

# **Contents**

Acknowledgements .....	V
List of abbreviations .....	XIV

## **Introduction**

<b>I. Research topic .....</b>	1
<b>II. Research objectives and scope .....</b>	5
<b>III. Research methodology and proceedings .....</b>	9

## **Chapter 1 The international criminal courts and tribunals and their relationship to national accountability mechanisms**

<b>I. The core mandates and current practice of the ICTY and ICTR .....</b>	12
A. Contextual background .....	12
1. The former Yugoslavia .....	12
2. Rwanda .....	17
3. Comparative analysis .....	21
B. Overall goals of the ICTY and ICTR .....	22
1. Primary goals .....	22
2. Secondary goals .....	24
C. Jurisdictional ambit of the ICTY and ICTR and relationship to national accountability mechanisms .....	25
1. Temporal and territorial jurisdiction .....	25
2. Personal and subject-matter jurisdiction .....	26
3. Primary and concurrent jurisdiction of the ICTY and ICTR vis-à-vis national courts .....	27
<b>II. The core mandate and current practice of the ICC .....</b>	29
A. Contextual background .....	29
B. Overall goals .....	30
1. Primary goals .....	30
2. Secondary goals .....	31

C. Jurisdictional ambit and relationship to national accountability mechanisms .....	32
1. Temporal and territorial jurisdiction .....	33
2. Personal and subject-matter jurisdiction .....	34
3. Subsidiary and complementary jurisdiction vis-à-vis national systems .....	36
<b>III. Concluding remarks .....</b>	<b>37</b>

## Chapter 2

### **The referral practice to national courts as a crucial component of the ICTY and ICTR Completion Strategy and legal problems resulting from its implementation**

<b>I. Origins of the Completion Strategy .....</b>	<b>40</b>
<b>II. Goals of the Completion Strategy .....</b>	<b>43</b>
A. Reduction of the ICTY and ICTR caseload .....	44
1. Focus on high-level perpetrators and level of responsibility of accused .....	45
2. Referral of intermediate and lower-level accused to national jurisdictions .....	46
B. Nationalization of the accountability process .....	47
<b>III. The Completion Strategy's referral practice: features and legal problems .....</b>	<b>49</b>
A. Referral of cases under rule 11bis RPE of the ICTY and ICTR .....	50
1. Formal features .....	51
2. Pre-transfer criteria .....	53
a) Determination of the state of referral .....	53
b) Determination of adequate national framework .....	57
aa) ICTY jurisprudence: Bosnia and Herzegovina .....	59
(1) Category of crimes .....	61
(2) Mode of commission of crimes .....	62
(3) Penalty structure .....	65
(4) Conclusion of pre-transfer criteria to Bosnia and Herzegovina .....	67
bb) ICTR jurisprudence .....	69
(1) Rwanda .....	69
(2) Norway .....	76
(3) France .....	77
c) Fair-trial guarantees and non-imposition of the death penalty .....	79
aa) ICTY jurisprudence: Bosnia and Herzegovina .....	81
bb) ICTR jurisprudence: Rwanda .....	83
d) Gravity of crimes and level of responsibility of the accused .....	92

Contents	XI
aa) ICTY jurisprudence .....	92
bb) ICTR jurisprudence .....	97
3. Post-referral aspects .....	99
B. Referral of investigative materials by the ICTY and ICTR .....	101
1. Lack of ICTY/ICTR monitoring function following the national court's final verdict .....	101
2. Divergences in the investigation and prosecution of crimes falling within the jurisdiction of the ICTY/ICTR and national prosecution offices .....	102
<b>IV. The ICTY and ICTR experiences compared .....</b>	<b>103</b>
<b>V. Concluding remarks .....</b>	<b>106</b>

**Chapter 3**  
**Possible root causes of legal problems resulting from the implementation of the ICTY/ICTR referral practice to national courts**

