

VOLUME 2

29	The Arab World	
	<i>Mohamed M. El Zeidy</i>	960
29.1	Introduction	960
29.2	General Context	965
29.3	The 2005 Draft Model Law	967
	29.3.1 Drafting History	967
	29.3.2 Jurisdiction	971
29.4	Definition	977
29.5	Conclusion	983
30	Asia	
	<i>Nina H. B. Jørgensen</i>	993
30.1	Introduction	993
30.2	General Context	998
	30.2.1 Tajikistan	998
	30.2.2 Kazakhstan	999
	30.2.3 Uzbekistan	1000
	30.2.4 Mongolia	1001
	30.2.5 Vietnam	1001
	30.2.6 India	1002
	30.2.7 Pakistan	1003
	30.2.8 Bangladesh	1004
	30.2.9 Sri Lanka	1006

30.2.10	Singapore	1007
30.2.11	Myanmar	1007
30.2.12	Malaysia	1007
30.2.13	Cambodia	1008
30.2.14	Thailand	1009
30.2.15	Philippines	1009
30.2.16	Laos	1010
30.2.17	Indonesia	1011
30.2.18	East Timor	1012
30.2.19	South Korea	1013
30.2.20	Japan	1013
30.2.21	China	1016
30.2.22	Hong Kong	1017
30.2.23	Taiwan	1018
30.2.24	Bhutan	1019
30.3	Definition	1019
30.3.1	Leadership Element	1019
30.3.2	Individual Conduct	1021
30.3.3	Collective Act	1021
30.3.4	Mental Element	1023
30.4	Jurisdiction	1024
30.5	Cases	1026
30.6	Conclusion	1028
31	(Extended) Synopsis: The Crime of Aggression under Domestic Criminal Law	
	<i>Astrid Reisinger Coracini</i>	1038
31.1	Introduction	1038
31.2	The Rome Statute Definition as an Emerging Standard for Domestic Criminal Law	1040
31.2.1	National Legislation	1040
31.2.1.1	Overview	1040
31.2.1.2	Approaches to Implementation	1041
31.2.1.3	Elements of the Crime of Aggression	1044
31.2.2	The Role of Regional Organisations	1048
31.2.2.1	Political Support	1048
31.2.2.2	The Arab Model Law for Crimes within the Court's Jurisdiction	1049
31.2.2.3	Prosecuting the Crime of Aggression at the Regional Level	1051
31.3	National Definitions Predating the 2010 Resolution on the Crime of Aggression	1055

31.3.1	Implementing Crimes against Peace under International Law	1055
31.3.1.1	Protected Legal Interests and Policy Considerations	1055
31.3.1.2	Leadership Element	1057
31.3.1.3	Individual Conduct	1059
31.3.1.4	Collective Act	1060
31.3.2	Extending the Modes of Perpetration	1062
31.3.3	Limiting Individual Criminal Responsibility to Modes of Perpetration Beyond the Crimes against Peace Formula	1063
31.3.4	Addendum: Criminalising Aspects of Crimes against Peace to Protect the State	1064
31.4	Penalties	1065
31.5	Jurisdiction	1067
31.6	Case Law	1069
31.7	Evaluation	1071
32	Selected National Laws and Regional Instruments on the Crime of Aggression	1079
PART V CRIME OF AGGRESSION AND THE FUTURE WORLD ORDER		1115
A.	ACTORS' VIEWS	1115
33	Brazil	
	<i>Marcel Biato and Marcelo Böhlke</i>	1117
33.1	Introduction	1117
33.2	Brazil's General Stance on the Issue	1118
33.3	Informal Meetings and the Reconvened Eighth Session of the ASP	1121
33.4	Preparations for the Review Conference	1123
33.5	Concluding Remarks	1129
34	China	
	<i>Zhou Lulu</i>	1131
34.1	Introduction	1131
34.2	Definition of the Crime of Aggression	1131
34.2.1	The State Act of Aggression	1131
34.2.2	The Threshold Clause	1132
34.3	The Role of the Security Council	1133
34.4	Entry into Force of the Amendments	1137
34.4.1	Entry into Force for a State Party	1137
34.4.2	Activation of the Court's Jurisdiction	1137
34.5	The Question of Consent by the State Concerned	1138

