

Table of contents

Acknowledgements	vii
The future of historical sociolinguistics? <i>Tanja Säily, Arja Nurmi, Minna Palander-Collin & Anita Auer</i>	1
Part I. Methodological innovations	
Exploring part-of-speech frequencies in a sociohistorical corpus of English <i>Tanja Säily, Turo Vartiainen & Harri Siirtola</i>	23
Reading into the past: Materials and methods in historical semantics research <i>Susan Fitzmaurice, Justyna A. Robinson, Marc Alexander, Iona C. Hine, Seth Mehl & Fraser Dallachy</i>	53
Ireland in British parliamentary debates 1803–2005: Plotting changes in discourse in a large volume of time-series corpus data <i>Helen Baker, Vaclav Brezina & Tony McEnery</i>	83
Discord in eighteenth-century genteel correspondence <i>Minna Nevala & Anni Sairio</i>	109
Part II. New data for historical sociolinguistic research	
Competing norms and standards: Methodological triangulation in the study of language planning in nineteenth-century Finland <i>Taru Nordlund & Ritva Pallaskallio</i>	131
Relativisation in Dutch diaries, private letters and newspapers (1770–1840): A genre-specific national language? <i>Andreas Krogull, Gijsbert Rutten & Marijke van der Wal</i>	157
“A graphic system which leads its own linguistic life”? Epistolary spelling in English, 1400–1800 <i>Samuli Kaislaniemi, Mel Evans, Teo Juvonen & Anni Sairio</i>	187
Part III. Theory: Bridging gaps, new challenges	
Historical sociolinguistics and construction grammar: From mutual challenges to mutual benefits <i>Martin Hilpert</i>	217

A lost Canadian dialect: The Ottawa Valley 1975–2013 <i>Bridget L. Jankowski & Sali A. Tagliamonte</i>	239
“Vernacular universals” in nineteenth-century grammar writing <i>Lieselotte Anderwald</i>	275
Revisiting weak ties: Using present-day social media data in variationist studies <i>Mikko Laitinen, Jonas Lundberg, Magnus Levin & Alexander Lakaw</i>	303
Index	327