products. We are making this study available to the public for review and comment.

DATES: We will consider all comments that we receive on or before August 5, 2002.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments by postal mail/commercial delivery or by e-mail. If you use postal mail/ commercial delivery, please send four copies of your comment (an original and three copies) to: Docket No. 01-127-1, Regulatory Analysis and Development, PPD, APHIS, Station 3C71, 4700 River Road Unit 118, Riverdale, MD 20737-1238. Please state that your comment refers to Docket No. 01-127-1. If you use e-mail, address your comment to regulations@aphis.usda.gov. Your comment must be contained in the body of your message; do not send attached files. Please include your name and address in your message and "Docket No. 01–127–1" on the subject line.

A copy of the study and any comments that we receive on it may be reviewed in our reading room. The reading room is located in room 1141 of the USDA South Building, 14th Street and Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC. Normal reading room hours are 8 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, except holidays. To be sure someone is there to help you, please call (202) 690–2817 before coming.

APHIS documents published in the Federal Register, and related information, including the names of organizations and individuals who have commented on APHIS dockets, are available on the Internet at http:// www.aphis.usda.gov/ppd/rad/ webrepor.html.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dr. Alan K. Dowdy, Associate Director, Center for Plant Health Science and Technology, PPQ, APHIS, 1017 Main Campus Drive, Suite 2500, Raleigh, NC 27606; (919) 513–2400.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Title IV of the Agricultural Risk Protection Act of 2000 (Pub. L. 106–224), known as the Plant Protection Act (7 U.S.C. 7701 through 7772, referred to below as the Act), incorporated preexisting plant quarantine and related statutes into a comprehensive law aimed at, among other things, clarifying and augmenting the Secretary's authority to detect, control, and eradicate plant pests and noxious weeds.

Section 412(e) of the Act directs the Secretary of Agriculture to conduct a study of the role for and application of systems approaches designed to guard against the introduction of plant pathogens into the United States on imported plants and associated products. A systems approach is defined in the Act as a defined set of phytosanitary procedures, at least two of which have an independent effect in mitigating pest risk associated with the movement of commodities.

To conduct the study required by § 412(e) of the Act, the Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service (APHIS) of the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) entered into a cooperative agreement with the National Plant Board (NPB), an organization of the plant pest regulatory agencies of each of the States and the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, to carry out the study. The agreement directed the NPB to coordinate the project, to conduct the actual research, to prepare a report of the findings, and to provide overall leadership to participating scientists from State departments of agriculture, colleges and universities, the private sector, and the Agricultural Research Service of the USDA.

The NPB has now given its final draft to APHIS. Before the Department presents its report on the results of this study to the Committee on Agriculture, Nutrition, and Forestry of the Senate and the Committee on Agriculture of the House of Representatives, as required by the Act, APHIS is making the study available to the public for review and comment.

The study makes the finding that "systems approaches are both scientifically and theoretically sound." In addition, the study notes that in every case in which a systems approach has been applied to date, it has "facilitated trade and concurrently thwarted the introduction and establishment of unwanted plant pathogens."

The study recommends that APHIS use the process of systems engineering when developing systems approaches for importations of plants or plant products. Systems engineering has seven steps: Requirements development, concept development, full-scale engineering, system development, system test, system operation, and retirement and replacement.

The first step, requirements development, involves defining the problem as the customer sees it; this is the critical step for stakeholder input. The study also recommends that APHIS strengthen its monitoring and verification of systems approaches.

We invite your comments on the study. Please consider the following questions in your comments: • What are the implications you see for import markets if we accept the NPB's recommendations?

• What are the implications you see for export markets if we accept the NPB's recommendations?

• Do you believe that there would be value in having APHIS use the systems engineering process recommended in the study to develop and evaluate a systems approach for a plant or plant product you wanted to import into the United States?

• Are there particular disadvantages to the use of a systems engineering process which would militate against its adoption?

• What are options for improved verification and monitoring of systems approaches?

• Are there other relevant issues that need to be addressed that are not discussed in the study?

The study is available in our reading room (information on the location and hours of the reading room is listed under the heading **ADDRESSES** at the beginning of this notice) or on the Internet at *http://www.aphis.usda.gov/ ppq/*. You may also request that a copy be mailed to you by registering at http:// www.aphis.usda.gov/ppq/ or by contacting the person listed under

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you request that a copy be mailed to you, please specify whether you desire a printed copy or a copy on compact disk.

