

Table of Contents

Preface.....	V
List of Abbreviations.....	XVII

§ 1 Remarks on the History of Research.....	1
1.1 Preliminary Remarks.....	1
1.1.1 The History of Research – the Challenges of Overviews.....	2
1.2 The Extraordinary Influence of the SM.....	8
1.2.1 Its Influence as Sermon Compared to Other Speeches.....	8
1.2.2 Its Influence on Language, Art and Music.....	12
1.2.3 The SM and its Unique Relationship to Judaism.....	16
1.2.4 Influence of the SM on Other Religions.....	26
1.3 Interpretation (and Influence) of the SM through History.....	31
1.3.1 Influence and Interpretation before Theodosius (d. 395).....	31
1.3.2 Influence and Interpretation after 395, in the Medieval Age.....	37
1.3.3 The SM and the Fight for True Faith (1500–ca. 1750).....	40
1.3.3.1 The Role of the SM in the Church.....	41
1.3.3.2 The SM in Theological Debates.....	43
1.3.3.3 Huldrych Zwingli and Jean Calvin.....	44
1.3.3.4 Renewal and Opposition Groups: The SM as ‘Disciple-ethics’.....	46
1.3.4 After the Enlightenment (1750–1830): The Principle of the SM.....	48
1.3.5 The SM as Historical Source – and Historicism (1835–1870).....	52
1.3.5.1 Historical Foundation of the SM: The Real Historical Jesus.....	52
1.3.5.2 New Methods: Source-criticism as Basis.....	53
1.3.5.3 Critical-Historical Approaches: Tendenz-criticism; Decline of Rhetoric.....	53
1.3.5.4 New Perspectives from outside the Universities.....	55
1.3.6 Liberalism and the SM (1870–1914): Is the SM ‘pure Christianity’?.....	58
1.3.6.1 Liberalism in the Modern Age: General Framework for the Influence and Interpretation of the SM.....	59
1.3.6.2 Specialized Studies on the SM and Source-Criticism.....	60
1.3.6.3 Reactions to the Liberal Approach and the Ethics of Attitude.....	64
1.3.6.4 Alternatives to the Liberal Understanding.....	66

1.3.6.5	Correction of the Liberal Interpretation of the SM/ The Historical Jesus.....	69
1.3.7	Struggle for the Meaning of the So-called ‘SM’ (1918–1945).....	72
1.3.7.1	Interpretations of the SM outside the Universities	74
1.3.7.2	The New Wave of Eschatological Approaches	77
1.3.7.3	Does the Historical Meaning Have Primacy?.....	80
1.3.7.4	From a Jewish Perspective to the Jewish Quest	83
1.3.7.5	The SM in Times of Fascism and Reactions against NS (1933–1950).....	85
1.3.8	SM/Scholarship on the SM in Shadowland 1950–1975?.....	89
1.3.8.1	The Influence of the SM outside New Testament Scholarship.....	89
1.3.8.2	New Premises for the Interpretation of the SM	94
1.3.8.3	New Objectivism: Back to Basics through History and Scientific Proofs.....	97
1.3.8.4	New Dominating Method: Redaction-criticism.....	100
1.3.9	The SM out of Its Isolation in a Secular Age (after 1980).....	101
1.3.9.1	Influence of the SM outside New Testament Scholarship: Debates about its Political Meaning	102
1.3.9.2	The Scholarly Debate in the Age of Post-modernism	105
1.3.9.3	New Methodological Considerations concerning the SM	107
1.3.9.4	The Source-problem: Comprehensive or Competing Solutions ..	109
1.3.9.5	The SM and the Third Quest; the Jewish Quest in New Light	111
1.3.9.6	The SM and Church-oriented Approaches (Evangelicals, New Anabaptists, Catholics).....	114
1.3.9.7	Comprehensive (Religion-)Historically and Hermeneutically Oriented Approaches.....	116
1.3.9.8	Retrospect.....	117
1.3.10	Influence and Interpretation of the Most Influential Speech Ever	118
1.3.10.1	The Influence.....	119
1.3.10.2	Factors Affecting the Interpretation.....	120
1.3.10.3	Historical Interpretation and Actual Influence	122
1.3.10.4	From History of Research to Nine Crucial Problems and Four Questions.....	124
§ 2	The Historical Quest: Sources, Orality and Authenticity	127
2.1	The Study of Sources (‘ <i>Quellenkunde</i> ’) I: The SM as ‘Source’ or ‘Relic’	130
2.1.1	The SM as ‘Source’ for the Historical Jesus.....	132
2.1.2	The SM as ‘Relic’ (for the Q-community/‘Matthew’).....	132
2.2	The Study of Sources (‘ <i>Quellenkunde</i> ’) II: The SM between Orality and Literacy	136
2.2.1	Orality as Dominating Perspective	136

