, the aim was to identify and measure phenolic compound, water-soluble vitamin, and essential oil of *Piper betle* L. leaf as potential antioxidant, antimicrobial, and anticarcinogenic using different polarity of solvents by reverse phase HPLC-DAD.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant material

Piper betle L. leaves were purchased from local market, Prachinburi area, East Thailand. Fresh biomass of leafy material plant was pooled, rinsed, and kept overnight at 4°C. Residue content of *Piper betle* L. leaves was made by after steamdistillation using Clevenger's apparatus to collect an essential oil (separated report) and the crude content of *Piper betle* L. leaves was as untreated steam-distillation. The crude and residue content were air-dried using oven set 40°C for 2 days, made a powder, and kept in sealed plastic until the extraction time.

Extraction

Five grams of crude or residue content were extracted with 20-ml solvents on the basis of their polarity. Water, methanol, ethanol, chloroform, and hexane were used in this section. The extraction were run with Soxhlet apparatus for 3–4 hours. The extract was filtered and kept. Remaining solid residue on the filter paper was reextracted for three times with volume of fresh solvent, Soxhlet, and filtered. All the filtrates were pooled and combined based on the solvent followed by evaporation using Rotavapor (Buchi R300). The extracts were finally filtered through 0.45 μm PVDF syringe paper and the volume was made up to 10 ml using the same solvent and stored at −20°C.

Chemical and reagent

The HPLC-grade solvents were purchased from Anapure (Bangkok, Thailand) for acetic acid, Avantor (USA) for ethanol and methanol, Labscan (Bangkok, Thailand) for hexane and chloroform, and Duksan (Gyunggido, Korea) for Acetonitrile. Standard chemicals, phenolic acids (gallic, caffeic, syringic, p-coumaric, ferulic, and sinapic acid), flavonoids (catechin, rutin, myricetin, quercetin, apigenin, and kaempferol), and essential oil (eugenol) were purchased from Sigma Chemical Co. (St. Louis, MO). However, ascorbic acid was purchased from Carlo erba (Strada Rivoltana, France). All water used in all the preparations was of the double distillated water grade (Millipore, Illkirch-Graffenstaden, France).

Standard chemical preparation and HPLC equipment

A standard stock solution (1 mg/ml) was made by diluted 1 mg selecting standard with 0.5-ml HPLC-grade methanol followed by sonication for 15 minutes in ice and vortex. The standard stock solution was then adjusted to 1 ml with the mobile phase solution (1:9, HPLC-grade acetonitrile:1% acetic acid).

HPLC analyses were performed with HPLC Agilent Technologies 1260 Infinity (USA and Canada) with four solvent delivery system quaternary pumps (61311B), including a diode array detector (DAD 61315D) with 10-mm flow cell, an automatic sample injection valve equipped with a 100 loop and Agilent OpenLAB CDS 1.8.1 system manager as data processor. The separation was achieved by a reversed-phase Zorbax SB-C18 column (3.5 μ m particle size, i.d. 4.6 mm × 250 mm).

Chromatographic condition

A method for chromatographic analysis followed to [Seal \(2016\)](#page-6-0) with modification. The gradient elution, flow rate, and temperature setting are presented in Table 1. Injected volume was maintained at 20 µl. A photo diode array UV detector run wavelength at 272 nm according to absorption maxima of analyzed compounds was set to detect HPLC chromatogram with analysis total time per sample 65 minutes. Identification of each compound was decided with identical condition by its retention time inviting

Table 1. Chromatographic design for gradient eluent, flow rate, and temperature.

| | | | Mobile phase $(\%)$ | | | |
|----------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------|--|--|
| Time (minutes) | Flow rate $(\mu l/minutes)$ | Temperature $(^{\circ}C)$ | Acetonitrile | 1% Acetic acid | | |
| θ | 0.7 | 28 | 10 | 90 | | |
| 28 | 0.7 | 28 | 40 | 60 | | |
| 39 | 0.7 | 28 | 60 | 40 | | |
| 50 | 0.7 | 28 | 90 | 10 | | |
| 55 | 0.7 | 28 | 10 | 90 | | |
| 65 | 0.7 | 28 | 10 | 90 | | |

spiked standard and the reference mode was turned on critically for identification of integration data analysis. Quantification of each injected sample was completely success by measuring the integrated area. As a result, content number of samples was calculated based on calibration curve by plotting peak area, respective standard sample was also considered. The data were subjected in quadruplicate.