Authority: 7 U.S.C. 7701–7772.

Done in Washington, DC, this 29th day of May, 2002.

Bobby R. Acord,

Administrator, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service. [FR Doc. 02–13921 Filed 6–3–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410–34–P

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Economic Research Service

National Agricultural Statistics Service

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Census Bureau

Bureau of Economic Analysis

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Energy Information Administration

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Bureau of Labor Statistics

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Bureau of Transportation Statistics

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Internal Revenue Service

Federal Statistical Organizations' Guidelines for Ensuring and Maximizing the Quality, Objectivity, Utility, and Integrity of Disseminated Information

AGENCIES: Economic Research Service (ERS) and National Agricultural Statistics Service (NASS), Department of Agriculture (USDA); U.S. Census Bureau (Census) and Bureau of Economic Analysis (BEA), Department of Commerce (DOC); National Center for Education Statistics (NCES), Department of Education (Education); Energy Information Administration (EIA), Department of Energy (DOE); National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS), Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Department of Health and Human Services (HHS); Bureau of Justice Statistics (BJS), Department of Justice (DOJ); Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS), Department of Labor (DOL); **Division of Science Resources Statistics** (SRS), National Science Foundation (NSF); Office of Research, Evaluation, and Statistics (ORES), Social Security

Administration (SSA); Bureau of Transportation Statistics (BTS), Department of Transportation (DOT); Statistics of Income Division (SOI), Internal Revenue Service (IRS), Department of the Treasury (Treasury). ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Principal Federal statistical organizations are jointly announcing the opportunity to comment on their respective proposed guidelines for ensuring and maximizing the quality, objectivity, utility, and integrity of disseminated information. The statistical organizations' quality guidelines are each being developed to be responsive to Office of Management and Budget (OMB) issued governmentwide guidelines at 67 FR 8452-8460 (February 22, 2002) and are intended to be included as part of the response from the Department or agency in which the statistical organization is located. Departments and agencies are required to issue their own implementing guidelines, including correction procedures, and to make the guidelines available on their individual Web Sites. Some basic features of how the Nation's principal statistical organizations will be responsive to the OMB guidelines are presented in the Supplementary Information section of this notice. This notice also serves to announce the availability of each organization's draft guidelines and related information (see Section II of Supplementary Information).

A statistical agency or unit, as defined in the Federal Register June 27, 1997(62 FR 35043-35050), is an agency or organizational unit of the Executive Branch whose activities are predominantly the collection, compilation, processing or analysis of information for statistical purposes. The above list of statistical organizations consists of the principal statistical agencies and other statistical organizational units belonging to the Interagency Council on Statistical Policy. Statistical organizations have long been leaders in the activities that are being required under the OMB guidelines. It should be noted that each organization will prepare its final guidelines in conjunction with its respective Department or agency.

The Office of Management and Budget recognizes that Federal statistical organizations provide a substantial variety of data. Accordingly, it is understood that the OMB guidelines cannot be implemented in the same way by each organization. In some cases, for example, the data disseminated by an organization are not collected by that organization at a particular point in

time; rather, the information the organization must disseminate in a timely manner is compiled from a variety of sources (including surveys, administrative records, and other sources) that are constantly updated and revised and are generally confidential. While organizations' implementation of the guidelines may differ, the essence of the guidelines will apply. That is, these organizations must make their methods transparent by providing documentation, ensure quality by reviewing methods and consulting with experts and users, and inform users about corrections and revisions.

Each organization will take comments received into account, and will prepare its final guidelines in conjunction with its respective Department or agency for submission to OMB by August 1, 2002.

DATES: The dates for submission of comments to the statistical organizations vary. Comments on a specific organization's draft guidelines must be filed by the date provided at that organization's Web Site as listed below in Section II of SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION.

ADDRESSES: Comments concerning an individual statistical organization's guidelines should be sent to that organization's representative as noted below in Section II of the Supplementary Information. To ensure receipt of the comments by the due date, submission by FAX or e-mail is recommended.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Copies of the draft guidelines for individual organizations are at the organizations' Web Sites listed below in Section II of Supplementary Information, along with information on a contact person for each organization.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

- II. Statistical Organization Actions, Web Sites, and Representatives
- III. Agency/Department Process to Respond to Comments

I. Background

Federal statistics play a key role in a broad range of public policy, business, and individual decisions. Federal statistical surveys and compilations of administrative data are extensive undertakings that involve the collection of detailed information, often from large numbers of entities.

