

European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing

Overview of antifungal ECOFFs and clinical breakpoints for yeasts, moulds and dermatophytes using the EUCAST E.Def 7.3, E.Def 9.4 and E.Def 11.0 procedures

Version 3.0, valid from 2022-01-18

This document should be cited as

"The European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing. Overview of antifungal ECOFFs and clinical breakpoints for yeasts, moulds and dermatophytes using the EUCAST E.Def 7.3, E.Def 9.4 and E.Def 11.0 procedures. Version 3, 2022. http://www.eucast.org."

General	Page
Changes	1
Clinical breakpoints and ECOFFs for yeasts	2
Clinical breakpoints and ECOFFs for moulds	5
Clinical breakpoints and ECOFFs for dermatophytes	8

European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing

Overview of antifungal ECOFFs and clinical breakpoints for yeasts, moulds and dermatophytes using the EUCAST E.Def 7.3, E.Def 9.4 and E.Def 11.0 procedures

Version 3.0, valid from 2022-01-	Changes Cells containing a change or an addition from document v.2.0 are marked yellow (Format changes are not
18	marked yellow).
Yeasts	Itraconazole ECOFFs against C. albicans, C. guilliermondii, and C. lusitaniae, have been revised and an ECOFF
	against Saccharomyces cerevisiae established.
Moulds	Itraconazole ECOFFs against A. nidulans, A. niger, and A. terreus have been revised.
Dermatophytes	T. interdigitale changed to T. indotinea, and a footnote has been added concerning the itraconazole versus T.
	rubrum range.

Species	D	ECOFF (mg/L)	CI	inical Break	ooints (mo	g/L)	December 1 to 1 t
Species	Drug	WT≤	S≤	I	R >	ATU	Recommendation for area of technical uncertainty (ATU) results
C. albicans	Amphotericin B	1	1		1		
	Anidulafungin	0.03	0.03		0.03		
	Micafungin	0.016	0.016		0.016	0.03	If S to anidulafungin, report as S and add the following comment: Isolates susceptible to anidulafungin with micafungin MIC of 0.03 mg/L do not harbour an <i>fks</i> mutation conferring resistance to the echinocandins. If not S to anidulafungin, report as R and refer to reference laboratory for <i>fks</i> sequencing and confirmation of MICs.
	Fluconazole	0.5	2	4	4		
	Itraconazole	0.03	0.06		0.06		
	Posaconazole	0.06	0.06		0.06		
	Voriconazole	0.03	0.06	0.125-0.25	0.25		
	Isavuconazole	ND	ND		ND		
C. dubliniensis	Amphotericin B	0.25	1		1		
	Anidulafungin	ND					
	Micafungin	ND					
	Fluconazole	(0.5)*	2	4	4		
	Itraconazole	0.06	0.06		0.06		
	Posaconazole	0.06	0.06		0.06		
	Voriconazole	0.03	0.06	0.125-0.25	0.25		
	Isavuconazole	ND	ND		ND		
C. glabrata	Amphotericin B	1	1		1		
	Anidulafungin	0.06	0.06		0.06		
	Micafungin	0.03	0.03		0.03		
	Fluconazole	16	0.001	≤16	16		
	Itraconazole	2	ND		ND		
	Posaconazole	1	ND		ND		
	Voriconazole	1	ND		ND		
	Isavuconazole	ND	ND		ND		
C. krusei	Amphotericin B	1	1		1		
	Anidulafungin	0.06	0.06		0.06		
	Micafungin	0.25	ND		ND		
	Fluconazole	128	ND		ND		
	Itraconazole	1	ND		ND		
	Posaconazole	0.5	ND		ND		
	Voriconazole	1	ND		ND		
	Isavuconazole	ND	ND		ND		

