

Link 3B. Shared Libraries

Young W. Lim

2024-11-13 Wed

1 Based on

2 Shared libraries Overview

- Including libraries in an executable
- Shared library background
- Specifying linker option using `-W,option`

"Study of ELF loading and relocs", 1999

http://netwinder.osuosl.org/users/p/patb/public_html/elf_relocs.html

I, the copyright holder of this work, hereby publish it under the following licenses: GNU head Permission is granted to copy, distribute and/or modify this document under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License, Version 1.2 or any later version published by the Free Software Foundation; with no Invariant Sections, no Front-Cover Texts, and no Back-Cover Texts. A copy of the license is included in the section entitled GNU Free Documentation License.

CC BY SA This file is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution ShareAlike 3.0 Unported License. In short: you are free to share and make derivative works of the file under the conditions that you appropriately attribute it, and that you distribute it only under a license compatible with this one.

Compiling 32-bit program on 64-bit gcc

- `gcc -v`
- `gcc -m32 t.c`
- `sudo apt-get install gcc-multilib`
- `sudo apt-get install g++-multilib`
- `gcc-multilib`
- `g++-multilib`
- `gcc -m32`
- `objdump -m i386`

Code sharing (1)

- the operating system code is considered read only, and separated from data.
- if programs can not modify code and have large amounts of common code, instead of having *multiple copies* of common code for each executable it would be better to share a *single copy* between many executables.

<https://bottomupcs.sourceforge.net/csbu/c3673.htm>

Code sharing (2)

- With **virtual memory** this can be easily done.
 - The physical pages of memory, which the library code is loaded into, can be easily referenced by any number of virtual pages in any number of address spaces (process ID)
 - only have a single physical copy of the library code in system memory
 - every process can have access to that library code at any virtual address it likes.

<https://bottomupcs.sourceforge.net/csbu/c3673.htm>

Code sharing (3)

- sharing a library :
a single copy of a library code loaded in the memory is shared by *multiple* executables
- each executable contains only a reference to a library

<https://bottomupcs.sourceforge.net/csbu/c3673.htm>

Code sharing (4)

- when the program is loaded for execution, it is up to the system
 - to check if some other program has already loaded the library code foo into memory,
 - if so, share it by mapping pages into the executable for the physical memory where the library foo has been loaded
 - otherwise load the library foo into memory for the executable

<https://bottomupcs.sourceforge.net/csbu/c3673.htm>

Code sharing (5)

- This process is called **dynamic linking** because it does part of the linking process "*on the fly*" as programs are executed in the system.
 - sharing the library code (already loaded by another executable)
 - loading the library code (never been loaded)

<https://bottomupcs.sourceforge.net/csbu/c3673.htm>

Compilation (1)

- when you compile your program that uses a dynamic library, object files contains only **references** to the library functions just as for any other external reference.
- need to include the **header** for the library functions to inform the compiler about the **function prototype** the specific **types** of the functions you are calling.

<https://bottomupcs.sourceforge.net/csbu/c3673.htm>

Compilation (2)

- the compiler *only* needs to know the **types** associated with a function
 - the **prototype** of a function
 - such as, it takes an `int` and returns a `char *`
- so that it can correctly allocate space for the function call.
 - the **stack frame** for the function call

<https://bottomupcs.sourceforge.net/csbu/c3673.htm>

Linking (1)

- the **traditional linker** still has a role to play in creating the executable.
 - the **traditional linker** records references to the library functions in the **executable**
 - the **dynamic section** of the **executable** requires a **NEEDED** entry for each **shared library** that the **executable** depends on.
- the **dynamic linker** can determine which **shared libraries** will satisfy the **dependencies** at runtime

<https://bottomupcs.sourceforge.net/csbu/c3673.htm>

Linking (2)

- can inspect **NEEDED** fields with the `readelf` command
- Specifying Dynamic Libraries

```
$ readelf --dynamic /bin/ls
```

```
Dynamic segment at offset 0x22f78 contains 27 entries:
```

Tag	Type	Name/Value
0x0000000000000001	(NEEDED)	Shared library: [librt.so.1]
0x0000000000000001	(NEEDED)	Shared library: [libacl.so.1]
0x0000000000000001	(NEEDED)	Shared library: [libc.so.6.1]
0x000000000000000c	(INIT)	0x40000000000001e30
... snip ...		

