

## Summary of Contents

Preface	7
Acknowledgments	9
Table of Abbreviations	23
Chapter § 1 Introduction	25
A. Structure	29
B. Methodology	30
C. Scope of the study	34
Part I The ‘international’ <i>amicus curiae</i>	41
Chapter § 2 Great expectations? Presumed functions and drawbacks of <i>amicus curiae</i> participation	43
A. Presumed functions of <i>amicus curiae</i>	43
B. Presumed drawbacks	64
C. Conclusion	72
Chapter § 3 An international instrument	73
A. <i>Amicus curiae</i> before national courts	74
B. Emergence and rise of <i>amicus curiae</i> before international courts and tribunals	91
C. Conclusion	121
Chapter § 4 Characteristics, status and function of <i>amicus curiae</i> before international courts	123
A. Characteristics of the international <i>amicus curiae</i>	123
B. Functions of the international <i>amicus curiae</i>	132
C. <i>Amicus curiae</i> and other forms of non-party participation	157
D. Conclusion	172

Part II Commonalities and divergences: the procedural laws of <i>amicus curiae</i> participation	175
Chapter § 5 Admission of <i>amicus curiae</i> to the proceedings	177
A. Legal bases for <i>amicus curiae</i> participation	177
B. Conditions concerning the person of <i>amicus curiae</i>	228
C. Request for leave procedures	266
D. Conclusion	314
Chapter § 6 <i>Amici curiae</i> in the proceedings	317
A. Oral and written participation	318
B. Recorded participation	332
C. Formalization of participation	334
D. Substantive requirements and the content of submissions	345
E. Submission of evidence	404
F. Access to documents	408
G. Conclusion	425
Part III The added value of the international <i>amicus curiae</i>	429
Chapter § 7 Does content matter? Substantive effectiveness of <i>amicus curiae</i> submissions	431
A. An obligation to consider?	433
B. International Court of Justice	435
C. International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea	440
D. European Court of Human Rights	443
E. Inter-American Court of Human Rights	450
F. African Court on Human and Peoples' Rights	459
G. WTO Appellate Body and panels	459
H. Investor-state arbitration	469
I. Comparative analysis	479
J. Conclusion	487

Chapter § 8	Effects on the international dispute settlement system	489
A.	Effect on the relationship between the court, the disputing parties and the member states: <i>amici curiae</i> as evidence of an assertive international judiciary?	490
B.	Public interest: <i>amicus curiae</i> as motor and evidence of an expanding judicial function?	504
C.	<i>Amicus curiae</i> as a tool to increase the legitimacy of international adjudication?	525
D.	Increased coherence? Impact on international law	535
E.	Transparency: demise of confidentiality and access to the proceedings and case documents?	538
F.	Impact on <i>locus standi</i> : <i>amicus curiae</i> as a precursor to international legal standing?	542
G.	And the drawbacks?	546
H.	Conclusion	567
Chapter § 9	Conclusion	569
A.	What is it?	569
B.	Added value of <i>amicus curiae</i> participation in international dispute settlement	571
Annex I:	Cases with <i>amicus curiae</i> involvement	575
Annex II		705
Bibliography		707

# Contents

Preface	7
Acknowledgments	9
Table of Abbreviations	23
Chapter § 1 Introduction	25
A. Structure	29
B. Methodology	30
C. Scope of the study	34
Part I The ‘international’ <i>amicus curiae</i>	41
Chapter § 2 Great expectations? Presumed functions and drawbacks of <i>amicus curiae</i> participation	43
A. Presumed functions of <i>amicus curiae</i>	43
I. Broader access to information	43
II. Representation of ‘the’ public interest	47
III. Legitimacy and democratization	53
IV. Contribution to the coherence of international law	59
V. Increased transparency	62
B. Presumed drawbacks	64
I. Practical burdens	65
II. Compromising the parties’ rights	65
III. Politicization of disputes, de-legitimization and lobbyism	67
IV. Overwhelming developing countries	70