Smaaina	D	ECOFF (mg/L)	Cli	nical Break	points (mo	g/L)	D
Species	Drug	WT≤ S≤ I R> ATU	Recommendation for area of technical uncertainty (ATU) results				
C. parapsilosis	Amphotericin B	1	1		1		
	Anidulafungin	4	4		4		
	Micafungin	2	2		2		
	Fluconazole	2	2	4	4		
	Itraconazole	0.125	0.125		0.125		
	Posaconazole	0.06	0.06		0.06		
	Voriconazole	0.06	0.125	0.25	0.25		
	Isavuconazole	ND	ND		ND		
C. tropicalis	Amphotericin B	1	1		1		
	Anidulafungin	0.06	0.06		0.06		
	Micafungin	0.06	ND		ND		
	Fluconazole	1	2	4	4		
	Itraconazole	0.125	0.125		0.125		
	Posaconazole	0.06	0.06		0.06		
	Voriconazole	0.125	0.125	0.25	0.25		
	Isavuconazole	ND	ND		ND		
C. guilliermondii	Amphotericin B	(0.5)	ND		ND		
	Anidulafungin	ND	ND		ND		
	Micafungin	ND	ND		ND		
	Fluconazole	(16)	ND		ND		
	Itraconazole	(1)	ND		ND		
	Posaconazole	0.25	ND		ND		
	Voriconazole	ND	ND		ND		
	Isavuconazole	ND	ND		ND		
C. lusitaniae	Amphotericin B	(0.5)	ND		ND		
	Anidulafungin	ND	ND		ND		
	Micafungin	ND	ND		ND		
	Fluconazole	ND	ND		ND		
	Itraconazole	(0.125)	ND		ND		
	Posaconazole	ND	ND		ND		
	Voriconazole	ND	ND		ND		
	Isavuconazole	ND	ND		ND		

Version 3.0, valid from 2022-01-18

0	D	ECOFF (mg/L)	Clir	nical Brea	kpoints (m	g/L)	December 1 to 1 t
Species	Drug	WT ≤	S≤	- 1	R >	ATU	Recommendation for area of technical uncertainty (ATU) results
S. cerevisiae	Amphotericin B	(0.5)	ND		ND		
	Anidulafungin	ND	ND		ND		
	Micafungin	ND	ND		ND		
	Fluconazole	ND	ND		ND		
	Itraconazole	(2)	ND		ND		
	Posaconazole	ND	ND		ND		
	Voriconazole	ND	ND		ND		
	Isavuconazole	ND	ND		ND		
C. kefyr	Amphotericin B	(1)	ND		ND		
	Anidulafungin	ND	ND		ND		
	Micafungin	ND	ND		ND		
	Fluconazole	(1)	ND		ND		
	Itraconazole	ND	ND		ND		
	Posaconazole	ND	ND		ND		
	Voriconazole	ND	ND		ND		
	Isavuconazole	ND	ND		ND		
C. neoformans	Amphotericin B	(1)	1		1		
	Flucytosine	ND	ND		ND		
	Anidulafungin	ND	ND		ND		
	Micafungin	ND	ND		ND		
	Fluconazole	ND	ND		ND		
	Itraconazole	ND	ND		ND		
	Posaconazole	0.5	ND		ND		
	Voriconazole	0.5	ND		ND		
	Isavuconazole	ND	ND		ND		
C. gattii	Amphotericin B	(0.5)	ND		ND		
	Flucytosine	ND	ND		ND		
	Anidulafungin	ND	ND		ND		
	Micafungin	ND	ND		ND		
	Fluconazole	ND	ND		ND		
	Itraconazole	ND	ND		ND		
	Posaconazole	1	ND		ND		
	Voriconazole	ND	ND		ND		
	Isavuconazole	ND	ND		ND		

Comments: * ECOFFs indicated in brackets () are tentative (TECOFF).

ND: Not determined.

