

Table of Contents

Foreword		xiii
Chapter 1	Introduction	1
1.1	Preface	1
1.2	What is immunity from seizure?	2
1.3	Why would immunity from seizure be necessary?	6
1.4	Approaches to granting immunity from seizure	7
1.5	What are cultural objects?	9
1.6	Cultural objects as good will ambassadors?	15
1.7	What is a State?	17
1.8	International agreements related to international cultural cooperation and immunity from seizure	20
1.9	Method and structure of this study	27
Chapter 2	The notion of customary international law	33
2.1	Custom as a source of international law	34
2.2	State practice and <i>opinio juris</i>	36
2.3	Duration of the practice	40
2.4	Uniformity of the practice	40
2.5	Practice accepted as law: <i>opinio juris</i>	43
2.6	Dissenting States	45
2.7	In conclusion	46
Chapter 3	State immunity and cultural objects	49
3.1	Immunity from jurisdiction; from absolute to restrictive immunity	49
3.2	Immunity from measures of constraint	52
3.3	2004 UN Convention on Jurisdictional Immunities of States and Their Property	59
3.3.1	General introduction	59
3.3.2	Commercial transactions and international art loans	63
3.3.3	State enterprises	66
3.3.4	Immunity from measures of constraint	68
3.4	European Convention on State Immunity	87

3.5	Inter-American Draft Convention on Jurisdictional Immunity of States	92
3.6	Asian-African Legal Consultative Committee	94
3.7	Draft Convention on State Immunity by the International Law Association	96
3.8	Institute of International Law	99
3.9	In conclusion	101
Chapter 4	Situation in the United States of America	107
4.1	State immunity: situation in the United States of America	107
4.1.1	General approach of the United States in respect of State immunity	108
4.1.2	State immunity under the FSIA	111
4.1.3	Retroactive application: <i>Republic of Austria v. Altmann</i>	117
4.1.4	The 'commercial exception': <i>Westfield v. Federal Republic of Germany</i>	121
4.1.5	The 'takings exception': <i>Cassirer v. Kingdom of Spain</i>	122
4.1.6	Once more the 'takings exception': <i>Agudas Chasidei Chabad of United States v. Russian Federation et al.</i>	126
4.1.7	One more time the 'takings exception': <i>Orkin v. Switzerland</i>	132
4.1.8	The 'commercial exception' and the 'takings exception' combined: the <i>Herzog</i> case	135
4.2	Immunity from measures of constraint for State property	139
4.2.1	Sections 1609-1611 of the FSIA	139
4.2.2	Seizure attempts in practice: <i>Rubin v. the Islamic Republic of Iran</i>	145
4.3	Special legislation involving immunity from seizure for cultural objects	152
4.3.1	Federal immunity from seizure legislation	152
4.3.2	Immunity from seizure legislation in the State of New York	161
4.4	Case law with regard to immunity from seizure legislation	163
4.4.1	<i>Romanov v. The Florida International Museum Inc.</i>	163
4.4.2	<i>Magness v. Russian Federation</i>	164
4.4.3	<i>Delocque-Fourcaud v. Los Angeles County Museum of Art</i>	167
4.4.4	<i>Deutsch v. Metropolitan Museum of Art</i>	170
4.4.5	<i>Malewicz v. City of Amsterdam</i>	172
4.4.6	Berckheyde painting <i>The Golden Bend in the Herengracht in Amsterdam</i>	182
4.4.7	<i>Portrait of Wally</i> case	184
4.5	In conclusion	195
Chapter 5	Situation in Canada and Central and South America	201
5.1	Situation in Canada	201
5.1.1	State immunity	201
5.1.2	Immunity from seizure of cultural objects	203
5.1.3	Exhibition of the Dead Sea Scrolls	208
5.2	Situation in Central and South America	210
5.3	In conclusion	211

