PRISM PERMUTATIONS IN THE BRUHAT ORDER

BRIDGET EILEEN TENNER

Abstract. The boolean elements of a Coxeter group have been characterized and shown to possess many interesting properties and applications. Here we introduce "prism permutations," a generalization of those elements, characterizing the prism permutations equivalently in terms of their reduced words and in terms of pattern containment. As part of this work, we introduce the notion of "calibration" to permutation patterns.

Boolean principal order ideals in the Bruhat order have been studied extensively [\[5–](#page-13-0)[7,](#page-13-1) [9,](#page-13-2) [10,](#page-13-3) [14\]](#page-13-4). The elements corresponding to those principal order ideals have been shown to have important combinatorial, topological, and representation theoretic properties. Most of that previous work has focused on the symmetric group (the finite Coxeter group of type A), although the initial characterization was done in a broader context [\[14\]](#page-13-4) and this has been studied from another perspective more recently [\[5\]](#page-13-0). People have also been interested in boolean ideals and intervals more generally, as in [\[4,](#page-13-5) [12,](#page-13-6) [13\]](#page-13-7).

One of the most advantageous results about the so-called "boolean" permutations is that they are characterized by pattern avoidance. In particular, boolean elements in \mathfrak{S}_n are exactly those permutations that avoid both 321 and 3412. In the present work, we generalize those previous efforts by studying what we call *prisms*: permutations whose principal order ideals can be written as the direct product of a nontrivial boolean algebra and another poset. As in the original setting, this class of objects can be described both in terms of reduced words and in terms of patterns, although classical pattern containment is no longer a sufficient system for the purpose.

Our first main result (Theorem [2.11\)](#page-6-0) is that a permutation is a prism if and only if it has a reduced word in which there is some i that appears exactly once, not between two copies of $i+1$, nor between two copies of $i-1$. In contrast, a permutation is purely boolean if and only if *every* letter in its reduced word appears exactly once (and thus there is no possibility of appearing between two copies of some other letter). Our second main result (Theorem [3.7\)](#page-10-0) is that a permutation is a prism if and only if it contains one of eight "calibrated" patterns. It is interesting to note that purely boolean elements are characterized by pattern *avoidance*, while prisms are characterized by pattern *containment*. While this might initially seem to be counterintuitive, it is a consequence of prisms requiring a nontrivial boolean factor. It is also worth noting that the eight calibrated patterns do prohibit certain copies of the 321 and 3412-patterns that are universally prohibited in purely boolean elements.

1. INTRODUCTION

Permutations in \mathfrak{S}_n can be written as products of simple reflections $\{\sigma_i : i \in [1, n-1]\},\$ where σ_i is the permutation transposing i and $i + 1$, and fixing all other values. For a

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 06A07; Secondary: 05A05, 20F55 .

Research partially supported by NSF Grant DMS-2054436.

permutation w, the minimum number of simple reflections needed for such a product to equal w is the *length* of w, denoted $\ell(w)$.

Definition 1.1. If $w = \sigma_{i_1} \cdots \sigma_{i_{\ell(w)}}$, then $\sigma_{i_1} \cdots \sigma_{i_{\ell(w)}}$ is a *reduced decomposition* of w. This corresponds to the, equivalently informative, *reduced word* $[i_1 \cdots i_{\ell(w)}]$ of w. The collection of all reduced words of w is $R(w)$. The *support* of w, denoted supp (w) , is the set $\{i_1, \ldots, i_{\ell(w)}\}$ of indices appearing in any reduced word of w .

Elements of $R(w)$ are related by *commutations* ([ij] = [ji] when $|i - j| > 1$) and *braids* $([i(i+1)i] = [(i+1)i(i+1)]$. Thus supp (w) is well defined, and does not depend on the particular reduced word being considered. We think of permutations as maps, and interpret their products as compositions.

Permutations in \mathfrak{S}_n can also be written in one-line notation, as words of the form

 $w(1)\cdots w(n),$

or as graphs $G(w) = \{(x, w(x)) : 1 \le x \le n\}$. The notation [s] for reduced words is meant to distinguish, for example, the reduced word $[123] = \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 = 2341 \in \mathfrak{S}_4$ from the permutation $123 \in \mathfrak{S}_3$. Both one-line notation and graphs are well-suited to the study of permutation patterns.

Definition 1.2. Fix $p \in \mathfrak{S}_k$ and $w \in \mathfrak{S}_n$. If there exist indices $1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_k \leq n$ such that the subword $w(i_1)\cdots w(i_k)$ is in the same relative order as $p(1)\cdots p(k)$, then w *contains* a p-pattern and we have found an *occurrence* of p. Otherwise, w *avoids* p or is p*-avoiding*. When w contains p as described, then that occurrence's positions are $\{i_1, \ldots, i_k\}$ and its *values* are $\{w(i_1), \ldots, w(i_k)\}.$

We will find it useful to reference such specific features of pattern occurrences.