2.2.2 Literacy as Dominating Perspective	137
2.2.2.1 The Rise of 2DH and the Role of the SM.....	137
2.2.2.2 Improvements of 2DH: The Struggle for Comprehensive Theories.....	138
2.2.2.3 The Two-document Hypothesis as Foundation and Frame: The SM Based on Literacy, Openness for Orality.....	144
2.2.2.4 The SM and a Modified Two-document Hypothesis.....	146
2.3 The Study of Sources (<i>'Quellenkunde'</i>) III:	
The Four Strata in the SM/SP.....	149
2.3.1 The 'Inaugural Speech'	149
2.3.2 The Double Tradition (Q) – Outside the SM	154
2.3.3 The Triple-tradition in the SM/Matthew and the SP/Luke	157
2.3.4 Matthew's <i>'Sondergut'</i> (MattS).....	159
2.3.5 Comparison: Luke's <i>'Sondergut'</i> in the SP	165
2.3.6 Source-critical, Historical and Rhetorical Explanation.....	168
2.4 Analysis of Linguistic and Argumentative Features in the SM/SP	171
2.4.1 Comparison of Vocabulary etc. in the Two Speeches	171
2.4.2 The Forms in the SM/SP – an Overview and the Major Differences	177
2.4.3 General/Conditional Sentences, Questions, Parallelism/Antithetical Statements, etc.	178
2.4.4 Exhortations and Prohibitions: Use of Imperative	184
2.4.5 Reasons for Exhortations and Admonitions	186
2.5 From Sources to Authenticity.....	187
2.5.1 The Role of Memory Culture and the Source Problem.....	188
2.5.2 Memory Culture and Authenticity	190
2.6 Authenticity of the SM and Its Setting (Authenticity I)	194
2.6.1 The Authenticity of the Frame: Jesus and an Inaugural Speech	196
2.6.1.1 The Frames from a Source-critical Point of View	197
2.6.2 Did Jesus Deliver One Programmatic Speech in His Early Ministry?	202
2.6.3 A Speech in Galilee? And on a Mountain?.....	204
2.7 The SM in the Framework of Historical-Jesus Research (Authenticity II).....	206
2.7.1 The SM in the Era of the So-called First Quest (1778/1800–1920).....	207
2.7.2 In the Era of the So-called 'No Quest' (1920–1950).....	210
2.7.3 In the Framework of the 'New Quest' (1950–1980).....	215
2.7.4 The SM in the Framework of the So-called 'Third Quest' (1980–).....	218
2.8 The SM and the Criteria of Authenticity (Authenticity III).....	222
2.8.1 The Criteria of Authenticity in Jesus- (and SM-)Research.....	222
2.8.2 The Individual Criteria Applied to the SM	226
2.8.3 The Criteria in a Broader Historiographical Perspective	233
Appendix to § 2.4.....	237

