

Contents

Acknowledgements XIII

1 Introduction 1

- 1.1 13 December 1886 1
- 1.2 The Amsterdam Research Project and Its Historical Approach 2
- 1.3 The Dutch Movement of Conjectural Criticism 4
- 1.4 Holwerda as Father of the Dutch Movement 7
- 1.5 The Dutch Movement of Conjectural Criticism and Dutch Radical Criticism 9
- 1.6 The Relevance of Holwerda 11
- 1.7 Holwerda and Nineteenth-Century New Testament Conjectural Criticism in Scholarship 12
- 1.8 Research Aims 14
- 1.9 Research Questions, Outline, Methods 15
- 1.10 Miscellaneous 16

2 The *Sitz im Leben* of Holwerda's New Testament Conjectural Criticism 19

- 2.1 Introduction 19
- 2.2 Early Years (1805–1830) 20
- 2.3 Ministry (1831–1872) 22
- 2.4 *Emendationum Flavianarum specimen* (1847) and the Leiden Radical School 24
 - 2.4.1 *Emendationum Flavianarum specimen* 24
 - 2.4.2 *Rise of the Leiden Radical School* 26
 - 2.4.3 *Characteristics of the Leiden Radical School* 28
 - 2.4.4 *The Apogee of the Leiden Radical School: Cobet* 30
- 2.5 In Contact with Cobet (from 1847 Onwards) 32
- 2.6 Rejected by van Hengel (1849–1851) 34
 - 2.6.1 *Hamaker's Observation* 34
 - 2.6.2 *Holwerda's Essay* 35
 - 2.6.3 *Interpretation* 38
- 2.7 Van Hengel's *Proeve* (1852) 40
- 2.8 *Betrekking* (1853): A Programmed New Testament 'Debut' 41
 - 2.8.1 *The Title* 41
 - 2.8.2 *Criticism of New Testament Scholarship* 44
 - 2.8.3 *The New Testament Passages in Betrekking and Proeve* 47

2.8.4	<i>Betrekking as the Outcome of a Long Process</i>	49
2.8.5	<i>Criticism of Betrekking</i>	51
2.9	Praise and Friendship (from 1853 Onwards)	54
2.10	<i>Aanteekeningen</i> (1853) and "Opmerkingen" (1854)	57
2.11	<i>Bijdragen</i> (1855)	58
2.12	Discredited in <i>Godgeleerde bijdragen</i> (1855)	61
2.12.1	<i>Holwerda Popular among Conservatives</i>	61
2.12.2	<i>The Review in Godgeleerde bijdragen</i>	63
2.12.3	<i>Antipathy towards New Testament Conjectural Emendation?</i>	66
2.12.4	<i>Holwerda's Response</i>	69
2.13	Polemic with Peerlkamp (1855–1856)	70
2.13.1	Opmerkingen	70
2.13.2	<i>Holwerda's First Response</i>	71
2.13.3	<i>Babel-Like Confusion</i>	73
2.13.4	<i>A Pleasant Discussion</i>	75
2.13.5	<i>Holwerda's Reputation</i>	78
2.14	Romans 9:5 (1858–1859)	80
2.15	Review of Tischendorf's <i>Septima</i> (1860)	82
2.16	Troubles with Cobet (Rift in 1862)	82
2.16.1	<i>Cobet Thwarting Holwerda</i>	82
2.16.2	<i>Holwerda Annoyed</i>	85
2.16.3	<i>The Rift</i>	86
2.16.4	<i>Interpretation</i>	89
2.17	The Last Two Decades (1866–1886)	91
2.18	Conclusion	94
3	Theoretical Aspects of Holwerda's New Testament Conjectural Criticism	97
3.1	Introduction	97
3.2	Background	98
3.2.1	<i>Introduction</i>	98
3.2.2	<i>Griesbach</i>	98
3.2.3	<i>Lachmann</i>	99
3.2.4	<i>Tischendorf</i>	101
3.3	General Text-Critical Method	104
3.4	The Role of Exegesis in Textual Criticism (Contra Tischendorf)	108

