
Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Theoretical Basis for Studying Legal Professionals in White-collar Crime	9
2.1	Social Theory, Sociology, and the Professions	9
2.2	Towards a Sociology of Knowledge: Exclusive Claims, Knowledge and Expertise	13
2.3	Towards a Political Sociology: Legal Professionals and Legal Elites	16
2.4	Merging an Elite and a Profession Perspective to Study the White-collar Crime Workgroup	21
3	Research Design	31
3.1	First Step of Research Strategy: Modes of Thinking and Hermeneutic Method	35
3.2	Second Step of Research Strategy: Modes of Acting and Decision-making Analysis	46
3.3	Third Step of Research Strategy: Additional Explanations	54
4	How do Legal Professionals Think?	59
4.1	Explanatory Variables for Collective Mindsets	76
4.1.1	Social origin	78
4.1.2	Sex	84
4.1.3	Career	89
4.1.4	Sector	94
4.2	Institutional Anchors	100
4.2.1	White-collar Crime	101

4.2.2	Republicanism and Positivism	104
4.2.3	Pragmatism	112
4.2.4	Critical School and Guarantism	116
4.3	Cultural Backwardness	119
5	How do Legal Professionals Decide?	129
5.1	A “differentiated kind of investigation” to deal with “a differentiated kind of criminality”	132
5.1.1	“Centralize and Conquer”	132
5.1.2	“Any Port in a Storm”	140
5.1.3	“All’s Fair in Love and War”	146
5.2	Business and Politicians on the Dock	154
5.2.1	Processing Time of Criminal Proceedings	154
5.2.2	Convictions and Penalties of Offenders	161
6	Additional Factors of Explanations	173
6.1	The Logic of the Law and the Administration of Justice	174
6.2	The Logic of Global Norms	191
6.3	The Aggregated Logic of Decision-making: A Research Agenda	201
7	How Autonomous has the Legal Elite been in Respect to the State and the Market?	209
8	Conclusion	231
	Epilogue	239
	References	243