

# Contents

1. Is there such a thing as religion? . . . . .	9
The Kant-Darwin Axis . . . . .	11
Religions without doctrines . . . . .	13
No “religion” in most cultures . . . . .	14
Who invented religion? . . . . .	16
Religions as brands . . . . .	20
Does the study of religion need “religion”? . . . . .	22
An uncertain and unnecessary concept . . . . .	23
2. What is natural in religions? . . . . .	25
Natural religion as a theory . . . . .	25
What is the phenomenon? . . . . .	26
The cognitive picture – supernatural concepts . . . . .	27
Why are supernatural concepts culturally stable? . . . . .	30
The cognitive picture – non-physical agency . . . . .	32
Natural religion is not (just) for the primitive Other . . . . .	35
Probabilistic, experience-distant model . . . . .	36
What makes religious notions culturally viable . . . . .	37
3. Do religions make people better? . . . . .	41
Humans are “prosocial” . . . . .	43
Apparently, morality could not possibly evolve . . . . .	44
Models of commitment . . . . .	47
Could “religion” be a form of prosocial signaling? . . . . .	50
So why are superhuman agents also moral enforcers? . . . . .	54
Epilogue . . . . .	55
4. Is there a religious experience? . . . . .	57
Why bother with experience? . . . . .	57
Who invented “religious experience”? . . . . .	60
Monks and magnets . . . . .	61
Rituals: a real (and most common) form of religious experience . . . . .	63
Ritualized behavior and precaution systems . . . . .	66
What about collective “rituals”? . . . . .	67
Religion and experience redux . . . . .	69
5. Are religions against reason and freedom? . . . . .	73
A recapitulation of natural religious elements . . . . .	73
Understanding religious cognition without “belief” . . . . .	76

Religion is not the sleep of reason . . . . .	77
The troubled consciousness of modern religions . . . . .	78
Two escape routes – fundamentalism and “spirituality” . . . . .	80
No need for “science and religion” or different “magisteria” . . . . .	85
Two varieties of Enlightenment . . . . .	89
Misleading policies: the specificity of “religion” . . . . .	91
Political psychology and secularization . . . . .	93
Epilogue – fracture of an illusion . . . . .	95
Afterword . . . . .	99
Bibliography . . . . .	105