Method validation

The analytical method was validated according to The International Council for Harmonisation of Technical Requirements for Pharmaceuticals for Human Use guideline, namely, the effectiveness, the limit of detection (LOD), the limit of quantitation (LOQ), the linearity, the precision, and the accuracy, as shown in Table 2. The effectiveness of HPLC method was confirmed by recognizing peak of standard solvent randomly after it was diluted with the diverse solvent. Acetonitrile and 1% acetic acid resolved well in this study; however, other solvents, such as methanol and formic acid, might be considered. Theoretically, LOD and LOQ were calculated from the calibration curve according to the following equations:

$$
LOD = 3.3 \times \frac{\sigma}{S}
$$

$$
LOQ = 10 \times \frac{\sigma}{S}
$$

where σ is the standard deviation of *y*-axis interception values and *S* is the angular coefficient.

To obtain linearity, a calibration curve was created with a stock standard solution $(1 \mu g/ml)$ diluted to six concentrations $(5, 10, 20, 30, 40, \text{ and } 60 \text{ µg/ml})$ randomly injected in triplicate. The calibration curve was accepted to get $R^2 > 0.99$ indicating the significantly abundant linearity measurement. Precision evaluation was run repeatability and intermediate precision using the degree of proximity expressing as % relative standard deviation (RSD) of retention time and peak area. Two diluted concentration was

selected (20 and 40 μ g/ml) by injecting in 10 times to evaluate % RSD determination. The accuracy was evaluated based on the recovery percentage of crude and residue extract spiking to 20 and 40 µg/ml. Results of accuracy were calculated in terms of recovery (%*R*) according to the following equation:

$$
R\left(\%\right) = \frac{\text{a} - \text{b}}{\text{c}} \times 100
$$

where a is the amount found, b is the amount contained, and c is the amount added.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Optimization of chromatographic condition

The UV-Vis spectrum of all the standard compounds showed successfully in wavelength 272 nm. This wavelength provided a clearest separation of single peak area toward to the retention time. Regardless of time observation, running at 43

Figure 1. *Piper betle* Linn. (Family: Piperaceae).

Table 2. Retention time and parameters of calibration curve, precision, and repeatability, LOD, LOQ, and percent recovery study of standard ascorbic acid, phenolic acids, flavonoids, and eugenol for HPLC method validation using wavelength at 272 nm.

| Standard name | Retention time | $RSD(%)$ of the retention time | RSD (%) of the peak area at conc. $20 \mu g/ml$ | $RSD(%)$ of the peak area at conc. $40 \mu g/ml$ | Regression coefficient R^2 | $LOD \mu g/ml$ | $LOQ \mu g/ml$ | Percentage of recovery (%) |
|-----------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|---|--|------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------------------------|
| Ascorbic acid | 3.74 | 0.277 | 0.602 | 0.074 | 0.9951 | 0.003 | 0.008 | 99.69 |
| Gallic acid | 5.42 | 0.318 | 0.842 | 0.518 | 0.9981 | 0.001 | 0.002 | 100.47 |
| Catechin | 10.55 | 0.502 | 0.291 | 0.220 | 0.9986 | 0.013 | 0.038 | 101.09 |
| Caffeic acid | 13.12 | 0.430 | 0.132 | 0.196 | 0.9990 | 0.003 | 0.008 | 101.94 |
| Syringic acid | 13.60 | 0.436 | 0.171 | 0.222 | 0.9988 | 0.001 | 0.004 | 101.66 |
| Rutin | 16.30 | 0.579 | 0.175 | 0.262 | 0.9990 | 0.006 | 0.018 | 97.52 |
| P-coumaric acid | 17.49 | 0.395 | 0.184 | 0.221 | 0.9984 | 0.002 | 0.007 | 100.80 |
| Sinapic acid | 18.62 | 0.373 | 0.467 | 0.973 | 0.9990 | 0.007 | 0.020 | 98.59 |
| Ferrulic acid | 18.85 | 0.573 | 0.165 | 0.386 | 0.9996 | 0.008 | 0.023 | 102.62 |
| Myrecetin | 22.53 | 0.283 | 0.299 | 0.562 | 0.9955 | 0.001 | 0.004 | 102.78 |
| Quercetin | 27.82 | 0.242 | 0.367 | 0.938 | 0.9910 | 0.001 | 0.003 | 99.86 |
| Apigenin | 32.00 | 0.211 | 0.522 | 0.293 | 0.9915 | 0.012 | 0.035 | 96.02 |
| Kaempferol | 32.90 | 0.205 | 0.957 | 0.276 | 0.9907 | 0.009 | 0.027 | 100.05 |
| Eugenol | 37.49 | 0.221 | 0.148 | 0.171 | 0.9908 | 0.004 | 0.011 | 100.26 |

Figure 2. Standard HPLC chromatogram of phenolic compound, water-soluble vitamin, and essential oil.

