## Contents

	Foreword Acknowledgements	xii xvi	
Introduction			
1	Crossing Parallels: The Relationship between the Doctrine and the Court as Liberal Cosmopolitan Tools against 'Atrocity Crimes'  1 Introduction 3  2 The Responsibility to Protect: The Need for a Clear Definition and Legal Framework of Action 3  3 The ICC: The Need for Enforcement Mechanisms and Political Independence 5  4 Defining the Developing Concept of Responsibility to Protect 6  5 Connecting the Doctrine to the Court 13  6 A Common Cultural Background: Liberal Cosmopolitanism 15  7 A Common Subject-Matter Jurisdiction: Atrocity Crimes 21  8 Positive Synergies between the Doctrine and the Court 28  9 Risks of Connecting the Doctrine and the Court 30  10 Conclusion 32  References 33	3	
2	The International Criminal Court as a Security Council Tool to Protect Populations from Core Crimes  1 Introduction 39  2 An Overview of the Darfur Situation 40  3 The Security Council Referrals: Resolutions 1593/2005 and 1970/2011 44	39	

x Contents	
------------	--

	<ul> <li>4 The Security Council and Referral Financing: Indirect Control over International Criminal Court Action 57</li> <li>5 Obligations and Rights Arising from Resolution 1970 59</li> <li>6 The Dialectic between the International Criminal Court and the Security Council 62</li> <li>7 Conclusion 67</li> <li>References 68</li> </ul>	
3	The Security Council's Responsibility to Protect: The Role	
	of the Doctrine in Responding to International Crimes	73
	1 Introduction 73	
	2 The Responsibility to Protect in Security Council Resolutions and Presidential Statements 73	
	3 The Partial Application of the Responsibility to Protect in Security Council Resolutions 76	
	4 The Security Council's Implementation of the Responsibility to Protect in Libya and Syria 78	
	5 The Responsibility to Protect after Libya: States' Approaches to the Doctrine 84	
	6 The Council and the Doctrine in the Syrian Armed Conflict 86	
	7 The Vetoed Referral to the International Criminal Court 88	
	8 The Responsibility Not to Veto: Preliminary Remarks on	
	Advocating More Action of the Security Council 90	
	9 Conclusion 99	
	References 99	
4	The International Criminal Court's Ability to Protect:	
	Questioning the Judicial Deterrence of International Crimes	104
	1 Introduction 104	
	2 The Distinction between Prevention and Deterrence 108	
	3 Traditional Deterrence Theory and the Rationality of Perpetrators 110	
	4 Definitions and Categories of Deterrence 114	
	5 Perception of Severity, Certainty, and Swiftness of Punishments 116	
	6 Literature on the Deterrent Power of the International Criminal Court 118	
	7 Deterrence in the Practice of the International Criminal Court 121	
	8 Conclusion 124	
	References 125	

	Syme.	200 200
5	The Impact on Ius ad Bellum of the International Criminal	
	Court and the Responsibility to Protect	130
	1 Introduction 130	
	2 The Responsibility to Protect and the Prohibition on the Use of Force 132	
	3 The Current Status of the Ius ad Bellum Regime 136	
	4 Humanitarian Intervention and the Responsibility to Protect 137	
	5 In Accordance with the Charter: Opinio Iuris on the	
	Responsibility to Protect and the Use of Force 138	
	6 The International Criminal Court and the Criminalisation of Aggression 141	
	7 Conclusion 153	
	References 154	
6	The Impact of the International Criminal Court and the	
	Responsibility to Protect on State Sovereignty	158
	1 Introduction 158	
	2 Sovereignty of Humanity: International Law after the Second World War 159	
	3 Laws of Humanity 163	
	4 Different Dimensions of Sovereignty and the Impact of the Doctrine and the Court 165	
	5 The Value of State Sovereignty 167	
	6 The International Criminal Court and Previous	
	International Tribunals 173	
	7 The Responsibility to Protect and Sovereignty as	
	Responsibility 178	
	8 Conclusion 180	
	References 181	
	Tay or	
C	onclusions	187
	Index	191