Contents

V. Unmanageable quantities of submissions	71
VI. Denaturing of the judicial function	72
C. Conclusion	72
Chapter § 3 An international instrument	73
A. <i>Amicus curiae</i> before national courts	74
I. The origins of <i>amicus curiae</i>	74
II. <i>Amicus curiae</i> before the English courts	76
III. <i>Amicus curiae</i> before the United States Federal Courts and the Supreme Court	81
IV. Internationalization: <i>amicus curiae</i> in civil law systems and in inter- and supranational legal instruments	86
V. Comparative analysis	90
B. Emergence and rise of <i>amicus curiae</i> before international courts and tribunals	91
I. International Court of Justice	91
II. International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea	100
III. European Court of Human Rights	103
IV. Inter-American Court of Human Rights	106
V. African Court on Human and Peoples' Rights	108
VI. WTO Appellate Body and panels	109
VII. Investor-state arbitration	115
C. Conclusion	121
Chapter § 4 Characteristics, status and function of <i>amicus curiae</i> before international courts	123
A. Characteristics of the international <i>amicus curiae</i>	123
I. A procedural instrument	124
II. A non-party and a non-party instrument	126
III. Transmission of information	129
IV. An interested participant	130
V. An instrument of non-state actors?	132
B. Functions of the international <i>amicus curiae</i>	132

I. Information-based <i>amicus curiae</i>	133
II. Interest-based <i>amicus curiae</i>	138
1. International Court of Justice and International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea	139
2. European Court of Human Rights	140
3. Inter-American Court of Human Rights	144
4. WTO Appellate Body and panels	146
5. Investor-state arbitration	148
6. Comparative analysis	152
III. Systemic <i>amicus curiae</i>	152
IV. Analysis	154
1. The myth of ‘the’ international <i>amicus curiae</i>	155
2. An evolving concept	156
3. Are there limits to the functions <i>amici curiae</i> may assume?	156
C. <i>Amicus curiae</i> and other forms of non-party participation	157
I. International Court of Justice and International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea	159
II. WTO Appellate Body and panels	164
III. Investor-state arbitration	168
IV. Comparative analysis	171
D. Conclusion	172
Part II Commonalities and divergences: the procedural laws of <i>amicus curiae</i> participation	175
Chapter § 5 Admission of <i>amicus curiae</i> to the proceedings	177
A. Legal bases for <i>amicus curiae</i> participation	177
I. International Court of Justice	180
II. International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea	191
III. European Court of Human Rights	195
IV. Inter-American Court of Human Rights	197
V. African Court on Human and Peoples’ Rights	200
VI. WTO Appellate Body and panels	202
1. Panels	202

2. Appellate Body	207
VII. Investor-state arbitration	213
1. Clauses in investment treaties	213
2. Clauses in institutional procedural rules	215
3. Implied powers	222
4. <i>Ad hoc</i> agreements	224
VIII. Comparative analysis	225
1. Codification and informal doctrine precedent?	226
2. Common regulatory approaches	227
B. Conditions concerning the person of <i>amicus curiae</i>	228
I. International Court of Justice	229
II. International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea	231
III. European Court of Human Rights	235
IV. Inter-American Court of Human Rights	241
V. African Court on Human and Peoples' Rights	246
VI. WTO Appellate Body and panels	247
VII. Investor-state arbitration	250
1. Legal standards	250
2. Application	253
VIII. Comparative analysis	261
C. Request for leave procedures	266
I. Formal requirements	269
1. Timing	269
2. Form and length	283
II. Substantive requirements concerning the application	284
1. International Court of Justice	284
2. European Court on Human Rights	284
3. African Court on Human and Peoples' Rights	286
4. WTO Appellate Body and panels	286
5. Investor-state arbitration	287
III. Full discretion: decision on admissibility	304
IV. Comparative analysis	312
D. Conclusion	314
Chapter § 6 <i>Amici curiae</i> in the proceedings	317
A. Oral and written participation	318