Figure 3. HPLC chromatogram of *Piper betle L* crude content in water extract.

Figure 4. HPLC chromatogram of *Piper betle L* crude content in methanol extract.

minutes onward was found slightly sensitive identification. All the peak area is depicted in Figure 2.

Validation method of phenolic compound, water-soluble vitamin, and essential oil

The response of validation method, including linearity, precision, and accuracy is presented in [Table 2.](#page-2-0) A compulsory-

Figure 5. HPLC chromatogram of *Piper betle L* crude content in ethanol extract.

Figure 6. HPLC chromatogram of *Piper betle L* crude content in chloroform extract.

Figure 7. HPLC chromatogram of *Piper betle L* crude content in hexane extract.

mixed standard chemical was found over $R^2 > 0.9907$ indicating all variables standing on linearity. According to the precision, RSD in retention time and spiking peak area at two selectively standards, 20 and 40 µg/ml were lesser 1% exhibiting repeatability running a rigid way. An automatically injection valve set maintaining

Figure 8. HPLC chromatogram of *Piper betle L* residue content in water extract.

Figure 9. HPLC chromatogram of *Piper betle L* residue content in methanol extract.*betle L* residue content in methanol extract.

evaporation off solvent and mixing genuinely vial were acceptable strategies for further recommending investigations. Furthermore, the LOD and LOQ were calculated as mean 0.005 and 0.015 µg/ ml, respectively. The decreased values of these limits revealed high sensitivity of the method. In this study, the separation detector was set a strictly shorter than previous study ([Syahidah](#page-6-0) *et al*., 2017), In addition, the percentage of recovery was in the range 96–102. A breakthrough in number was particularly interesting in this stage, considering the method formula hinged on linearity, precision, and accuracy involving meticulousness could be applicated for qualitative and quantitative analysis of phenolic compound, watersoluble vitamin, and essential oil of this study.

Identifying compounds of phenolic compound, water-soluble vitamin, and essential oil

The phenolic compound, water-soluble vitamin, and essential oil chromatogram of crude content is captured in [Figures 3](#page-3-0)[–7](#page-3-0) and residue content in Figures 8[–12](#page-5-0) following by water, methanol, ethanol, chloroform, and hexane, respectively. Clearly, polar solvents were easier to bond the organic compound due to uneven distribution of electron density, while non-polar solvents were opposite sentence. A relationship of O-H bonding

Figure 10. HPLC chromatogram of *Piper betle L* residue content in ethanol extract.

Figure 11. HPLC chromatogram of *Piper betle L* residue content in chloroform extract.

and repeating extraction might be a hand of effecting factors stimulating compound sensitivity. As a result, flavonoid was most edible dissolved among essential oil and water-soluble vitamin (Rafiq *et al*[., 2018](#page-6-0)). Overall, quercetin and eugenol were duo foremost in crude-residue contents of the plant under investigation.

Quantifying compounds of phenolic compound, water-soluble vitamin, and essential oil

A completely quantitative result is presented in [Table 3](#page-5-0). In this present study, the proportion of *Piper betle* L. chemical compounds from highest to lowest was phenolic compounds, essential oil, and water-soluble vitamin (mg/g). The most organic compound of phenolic fraction was flavonoids 67.4% and 55.3% for crude and residue content, respectively. Eugenol as essential oil representative was only slighter number at 20% and remaining percentages confirmed as ascorbic acid (water-soluble vitamin). In previous reports, the average percentage $(\%)$ or mass (mg/g) of various *Piper betle* L. confirmed eugenol as the most major compound ([Begam](#page-6-0) *et al*., 2018; [Prakash](#page-6-0) *et al*., 2010), even [Syahidah](#page-6-0) *et al*. (2017) adjusted assessment by TLC study that *Piper betle* L. might have flavonoid or other phenolic compounds. The suitable method for extraction and detection probably

provided a clearer peak in specifically *Piper betle* L. quantity (mg/g). For instance, the handy extraction method of this study promoted obtaining catechin successfully.