The principal functions of Federal statistical organizations generally include the compilation, analysis, and the dissemination of information, although the actual mix of functions varies by organization. Federal statistical organizations seek to maintain their independence in the production of their statistics; the confidentiality of information provided by respondents; and high quality standards. These features foster credibility with data users and trust among data providers. The heads of the Nation's principal statistical agencies (ERS, NASS, BEA, Census, NCES, EIA, NCHS, BJS, BLS, and BTS) plus the heads of the statistical units in the IRS, NSF, and SSA, serve on the Interagency Council on Statistical Policy (ICSP) which is led by OMB. The ICSP coordinates statistical work across organizations, enables the exchange of information about organization programs and activities, and provides advice and counsel to OMB on statistical activities.

High quality publicly available statistics from Federal statistical organizations are essential for the nation to advance the economic well-being and quality of life of its people. OMB guidelines for the quality, objectivity, utility, and integrity of information disseminated by Federal agencies are encompassed by the statistical organizations' requirements that the statistics produced must be accurate, timely, relevant, and accessible to data users. The statistics should also be reproducible, meaning that there is the capability to use the documented methods on the same data set to achieve a consistent result.

Statistical organizations, as defined above, maintain the quality of their data or information products as well as their credibility by setting high standards of performance in their activities. Such activities generally include:

• Development of concepts and methods:

• Planning and design of surveys and other means of collecting data;

- Collection of data;
- Processing and editing of data;
- Analysis of data;
- Production of estimates or projections:

• Establishment of review procedures; and

• Dissemination of data by published reports, electronic files and other media requested by users.

Statistical organizations undertake these activities in substantially different proportions, and not all statistical organizations engage in each of these activities.

A statistical organization's commitment to quality and professional standards of practice further includes: the use of modern statistical theory and practice in all technical work; the development of strong staff expertise in the disciplines relevant to its mission; the implementation of ongoing quality assurance programs to improve data validity and reliability and to improve the processes of compiling, editing, and analyzing data; and the development of a strong and continuing relationship with appropriate professional organizations in the fields of statistics and relevant subject-matter areas.

To carry out its mission, a Federal statistical organization assumes responsibility for determining sources of data, measurement methods, methods of data collection and processing while minimizing respondent burden; employing appropriate methods of analysis; and ensuring the public availability of the data and documentation of the methods used to obtain the data. Within the constraints of resource availability, a statistical organization continually works to improve its data systems to provide information necessary for the formulation of public policy.

In striving for the widest possible dissemination and greatest usefulness of its data, a statistical organization establishes a publications policy that addresses the types of reports and other data releases, including electronic, to be made available; the frequency and timeliness of such releases; the variety of avenues for data dissemination and formats; and policies for the preservation of data. A statistical organization seeks advice on specific data concepts, methods, and products from data users and from other professional and technical subjectmatter and methodological experts. When applicable, a statistical organization seeks advice on its statistical program as a whole, including the setting of statistical priorities and on the statistical methodologies it uses. The organization strives to meet the needs for access to data while maintaining appropriate safeguards for the confidentiality of individual responses.

In accordance with the OMB ¹ guidelines, each agency will establish mechanisms providing the public with the opportunity to seek correction of information that does not comply with OMB or agency guidelines. Those mechanisms are addressed in more detail on the Web Sites listed below in Section II of Supplementary Information.

II. Statistical Organization Actions, Web Sites, and Representatives

According to OMB's guidelines, an agency designated in OMB Circular A–130 must publish a notice of availability of its draft quality guidelines in the **Federal Register** and post the draft guidelines on the its Web Site to provide an opportunity for public comment. The statistical organizations participating in this **Federal Register** notice are listed below. As noted above, many statistical organizations will also be formally covered by the quality guidelines of their parent Department or agency, and those draft guidelines are also available for review.

The statistical organizations listed below are requesting comments on the quality guidelines that each has developed for its disseminated information.