34.5.1	Consent and Security Council Referrals	1138
34.5.2	Consent for State Referrals and <i>Proprio Motu</i> Investigations	1138
34.6	Domestic Jurisdiction over the Crime of Aggression	1139
34.7	Conclusion	1140
35	France	
	<i>Edwige Belliard</i>	1143
35.1	Introduction	1143
35.2	France's Statement following Adoption of the Resolution on the Crime of Aggression	1144
35.3	The Conditions for the Amendment's Entry into Force	1147
35.4	Conclusion	1147
36	Germany	
	<i>Susanne Wasum-Rainer</i>	1149
36.1	Introduction: Germany and the Way to Kampala	1149
36.2	Significance of the Crime of Aggression	1151
36.3	Challenges	1152
36.4	The Way Ahead	1154
36.5	Conclusion	1156
37	India	
	<i>Narinder Singh</i>	1158
37.1	Introduction	1158
37.2	Consideration by the UN General Assembly	1159
37.3	The UN General Assembly's Definition of Aggression	1161
37.4	The Definition of the Crime of Aggression	1163
37.5	The Court's Jurisdiction over the Crime of Aggression	1164
37.6	Triggering the Court's Jurisdiction	1164
37.7	Role of the Security Council	1165
37.8	Position of the Non-Aligned Movement	1168
37.9	Article 15 <i>bis</i> and 15 <i>ter</i> : Exercise of Jurisdiction	1169
37.10	Conclusion	1171
38	Iran	
	<i>Djamchid Montaz and Esmaeil Baghaei Hamaneh</i>	1174
38.1	Introduction	1174
38.2	Iran's Long Quest to Hold Aggressors Accountable	1175
	38.2.1 Iran's Sustained Support for Defining Aggression	1175
	38.2.2 The Islamic Republic and Aggression	1176
38.3	Iran and the Review Conference	1178
	38.3.1 Iran's Concerns over Manipulation of the Definition of Aggression	1178

38.3.2	Iran's Concerns over Conditions for the Court's Exercise of Jurisdiction	1182
38.3.3	Iran's Concerns over the 'Understandings' on the Definition	1185
38.4	Conclusion	1192
39	Israel	
	<i>Roy S. Schöndorf and Daniel Geron</i>	1198
39.1	Introduction	1198
39.2	Definitional Pitfalls	1199
39.2.1	Legality: Precise, Clear and Customary?	1200
39.2.2	Legitimacy: Grey Areas and Hard Cases?	1203
39.2.3	Relevance: Modern Threats and Non-State Actors?	1206
39.3	Jurisdictional Safeguards	1209
39.3.1	The Requirement of State Consent	1210
39.3.2	The Role of the Security Council	1211
39.4	Conclusion	1212
40	Japan	
	<i>Ichiro Komatsu</i>	1217
40.1	The Rome Statute and Japan	1217
40.2	The Special Significance of the Review Conference for Japan	1220
40.2.1	Historical Background	1220
40.2.2	Why did Japan Stick to the Notion of 'Legal Coherence' to the End?	1222
40.2.2.1	Japan's Basic Principles in Kampala	1222
40.2.2.2	Legal Coherence when Amending a Treaty: An End does not Justify a Means	1224
40.3	Legal Coherence in Amending Treaties	1226
40.3.1	The Relevant Amendment Provisions	1226
40.3.1.1	The Polemic over the Applicable Amendment Procedure: What is the Real Issue?	1226
40.3.1.2	Article 121(4) versus Article 121(5)	1228
40.3.1.3	The So-Called 'Negative' and 'Positive' Understandings of Article 121(5)	1228
40.3.2	Problems in the 2010 Resolution on the Crime of Aggression	1230
40.4	How should States Parties Act in the Light of the 2010 Resolution on the Crime of Aggression?	1231
41	Republic of Korea (South Korea)	
	<i>Young Sok Kim</i>	1234
41.1	Introduction	1234
41.2	Significance of the Crime for South Korea and the East Asia Region	1236