Example 1.3. The permutation 453261 is 312-avoiding and contains several 231-patterns. One of these has positions $\{3, 5, 6\}$ and values $\{3, 6, 1\}.$

While one-line notation and graphs are good frameworks for questions about permutation patterns, reduced words define an important poset on \mathfrak{S}_n .

Definition 1.4. The *Bruhat order* gives a partial ordering to \mathfrak{S}_n , defined so that

 $v \prec w$

if and only if there exist $[s] \in R(v)$ and $[t] \in R(w)$ such that s is a subword of t.

The Bruhat order is an important structure with many interesting features – some well understood and others less so. The curious reader is encouraged to begin exploring this topic using [\[2,](#page-13-8) Chapter 2].

Our interest here relates to the principal order ideals of permutations in this poset; for $w \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, we write

 $B(w) := \{v : v \prec w$ in the Bruhat order.

The Bruhat order has a complicated structure in general, although some of its elements have particularly "nice" principal order ideals. In previous work, we characterized those elements.

Theorem 1.5 ([\[14,](#page-13-4) Theorem 4.3]). For a permutation $w \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, the following statements are *equivalent:*

• B(w) *is isomorphic to a boolean algebra,*

- *every* $[s] \in R(w)$ *has the property that s has no repeated letters,*
- *there exists* $[s] \in R(w)$ *for which* s *has no repeated letters, and*
- w *is* 321 *and* 3412*-avoiding.*

Theorem [1.5](#page-1-0) led to the notion of *boolean permutations* (more generally, *boolean elements* in any Coxeter group), which are exactly those permutations satisfying the properties listed in Theorem [1.5.](#page-1-0) In this work, we generalize that result to consider a broader class of permutations.

Definition 1.6. A permutation w is a *prism* if $B(w) \cong B \times X$ for some nontrivial boolean algebra B . Due to the associativity of direct products (see, for example, [\[11,](#page-13-9) $\S 3.2$]), it suffices to consider $B = \mathbb{R}$.

Note that, like boolean elements, prisms can be defined for any Coxeter group. Additionally, any prism that can be written with $X = \bullet$ is also "purely" boolean. The only purely boolean element that is not a prism is the identity permutation e.

Example [1](#page-2-0).7. Table 1 classifies each element of \mathfrak{S}_4 as boolean, a prism, or neither, and Figure [1](#page-3-0) marks them in the Hasse diagram of \mathfrak{S}_4 . Foreshadowing the main results of this work, we note that

 $B(4132) \cong B(2431) \cong \mathbf{X} \times B(1432)$ and $B(4213) \cong B(3241) \cong \mathbf{X} \times B(3214)$.

Boolean		Prism but not boolean	Neither boolean nor prism
$1234 = [\emptyset]$	$2314 = [12]$	$2431 = [1232]$	$1432 = [232]$
$1243 = [3]$	$2341 = [123]$	$3241 = [1213]$	$3214 = [121]$
$1324 = [2]$	$2413 = [312]$	$4132 = [2321]$	$3412 = [2132]$
$1342 = [23]$	$3124 = [21]$	$4213 = [3121]$	$3421 = [21232]$
$1423 = [32]$	$3142 = [213]$		$4231 = [12321]$
$2134 = [1]$	$4123 = [321]$		$4312 = [23212]$
$2143 = [13]$			$4321 = [123121]$

TABLE 1. The elements of \mathfrak{S}_4 , identified as boolean, prisms, and neither. In each case, a reduced word of the permutation (sometimes one of many) is also given.

In this work, we characterize prisms in two ways: first using the language of reduced words in Section [2,](#page-3-1) and then using the language of permutation patterns in Section [3.](#page-7-0) The latter characterization will introduce *calibrated* permutation patterns (Definition [3.3\)](#page-8-0). The two equivalent characterizations of prisms, presented in Theorems [2.11](#page-6-0) (equivalently, Corollary [2.12\)](#page-7-1) and Theorem [3.7,](#page-10-0) echo and extend previous work translating between pattern properties and properties of reduced words [\[14–](#page-13-4)[17\]](#page-13-10). We conclude with Section [4,](#page-12-0) proposing several directions for further study.

FIGURE 1. The Bruhat order of \mathfrak{S}_4 . The boolean elements are marked with small red circles. The prisms are marked with large red circles.

2. Characterizing prisms via reduced words

Our first analysis of prisms is from the perspective of reduced words. We begin by establishing that prisms are not just isomorphic to the product of a boolean algebra and a generic poset X , but that X is actually the principal order ideal of a particular smaller permutation.