- 3.5 Best Manuscripts 112
 - 3.5.1 *Bekker's Short Cut and the Luxury Problem of New Testament Manuscripts* 112
 - 3.5.2 *Holwerda's 'Diplomatic' Investigation* 115
 - 3.5.3 *Holwerda's Mirror Image: Tregelles* 121
 - 3.6 Conjectural Emendation 125
 - 3.6.1 *Argumentation in Betrekking* 125
 - 3.6.2 *Argumentation in Bijdragen and "Tischendorf"* 128
 - 3.6.3 *No Relation to Earlier New Testament Conjectural Criticism* 131
 - 3.7 Conclusion 135
- 4 Quantitative and Qualitative Analysis of Holwerda's New Testament Conjectures 138
- 4.1 Introduction 138
 - 4.2 Quantitative Analysis 138
 - 4.2.1 *Introduction* 138
 - 4.2.2 *Holwerda's Conjectures as Distributed over His Writings and over the Biblical Books* 138
 - 4.2.3 *Holwerda's Conjectures Classified according to Problems, Causes and Operations* 141
 - 4.2.3.1 *The Classification* 141
 - 4.2.3.2 *Holwerda's Conjectures Classified* 145
 - 4.3 Qualitative Analysis 153
 - 4.3.1 *Introduction* 153
 - 4.3.2 *Passage-Related (Content) Problems* 154
 - 4.3.2.1 *Introduction* 154
 - 4.3.2.2 *Addition as Solution* 154
 - 4.3.2.3 *Omission as Solution* 158
 - 4.3.2.4 *Substitution as Solution 1: Form Change* 160
 - 4.3.2.5 *Substitution as Solution 2: Similar Word* 163
 - 4.3.2.6 *Two-Track Solutions* 169
 - 4.3.3 *Philological Problems* 172
 - 4.3.3.1 *Introduction* 172
 - 4.3.3.2 *Confusion of εἰς and ἐν* 172
 - 4.3.3.2.1 *Problematic εἰς* 172
 - 4.3.3.2.2 *Problematic ἐν* 174
 - 4.3.3.2.3 *Interpretation* 176

4.3.3.3	Confusion of Indicative and Subjunctive	177
4.3.3.3.1	<i>Problematic Indicatives</i>	177
4.3.3.3.2	<i>Problematic Subjunctives</i>	181
4.3.3.3.3	<i>Interpretation</i>	183
4.3.3.4	Ἐμὲ Expected, με Transmitted	183
4.3.3.5	Κἄν for καί	185
4.3.3.6	Lexical Problems	185
4.3.3.7	Syntactical Problems	186
4.3.3.8	Other Philological Problems	187
4.3.4	<i>Other Problems</i>	188
4.3.4.1	Passage-Related (Style) Problems	188
4.3.4.2	Stemmatic Problems	189
4.3.4.3	An Author-Related (Content) and an Extraneous Problem: Mark 1:1–3	191
4.3.5	<i>A Cause Group of Conjectures: Omission of Supposed Glosses</i>	193
4.3.5.1	General	193
4.3.5.2	Holwerda's Conjecture on 2 Cor 3:3 in the History of Scholarship	201
4.3.6	<i>Semi-conjectures</i>	206
4.3.7	<i>A Special Case: Holwerda Anticipating the ECM Conjecture on 2 Pet 3:70</i>	209
4.4	Conclusion	219
5	Conclusion	223
5.1	Three Paradoxes	223
5.2	Future Research	225
5.3	Epilogue	227
	Appendix 1. Overview of Holwerda's Conjectures	229
	Appendix 2. Codex Ephraemi Rescriptus: An Underestimated Uncial	245
	Bibliography	249
	Index of Names	289
	Index of Ancient Writings	295