Chapter 6	Situation in the European Union	213
6.1	The genesis of mobility of collections	213
6.2	The OMC Expert Working Group and the subgroup 'Immunity from Seizure'	217
6.3	Conclusions of the subgroup 'Immunity from Seizure'	220
6.4	Future work	222
6.5	In conclusion	223
Chapter 7	Situation in the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland	225
7.1	State immunity in the United Kingdom	225
7.2	The way towards immunity from seizure legislation	228
7.3	The Tribunals, Courts and Enforcement Act 2007	241
7.4	In conclusion	249
Chapter 8	Situation in the Netherlands	253
8.1	State immunity in the Netherlands	253
8.1.1	Act on General Provisions of Kingdom Legislation	253
8.1.2	Code of Civil Procedure	257
8.1.3	Regulations concerning the Bailiff and Court Bailiffs Act	265
8.1.4	Relationship with the 2004 UN Convention on Jurisdictional Immunities of States and Their Property	271
8.2	Immunity from seizure for cultural objects belonging to foreign States	273
8.2.1	The situation during the last decades of the 20th century	273
8.2.2	The situation since the beginning of the 21 st century	276
8.3	In conclusion	279
Chapter 9	Situation in various other European States	281
9.1	France	282
9.1.1	The <i>Shchukin</i> case	282
9.1.2	Immunity from seizure legislation for cultural objects on loan	285
9.1.3	The French Association of Tsarist Bond Holders	288
9.2	Germany	289
9.2.1	The way towards immunity from seizure legislation for cultural objects on loan	289
9.2.2	Immunity from seizure legislation for cultural objects on loan	292
9.2.3	The Berlin Court: cultural objects immune under international law	297
9.3	Austria	299
9.3.1	Immunity from seizure legislation for cultural objects on loan	299
9.3.2	The seizure of Czech cultural objects on loan to the Belvedere	302
9.4	Belgium	306
9.5	Switzerland	310
9.5.1	The <i>Noga</i> case	310
9.5.2	Declarations of the Swiss Federal Ministry of Foreign Affairs to the Egyptian authorities	315

9.5.3	Immunity from seizure legislation for cultural objects on loan	317
9.6	Liechtenstein	320
9.7	Finland	321
9.8	The Czech Republic	323
9.9	Member States of the European Union which are in the process of enacting immunity from seizure legislation for cultural objects on loan	324
9.9.1	Italy	324
9.9.1.1	Measures of constraint against foreign States in Italy	324
9.9.1.2	<i>The Dance</i> by Matisse	327
9.9.1.3	Discussions with regard to immunity from seizure legislation for cultural objects on loan	328
9.9.2	Hungary	331
9.10	Considerations of several other Member States of the European Union concerning immunity from seizure legislation	332
9.11	The Russian Federation	334
9.12	In conclusion	337
Chapter 10	Situation in Asia, Australia and on the African continent	339
10.1	Situation in Asia	339
10.1.1	Israel	339
10.1.1.1	The Foreign States Immunity Law	339
10.1.1.2	Towards immunity legislation for cultural objects on loan	340
10.1.1.3	The content of the Loan of Cultural Objects (Restriction of Jurisdiction) Law	343
10.1.2	The Islamic Republic of Iran	345
10.1.3	The United Arabic Emirates	346
10.1.4	Pakistan	348
10.1.5	The People's Republic of China and the territory of Taiwan	349
10.1.6	Singapore	350
10.1.7	Japan	351
10.1.8	Other States of the region	354
10.2	Situation in Australia	354
10.2.1	Situation with regard to State immunity	354
10.2.2	The 1986 Protection of Movable Cultural Heritage Act	357
10.2.3	<i>The Bark Etchings</i> case	359
10.3	Situation on the African continent	362
10.3.1	Egypt	362
10.3.2	South Africa	363
10.3.3	Other States of the region	364
10.4	In conclusion	364
Chapter 11	Immunity from seizure at odds with international law?	367
11.1	International agreements addressing illicit import, export, transfer or expropriation of cultural objects	368
11.1.1	UNESCO	368
11.1.2	Unidroit	371

11.1.3	Council of Europe	374
11.1.4	European Union	375
11.1.5	Organization of American States	384
11.1.6	The 1998 Washington Principles, the 2000 Vilnius Declaration and the 2009 Terezin Declaration	385
11.2	Which obligation would prevail?	387
11.3	Immunity from seizure at odds with obligations under the European Convention on Human Rights?	394
11.3.1	Introduction	394
11.3.2	Article 6(1) ECHR	396
11.3.3	Article 1, Protocol 1 to the ECHR	399
11.4	In conclusion	405
Chapter 12	Cultural objects on loan and belonging to foreign States – immune from seizure under customary international law?	409
12.1	General	409
12.2	State practice and the ratio behind it	411
12.2.1	Method of working	411
12.2.2	State practice	413
12.2.3	The ratio behind the State practice	421
12.3	Academic opinions	424
12.4	Primary conclusions	429
12.5	Some possible limitations	434
12.5.1	Cultural objects which have been the subject of a serious breach of an obligation arising under a peremptory norm of general international law, or which are already subject to return obligations under international or European law	435
12.5.2	Immunity from seizure: only in civil or also in criminal proceedings?	442
12.6	Supplementary conclusions	443
	List of sources	445
	Index	479
	Acknowledgements	489