- here, three libraries are specified
 - the most commonly shared library is `libc`.
 - the other libraries are `libacl` and `librt`

<https://bottomupcs.sourceforge.net/csbu/c3673.htm>

Linking (3)

- **ldd** "walks" the dependencies of libraries for you; that is if a library depends on another library, it will show it to you.
- Looking at dynamic libraries

```
$ ldd /bin/ls
  librt.so.1 => /lib/tls/librt.so.1 (0x2000000000058000)
  libacl.so.1 => /lib/libacl.so.1 (0x2000000000078000)
  libc.so.6.1 => /lib/tls/libc.so.6.1 (0x2000000000098000)
  libpthread.so.0 => /lib/tls/libpthread.so.0 (0x200000000002e0000)
  /lib/ld-linux-ia64.so.2 => /lib/ld-linux-ia64.so.2 (0x2000000000000000)
  libattr.so.1 => /lib/libattr.so.1 (0x20000000000310000)
librt -> libacl -> libc -> libpthread -> ld-linux-ia64 -> libattr~
```

<https://bottomupcs.sourceforge.net/csbu/c3673.htm>

Linking (4)

- Looking at dynamic libraries

```
librt.so.1 => /lib/tls/librt.so.1 (0x2000000000058000)
```

```
libacl.so.1 => /lib/libacl.so.1 (0x2000000000078000)
```

```
$ readelf --dynamic /lib/librt.so.1
```

```
Dynamic segment at offset 0xd600 contains 30 entries:
```

Tag	Type	Name/Value
0x0000000000000001	(NEEDED)	Shared library: [libc.so.6.1]
0x0000000000000001	(NEEDED)	Shared library: [libpthread.so.0]
...	snip	...

- libpthread has been required from librt

<https://bottomupcs.sourceforge.net/csbu/c3673.htm>

Using ldd command (1)

- the ldd command provides a way to view the **shared libraries** that a program is **dynamically linked** against.
- a tool that helps developers
 - understand the **dependencies** of their programs and
 - optimize their performance.

<https://ioflood.com/blog/ldd-linux-command/>

Using ldd command (2)

-v	Provides detailed information.	<code>ldd -v /usr/bin/grep</code>
-u	Shows unused direct dependencies.	<code>ldd -u /usr/bin/grep</code>
-r	Shows relocation processing.	<code>ldd -r /usr/bin/grep</code>
-d	Shows missing function dependencies.	<code>ldd -d /usr/bin/grep</code>
-e	Sets the environment variable.	<code>ldd -e LD_LIBRARY_PATH=/lib</code>
-f	Specifies the format.	<code>ldd -f '%p %o' /usr/bin/grep</code>
-n	Avoids displaying the version number.	<code>ldd -n /usr/bin/grep</code>
-N	Specifies the version.	<code>ldd -N2 /usr/bin/grep</code>
-q	Quiet mode. Only display errors.	<code>ldd -q /usr/bin/grep</code>
-h	Displays help.	<code>ldd -h</code>

<https://ioflood.com/blog/ldd-linux-command/>

Using ldd command (3)

```
[root@wdctc1281 bin]# ldd node
linux-vdso.so.1 => (0x00007fffd33f2000)
libdl.so.2 => /lib64/libdl.so.2 (0x00007f70f7855000)
librt.so.1 => /lib64/librt.so.1 (0x00007f70f764d000)
libstdc++.so.6 => /lib64/libstdc++.so.6 (0x00007f70f7345000)
libm.so.6 => /lib64/libm.so.6 (0x00007f70f7043000)
libgcc_s.so.1 => /lib64/libgcc_s.so.1 (0x00007f70f6e2d000)
libpthread.so.0 => /lib64/libpthread.so.0 (0x00007f70f6c10000)
libc.so.6 => /lib64/libc.so.6 (0x00007f70f684f000)
/lib64/ld-linux-x86-64.so.2 (0x00007f70f7a61000)
```

What does the first line and last line mean?

They don't look like the normal

```
xxxx.so => /lib64/xxxxx.so (0xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)
```

<https://stackoverflow.com/questions/34428037/how-to-interpret-the-output-of-the-ldd>

Using ldd command (4)

- the first line is the VDSO.

```
linux-vdso.so.1 => (0x00007fffd33f2000)
```

this is described in depth in the `vdso(7)` manpage.

basically it's a shared library that's embedded in your kernel and automatically loaded whenever a new process is exec-ed.