The Web Site addresses present each organization's draft guidelines on which the organization is requesting public comments. Also included is the organization's contact person for more information and who should receive any comments. To ensure receipt of the comments by the due date indicated on an agency's Web Site, submission by FAX or e-mail is recommended.

• BEA—The proposed quality guidelines are available at *www.bea.gov/.* For additional information or to comment on the agency's guidelines, contact Stephen Andrews. Mr. Andrews may be contacted by telephone at (202) 606– 9653, FAX at (202) 606–5313, or e-mail at *ocs@bea.gov.* His mailing address is Bureau of Economic Analysis, BE–40, 1441 L Street, N.W., Washington, DC 20230.

• BLS—The proposed quality guidelines are available at *www.bls.gov/ BLS/Quality.htm.* For additional information or to comment on the agency's guidelines, contact Deborah Klein. Ms. Klein may be contacted by telephone at (202) 691–5900, FAX at (202) 691–5899, or e-mail at *DataQa@bls.gov.* Her mailing address is Bureau of Labor Statistics, 2 Massachusetts Avenue, N.E., Washington, DC 20212.

• BJS—The proposed quality guidelines are available at www.ojp.usdoj.gov/bjs/whtsnw2.htm. For additional information or to comment on the agency's guidelines, contact Pete Brien. Mr. Brien may be contacted by telephone at (202) 305– 0643, FAX at (202) 307–5846, or e-mail at askbjs@ojp.usdoj.gov. His mailing address is Bureau of Justice Statistics, 810 7th St, NW, Washington, DC 20531.

• BTS—The proposed quality guidelines are available at *www.bts.gov/ statpol/.* For additional information on the agency's guidelines, contact Eugene Burns. Dr. Burns may be contacted by telephone at (202) 366–3491, FAX at (202) 366–3640, or e-mail at *eugene.burns@bts.gov.* His mailing address is Office of Statistical Quality (K–24), Bureau of Transportation Statistics, 400 Seventh Street, S.W., Washington, DC 20590. To comment on the agency's guidelines, send your comments to the U.S. Department of Transportation, Docket Management System (DMS). You may submit your comments by fax, Internet, in person, or via the U.S. mail to the Docket Clerk, Docket No. OST-2002-11996, Department of Transportation, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Room PL-401, Washington, DC 20590-0001. Comments should identify the DOT docket number. Please note that due to delays in the delivery of U.S. mail to Federal offices in Washington, DC, persons should consider an alternative method (the Internet, fax, or professional delivery service) to submit comments. You may fax your comments to the DMS at (202) 493-2251. If you wish to file comments using the Internet, you may use the DOT DMS Web Site at http://dms.dot.gov/. Please follow the online instructions for submitting an electronic comment.

• Census—The proposed quality guidelines are available at www.census.gov/. For additional information or to comment on the agency's guidelines, contact Cynthia Clark. Dr. Clark may be contacted by telephone at (301) 457–2160, FAX at (301) 457–1902, or e-mail at quality@census.gov. Her mailing address is Associate Director for Methodology and Standards, U.S. Census Bureau, Washington, DC 20233– 9000.

• EIA—The proposed quality guidelines are available at www.eia.doe.gov/neic/aboutEIA/ aboutus.htm. For additional information or to comment on the agency's guidelines, contact Jay Casselberry. Mr. Casselberry may be contacted by telephone at (202) 287-1717, FAX at (202) 287–1705, or e-mail at Jay.Casselberry@eia.doe.gov. His mailing address is Statistics and Methods Group (EI–70), Energy Information Administration, U.S. Department of Energy, 1000 Independence Ave., SW, Washington, DC 20585-0670.

• ERS—The proposed quality guidelines are available at www.ers.usda.gov/. For additional information or to comment on the agency's guidelines, contact Phil Fulton. Dr. Fulton may be contacted by telephone at (202) 694–5000, FAX at (202) 694–5757, or e-mail at *pfulton@ers.usda.gov.* His mailing address is Economic Research Service, 1800 M Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036–5831.

• IRS/Statistics of Income Division— The quality guidelines for IRS Statistics of Income Division will be included in the final agency-wide guidelines. The IRS draft guidelines are available at www.irs.gov/pub/irs-utl/data—quality draft—guidelines.pdf. For additional information or to comment on IRS's guidelines, contact Wayne Wiegand by telephone at (202) 927–4412, FAX at (202) 874–0922, e-mail at Wayne.E.Wiegand@irs.gov, or mail at Internal Revenue Service, 1111 Constitution Avenue NW, Room 3524, Washington DC 20224, ATTN: Wayne Wiegand.