I. International Court of Justice	318
II. International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea	319
III. European Court of Human Rights	320
IV. Inter-American Court of Human Rights	323
V. African Court on Human and Peoples' Rights	325
VI. WTO Appellate Body and panels	326
VII. Investor-state arbitration	328
VIII. Comparative Analysis	330
1. Confidential and/or private nature of the dispute settlement mechanism	331
2. Regulatory reasons	332
3. Efficiency, costs and control	332
4. Personal views of judges	332
B. Recorded participation	332
C. Formalization of participation	334
I. Form of written submissions	335
1. Length	335
2. Language	336
3. Authentication	339
4. Failure to comply	342
II. Comparative analysis	343
D. Substantive requirements and the content of submissions	345
I. International Court of Justice and International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea	346
II. European Court of Human Rights	350
III. Inter-American Court of Human Rights	362
IV. African Court on Human and Peoples' Rights	369
V. WTO Appellate Body and panels	370
VI. Investor-state arbitration	381
1. Legal standards	381
2. Particular knowledge or perspective: human rights and EU law?	382
3. Within the scope of the dispute	388
4. Applicable law and its limits	393
VII. Comparative analysis	401
E. Submission of evidence	404
F. Access to documents	408



*Contents*

I. International Court of Justice and International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea	409
II. European Court of Human Rights, Inter-American Court of Human Rights and African Court on Human and Peoples' Rights	411
III. WTO Appellate Body and panels	412
IV. Investor-state arbitration	417
V. Comparative analysis	425
G. Conclusion	425
Part III The added value of the international <i>amicus curiae</i>	429
Chapter § 7 Does content matter? Substantive effectiveness of <i>amicus curiae</i> submissions	431
A. An obligation to consider?	433
B. International Court of Justice	435
C. International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea	440
D. European Court of Human Rights	443
E. Inter-American Court of Human Rights	450
F. African Court on Human and Peoples' Rights	459
G. WTO Appellate Body and panels	459
H. Investor-state arbitration	469
I. Comparative analysis	479
I. Why the hesitation?	482
II. Elements of successful briefs	484
III. Limits to the consideration of briefs	484
J. Conclusion	487

Chapter § 8	Effects on the international dispute settlement system	489
A.	Effect on the relationship between the court, the disputing parties and the member states: <i>amici curiae</i> as evidence of an assertive international judiciary?	490
	I. International Court of Justice	491
	II. International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea	493
	III. European Court of Human Rights and African Court on Human and Peoples' Rights	493
	IV. Inter-American Court of Human Rights	493
	V. WTO Appellate Body and panels	494
	VI. Investor-state arbitration	499
	VII. Comparative analysis	504
B.	Public interest: <i>amicus curiae</i> as motor and evidence of an expanding judicial function?	504
	I. International Court of Justice	507
	II. International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea	510
	III. European Court of Human Rights	511
	IV. Inter-American Court of Human Rights	512
	V. WTO Appellate Body and panels	513
	VI. Investor-state arbitration	517
	VII. Comparative Analysis	521
	1. The right agent?	522
	2. Denaturation of judicial proceedings?	523
C.	<i>Amicus curiae</i> as a tool to increase the legitimacy of international adjudication?	525
	I. Procedural legitimacy	526
	II. Substantive legitimacy	529
	III. Conditions: representativity and accountability	531
D.	Increased coherence? Impact on international law	535
E.	Transparency: demise of confidentiality and access to the proceedings and case documents?	538
F.	Impact on <i>locus standi</i> : <i>amicus curiae</i> as a precursor to international legal standing?	542
G.	And the drawbacks?	546

## Contents

I. Parties' rights	547
1. Due process	548
2. Procedural fairness and equality between the parties	557
II. Practical burdens	561
1. Right to a speedy trial and undue delay?	561
2. Exploding costs?	562
H. Conclusion	567
Chapter § 9 Conclusion	569
A. What is it?	569
B. Added value of <i>amicus curiae</i> participation in international dispute settlement	571
Annex I: Cases with <i>amicus curiae</i> involvement	575
Annex II	705
Bibliography	707