- that's why there's no filesystem path on the right side there is none!
- the file only exists in the kernel memory (well, not 100% precise, but see the man page for more info).

<https://stackoverflow.com/questions/34428037/how-to-interpret-the-output-of-the-ldd>

Using ldd command (5)

- the last line is the ELF interpreter.

```
/lib64/ld-linux-x86-64.so.2 (0x00007f70f7a61000)
```

- the ELF interpreter is described in depth in the ld.so manpage.
- it has a full path because your program node has the full path hardcoded in it.

```
/lib64/ld-linux-x86-64.so.2
```

- it doesn't have an entry on the right side
=> /lib64/xxxxx.so
- because it's not linked against (stand alone)
thus no search was performed.

<https://stackoverflow.com/questions/34428037/how-to-interpret-the-output-of-the-ldd>

Using ldd command (6)

- you can check this by running:

```
$ readelf -l node | grep interpreter  
    [Requesting program interpreter: /lib64/ld-linux-x86-64.so.2]
```

```
$ scanelf -i node  
ET_EXEC /lib64/ld-linux-x86-64.so.2 node
```

- scanelf is a user-space utility to quickly scan given ELF files, directories, or common system paths for different information.
- this may include ELF types, their PaX markings, TEXTRELs, etc...
- to print INTERP information
scanelf -i, --interp

<https://stackoverflow.com/questions/34428037/how-to-interpret-the-output-of-the-ldd>

Using ldd command (7)

- all the other lines are libraries you've linked against.

```
libdl.so.2 => /lib64/libdl.so.2 (0x00007f70f7855000)
librt.so.1 => /lib64/librt.so.1 (0x00007f70f764d000)
libstdc++.so.6 => /lib64/libstdc++.so.6 (0x00007f70f7345000)
libm.so.6 => /lib64/libm.so.6 (0x00007f70f7043000)
libgcc_s.so.1 => /lib64/libgcc_s.so.1 (0x00007f70f6e2d000)
libpthread.so.0 => /lib64/libpthread.so.0 (0x00007f70f6c10000)
libc.so.6 => /lib64/libc.so.6 (0x00007f70f684f000)
```

- you can see those by looking at DT_NEEDED tags when you run `readelf -d` on the file.

<https://stackoverflow.com/questions/34428037/how-to-interpret-the-output-of-the-ldd>

Using ldd command (8)

- since those files lack full paths, the ld.so needs to perform a dynamic path search for it.
- that's actually what the lines are telling you:

```
libdl.so.2 => /lib64/libdl.so.2 (0x00007f70f7855000)
```

 - libdl.so.2 is needed, so when ld.so searched for it, ld.so found it at /lib64/libdl.so.2
 - and was loaded into memory at address 0x00007f70f7855000

<https://stackoverflow.com/questions/34428037/how-to-interpret-the-output-of-the-ldd>

- vDSO (virtual dynamic shared object) is a kernel mechanism for exporting a carefully selected set of kernel space routines to user space applications
 - applications can call these kernel space routines in-process, without the performance overhead of a mode switch from user mode to kernel mode
 - the performance overhead is inherent when calling these same kernel space routines by means of the system call interface.

<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/VDSO>

vDSO (2)

- vDSO uses standard ELF format for linking and loading
- vDSO is a memory area allocated in user space
 - exposes some kernel functionalities
 - dynamically allocated to offer improved safety through address space layout randomization
 - supports more than *four* system calls
- Some C standard libraries, like glibc, may provide vDSO links so that if the kernel does not support vDSO, a traditional `syscall` is made.

<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/VDSO>

- vDSO helps to
 - reduce the calling overhead on simple kernel routines
 - the best method of performing a system call on IA-32
 - such exported routines can provide proper DWARF (Debug With Attributed Record Format) debugging information.
- implementation generally implies hooks in the dynamic linker to find the vDSOs

<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/VDSO>

Creating shared library exampe (1)

Firstly I test with all dynamic: `gcc -shared libtest.c -o libtest.so gcc -c main.c -o main.o gcc main.o -o test -L. -ltest`

It's working (compile and execute)

Secondly I test what I want (dynamic lib and static libc) : `gcc -shared libtest.c -o libtest.so gcc -c main.c -o main.o gcc main.o -o test libtest.so /usr/lib/libc.a`

It's compiling, but at execution, it segfault! A strace show that it's trying to access libc.so!!!