41.3	Challenges and the Way Ahead	1237
41.4	Conclusion	1239
42	Norway	
	<i>Rolf Einar Fife</i>	1242
42.1	Introduction	1242
42.2	The First World War and Governing Assumptions in the Aftermath of the 'Original Catastrophe' of the Twentieth Century	1244
42.3	'Cosmopolis and Utopia' after the First World War	1245
42.4	A Perspective of Legal Theory: The Principle of Formal Justice	1247
42.5	The Integration of Social Theory Perspectives into the Theory of International Law after the First World War	1249
42.6	Inspiration from Systems Theory	1251
42.7	Evidentiary Requirements in the Context of Armed Conflict	1252
42.8	Particular Evidentiary Challenges Relating to International Crimes in Armed Conflict	1253
42.9	Particular Evidentiary Challenges Pertaining to Crimes against Peace in such Situations	1255
42.10	Conclusion	1258
43	Russia	
	<i>Gennady Kuzmin and Igor Panin</i>	1264
43.1	Introduction	1264
43.2	The Role of the UN Security Council	1265
43.3	Legal Discrepancies and Ambiguity	1267
43.4	Conclusion	1269
44	South Africa	
	<i>Andre Stemmet</i>	1271
44.1	Introduction	1271
44.2	A Century of Conflict and Peacemaking Shapes the South African Approach	1271
44.2.1	The Historical Background to South Africa's Participation in the Negotiations	1271
44.2.2	South Africa's Approach to and Strategy during the Negotiations	1274
44.2.2.1	Definition of the Individual's Conduct and the State Act of Aggression	1275
44.2.2.2	Activation of ICC Jurisdiction over the Crime of Aggression	1276
44.2.2.3	The Question of the Entry into Force of the Amendments	1277
44.2.2.4	The Question of Territoriality	1278

44.2.3	The Search for Consensus	1279
44.2.4	Assessment of the Kampala Compromise	1280
44.3	Conclusion	1282
45	United Kingdom	
	<i>Christopher Whomersley</i>	1285
46	United States	
	<i>Harold Hongju Koh and Todd F. Buchwald</i>	1290
47	Egypt	
	<i>Namira Negm</i>	1300
47.1	Introduction	1300
47.2	Egypt and the Group of Arab States	1301
47.3	Egypt as a Chair of the Non-Aligned Movement	1302
47.4	Egypt and the Group of African States	1303
47.5	Egypt as a Non-State Party	1303
47.6	Egypt and the Special Working Group	1303
47.6.1	Thresholds to Ensure the Leadership Nature of the Crime	1304
47.6.2	1974 GA Resolution 3314	1305
47.6.3	The Trigger Mechanism	1306
47.6.4	Entry into Force	1307
47.7	Conclusion	1309
48	Civil Society	
	<i>Noah Weisbord</i>	1310
48.1	Introduction	1310
48.2	The Idea	1310
48.2.1	Aggression is Not Our Business	1314
48.2.2	The Use of Armed Force by States is a Political Issue, Not a Legal One	1315
48.2.3	The New Crime will Topple the Court	1316
48.2.4	The New Crime is Too Vague	1318
48.2.5	The Jurisdictional Regime does Not Reach Far Enough	1320
48.2.6	The Jurisdictional Regime Threatens the Independence of the Court	1322
48.3	The Actors	1324
48.4	The Context	1331
48.4.1	The Physical Context	1331
48.4.2	The Intellectual–Historical Context	1334
48.5	Going Forward	1337
48.5.1	Embracing the Kampala Amendments	1338