• NASS—The proposed quality guidelines are available at *www.usda.gov/nass/.* For additional information or to comment on the agency's guidelines, contact Rich Allen. Mr. Allen may be contacted by telephone at (202) 720–4333, FAX at (202) 720–9013, or e-mail at *rallen@nass.usda.gov.* His mailing address is USDA–NASS, Room 4117 South Bldg., 1400 Independence Ave. S.W., Washington, DC 20250–2001.

• NCES—The proposed quality guidelines are available at *www.nces.ed.gov/statprog.* For additional information or to comment on the agency's guidelines, contact Marilyn McMillen Seastrom. Dr. Seastrom may be contacted by telephone at (202) 502–7303, FAX at (202) 502–1717, or email at *marilyn.mcmillen@ed.gov.* Her mailing address is NCES, Room 9051, 1990 K Street NW, Washington, DC 20006.

• NCHS—The proposed quality guidelines are available at *www.cdc.gov/ nchs/*. For additional information or to comment on the agency's guidelines, contact Jennifer Madans. Dr. Madans may be contacted by telephone at 301– 458–4500, FAX at (301) 458–4020, or email at *JMadans@cdc.gov.* Her mailing address is National Center for Health Statistics, 6525 Belcrest Road, Room 1140, Hyattsville, MD 20782.

• NSF/Division of Science Resources Statistics—The proposed quality guidelines are available at *www.nsf.gov/ sbe/srs/infoqual.htm.* For additional information or to comment on the division's guidelines, contact Jeri Mulrow. Ms. Mulrow may be contacted by telephone at (703) 292–4784, FAX at (703) 292–9092, or e-mail at *JMulrow@nsf.gov.* Her mailing address is Division of Science Resources Statistics, National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Blvd., Room 965, Arlington, VA 22230.

• SSA/Office of Research, Evaluation, and Statistics—The proposed quality guidelines are available at *http:// www.ssa.gov/515/.* For additional information or to comment on SSA's guidelines, contact Brian Greenberg. Note that quality guidelines for SSA's statistical information products are included in agency-wide guidelines. Dr. Greenberg may be contacted by telephone at (410) 965–0131, FAX at (410) 965–3308, or e-mail at brian.v.greenberg@ssa.gov. His mailing address is Social Security Administration, Office of Research, Evaluation, and Statistics, Room 4C15, Operations Building, 6401 Security Blvd., Baltimore, MD 21235.

III. Agency/Department Process To Respond to Comments

Comments submitted to each individual organization in response to their notices will be summarized by them and/or may be included in their request for OMB approval of the organization's final quality guidelines. In any event, the submitted comments become a matter of public record.

Authorities: Sec. 515 of the Treasury and General Government Appropriations Act for Fiscal Year 2001 (Pub. L. 106–554; H.R. 5658) and the Office of Management and Budget Final Guidelines issued on February 22, 2002 (67 FR 8452–8460).

Issued in Washington, D.C. May 29, 2002.

Susan E. Offutt,

Administrator, Economic Research Service, Department of Agriculture.

R. Ronald Bosecker,

Administrator, National Agricultural Statistics Service, Department of Agriculture.

C. Louis Kincannon,

Director, U.S. Census Bureau, Department of Commerce.

J. Steven Landefeld,

Director, Bureau of Economic Analysis, Department of Commerce.

Gary Phillips,

Deputy Commissioner, National Center for Education Statistics, Department of Education.

Mary J. Hutzler,

Acting Administrator, Energy Information Administration, Department of Energy.

Edward J. Sondik,

Director, National Center for Health Statistics, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Department of Health and Human Services.

Mr. Lawrence A. Greenfeld,

Acting Director, Bureau of Justice Statistics, Department of Justice.

Lois Orr,

Acting Commissioner, Bureau of Labor Statistics, Department of Labor.

Lynda T. Carlson,

Director, Division of Science Resources Statistics National Science Foundation.

Susan Grad,

Acting Associate Commissioner, Office of Research, Evaluation, and Statistics, Social Security Administration.

Ashish Sen,

Director, Bureau of Transportation Statistics, Department of Transportation.