Lemma 2.1. A permutation w is a prism if and only if there exists $v \prec w$ with supp(v) \subseteq supp(w) *and*

$$
B(w) \cong \mathbf{I} \times B(v).
$$

Moreover, supp $(w) \setminus$ supp $(v) = \{i\}$ *for some i, and v is obtained by deleting a copy of i from a reduced word for* w. To emphasize the role of this i, we will write $B(w) \cong B(\sigma_i) \times B(v)$.

Proof. If $B(w)$ has the given form, then certainly w is a prism.

Now suppose that w is a prism. Then there is an isomorphism

$$
\alpha: B(w) \longrightarrow \left(\begin{array}{c}1\\0\end{array}\right) \times X'\right).
$$

The poset $B(w)$ is bounded, so $\frac{1}{0} \times X'$ is also bounded. Let its maximum and minimum be $(1, m)$ and $(0, e)$, respectively. Thus m is the maximum of X' and e is the minimum. Define $i \in \text{supp}(w)$ and $v \prec w$ so that

$$
\sigma_i := \alpha^{-1}((1, e))
$$
 and $v := \alpha^{-1}((0, m)).$

The map α is an isomorphism, so the principal order ideal $B(v) \subset B(w)$ is isomorphic to ${0} \times X' \cong X'$. Hence $B(w) \cong B(\sigma_i) \times B(v)$.

The element $\alpha(\sigma_i) = (1, e)$ is not less than $\alpha(v) = (0, m)$ in $B(\sigma_i) \times B(v)$. Thus $i \notin \text{supp}(v)$. The element $(0, m)$ is a coatom in $B(\sigma_i) \times B(v)$, so v is a coatom in $B(w)$. In other words, there is a reduced word for v that is obtained by deleting a single letter from a reduced word for w. Since $i \in \text{supp}(w) \setminus \text{supp}(v)$ (in fact, $\{i\} = \text{supp}(w) \setminus \text{supp}(v)$), that letter is i.

This has an immediate implication for the reduced words of a prism.

Corollary 2.2. *Suppose that* $B(w) \cong B(\sigma_i) \times B(v)$ *as defined in Lemma [2.1.](#page-3-2) Then i appears exactly once in all reduced words of* w*.*

Proof. Suppose, for the purpose of obtaining a contradiction, that there is some $[s] \in R(w)$ with at least two copies of i. These must be separated by at least one $i \pm 1$, so $B(w)$ contains a principal order ideal isomorphic to $B(321)$, where one of its atoms corresponds to $(\sigma_i, e) \in B(\sigma_i) \times B(v)$, and this is impossible.

In order to appreciate the implications of Corollary [2.2,](#page-4-0) we use two previous results about the repetition of letters in reduced words.

Lemma 2.3 ([\[15,](#page-13-11) Lemma 2.8]). *Fix a permutation* $w \in \mathfrak{S}_n$. The following are equivalent:

- $\bullet i \in \text{supp}(w),$
- $\{w(1), \ldots, w(i)\}\neq \{1, \ldots, i\},\$
- $\{w(i+1), \ldots, w(n)\}\neq \{i+1, \ldots, n\},\$
- w *contains* a 21-pattern in positions $\{x_1, x_2\}$ such that $x_1 \leq i \leq x_2$ and with values $\{y_1, y_2\}$ *such that* $y_1 \leq i < y_2$ *.*

Lemma 2.4 ([\[16,](#page-13-12) Theorem 3.3]). *Fix a permutation* $w \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ *and* $i \in \text{supp}(w)$ *. Then i appears exactly once in all elements of* R(w) *if and only if:*

- w has no 321-pattern with positions $x_1 < x_2 < x_3$ satisfying $x_1 \leq i < x_3$ and values $y_1 < y_2 < y_3$ *satisfying* $y_1 \leq i < y_3$ *, and*
- w has no 3412-pattern with positions $x_1 < x_2 < x_3 < x_4$ satisfying $x_2 \leq i < x_3$ and *values* $y_1 < y_2 < y_3 < y_4$ *satisfying* $y_2 \leq i < y_3$ *.*

We are now ready to understand one consequence of Corollary [2.2.](#page-4-0) In fact, it is a special case of the following, more general, result.

