

Contents

Acknowledgements	9
Tables and Figures	10
Abbreviations and Acronyms	11
1 Introduction	13
1.1 Counterterrorism Cooperation and International Bureaucracies: Research Questions and Objectives	13
1.2 State of Research	18
1.2.1 Research on Counterterrorism Cooperation	18
1.2.2 International Bureaucracies in International Relations Theory	22
1.3 Research Design and Methods	26
1.3.1 The Method of Structured, Focused Comparison	26
1.3.2 Case Selection	28
1.3.3 Sources	31
1.4 Outline	32
2 Analyzing International Bureaucracies: A Theoretical Framework	35
2.1 International Governmental Organizations: From Instruments to Actors	35
2.2 From International Governmental Organizations to International Bureaucracies	38
2.2.1 The Theory and Practice of Bureaucracy	40
2.2.2 Transferring the Bureaucracy Concept to the International Level	43
2.3 The Autonomy of International Bureaucracies	48
2.3.1 Conceptualizing Bureaucratic Autonomy	49
2.3.2 Basic Indicators of Bureaucratic Autonomy	52
2.4 From Bureaucratic Effectiveness to the Performance of Bureaucratic Functions	55
2.4.1 Problems of Studying Bureaucratic Effectiveness	57
2.4.2 The Performance of Bureaucratic Functions as Alternative Indicator	60
2.5 International Relations Theory and Three Functions of International Bureaucracies	62
2.5.1 International Bureaucracies as Entrepreneurs	63
2.5.2 International Bureaucracies as Managers	66
2.5.3 International Bureaucracies as Think-Tanks	69
2.6 Conditions of Bureaucratic Autonomy and Functions: Preliminary Assumptions	73

2.7	Chapter Conclusion	77
3	Terrorism, Counterterrorism, and International Cooperation: Surveying an Ambivalent Field	78
3.1	Terrorism: Construction and Contention	79
3.2	Counterterrorism: Prevention and Coercion	82
3.3	Counterterrorism Cooperation: Functional Governance and Political Contestation	85
3.4	Chapter Conclusion	89
4.	The United Nations and the European Union in the Fight against Terrorism	91
4.1	The Organizational Field of UN Counterterrorism Cooperation	92
4.1.1	The Institutional Framework of UN Counterterrorism Cooperation	92
4.1.2	The Historical Roots of UN Counterterrorism Cooperation	98
4.2	From Hegemonic Leadership to System-Wide Effort: UN Counterterrorism Cooperation 2001-2010	101
4.2.1	Responding to 9/11: The United States, the Security Council, and Resolution 1373	102
4.2.2	Monitoring Compliance: Early Successes and First Reforms in the Counterterrorism Committee	105
4.2.3	Broadening Counterterrorism: The Secretary-General, the General Assembly, and the Global Strategy	112
4.2.4	Adapting to the Strategy: A Pragmatic Response in the Security Council	115
4.2.5	Implementing the Strategy: The UN System and the Global Strategy	118
4.3	The Organizational Field of EU Counterterrorism Cooperation	121
4.3.1	The Institutional Framework of EU Counterterrorism Cooperation	122
4.3.2	The Historical Roots of EU Counterterrorism Cooperation	126
4.4	From Acceleration to Incrementalism: EU Counterterrorism Cooperation 2001-2009	129
4.4.1	Responding to 9/11: A Window of Opportunity for Internal Security Policy	130
4.4.2	Accelerating Counterterrorism: The Madrid Bombings and a New Momentum	134
4.4.3	Broadening Counterterrorism: The London Bombings and the EU Strategy	138

4.4.4	Implementing the Strategy: Towards Technical Incrementalism	142
4.5	Chapter Conclusion	147
5.	Case Study 1: The United Nations Secretariat	149
5.1	The Bureaucratic Autonomy of the UN Secretariat	149
5.1.1	Resources	150
5.1.2	Leeway	155
5.1.3	Interests and Culture	160
5.2	The Bureaucratic Functions of the UN Secretariat	163
5.2.1	The UN Secretariat as Entrepreneur	164
5.2.2	The UN Secretariat as Manager	171
5.2.3	The UN Secretariat as Think-Tank	177
5.3	Chapter Conclusion	181
6.	Case Study 2: The UN Counterterrorism Committee Executive Directorate	183
6.1	The Bureaucratic Autonomy of CTED	184
6.1.1	Resources	184
6.1.2	Leeway	189
6.1.3	Interests and Culture	194
6.2	The Bureaucratic Functions of CTED	196
6.2.1	CTED as Entrepreneur	196
6.2.2	CTED as Manager	197
6.2.3	CTED as Think-Tank	205
6.3	Chapter Conclusion	207
7.	Case Study 3: The European Commission	209
7.1	The Bureaucratic Autonomy of the European Commission	210
7.1.1	Resources	210
7.1.2	Leeway	214
7.1.3	Interests and Culture	218
7.2	The Bureaucratic Functions of the European Commission	220
7.2.1	The European Commission as Entrepreneur	220
7.2.2	The European Commission as Manager	229
7.2.3	The European Commission as Think-Tank	234
7.3	Chapter Conclusion	240

8. Case Study 4: The EU Counterterrorism Coordinator	242
8.1 The Bureaucratic Autonomy of the EU Counterterrorism Coordinator	242
8.1.1 Resources	243
8.1.2 Leeway	246
8.1.3 Interests and Culture	250
8.2 The Bureaucratic Functions of the EU Counterterrorism Coordinator	251
8.2.1 The EU Counterterrorism Coordinator as Entrepreneur	251
8.2.2 The EU Counterterrorism Coordinator as Manager	252
8.2.3 The EU Counterterrorism Coordinator as Think-Tank	255
8.3 Chapter Conclusion	256
9. Conclusion	257
9.1 Case Study Findings and Comparative Analysis	258
9.1.1 Autonomy: Resource Dependence, Bounded Leeway, and Pragmatic Interests	258
9.1.2 Functions: Situational Entrepreneurs, Cautious Managers, and Ambiguous Think-Tanks	261
9.1.3 Conditions: Hard Constraints and Soft Opportunities	266
9.2 Rethinking International Counterterrorism Bureaucracies: A Case of “Successful Failure”?	268
9.3 International Bureaucracies in International Relations Theory: Contributions and Desiderata	272
References	277
Annex: List of Interviews	325