

# Contents

<i>Preface</i>	xi
Introduction <i>Aaron W. Hughes and Russell T. McCutcheon</i>	1
The “Religion Is . . .” Statements	6
1. Definition and the Politics of Semantic Drift: A Reply to Susan Henking <i>Craig Martin</i>	17
I Agree, and Yet, I Do Not: A Response to Craig Martin <i>Susan E. Henking</i>	28
2. Complicating Classification: Cognitive Science Comes to Religion: A Reply to Jeppe Sinding Jensen <i>Kathryn Lofton</i>	33
Religion in Mind? But Where: In Here—or Out There? A Response to Kathryn Lofton <i>Jeppe Sinding Jensen</i>	42
3. Negotiating Critical and Constructive Scholarship in the Study of Religion: A Reply to Martin Kavka <i>Kurtis R. Schaeffer</i>	48
On Truth and Lie in a Religious-Studies Sense: A Response to Kurtis R. Schaeffer <i>Martin Kavka</i>	58
4. Defining Temptation: A Reply to Anne Koch <i>Susan E. Henking</i>	64
Religion-ing/Religion*: Tempting Since Aesthetically Irresistible: A Response to Susan Henking <i>Anne Koch</i>	77

5. Is Judaism a Religion, and Why Should We Care? A Reply to Nicola Denzey Lewis <i>Shaul Magid</i>	83
Are World Religions “Religions”? What about Ancient “Religions”? A Response to Shaul Magid <i>Nicola Denzey Lewis</i>	90
6. Minding Our Manners in a World without the Gods: A Reply to Kathryn Lofton <i>S. Brent Plate</i>	97
What I Think About: A Response to S. Brent Plate <i>Kathryn Lofton</i>	105
7. The Circularity in Defining Religion: A Reply to Shaul Magid <i>Kocku von Stuckrad</i>	110
Colonialism, Monotheism, and Spirituality: A Response to Kocku von Stuckrad <i>Shaul Magid</i>	121
8. The Semantic Subject: Religion and the Limits of Language: A Reply to Craig Martin <i>Laurie Zoloth</i>	127
Religion Is . . . Not Like Science: A Response to Laurie Zoloth <i>Craig Martin</i>	136
9. Agreed: Religion Is Not a Thing—But Is It an Agent? A Reply to Malory Nye <i>Nicola Denzey Lewis</i>	142
Religion, Capital, and Other “Things” That Are Not Things: A Response to Nicola Denzey Lewis <i>Malory Nye</i>	151
10. Is (What Gets Called) Religion an Argument, Discourse, or Ideology? A Reply to Laurie L. Patton <i>Malory Nye</i>	158
Now What? A Response to Malory Nye <i>Laurie L. Patton</i>	168
11. Religion Is . . . What It Does: A Reply to Anthony B. Pinn <i>Jeppe Sinding Jensen</i>	175
Optics Matter: A Response to Jeppe Sinding Jensen <i>Anthony B. Pinn</i>	187

12. Religion Is an Ever-Adapting Ecosystem of Objects: A Reply to S. Brent Plate <i>Ann Taves</i>	192
Evolution, Technology, Art: A Response to Ann Taves <i>S. Brent Plate</i>	202
13. Scripturalization as Management of Difference: A Reply to Kurtis R. Schaeffer <i>Vincent L. Wimbush</i>	211
Inside/Outside, Then/Now: A Response to Vincent L. Wimbush <i>Kurtis R. Schaeffer</i>	223
14. Critical Voices, Public Debates: A Reply to Kocku von Stuckrad <i>Laurie L. Patton</i>	229
The Accountability of Embedded Scholarship: A Response to Laurie L. Patton <i>Kocku von Stuckrad</i>	237
15. Let's Talk about Reading: A Reply to Ann Taves <i>Martin Kavka</i>	243
A Reader's Guide to Worldviews and Ways of Life: A Response to Martin Kavka <i>Ann Taves</i>	254
16. Arguments against the Textualization Regime: A Reply to Vincent L. Wimbush <i>Anne Koch</i>	259
Refracting the Scriptural: A Response to Anne Koch <i>Vincent L. Wimbush</i>	270
17. Mapping Religion-religion: A Reply to Laurie Zoloth <i>Anthony B. Pinn</i>	277
What Do We Mean When We Say We Teach "Religion"? A Response to Anthony B. Pinn <i>Laurie Zoloth</i>	287
<i>Appendix: Definitions of Religion and Critical Comments</i> <i>Aaron W. Hughes and Russell T. McCutcheon</i>	297
<i>Contributors</i>	357
<i>Index</i>	361