Finally I've tried to compile a simple program with no reference to dynamic lib `gcc -static main.c -> compile ok, run ok gcc main.c /usr/lib/libc.a -> compile ok, run : segmentation fault (a strace show that it's access to libc.so)`

<https://stackoverflow.com/questions/2176181/how-to-linking-with-dynamic-lib-so-and>

Creating shared library example (2)

```
$ cat libtest.c #include <stdio.h> void foo() { printf("%d", 42); } $ cat  
main.c #include <stdio.h> extern void foo(); int main() { puts("The  
answer is:"); foo(); } $ export LD_LIBRARY_PATH=$PWD $ gcc  
-shared libtest.c -o libtest.so && gcc -c main.c -o main.o && gcc main.o -o  
test -L. -ltest && ./test The answer is: 42 $ gcc -shared libtest.c -o  
libtest.so && gcc -c main.c -o main.o && gcc main.o -o test libtest.so  
/usr/lib/libc.a && ./test The answer is: 42
```

<https://stackoverflow.com/questions/2176181/how-to-linking-with-dynamic-lib-so-and>

Creating shared library example (3)

However, you have to realise that the shared library you've build depends on the shared libc. So, it's natural that it's trying to open it at runtime.

```
$ ldd ./libtest.so linux-gate.so.1 => (0xb80c7000) libc.so.6 =>  
/lib/i686/cmov/libc.so.6 (0xb7f4f000) /lib/ld-linux.so.2 (0xb80c8000)
```

One way to achieve what you want is to use: `-static-libgcc -Wl,-Bstatic -lc`.

<https://stackoverflow.com/questions/2176181/how-to-linking-with-dynamic-lib-so-and>

Creating shared library

- *create* a file `library.c`
- *compile* the `library.c` file

```
gcc -shared -fPIC -o liblibrary.so library.c
```

- `-shared` instructs the compiler that we are building a shared library
- `-fPIC` is to generate position-independent code
- generates a shared library `liblibrary.so` in the current working directory.
- We have our shared object file (shared library name in Linux) ready to use.

<https://www.geeksforgeeks.org/working-with-shared-libraries-set-2/>

Using shared library (1)

- *create* a file `application.c`
- *compile* the `application.c` file

```
gcc application.c -L /home/coding/ -library -o sample
```

- `-library` instructs the compiler to look for symbol definitions that are not available in the current code
- the option `-L` is a hint to the compiler to look in the directory followed by the option for any shared libraries (during link-time only).
- generates an executable named `sample`

<https://www.geeksforgeeks.org/working-with-shared-libraries-set-2/>

Using shared library (2)

- By default, it will not look into the current working directory
- You have to explicitly instruct the tool chain to provide proper **paths**
- otherwise, when you invoke the executable, the dynamic linker will not be able to find the required **shared library**

<https://www.geeksforgeeks.org/working-with-shared-libraries-set-2/>

Using shared library (3)

- The dynamic linker searches standard **paths** available in the **LD_LIBRARY_PATH** and also searches in the system cache
- We have to add our *working directory* to the **LD_LIBRARY_PATH** environment variable

```
export LD_LIBRARY_PATH=/home/work/:$LD_LIBRARY_PATH
```
- You can now invoke our executable as shown.

```
./sample
```

<https://www.geeksforgeeks.org/working-with-shared-libraries-set-2/>

gcc -fpic (1)

- -fpic
 - generate **position-independent code** (PIC) suitable for use in a shared library, if supported for the target machine.
 - Such code accesses all constant addresses through a **global offset table** (GOT).
 - The **dynamic loader** resolves the GOT entries when the program starts
 - the dynamic loader is not part of GCC; it is part of the operating system

man gcc

- `-fpic`
 - If the GOT size for the linked executable *exceeds* a machine-specific maximum size, you get an error message from the linker indicating that `-fpic` does not work; in that case, recompile with `-fPIC` instead.
 - These maximums are 8k on the SPARC, 28k on AArch64 and 32k on the m68k and RS/6000.
 - The `x86` has no such limit