Consider	Davis	ECOFF (mg/L)	Cli	inical Break	points (m	g/L)	Recommendation for area of technical uncertainty (ATU) results
Species	Drug	WT≤	S≤	I	R >	ATU	
A. flavus	Amphotericin B	4	-		-		
	Anidulafungin	ND	ND		ND		
	Micafungin	ND	ND		ND		
ĺ	Fluconazole	ND	ND		ND		
	Itraconazole	1	1		1	2	Report as R with the comment that in some clinical situations (non-invasive infections) itraconazole can be used provided sufficient exposure is ensured.
	Posaconazole	0.5	ND		ND		
	Voriconazole	2	ND		ND		
	Isavuconazole	2	1	#	2	2	If voriconazole wild-type (voriconazole MIC \leq 2 mg/L) report as isavuconazole S and add the following comment: The MIC of 2 mg/L is one dilution above the S breakpoint but within the wild-type isavuconazole MIC range for <i>A. flavus</i> . Clinically documented isavuconazole resistance in absence of voriconazole resistance is extremely rare and mechanisms conferring isavuconazole monoresistance have not been described. See rationale documents for more information. If voriconazole non wild-type report as isavuconazole R and refer to reference laboratory for CYP51A sequencing and confirmation of MICs ³
A. fumigatus	Amphotericin B	1	1		1		
	Anidulafungin	ND	ND		ND		
	Micafungin	ND	ND		ND		
	Fluconazole	ND	ND		ND		
	Itraconazole	1	1		1	2	Report as R with the comment that in some clinical situations (non-invasive infections) itraconazole can be used provided sufficient exposure is ensured.
	Posaconazole	0.25	0.125	#	0.25	0.25	If S to itraconazole, report as S and add the following comment: The MIC is 0.25 mg/L and thus one dilution above the S breakpoint due to overlapping wt and non-wt populations. If not S to itraconazole, report as R and refer to reference laboratory for <i>CYP51A</i> sequencing and confirmation of MICs.

Ci	D	ECOFF (mg/L)	Cli	nical Breal	kpoints (m	g/L)	Decompose detical for once of technical proportions (ATI) results
Species	Drug	WT≤	S≤	1	R >	ATU	Recommendation for area of technical uncertainty (ATU) results
A. fumigatus cont.	Voriconazole	1	1		1	2	Report as R with the comment that in some clinical situations (non-invasive infections) voriconazole can be used provided sufficient exposure is ensured.
	Isavuconazole	2	1	#	2	2	If voriconazole S, report as isavuconazole S and add the following comment: The MIC of 2 mg/L is wild type but one dilution above the S breakpoint due to overlapping wt and non-wt populations.* Clinically documented isavuconazole resistance in absence of voriconazole resistance is extremely rare and mechanisms conferring isavuconazole monoresistance have not been described. See rationale documents for more information. If not S to voriconazole, report as isavuconazole R and refer to reference laboratory for CYP51A sequencing and confirmation of MICs*
A. nidulans	Amphotericin B	(4)**	-		-		
	Anidulafungin	ND	ND		ND		
Micafungin	Micafungin	ND	ND		ND		
	Fluconazole	ND	ND		ND		
	Itraconazole	(1)	1		1	2	Report as R with the comment that in some clinical situations (non-invasive infections) itraconazole can be used provided sufficient exposure is ensured.
	Posaconazole	0.5	ND		ND		
Voricona	Voriconazole	1	1		1	2	Report as R with the comment that in some clinical situations (non-invasive infections) voriconazole can be used provided sufficient exposure is ensured.
	Isavuconazole	0.25	0.25		0.25		
A. niger	Amphotericin B	(0.5)	1		1		
	Anidulafungin	ND	ND		ND		
	Micafungin	ND	ND		ND		
	Fluconazole	ND	ND		ND		
	Itraconazole	2	ND		ND		
	Posaconazole	0.5	ND		ND		
	Voriconazole	2	ND		ND		
	Isavuconazole	4	ND		ND		