Corollary 2.5. *Suppose that* w *is a permutation in which* $i \in \text{supp}(w)$ *appears exactly once in all elements of* R(w)*. Then deleting* i *from any element of* R(w) *yields a word that is still reduced, and all such deletions are reduced words for the same permutation* v*.*

Proof. Fix $[s][i][t] \in R(w)$, so $i \notin s$ and $i \notin t$. Lemma [2.3](#page-4-1) means that $[s] \in R(u)$ for

Set $a := u(i) \leq i$ and $b := u(i + 1) \geq i + 1$. Thus $[s][i]$ is a reduced word for

and $[s][i][t] \in R(w)$ describes

w = permutation of $[1, i] \setminus \{a\} \cup \{b\}$ | permutation of $[i + 1, n] \setminus \{b\} \cup \{a\}$

Let v be the permutation obtained from w by swapping the values a and b in the one-line notation of v. Note that $b = \max\{w(1), \ldots, w(i)\}\$ and $a = \min\{w(i+1), \ldots, w(n)\}\$, so v depends only on w and i, and not on s or t. The length of a permutation is equal to the number of its inversions, and it follows from the first item of Lemma [2.4](#page-4-2) that v has exactly one fewer inversion than w has. Thus $\ell(v) = \ell(w) - 1$, and so $|s||t| \in R(v)$.

Corollary [2.5](#page-4-3) does not require the permutation w to be a prism, but it does ensure that the permutation v described in Lemma [2.1](#page-3-2) is defined entirely by w and i, and not by a particular choice of reduced word for w.

We illustrate the results so far with an example from Table [1.](#page-2-0)

Example 2.6. For $w = 2431$, we can use $i = 1$ and $v = 1432$ to see that

$$
B(2431) \cong B(\sigma_1) \times B(1432).
$$

Note that $R(2431) = \{ [1232], [1323], [3123] \}$, the permutation 1432 can be obtained by deleting 1 from any of w's reduced words: $R(1432) = \{[232], [323]\},$ and $1 \notin \text{supp}(1432)$. The poset $B(2431)$ is drawn in Figure [2,](#page-5-0) and colored to highlight its decomposition as $B(\sigma_1) \times B(1432)$.

FIGURE 2. The principal order ideal $B(2431) \cong B(\sigma_1) \times B(1432)$. Covering relations corresponding to $\{e\} \times B(1432)$ are solid black lines, those corresponding to $\{\sigma_1\} \times B(1432)$ are dotted black lines, and those corresponding to $(e, x) \leq (\sigma_1, x)$ are draw in red.

When w is a prism, there is yet more to say about the appearance of this i in elements of $R(w)$.

Definition 2.7. Fix a permutation w, a reduced word $[s] \in R(w)$, and a letter $i \in \text{supp}(w)$ that appears exactly once in [s]. If that appearance is not between two copies of $i + 1$ and not between two copies of $i - 1$, then i is *unconfined* in [s].

Being unconfined is independent of the choice of reduced word [s].

Lemma 2.8. Fix a permutation w and a letter $i \in \text{supp}(w)$. If i is unconfined in $[s] \in R(w)$, *then* i *appears exactly once in all elements of* R(w)*, and* i *is always unconfined.*

Proof. If i is unconfined in [s], then i cannot be part of any braid moves applied to [s]. No sequence of commutation moves can produce another copy of i , so i appears exactly once in all elements of $R(w)$. Because σ_i does not commute with σ_{i+1} , no sequence of commutation moves will land i between two copies of $i + 1$ or $i - 1$. Thus i remains unconfined in all elements of $R(w)$.

Thus we can talk about a letter i being "unconfined in elements of $R(w)$." Unconfined letters are relevant to the architecture of the Bruhat order, particularly in the context of prisms.

Proposition 2.9. *If* $B(w) \cong B(\sigma_i) \times B(v)$ *as defined in Lemma [2.1,](#page-3-2) then i is unconfined in elements of* $R(w)$ *.*

Proof. We will prove the contrapositive statement. Suppose that i is not unconfined in elements of $R(w)$. Then, without loss of generality, we have $\sigma_i \prec u \preceq w$, where $u = \sigma_{i+1}\sigma_i\sigma_{i+1}$ $\sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i$. The permutation u is not a prism, and hence $B(w)$ does not decompose in the desired sense.

From this, we see how the unconfined quality of a letter filters downward in the principal order ideal of a prism. The result below follows immediately from the definition of the Bruhat order, because it is impossible to introduce any confinement while deleting letters from a reduced word.

Lemma 2.10. *Fix a permutation* $w \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ *in which some* $i \in \text{supp}(w)$ *is unconfined. Then, for each* $u \in B(w)$ *, either* $i \notin \text{supp}(u)$ *or i is unconfined in u*.

We can now give a complete characterization of prism permutations in terms of their reduced words.