Literally, catechin is difficult to extract. The greatest reason because of catechin is lofty sensitivity in oxidation, light alkaline environment, and high temperature ([Gadkari and Balaraman, 2015](#page-6-0)). However, catechin of *Piper betle* L. was successfully extracted and presented in polar and non-polar solvents using hot reflux extraction (Soxhlet apparatus), see Table 3. There were max 0.560 mg/g using chloroform in crude content and 0.869 mg/g using methanol in residue content, where these numbers were quite low toward recommendation. Catechin was rich in tea around 20–160 mg/g and bean 70–110 mg/g ([Manach](#page-6-0) *et al*., 2004). Meanwhile, other flavonoids were successfully detected, as shown in Table 3.

Along with flavonoid, gallic acid plays role as plant defense. Gallic acid is commonly found and collected from fruits and vegetables. The gallic acid content of this study was found a

extract.

lesser number in both of crude and residue *Piper betle* L. content <0.06 mg/g. [Karamac](#page-6-0) *et al*. (2006) and Bayili *et al*. [\(2011\)](#page-6-0) were reported content gallic acid in plant at least 0.21 mg/g to provoke the detrimental health problem to antioxidant purpose. Hence, gallic acid was predicted greater sensitive in polar dissolver.

Syringic acid, a final form of benzoic acid derivative, is biosynthesized by β-oxidation through shikimic pathway which is interfered massively with lignin in plant cell walls ([Srinivasulu](#page-6-0) *et al*[., 2018](#page-6-0)). [Rothwell](#page-6-0) *et al*. (2012) reported syringic acid was present in cucumber 0.006, parsnip 0.011, and rosemary 0.015 mg/g, while our study addressed the vying numbers around eight times over comparing with rosemary number in both *Piper betle* L. contents of using polar solvents. In spite of effective solvent, hexane was often failed exposing this organic group. In this study, other cinnamic compounds involving caffeic acid, p-coumaric acid, sinapic acid, and ferulic acid were successfully quantified, as shown in Table 3.

The most famous essential oil for expressing antimicrobial and antiseptic is eugenol ([Begam](#page-6-0) *et al*., 2018; [Syahidah](#page-6-0) *et al*., 2017). This phenylpropanoids is ubiquitous commonly extracted from mint leaves and spices. In present study, eugenol was successfully incited by all solvents yet ethanol promoted a mere content about 11.971 mg/g that was incredible number examined with other eugenol sources, e.g., nutmeg 0.090, basil 2.154, and clove 156.951 mg/g [\(Rothwell](#page-6-0) *et al*., 2012). As expected, residue content was lesser eugenol explaining the acceptable method for aforementioned regarding essential oil extraction from *Piper betle* L.

Ascorbic acid, one of water-soluble vitamins, is simplest vitamin and broadly apparent in fruit and vegetables. This essential vitamin provides functions as enzyme cofactor, hormone biosynthesis, and wound healing in plant and animal metabolism. Davey *et al.* (2000) reviewed the ascorbic acid played as antioxidant role solving basic oxidative stress in plant and reported Acerola (west Indian cherry) and Roseship contained the highest ascorbic acid 13 and 1 mg/g, respectively. In our study, *Piper betle* Figure 12. HPLC chromatogram of *Piper betle L* residue content in hexane
L. crude content using ethanol extract was found lesser 11.5% of

Table 3. Quantification of ascorbic acids, phenolic acids, flavonoids, and essential oils of *Piper betle* L. (*n* = 4).