Issued in Washington, DC May 7, 2002. Thomas Petska,

Director, Statistics of Income Division, Internal Revenue Service, Department of Treasury. [FR Doc. 02–13892 Filed 6–3–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Forest Service

Newspapers Used for Publication of Legal Notice of Appealable Decisions for the Northern Region; Idaho, Montana, North Dakota, and Portions of South Dakota and Eastern Washington

AGENCY: Forest Service, USDA.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice lists the newspapers that will be used by all Ranger Districts, Forests, and the Regional Office of the Northern Region to publish legal notice of all decisions subject to appeal under 36 CFR 215 and 217 and to publish notices for public comment and notice of decision subject to the provisions of 36 CFR 215. The intended effect of this action is to inform interested members of the public which newspapers will be used to publish legal notices for public comment or decisions; thereby allowing them to receive constructive notice of a decision, to provide clear evidence of timely notice, and to achieve consistency in administering the appeals process.

DATES: Publication of legal notices in the listed newspapers will begin with decisions subject to appeal that are made on or after June 3, 2002. The list of newspapers will remain in effect until another notice is published in the **Federal Register**.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Appeals and Litigation Group Leader; Northern Region; PO Box 7669; Missoula, Montana 59807. Phone: (406) 329–3696.

The newspapers to be used are as follows:

Northern Regional Office

- Regional Forester decisions in Montana: The Missoulian, Great Falls Tribune, and The Billings Gazette. Regional Forester decisions in Northern
- Idaho and Eastern Washington: The Spokesman Review.
- Regional Forester decisions in North Dakota: Bismarck Tribune.
- Regional Forester decisions in South Dakota:
 - Rapid City Journal.
- Beaverhead/Deerlodge
- Montana Standard

Bitterroot

- Ravalli Republic
- Clearwater
- Lewiston Morning Tribune *Custer*
- Billings Gazette (Montana) Rapid City Journal (South Dakota)
- Dakota Prairie National Grasslands Bismarck Tribune (North and South Dakota)
- Flathead
- Daily Inter Lake
- Gallatin
- Bozeman Chronicle
- Helena
- Independent Record
- Idaho Panhandle
- Spokesman Review Kootenai
- Daily Inter Lake
- Lewis & Clark
- Great Falls Tribune
- Lolo
- Missoulian
- Nez Perce
- Lewiston Morning Tribune

Supplemental notices may be placed in any newspaper, but time frames/ deadlines will be calculated based upon notices in newspapers of record listed above.

Dated: May 24, 2002. Kathleen A. McAllister,

Deputy Regional Forester.

[FR Doc. 02–13881 Filed 6–3–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410–11–M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

The Department of Commerce (DOC) has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for clearance the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104–13.

Bureau: International Trade Administration.

Title: Survey of ITA Client Companies.

Agency Form Number: None. OMB Number: None. Type of Request: Emergency. Burden Hours: 100. Number of Respondents: 2,000. Average Hours Per Response: 30 minutes.

Needs and Uses: The Department of Commerce's International Trade Administration (ITA) provides export promotion products to help U.S. firms operate in global markets. ITA's target audience for this assistance is the small to medium size firms. The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) has recently instructed the ITA in budget passback language to conduct a study of the elasticity of the costs for these products. The "Survey of ITA Client Companies," collection of information will be used to: (1) Identify and gather pricing and cost data on ITA products and services; (2) gather information on fee structure, cost and key characteristics of customers; and (3) develop recommendations on pricing strategies.

Affected Public: Business or other for profit organizations, not-for-profit institutions.

Frequency: Once.

Respondent's Obligation: Voluntary.

OMB Desk Officer: David Rostker, (202) 395–3897.

Copies of the above information collection can be obtained by calling or writing Madeleine Clayton, Departmental Paperwork Clearance Officer, (202) 482–3129, Department of Commerce, Room 6608, 14th & Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230 or via the Internet at *MClayton@doc.gov.*

Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent to David Rostker, OMB Desk Officer, Room 10202, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503 within 30 days of the publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**.

Dated: May 29, 2002.

Gwellnar Banks,

Management Analyst, Office of the Chief Information Officer. [FR Doc. 02–13876 Filed 6–3–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–25–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

[I.D. 053002A]

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

The Department of Commerce has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for clearance the