Version 3.0, valid from 2022-01-18

Species	Drug	ECOFF (mg/L)	Cli	nical Break	cpoints (mo	g/L)	Pagammandation for area of toological uncertainty (ATII) regults
Species	Drug	WT≤	S≤	ı	R>	ATU	Recommendation for area of technical uncertainty (ATU) results
A. terreus	Amphotericin B	8	-		-		
	Anidulafungin	ND	ND		ND		
	Micafungin	ND	ND		ND		
	Fluconazole	ND	ND		ND		
	Itraconazole	(0.5)	1		1	2	Report as R with the comment that in some clinical situations (non-invasive infections) itraconazole can be used provided sufficient exposure is ensured.
	Posaconazole	0.25	0.125	#	0.25	0.25	If S to itraconazole, report as S and add the following comment: The MIC is 0.25 mg/L and thus one dilution above the S breakpoint due to overlapping wt and non-wt populations.* If not S to itraconazole, report as R and refer to reference laboratory for <i>CYP51A</i> sequencing and confirmation of MICs.*
	Voriconazole	2	ND		ND		
	Isavuconazole	1	1		1		
Fusarium (Gibberella) fujikuroi SC	Amphotericin B	(8)	ND		ND		
Fusarium solani SC	Amphotericin B	(8)	ND		ND		

Comments: # means there is no "I" category as the MIC in between S and R represents only an ATU because this MIC corresponds to both wt and non-wt isolates (MIC is 1 dilution below the ECOFF), and shall be translated to S or R as described in the "Recommendation for ATU results" column.

ND: Not determined.

[&]quot;-" indicates that susceptibility testing is not recommended as the species is a poor target for therapy with the drug. Isolates may be reported as R without prior testing.

^{*} Itraconazole and posaconazole R isolates but S to voriconazole and isavuconazole are not uncommon in azole treated patients. Refer the isolate to a reference laboratory for CYP51A sequencing and confirmation of MICs.

^{**} ECOFFs indicated in brackets () are tentative (TECOFF).

Version 3.0, valid from 2022-01-18

Ci	Davis	ECOFF (mg/L)	Cli	nical Breal	kpoints (m	g/L)	December deticulate for any of technical uncertainty (ATI) we wise
Species Drug	WT≤	S≤	I	R >	ATU	Recommendation for area of technical uncertainty (ATU) results	
	Amorolfin	$(0.5)^{1}$	ND		ND		
	Amphotericin B	ND	ND		ND		
	Anidulafungin	ND	ND		ND		
	Micafungin	ND	ND		ND		
T. indotineae ²	Fluconazole	ND	ND		ND		
1. muouneae	Isavuconazole	ND	ND		ND		
	Itraconazole	$(0.25)^2$	ND		ND		
	Posaconazole	ND	ND		ND		
	Terbinafine	(0.125)	ND		ND		
	Voriconazole	(1)	ND		ND		
	Amorolfin	(0.125)	ND		ND		
	Amphotericin B	ND	ND		ND		
	Anidulafungin	ND	ND		ND		
	Micafungin	ND	ND		ND		
T. rubrum	Fluconazole	ND	ND		ND		
1. Tuprum	Isavuconazole	ND	ND		ND		
	Itraconazole	$(0.25)^{2,3}$	ND		ND		
	Posaconazole	ND	ND		ND		
	Terbinafine	(0.03)	ND		ND		
	Voriconazole	(0.125)	ND		ND		

Comments:

¹ ECOFFs indicated in brackets () are tentative.

² TECOFFs against *T. indotineae* (formerly the Indian variant of *T. interdigitale*) and *T. rubrum* were determined based on a shared isolate collection tested in 10 laboratories as part of a recently published study (Multicentre validation of a EUCAST method for the antifungal susceptibility testing of microconidia-forming dermatophytes; J Antimicrob Chemother, 2020) and a comment supporting the name change (J Antimicrob Chemother, 2022).

³ MIC distributions were wider than normally, the TECOFF is therefore associated with uncertainty. They apply to MICs determined using E.Def 11.0 and with 50% endpoint criteria.