48.5.2	Ignoring the Kampala Amendments	1342
48.5.3	Opposing the Kampala Amendments	1343
48.6	Conclusion	1345
B.	SCHOLARLY REFLECTIONS	1357
49	‘A Trap for the Innocent . . .’	
	<i>Martti Koskeniemi</i>	1359
49.1	Introduction	1359
49.2	Indeterminacy: Not of Single Words but of a Conceptual World	1360
49.2.1	The Lawful Character of Some War	1362
49.2.2	Regulating the Use of Force: The Basic Difficulty	1365
49.2.3	Other Determinants and ‘Manifest Violation of the Charter’	1368
49.3	Indeterminacy: Not of Language but of the World	1370
49.4	The Weakness of Rules in the International World	1375
49.5	Epilogue. Understanding Bad and Good Men: The Irreducible Politics of it All	1379
50	Unjust War and the Crime of Aggression	
	<i>Jeff McMahan</i>	1386
51	What is the Specific Evil of Aggression?	
	<i>Frédéric Mégret</i>	1398
51.1	Introduction	1398
51.2	Aggression as a Crime against the State	1402
51.2.1	The Rise of Humanitarian Sensitivity	1404
51.2.2	Aggression and the Sovereignty–Self-Determination–Democracy Conundrum	1408
51.2.3	The Importance of International Public Order	1411
51.3	Aggression as Crime against Peace	1414
51.3.1	Is Aggression Really the ‘Mother of All Crimes’?	1416
51.3.2	The Humanitarian ‘Laundering’ of the Violence of War	1420
51.3.3	The Deference of International Human Rights Law	1424
51.4	Aggression as a Crime against Human Rights	1428
51.4.1	Recapturing the Human Rights High Ground	1429
51.4.2	(Re)Conceptualising the Parallel Application of the Laws of War and Human Rights Law	1434
51.4.3	Whose Rights, Which Rights?	1439
51.5	Conclusion	1444
52	The Crime of Bootstrapping	
	<i>Jens David Ohlin</i>	1454
52.1	Introduction	1454
52.2	Causing the Conditions of One’s Own Defence	1455

52.3	Applying the <i>Actio Libera in Causa</i> Principle to War	1458
52.4	<i>Jus in Bello</i> Aggrandisement	1462
52.5	Operational Obstacles to Prosecuting Aggression	1466
52.6	Conclusion	1474
53	Amending the Crime of Aggression under the Rome Statute	
	<i>David Scheffer</i>	1480
53.1	Introduction	1480
53.2	Modern Realities of Aggression	1482
53.3	Fixing the 2010 Resolution on the Crime of Aggression	1485
53.3.1	Revising the Definition of ‘Crime of Aggression’	1485
53.3.2	Revising the Definition of ‘Act of Aggression’	1486
53.3.3	Adding Cyber Measures as an Act of Aggression	1488
53.3.4	Resolving the Dispute over Article 121(5)	1488
53.3.5	Aggression on State Party Territory by Nationals of Non-States Parties Aligned with Non-State Entities	1491
53.3.6	Security Council Determination of an Act of Aggression	1491
53.3.7	Security Council Determination that no Act of Aggression has Occurred	1492
53.3.8	Clarifying the Security Council’s Referral Power	1493
53.3.9	Individual Criminal Responsibility for the Crime of Aggression	1494
53.3.10	Technical Revisions of Amendments to the Elements of Crimes	1495
53.3.11	Clarifying the Meaning of Understanding 7	1495
53.4	Conclusion	1496
	Epilogue. The Long Journey to Kampala: A Personal Memoir	
	<i>Benjamin B. Ferencz</i>	1501
1.	A Brief Biographical Sketch	1501
2.	The Biggest Murder Trial	1503
3.	The Mentality of Mass Murderers	1504
4.	Reparations to Victims	1506
5.	The Search for World Peace	1507
6.	The Road to Rome	1509
7.	What Really Happened at Kampala	1510
8.	Aggression as a Crime against Humanity	1511
9.	Priority of National Courts	1515
10.	Concluding Thoughts	1516
	<i>Index</i>	1520