Theorem 2.11. A permutation w is a prism if and only if there exists $i \in \text{supp}(w)$ that is *unconfined in elements of* $R(w)$ *.*

Proof. If we start with a prism w, then the result follows from Proposition [2.9.](#page-6-1)

For the other direction, suppose that there is such an $i \in \text{supp}(w)$. Following Corollary [2.5,](#page-4-3) let v be the permutation obtained by deleting i from a reduced word for w. Define an operation q on $B(w)$ as follows. For any $u \in B(w)$, if $i \notin \text{supp}(u)$ then $q(u) := u$. Otherwise, $q(u)$ is the permutation whose reduced word is obtained by deleting i from any reduced $(B(\sigma_i) \times B(v))$ as word for u. By Lemma [2.10](#page-6-2) and Corollary [2.5,](#page-4-3) this is well defined. Now define $\phi : B(w) \rightarrow$

$$
\phi: u \mapsto \begin{cases} (e, q(u)) = (e, u) & \text{if } i \notin \text{supp}(u), \text{ and} \\ (\sigma_i, q(u)) & \text{if } i \in \text{supp}(u). \end{cases}
$$

The map ϕ is certainly surjective. To establish that it is injective, we consider two cases of $\phi(u) = \phi(u')$. If $q(u) = u$, then certainly $u = u'$. Now suppose that $q(u) \neq u$ (and hence $q(u') \neq u'$). The letter i is unconfined in w, meaning that if $i-1$ (resp., $i+1$) is in the support of $q(u) = q(u')$, then i appears on the same side of all copies of $i - 1$ (resp., $i + 1$) in u as it does in u'. These facts in combination mean that $u = u'$. Therefore ϕ is injective.

Because relations in the Bruhat order correspond to deleting letters from reduced words, the bijection ϕ is an isomorphism. Therefore, by Lemma [2.1,](#page-3-2) the permutation w is a prism. \Box

Note that when a non-identity element w is purely boolean, every letter in its reduced words appears exactly once, and thus every letter is unconfined.

Given $[s] \in R(w)$ in which some i is unconfined, we can use commutation moves to produce an equivalent characterization of prisms as follows.

Corollary 2.12. *A permutation* w *is a prism if and only if it has a reduced word with one of the following formats:*

- (a) [(*letters greater than* i) i (*letters less than* i)]*,*
- (b) [(*letters less than* i) i (*letters greater than* i)]*,*
- (c) [i (*letters greater than* i) (*letters less than* i)]*, or*
- (d) [(*letters less than* i) (*letters greater than* i) i]*,*

where the parenthetical phrases could be empty.

From these characterizations, we can inductively derive a statement about the degree to which a permutation is boolean.

Corollary 2.13. *Fix a permutation* w*, and let* d *be the number of distinct letters that are unconfined in elements of* R(w)*. Then*

(1)
$$
B(w) \cong \left(\int\!\!\!\right)^d \times B(w')
$$

for some permutation $w' \prec w$ *that is not a prism.*

When w is purely boolean, this d is its length; when w is not a prism, this d is 0. In all cases, it is a consequence of the definition that 2^d divides $|B(w)|$.

3. Characterizing prisms via patterns

Previous work has shown a strong connection between reduced words and permutation patterns (see, for example, [\[14–](#page-13-4)[17\]](#page-13-10)). Thus the characterization of prisms given in Theorem [2.11](#page-6-0) prompts one to wonder whether these elements can also be characterized by their patterns. In fact they can, and that characterization is quite specific about how the patterns must be contained. That precision can be captured, almost entirely, by *mesh patterns*, which were defined by Brändén and Claesson in $[3]$ and have since been studied in many places, including [\[19\]](#page-13-14).

Definition 3.1. Fix a permutation $p \in \mathfrak{S}_k$ and consider its graph $G(p)$ as living in the grid $[0, k+1] \times [0, k+1]$. Shade a subset M (the *mesh*) of the cells in that grid. A permutation w contains the *mesh pattern* (p, M) if there is an occurrence of $G(p)$ in $G(w)$ in which no points of $G(w)$ appear in the regions that correspond to the mesh in $G(p)$.

Mesh patterns are a generalization of classical patterns, in which case the mesh is empty.

Example 3.2. Let $w = 24153$ and consider two mesh patterns:

The permutation w has four (circled) occurrences of the classical pattern 21

but only the first and second of these are occurrences of the mesh pattern μ . The permutation w has three occurrences of the classical pattern 213

and none of them are occurrences of μ' . Therefore w contains μ and avoids μ' . In each figure above, any points of $G(w)$ that land in a mesh have been colored red.

We now introduce what we call *calibrated* mesh patterns, in which we are allowed to specify positions and values in an occurrence.

Definition 3.3. A *calibrated* mesh pattern C is a mesh pattern μ in which positions and values may be labeled with positive integers. A permutation w contains C if w has an occurrence of the mesh pattern μ in which any position in μ marked "x" appears in position x in w, and any value in μ marked "y" is represented by the value y in w.