`man gcc`

- -fPIC
 - if supported for the target machine, emit **position-independent code**, suitable for dynamic linking and *avoiding* any limit on the size of the **global offset table**
 - This option makes a difference on AArch64, m68k, PowerPC and SPARC (not on x86)
 - Position-independent code requires special support, and therefore works only on certain machines.
 - When this flag is set, the macros `__pic__` and `__PIC__` are defined to 2.

man gcc

- -shared
 - produce a shared object which can then be *linked* with other objects to form an executable
 - not all systems support this option.
 - For predictable results, you must also specify the same set of options used for *compilation* (-fpic, -fPIC, or model suboptions) when you specify this linker option

man gcc

- **Shared libraries** and **executables** use the same format: they are both loadable images. However,
 - **shared libraries** are usually **position-independent**, **executables** are often not
 - This affects code generation: for position-independent you have to load globals or jump to functions using relative addresses
 - **executables** have an **entry point** which is where execution starts.
 - this is usually not `main()`, because `main()` is a function, and functions return, but execution should never return from the **entry point**

<https://stackoverflow.com/questions/25084855/how-does-gcc-shared-option-affect-the>

- parameters for `collect2` without `-shared`:

```
-dynamic-linker
```

```
/lib64/ld-linux-x86-64.so.2
```

```
/usr/lib/gcc/x86_64-linux-gnu/4.7/../../../../x86_64-linux-gnu/crt1.o
```

```
/usr/lib/gcc/x86_64-linux-gnu/4.7/crtbegin.o
```

```
/usr/lib/gcc/x86_64-linux-gnu/4.7/crtend.o
```

- parameters for `collect2` with `-shared`:

```
-shared
```

```
/usr/lib/gcc/x86_64-linux-gnu/4.7/crtbeginS.o
```

```
/usr/lib/gcc/x86_64-linux-gnu/4.7/crtendS.o
```

<https://stackoverflow.com/questions/25084855/how-does-gcc-shared-option-affect-the>

gcc -shared (4)

- you still have to use `-fpic` or `-fPIC`, when `-shared` is used
- it looks like code generation is not affected:
- `crt1.o` (the **C runtime**) is only included when linking the **executable**, and thus when `-shared` is not used or when `Wl, -shared` is used

<https://stackoverflow.com/questions/25084855/how-does-gcc-shared-option-affect-the>

- Using `nm crt1.o`

```
$ nm /usr/lib/x86_64-linux-gnu/crt1.o
0000000000000000 R _IO_stdin_used
0000000000000000 D __data_start
                 U __libc_csu_fini
                 U __libc_csu_init
                 U __libc_start_main
0000000000000000 T _start
0000000000000000 W data_start
                 U main
```

- seems to define something to do with `stdin`, as well as `_start` (which is the entry point),
- has an undefined reference to `main`

<https://stackoverflow.com/questions/25084855/how-does-gcc-shared-option-affect-the>

- passing `-shared` to `gcc` (`gcc -shared`)
 - `gcc -shared -Wl,-soname,libtest.so -o libtest.so *.o`
 - may enable or disable other flags at link time.
different `*~crt*~` files might be involved.
 - To get more information, `grep` for `-shared` in GCC's `gcc/config/` directory and subdirectories.
- passing `-shared` to `ld` (`gcc -Wl,-shared`).
 - `gcc -Wl,-shared -Wl,-soname,libtest.so -o libtest.so *.o`
 - on i386 FreeBSD, `gcc -shared` will link in object file `crtendS.o`, while without `-shared`, it will link in `crtend.o` instead.