| Compound names | <i>Piper betle L.</i> crude content (mg/g) | | | | <i>Piper betle L. residue content (mg/g)</i> | | | | | |
|-------------------|--|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|---|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| | Water | Methanol | Ethanol | Chloroform | Hexane | Water | Methanol | Ethanol | Chloroform | Hexane |
| Ascorbic acid | 0.124 ± 0.068 | 0.242 ± 0.023 | 1.598 ± 0.051 | 0.299 ± 0.044 | Nd | 0.454 ± 0.016 | 1.062 ± 0.486 | Nd | Nd | Nd |
| Gallic acid | 0.008 ± 0.004 | 0.049 ± 0.012 | 0.080 ± 0.008 | 0.049 ± 0.014 | Nd | 0.046 ± 0.011 | 0.049 ± 0.010 | Nd | Nd | Nd |
| Catechin | 0.029 ± 0.013 | 0.248 ± 0.107 | 0.245 ± 0.004 | 0.561 ± 0.005 | 0.036 ± 0.021 | 0.260 ± 0.014 | 0.869 ± 0.390 | 0.096 ± 0.055 | 0.109 ± 0.018 | Nd |
| Caffeic acid | 0.017 ± 0.007 | 0.058 ± 0.009 | 0.120 ± 0.008 | 0.060 ± 0.007 | Nd | 0.071 ± 0.008 | 0.061 ± 0.008 | Nd | Nd | Nd |
| Syringic acid | 0.010 ± 0.004 | 0.111 ± 0.017 | 0.260 ± 0.006 | 0.045 ± 0.010 | Nd | 0.050 ± 0.009 | 0.106 ± 0.016 | Nd | 0.007 ± 0.007 | Nd |
| Rutin | 0.009 ± 0.004 | 0.187 ± 0.029 | 0.697 ± 0.004 | 0.089 ± 0.007 | 0.010 ± 0.006 | 0.047 ± 0.005 | 0.187 ± 0.028 | 0.042 ± 0.008 | 0.011 ± 0.006 | Nd |
| P-coumaric acid | 0.009 ± 0.004 | 0.060 ± 0.016 | 0.189 ± 0.013 | 0.060 ± 0.011 | Nd | 0.053 ± 0.011 | 0.071 ± 0.016 | 0.014 ± 0.008 | Nd | Nd |
| Sinapic acid | 0.008 ± 0.004 | 0.055 ± 0.003 | 0.225 ± 0.017 | 0.046 ± 0.008 | Nd | 0.040 ± 0.007 | 0.101 ± 0.025 | Nd | Nd | Nd |
| Ferrulic acid | 0.005 ± 0.002 | 0.029 ± 0.008 | 0.055 ± 0.004 | 0.031 ± 0.006 | 0.007 ± 0.004 | 0.008 ± 0.005 | 0.030 ± 0.014 | Nd | Nd | Nd |
| Myrecetin | 0.011 ± 0.005 | 0.082 ± 0.017 | 0.121 ± 0.015 | 0.073 ± 0.015 | Nd | 0.019 ± 0.011 | 0.083 ± 0.016 | 0.019 ± 0.011 | Nd | Nd |
| Quercetin | 0.200 ± 0.013 | 4.399 ± 0.056 | 13.615 ± 0.813 | 8.695 ± 0.012 | 3.037 ± 0.134 | 0.539 ± 0.019 | 5.630 ± 0.073 | 1.181 ± 0.026 | 0.387 ± 0.036 | 0.149 ± 0.024 |
| Apigenin | 0.002 ± 0.001 | 0.793 ± 0.132 | 4.410 ± 0.069 | 0.255 ± 0.057 | Nd | Nd | 0.323 ± 0.076 | 0.059 ± 0.034 | Nd | Nd |
| Kaempferol | 0.007 ± 0.004 | 0.545 ± 0.143 | 2.371 ± 0.051 | 0.669 ± 0.173 | 0.346 ± 0.092 | Nd | 0.485 ± 0.104 | 0.185 ± 0.025 | Nd | Nd |
| Eugenol | 0.029 ± 0.002 | 1.990 ± 0.052 | 11.971 ± 0.288 | 1.246 ± 0.361 | 0.812 ± 0.283 | Nd | 2.095 ± 0.072 | 0.347 ± 0.013 | Nd | Nd |

ND: Non-detected.

Data are presented as Mean ± SEM.

Acerola content, which was equivalent with guava content 2 mg/g, whereas *Piper betle* L. residue content using methanol was only 1 mg/g. However, the non-polar solvents were repeatedly failed to provoke ascorbic acid in crude-residue contents that indicated the slightly polar unrecommending used in this way.

CONCLUSION

The reversed-phase HPLC-DAD was successfully used for identification and quantification of phenolic compound, water-soluble vitamin, and essential oil of *Piper betle* L. extract in different polarity of solvents. The method demonstrated more compatible with complex compounds involving ascorbic acid, gallic acid, catechin, caffeic acid, syringic acid, rutin, p-coumaric acid, sinapic acid, ferrulic acid, myricetin, quercetin, apigenin, kaempferol, and eugenol, thereby, promising a simple procedure with more efficiency. LC-MS and FTIR synchrotron technique may be considered for further investigation. Consequently, this method could be applied, repeated, and developed for later observation and the present value of *Piper betle* L. could be recommended for problem solving in pharmaceutical biotechnology community (quality control units), human nutrition, and animal welfare group.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank Prof. Emeritus Dr. Nantakorn Boonkerd, Sim Wei Chung, and all staffs of Chemical and Biochemical Analysis Division, Molecule Unit, Suranaree University of Technology. Rayudika Aprilia Patindra Purba acknowledges the Suranaree University of Technology scholarship for ASEAN phase II (No. MOE5601/1350) as fund source.