Calibration requirements on a mesh pattern could be achieved using a union of other mesh patterns (for example, avoidance of the calibrated pattern C in Example [3.4](#page-8-1) is equivalent to avoidance of twelve mesh patterns whose underlying classical patterns are in \mathfrak{S}_4 , but calibration has an efficiency and, indeed, a clarity that such an alternative representation notably lacks. In [\[18\]](#page-13-15), Ulfarsson proposed the possible utility of attaching rules to the cells in a mesh pattern, like "must contain at least three points of $G(w)$ " or "must avoid 321," and calibration fits that model.

Example 3.4. Consider the calibrated mesh patterns:

both of which are calibrations of the mesh pattern μ from Example [3.2.](#page-7-2) We saw in that example that the permutation $w = 42153$ contains μ in two ways, but only the second of those ways is an occurrence of the calibrated pattern C (repeated in Figure [3\)](#page-8-2), and neither of those μ -occurrences is an occurrence of C' .

Figure 3. The permutation 42153 contains the calibrated mesh pattern C described in Example [3.4.](#page-8-1)

Our goal in this section is to translate Theorem [2.11](#page-6-0) into a statement about patterns in prisms. We start by considering a special class of these permutations.

Proposition 3.5. *Suppose that* $w \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ *is a prism with i unconfined in elements of* $R(w)$ *. If* $|\{i \pm 1\} \cap \text{supp}(w)| \leq 1$, *then* w *has a* 21-pattern occurrence

- *with values* $\{i, i+1\}$ *, where* $w(i+1) = i$ *and/or* $w(i) = i+1$ *, or*
- *in positions* $\{i, i + 1\}$ *, where* $w(i + 1) = i$ *and/or* $w(i) = i + 1$ *.*

Put another way, if $i + 1 \notin \text{supp}(w)$ *then* w *contains*

and if $i - 1 \notin \text{supp}(w)$ *then* w *contains*

Proof. Omitting at least one of $i \pm 1$ from supp (w) means that the cases of Corollary [2.12](#page-7-1) collapse to two cases: [\(c\)](#page-7-3) and [\(d\).](#page-7-4) The result follows from analyzing the kinds of permutations produced by these reduced words.

In the calibrated mesh patterns listed in Proposition [3.5,](#page-9-0) additional calibration labels are forced by the mesh. For example, the other horizontal line in the first figure must necessarily refer to the value i in w .

Similar to Proposition [3.5,](#page-9-0) when $\{i \pm 1\} \subseteq \text{supp}(w)$, being a prism with unconfined i implies a sort of displacement around the positions and values $\{i, i+1\}$.

Proposition 3.6. *Suppose that* $w \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ *is a prism with i unconfined in elements of* $R(w)$ *. If* $i \pm 1 \in \text{supp}(w)$ *, then* w *contains one of the following calibrated mesh patterns.*

Proof. The labels of the patterns in the statement of this result corresponds to the labels of the word forms listed in Corollary [2.12.](#page-7-1) Consider case [\(a\),](#page-7-5) where $[s] \in R(w)$ with

 $[s] = [$ (letters greater than i) i (letters less than i).

We can thus construct w by reading $[s]$ from left to right and acting on the positions of the identity permutation. In particular, because $i + 1 \in \text{supp}(w)$, the reduced word $[$ (letters greater than i) produces

$$
1\ 2\ \cdots\ i-1\ i\ y\ \boxed{\text{permutation of}\ \ [i+1,n]\setminus\{y\}}
$$

for some $y > i + 1$. Next multiplying on the right by [i] produces

$$
1\ 2\ \cdots\ i-1\ y\ i\ \boxed{\text{permutation of}\ \ [i+1,n]\setminus\{y\}}\ .
$$

Finally, multiplying by $[$ (letters less than i) produces

permutation of $[1, i - 1] \cup \{y\} \setminus \{x\}$ | x i | permutation of $[i + 1, n] \setminus \{y\}$

where $x \leq i - 1$ due to the fact that $i - 1 \in \text{supp}(w)$. The substring

$$
y \ x \ i \ i+1
$$

forms an occurrence of the calibrated mesh pattern (a) above. In particular, the requirement that y is the only value larger than i appearing to the left of $w(x) = i$ is forced by the calibrations and the mesh: values less than i must all appear in the first i positions of the permutation. The value y also appears in those positions, so the other $i - 1$ positions are forced to be exactly the values $[1, i - 1]$.

The other cases follow from analogous arguments.

It is interesting to note that the four patterns in the statement of Proposition [3.6](#page-9-1) have some common characteristics: namely, the calibrated position i and value i , and the meshes' "central cross," which force the additional calibration of position $i+1$ and value $i+1$.

We now use these results to give a pattern characterization for prisms, with the assistance of Lemma [2.3.](#page-4-1)

Theorem 3.7. A permutation $w \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ is a prism if and only if there exists an *i* for which w *contains one or more of the following calibrated mesh patterns.*

Proof. One direction of the result follows from Propositions [3.5](#page-9-0) and [3.6,](#page-9-1) together with Lemma [2.3.](#page-4-1)

For the other direction, we will show that w has a reduced word of one of the forms described in Corollary [2.12,](#page-7-1) and the result will follow. We will prove the result for the second, fifth, and eighth calibrated patterns listed above and leave the other, symmetric, arguments to the reader.