<https://stackoverflow.com/questions/4623915/difference-between-shared-and-wl-shared>

ld -R filename

- -R filename
 - just-symbols=filename
 - read *symbol names* and their *addresses* from filename
 - but do not *relocate* it or *include* it in the output.
 - this allows your output file to refer symbolically to absolute locations of memory defined in other programs.
 - may use this option more than once
 - for compatibility with other ELF linkers, if the -R option is followed by a directory name, rather than a file name, it is treated as the **-rpath** option.

man ld

ld -rpath=dir (1)

- `-rpath=dir`
 - add a directory to the runtime library search path
 - used when linking an ELF executable with shared objects
 - all `-rpath` arguments are *concatenated* and *passed* to the runtime linker, which uses them to *locate* shared objects at runtime

man ld

ld -rpath=dir (2)

- `-rpath=dir`
 - also used when *locating* shared objects which are needed by shared objects explicitly included in the link;
 - see the description of the `-rpath-link` option.
 - Searching `-rpath` in this way is only supported by native linkers and cross linkers which have been configured with the `--with-sysroot` option.

man ld

ld -rpath=dir (3)

- `-rpath=dir`
 - if `-rpath` is not used when *linking* an ELF executable, the contents of the environment variable `LD_RUN_PATH` will be *used* if it is defined.
 - If a `-rpath` option is used, the runtime search path will be formed *exclusively* using the `-rpath` options, *ignoring* the `-L` options.
 - This can be useful when using `gcc`, which adds many `-L` options which may be on NFS mounted file systems.

man ld

ld -rpath=dir (4)

- `-rpath=dir`
 - for compatibility with other ELF linkers, if the `-R` option is followed by a directory name, *rather than* a file name, it is treated as the `-rpath` option.
 - the `-rpath` option may also be used on SunOS.
 - By default, on SunOS, the linker will form a runtime search path out of all the `-L` options it is given.

man ld

TOC: 5. -Wl,-rpath, . examples

Using `-Wl,option`

- Pass *option* as an option to the linker.
- If *option* contains commas, it is split into multiple options at the commas.
- You can use this syntax to pass an argument to the option.
- For example, `-Wl,-Map,output.map` passes `-Map output.map` to the linker.
- When using the GNU linker, you can also get the same effect with `-Wl,-Map=output.map`

<https://gcc.gnu.org/onlinedocs/gcc/Link-Options.html>

Using `-Wl, rpath .` (1)

- in order to pass `-rpath .` to the linker, consider them as two arguments (`-rpath` and `.`) to the `-Wl`
- you can write `(-Wl, arg1, arg2)` or `(-Wl, arg1, -Wl, arg2)`
 - `-Wl, -rpath, .`
 - `-Wl, -rpath -Wl, .`

<https://stackoverflow.com/questions/6562403/i-dont-understand-wl-rpath-wl>

Using `-Wl, -rpath, .` (2)

- the `-Wl,xxx` option for `gcc` passes a **comma**-separated list of tokens as a **space**-separated list of arguments to the linker (`ld`)
- to pass `ld aaa bbb ccc` (space separated)
`gcc -Wl,aaa,bbb,ccc` (comma separated)
- to pass `ld -rpath .` (space separated)
`gcc -Wl,-rpath,.` (comma separated)

<https://stackoverflow.com/questions/6562403/i-dont-understand-wl-rpath-wl>

Using `-Wl,-rpath,.` (3)

- alternatively, **repeat instances** of `-Wl` can be specified
- to pass `ld aaa bbb ccc` (space separated)
`gcc -Wl,aaa -Wl,bbb -Wl,ccc` (repeated instances)
 - there is no comma between `-Wl,aaa` and the second `-Wl,bbb`
but there is space
- thus, to pass `ld -rpath .`
 - `gcc -Wl,-rpath,.` (comma separated)
 - `gcc -Wl,-rpath -Wl,.` (repeated instances)

<https://stackoverflow.com/questions/6562403/i-dont-understand-wl-rpath-wl>

Using `-Wl,-rpath, .` (4)

- can remove the comma by using `=`
`gcc -Wl,-rpath=.`
 - arguably more readable than adding extra commas
 - exactly what gets passed to `ld`
- thus, to pass `ld -rpath .`
 - `gcc -Wl,-rpath, .` (comma separated)
 - `gcc -Wl,-rpath -Wl, .` (repeated instances)
 - `gcc -Wl,-rpath=.` (using `=` instead of `,`)

<https://stackoverflow.com/questions/6562403/i-dont-understand-wl-rpath-wl>

Using `-Wl,-rpath, .` (5)

- You may need to specify the `-L` option as well

```
-Wl,-rpath,/path/to/foo -L/path/to/foo -lbaz
```

or you may end up with an error like

```
ld: cannot find -lbaz
```

<https://stackoverflow.com/questions/6562403/i-dont-understand-wl-rpath-wl>