## Contents

СН	IAPTER ONE. Background	13			
A.	Introduction: Subject and methodology	13			
B.	The right to self-representation in national and regional jurisdic-				
	tions	16			
	I. National jurisdictions	17			
1. Common law systems: The example of <i>Faretta v. Califo</i>					
	nia	17			
	2. Civil law / Other legal systems: Review of legislation	26			
	II. Regional jurisdictions	34			
	1. Existing provisions	34			
	2. Human Rights Committee and European Court of Human Rights	38			
	III. Influence of national and regional interpretations of the right to self-representation on the international criminal legal				
	system	45			
C.	Preliminary observations	52			
CE	HAPTER TWO. The right to self-representation in international criminal proceedings	54			
A.	Statutory provisions on the right to defend oneself in person	54			
В.	Judicial interpretation of the right to self-representation	66			
	I. The initial recognition of the right to self-representation	67			
	1. The case of Slobodan Milošević	67			
	a. Discussion of the Milošević approach	74			
	b. Confirmation and modification of the <i>Milošević</i>				
	approach	78			
	2. Models differing from the <i>Milošević</i> approach	84			
	II. Recognition of the right to self-representation at different				
	stages of the proceedings	89			
	<ol> <li>The case of Momčilo Krajišnik: Trial phase</li> </ol>	92			

	2. The case of Momčilo Krajišnik: Appeals phase	97
	a. The majority opinion recognising self-representation	
	on appeal	97
	b. The fundamental dissent opposing self-representation	99
	3. Discussion of the <i>Krajišnik</i> positions	102
	a. Views expressed in the trial proceedings	102
	b. Position of the appeals chamber majority	105
	c. Opinion of the fundamental dissent	112
	III. The impact of self-representation on the right to a fair trial	114
	1. Interests of the accused	114
	2. Interests of other participants in the proceedings	118
C.	Potential pitfalls and actual repercussions of self-representation in	
	the practice of international criminal proceedings	122
	I. Negative consequences of the choice to self-represent for	
	the accused	123
	II. Risk of self-incrimination	127
	III. Complexity of proceedings and (lack of) legal knowledge	131
	1. Use of legal framework by self-represented accused	131
	2. Impact of lack of legal knowledge on the conduct of	
	proceedings	144
	IV. Language barriers influencing the exercise of self-represen-	
	tation	149
	1. Ad hocTribunals	149
	2. International Criminal Court	164
	V. Adequate time and facilities for self-represented accused	167
	1. Adequate time	171
	2. Adequate facilities	183
	a. Office and assistance facilities	183
	b. Financial aid	190
	i. The cases of Momčilo Krajišnik and Vojislav	
	Šešelj	190
	ii. The case of Radovan Karadžić	205
	c. Discussion	216
	3. Use of resources by self-represented accused	222
	a. Notion of "waste" of resources	222
	b. Time management and delays	229
	c. Potential impact of delays	241

D.	The role of legal advisors to self-represented accused	245
	I. The role of legal associates in <i>Tolimir</i> and <i>Karadžić</i>	246
	II. Privileged communications with legal advisors	259
E.	The accused choosing to self-represent and their motivations	265
	I. General views on potential motives of pro se defendants	265
	II. Motivations expressed by self-represented accused	269
F.	Preliminary observations	276
CF	HAPTER THREE. Restrictions on the exercise of the right to self-	
	representation	278
A.	Factors that may be considered when restricting self-representation	278
	I. Obstruction of the proceedings	281
	1. Unintentional obstruction	283
	a. The case of Slobodan Milošević	283
	b. Impact of the accused's state of health in other pro-	
	ceedings	288
	2. Intentional obstruction	297
	a. The case of Vojislav Šešelj	297
	b. The case of Radovan Karadžić	301
	II. Prior specific warning to the accused	305
	III. "Clean slate" principle	307
	IV. Proportionality of the restriction and right to be heard	311
	V. Balance of interests	313
	1. The right to expeditious proceedings	314
	2. General fairness considerations	317
	3. Further interests which may factor into the balance	320
В.	Nature and forms of restrictions	325
	I. Imposition of counsel	325
	1. ICTY: Directive on the Assignment of Defence Counsel	326
	2. The case of Radovan Karadžić	329
	a. Litigation	329
	b. Discussion	343
	II. The role of imposed counsel	349
	1. Potential roles to be played by imposed counsel	349
	2. Examples of implementation in practice	354
	3. Discussion	360

	III. Potential ethical implications for imposed counsel				
	<ol> <li>Internat</li> </ol>	ional Criminal Tribunal for the Former			
	Yugoslavia				
	2. International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda				
	3. Discuss	ion	379		
C. Preliminary observations					
Cŀ	IAPTER FOUR.	Suggestions for future interpretations of the right to defend oneself in person	382		
A.	Conclusion: Less	sons to be learned	382		
B.	Potential room foings	or active participation by accused in the proceed-	384		
ΑN	ANNEX. Summary (German)				
Bil	Bibliography				
A.	Doctrine		431		
B.	Table of cases		439		