First suppose that w contains the calibrated mesh pattern

for some *i*. This means that $\{w(1), \ldots, w(i + 1)\} = [1, i + 1]$ and so $i + 1 \notin \text{supp}(w)$ by Lemma [2.3.](#page-4-1) Set $v := w\sigma_i$, in which $v(i+1) = w(i) = i+1$ and $v(i) = w(i+1) < i+1$. Then

$$
\{v(1), \ldots, v(i)\} = \{w(1), \ldots, w(i+1)\} \setminus \{w(i)\}
$$

=
$$
\{w(1), \ldots, w(i+1)\} \setminus \{i+1\}
$$

=
$$
\{1, \ldots, i\},
$$

and so $i \notin \text{supp}(v)$ by Lemma [2.3.](#page-4-1) Therefore we can find $[t] \in R(v)$ of the form

[(letters less than i) (letters greater than $i + 1$)],

and the concatenation $[t][i] \in R(w)$ has the form described in Corollary [2.12](#page-7-1)[\(d\).](#page-7-4) Thus w is a prism.

Now suppose that w contains the calibrated mesh pattern

for some i. The mesh requirements mean that the values $[1, i - 1]$ all appear in the first i positions of w. Let $u \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ be such that wu is obtained by putting $\{w(1), \ldots, w(i)\}\$ into increasing order. In particular, $\textsf{supp}(u) \subseteq [1, i-1]$, and the permutation wu fixes the values $[1, i - 1]$ because of the mesh restrictions in the pattern. Moreover, in the permutation $v := w u \sigma_i$, the values $[1, i]$ are fixed. Thus $\mathsf{supp}(v) \subseteq [i + 1, n - 1]$. Take any reduced words $[s] \in R(u^{-1})$ and $[t] \in R(v)$. Because $\text{supp}(u) = \text{supp}(u^{-1})$, the concatenation $[t][i][s] \in R(w)$ has the format described in Corollary [2.12](#page-7-1)[\(a\)](#page-7-5) and hence w is a prism.

Finally, suppose that w contains the calibrated mesh pattern

for some i. Thus

 $\{w(1), \ldots, w(i-1)\} \cup \{w(i+1)\} = [1, i].$

Consider $v := w\sigma_i$, in which $v(i + 1) = w(i) > i + 1$ and $v(i) = w(i + 1) < i$. Then

$$
\{v(1),\ldots,v(i)\} = \{w(1),\ldots,w(i+1)\} \setminus \{w(i)\} = [1,i],
$$

and so Lemma [2.3](#page-4-1) means that $i \notin \text{supp}(v)$. It follows that v has a reduced word $[t] \in R(v)$ of the form $[($ letters less than i) (letters greater than i), and the concatenation $[t][i] \in R(w)$ has the form described in Corollary [2.12](#page-7-1)[\(d\).](#page-7-4) Thus w is a prism.

The remaining cases can be proved with analogous arguments. \Box

The pattern-analogue of Corollary [2.13](#page-7-6) holds in this setting, as well: the number of values i satisfying the statement of Theorem [3.7](#page-10-0) determines the exponent d in Equation [\(1\)](#page-7-7).

4. Further research

A natural direction of study after this work is to explore properties of boolean elements that have analogues for prisms. To put a slightly different spin on it, we can ask: how can the known properties of boolean elements be used to shed light on properties of prisms? The works cited earlier have described numerous features of boolean elements, and the structure of these objects suggests that they might influence properties of the substantial class of elements defined in this paper.

Our work here is focused on the symmetric group, but the basic objects that we study (reduced words, patterns, the Bruhat order) have analogues in Coxeter groups of other types. In particular, boolean elements – those whose principal order ideals in the Bruhat order are isomorphic to boolean algebras – have already been characterized by reduced words and pattern avoidance in other types [\[5,](#page-13-0) [14\]](#page-13-4), and perhaps those groups' prisms can be characterized in those languages as well. One can also consider the poset defined by the weak order on Coxeter group elements, and boolean ideals and intervals have been studied in that setting, too [\[4,](#page-13-5) [13\]](#page-13-7). The central question of this paper, to characterize prism elements, would be interesting to study in any of those contexts as well.

In terms of extending the study of prisms in \mathfrak{S}_n under the Bruhat order, one natural goal is to enumerate these elements. We have counted various classes related to prisms (including prisms, prisms that are not purely boolean, and non-prism elements) for $n \leq 10$, and none of these sequences currently appear in [\[8\]](#page-13-16). Permutations that are purely boolean have an attractive enumeration (they are the odd-indexed Fibonacci numbers [\[8,](#page-13-16) A001519]) and it is vexing to, as yet, have no "nice" enumeration of the prisms.