REFERENCES

Bayili, RG, Abdoul-Latif F, Kone OH, Diao M, Bassole IHN, Dicko MH. Phenolic compounds and antioxidant activities in some fruits and vegetables from Burkina Faso. Afr J Biotechnol, 2011; 10:13543–7.

Begam, KMF, Ravichandran P, Manimekalai V. Phytochemical analysis of some selected varieties of *Piper betle* L. Int J Curr Pharm Res, 2018; 10:89–93.

Dasgupta, N, De B. Antioxidant activity of *Piper betle* L. leaf extract *in vitro*. Food Chem, 2004; 88:219–24.

Datta, A, Ghoshdastidar S, Singh M. Antimicrobial Property of *Piper betel* Leaf against clinical isolates of bacteria. Int J Pharm Sci Res, 2011; 2:104–9.

Davey, MW, Montagu MV, Inzé D, Sanmartin M, Kanellis A, Smirnoff N, Benzie IJJ, Strain JJ, Favell D, Fletcher J. Plant L-ascorbic acid: chemistry, function, metabolism, bioavailability and effects of processing. J Sci Food Agric, 2000; 80:825–60.

Gadkari, PV, Balaraman M. Catechins: sources, extraction and encapsulation: a review. Food Bioprod Process, 2015; 93:122–38.

Karamac, M, Kosiñska A, Pegg RB. Content of gallic acid in selected plant extracts. Pol J Food Nutr Sci, 2006; 15:55–8.

Lin, D, Xiao M, Zhao J, Li ZY, Xing B, Li X, Kong M, Li L, Zhang Q, Liu Y, Chen H, Qin W, Wu H, Chen S. An overview of plant phenolic compounds and their importance in human nutrition and management of type 2 diabetes. Molecules, 2016; 21:1374.

Manach, C, Scalbert A, Morand C, Rémésy C, Jiménez L. Polyphenols: food sources and bioavailability. Am J Clin Nutr, 2004; 79:727–47.

Prakash, B, Shukla R, Singh P, Kumar A, Mishra PK, Dubey NK. Efficacy of chemically characterized *Piper betle* L. essential oil against fungal and aflatoxin contamination of some edible commodities and its antioxidant activity. Int J Food Microbiol, 2010; 142:114–9.

Rafiq, S, Kaul R, Sofi SA, Bashir N, Nazir F, Nayik AG. Citrus peel as a source of functional ingredient: a review. J Saudi Soc Agric Sci, 2018; 17:351–8.

Rothwell, JA, Urpi-Sarda M, Boto-Ordoñez M, Knox C, Llorach R, Eisner R, Cruz JM, Neveu V, Wishart D, Manach C, Andres-Lacueva C, Scalbert A. Phenol-explorer 2.0: a major update of the phenol-explorer database integrating data on polyphenol metabolism and pharmacokinetics in humans and experimental animals. Database, 2012; 2012:031–1.

Seal, T. Quantitative HPLC analysis of phenolic acids, flavonoids and ascorbic acid in four different solvent extracts of two wild edible leaves, *Sonchus arvensis* and *Oenanthe linearis* of North-Eastern region in India. J Appl Pharm Sci, 2016; 6:157–66.

Srinivasulu, C, Ramgopal M, Ramanjaneyulu G, Anuradha CM, Kumar CS. Syringic acid (sa)—a review of its occurrence, biosynthesis, pharmacological and industrial importance. Biomed Pharmacother, 2018; 108:547–57.

Syahidah, A, Saad CR, Hassan MD, Rukayadi Y, Norazian MH, Kamarudin MS. Phytochemical analysis, identification and quantification of antibacterial active compounds in betel leaves, *Piper betle* methanolic extract. Pak J Biol Sci, 2017; 20:70–81.

How to cite this article:

Purba RAP, Paengkoum P. Bioanalytical HPLC method of *Piper betle* L. for quantifying phenolic compound, watersoluble vitamin, and essential oil in five different solvent extracts. J Appl Pharm Sci, 2019; 9(05):033–039.