<b>I. Normative factors: discrepancies in applicable legal norms .....</b>	<b>109</b>
A. Different laws to prosecute the same crimes .....	110
1. Bosnia and Herzegovina .....	111
a) Substantive criminal laws .....	112
b) Criminal procedure laws .....	123
2. Rwanda .....	124
a) Substantive criminal laws .....	125
b) Fair-trial guarantees and applicable penalties .....	126
3. Comparative analysis .....	128
B. System clashes: the inquisitorial versus the adversarial approach .....	129
1. Bosnia and Herzegovina .....	131
2. Rwanda .....	134
3. Comparative analysis .....	138
4. France .....	138
5. Comparative analysis: BiH, Rwanda and France .....	141
C. Conclusion .....	141
<b>II. Contextual factors: national context as a crucial criterion for referral .....</b>	<b>142</b>
A. The presence of a functioning criminal justice system .....	142
1. Bosnia and Herzegovina .....	143
2. Rwanda .....	144
3. Comparative analysis .....	146
B. The scale of crimes .....	146
1. Bosnia and Herzegovina .....	147
2. Rwanda .....	149
3. Comparative analysis .....	151

C.	Political sensitivity of cases and impact on judicial independence and impartiality .....	152
1.	Bosnia and Herzegovina .....	153
2.	Rwanda .....	155
3.	Comparative analysis .....	158
D.	Financial and logistical assistance from the international community .....	159
1.	Bosnia and Herzegovina .....	159
2.	Rwanda .....	161
3.	Comparative analysis .....	163
<b>III.</b>	<b>Concluding remarks .....</b>	<b>164</b>

#### **Chapter 4** **The ICTY/ICTR referral practice: impact and possible solutions**

<b>I.</b>	<b>Impact of the ICTY/ICTR referral practice .....</b>	<b>166</b>
A.	The referral practice's contribution to the ICTY's and ICTR's overall objectives .....	166
B.	The referral practice's contribution to the Completion Strategy's objectives .....	166
1.	Judicial economy .....	167
2.	Nationalization of accountability and the impact of referral on national reconciliation .....	169
<b>II.</b>	<b>Possible solutions to some legal problems identified .....</b>	<b>177</b>
A.	Systematic solutions .....	177
1.	Explicit clarification regarding the substantive law analysis .....	177
2.	Explicit consideration of legal tradition employed at national level .....	179
3.	Strengthening of the post-referral monitoring mechanism .....	179
4.	Early establishment of a Transition Team .....	181
a)	ICTY .....	181
b)	ICTR .....	182
5.	Conclusion .....	182
B.	Specific solutions based on context .....	183
<b>III.</b>	<b>Concluding remarks .....</b>	<b>185</b>

#### **Chapter 5** **ICTY/ICTR referral practice: What relevance for the ICC?**

<b>I.</b>	<b>Relevance despite different jurisdictional frameworks .....</b>	<b>187</b>
<b>II.</b>	<b>Referral regulations in the Rome Statute of the ICC .....</b>	<b>188</b>
A.	Case referrals following a successful challenge to the ICC's admissibility under article 19 of the Rome Statute .....	188

Contents	XIII
B. Referral of evidence obtained during an ICC investigation or trial .....	194
C. Case referrals as part of an ICC completion or exit strategy .....	197
D. Conclusion .....	199
<b>III. Referral as an illustration of complementarity in practice: difficulties and prospects .....</b>	<b>199</b>
A. Case studies .....	201
1. Uganda .....	201
2. Democratic Republic of Congo .....	202
3. Kenya .....	204
<b>IV. Some possible lessons learned from the ICTY and ICTR referral practice for the ICC .....</b>	<b>206</b>
A. Clarification of applicable substantive laws .....	206
B. Strong post-referral monitoring mechanism .....	207
C. Early Establishment of a Transition Team .....	208
<b>Conclusion and outlook .....</b>	<b>210</b>
<b>Appendix .....</b>	<b>214</b>
<b>Bibliography .....</b>	<b>219</b>