For another avenue of study related to this work, recall the permutation $w' \prec w$ discussed in Corollary [2.13.](#page-7-6) It would be interesting to explore the relationship between w' and w . Or, for another perspective, it could be fruitful to explore the "w'-prisms" for a fixed w'; namely, the collection

$$
\left\{ w : w \succ w' \text{ and there exists } d_w \ge 1 \text{ such that } B(w) \cong \left(\begin{matrix} \end{matrix} \right)^{d_w} \times B(w') \right\}.
$$

This brings to mind some of the earlier work looking at the collection of all boolean elements in a Coxeter group (for example, the topological properties of this subposet were studied in $[9, 10]$ $[9, 10]$). Perhaps the analogous class for prisms would be the collection of all w'-prisms for a given w' .

Finally, the introduction of calibrated patterns suggests their utility in other settings. To start with, existing results could benefit from this language. For example, [\[16,](#page-13-12) Theorem 4.1] is about the maximum number of times that the letter k can appear in elements of $R(w)$, and it can be restated in terms of occurrences of the calibrated patterns

for pairs (i, j) with $i \leq k \leq j$. Likewise, there might be new phenomena that are characterized by some sort of calibrated pattern containment or avoidance. It would also be interesting to understand enumerations related to calibrated patterns: either the number of permutations containing/avoiding a given calibrated pattern, or the number of times that a particular calibrated pattern occurs in a permutation, as studied in [\[1\]](#page-13-17).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am grateful for the thoughtful and constructive suggestions of an anonymous referee, including to simplify the name of the objects studied in this work.

REFERENCES

- [1] Y. Berman and B. E. Tenner, Pattern-functions, statistics, and shallow permutations, Electron. J. Comb. 29 (2022), Article P4.43.
- [2] A. Björner and F. Brenti, *Combinatorics of Coxeter groups*, Springer, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 231, New York, 2005.
- [3] P. Brändén and A. Claesson, Mesh patterns and the expansion of permutation statistics as sums of permutation patterns, Electron. J. Comb. 18(2) (2011), Article P5.
- [4] J. Elder, P. E. Harris, J. Kretschmann, and J. C. Mart´ınez Mori, Boolean intervals in the weak order of \mathfrak{S}_n , arXiv:2306.14734.
- [5] Y. Gao and Kaarel Hänni, Boolean elements in the Bruhat order, arXiv:2007.08490.
- [6] A. Hultman and K. Vorwerk, Pattern avoidance and the Bruhat order on involutions, J. Algebr. Comb. 30 (2009), 87–102.
- [7] V. Mazorchuk and B. E. Tenner, Intersecting principal Bruhat ideals and grades of simple modules, *Comb. Theory* $2(2022)$, Article 14.
- [8] OEIS Foundation, Inc., The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences, 2023, published electronically at <http://oeis.org>.
- [9] K. Ragnarsson and B. E. Tenner, Homotopy type of the boolean complex of a Coxeter system, Adv. Math. 222 (2009), 409–430.
- [10] K. Ragnarsson and B. E. Tenner, Homology of the boolean complex, J. Algebr. Comb. 34 (2011), 617–639.
- [11] R. P. Stanley, Enumerative Combinatorics, vol. 1, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge Studies in Applied Mathematics 49, Cambridge, 2011.
- [12] B. E. Tenner, Boolean intersection ideals of permutations in the Bruhat order, Enum. Comb. Appl. 2 (2022), Article S2R23.
- [13] B. E. Tenner, Interval structures in the Bruhat and weak orders, J. Comb. 13 (2022), 135–165.
- [14] B. E. Tenner, Pattern avoidance and the Bruhat order, *J. Comb. Theory, Series A* 114 (2007), 888–905.
- [15] B. E. Tenner, Repetition in reduced decompositions, Adv. Appl. Math. 49 (2012), 1–14.
- [16] B. E. Tenner, The range of repetition in reduced decompositions, Adv. Appl. Math. 122 (2021), Article 102107.
- [17] B. E. Tenner, Reduced word manipulation: patterns and enumeration, J. Algebr. Comb. 46 (2017), 189–217.
- [18] H. Ulfarsson, Describing West-3-stack-sortable permutations with permutation patterns, Séminaire Lotharingien de Combinatoire 67 (2012), Article B67d.
- [19] H. Ulfarsson, A unification of permutation patterns related to Schubert varieties, to appear in Pure Math. Appl. 22 (2011), 273–296.

Department of Mathematical Sciences, DePaul University, Chicago, IL, USA Email address: bridget@math.depaul.edu