§ 3 Decomposition or Composition: Rhetorical Composition of the Sermon on the Mount and Its Alternatives	247
3.1 The Impact of Composition on Interpretation	247
3.1.1 Introductory Remarks	247
3.1.2 Short History of Research.....	248
3.2 Historical-Genetical Perspective	252
3.2.1 Form-critical Arguments against a Compositional Plan	253
3.2.2 The Structure of the ‘Inaugural Speech’ as Proof.....	254
3.2.3 Matthew as Compiler (Form-criticism) or Author (Redaction-criticism).....	255
3.3 Jewish Roots as Key to the Composition? What about Genre?.....	257
3.4 Theological Concepts as Key	262
3.5 The Search for Key Texts.....	264
3.6 ‘Text-constitutions’ and Other Thematic Proposals	267
3.7 Literary Approaches	268
3.7.1 Numbers as Key to the Composition	269
3.7.2 Chiasms and a Concentric Structure.....	270
3.7.3 Structuralism: Text-linguistics in Matthew, but not in the SM?.....	271
3.8 Arguments in Favour of a Rhetorical Structure.....	273
3.9 The Orality of the SM: The SM as Oral Performance	277
3.10 A Comparison with Luke	280
3.10.1 Possible Headlines for Luke 6,20–49	280
3.10.2 A Rhetorical Structure of Luke?.....	281
3.10.3 Luke’s Composition: Subdivision into Two, Three, Four or Five Parts?.....	282
3.10.4 Principles for Finding a Clear Composition and Subdivisions	284
Appendix: Orality in Luke?.....	288
§ 4 Audience in the Sermon on the Mount: A New Approach ...	291
4.1 The Theological Question	293
4.1.1 A Brief History of Research before 1650	294
4.1.2 The Debates after 1650.....	295
4.2 The Historical Question – Addressees in the SM and Matthew	298
4.2.1 Who Was the Real Audience for Matthew?.....	298
4.2.2 Arguments against this Approach and an Alternative Theory	300
4.3 Audience and the Sources and Forms behind Matthew	302
4.4 The Audience in the Frames (Matt 4,17–5,2; 7,28f; 8,1)	303
4.5 The SM in Light of Audience-criticism	308
4.5.1 Theory and Method.....	309
4.5.2 Application of the Method on the SM	313
4.6 Concluding Remarks	323

§ 5 The So-called Radicalism of the Sermon on the Mount: An Ethos for Pilgrims and Prophets/Sages	325
5.1 The Problem of ‘Radicalism’: What Is It?.....	325
5.2 Remarks on the History of Research: Ways to Escape Radicalism.....	329
5.2.1 Demands for a Particular Time	329
5.2.2 Demands ‘Only’ for Particular People.....	331
5.3 History of Research II: Radical Demands as Illustrations	333
5.4 History of Research III: Radical Demands Are Real; They Are Impulses for an Ethos	336
5.5 Radicalism – in the Sources of SM/SP?	341
5.6 The SM and the SP – in Isolation and as Parts of the Synoptics	345
5.7 Radicalism and Rhetoric.....	346
5.8 Radical Demands as Ethos for Pilgrims and Sages	349
5.8.1 The Function of the Radical Demands: Ethos for Pilgrims	349
5.8.2 Motif-history I: Radical Demands and Analogy with the Prophets	351
5.8.3 Motif-history II: Radical Demands as Ethos for Sages.....	352
 § 6 Religion and Philosophy of Life in the Sermon on the Mount.....	 357
6.1 Preliminary Remarks.....	357
6.2 Comparing Jewish and Hellenistic Parallels.....	360
6.2.1 Judaism versus Hellenism?.....	360
6.2.2 Jewish Parallels.....	361
6.2.3 Hellenistic Parallels	362
6.3 The SM within Judaism.....	363
6.3.1 Forms of Teaching and Genre Parallels.....	363
6.3.2 Core Conviction.....	364
6.3.3 Covenant Thinking in the SM.....	369
6.3.4 Ambivalences: Still within Judaism?.....	373
6.4 The SM and ‘Christian’ Theology.....	374
6.4.1 Core Conviction.....	375
6.4.2 Covenantal Thinking or Expanded People of God?.....	382
6.4.3 Eschatology versus Wisdom as Horizon in the SM	384
6.5 The SM as Philosophy of Life	388
6.5.1 Preliminary Remarks – the SM in Hellenistic Context	388
6.5.2 Happiness and Good Life	391
6.5.3 Anthropology and Evil Forces	395
6.5.4 Visible versus Invisible: Outward and Inward.....	400
6.5.4.1 Seeing as a Phenomenon	400
6.5.4.2 Honour versus Shame: Judgmental Gaze	405

6.5.5 How to Overcome Broken Relationships?.....	407
6.5.5.1 Forgiveness and Reconciliation.....	408
6.5.5.2 Giving and Receiving: God as Patron	410
6.5.5.3 ‘The Other’ Constitutes an Ethical Relationship	413
6.5.5.4 Righteousness and ‘Doing’	414
6.5.5.5 The SM and Hellenistic Philosophy	419
6.6 Appendix: The SM and Matthew	420
6.6.1 ‘Matthew’ or ‘Matthean Community’	420
6.6.2 Matthew – within Judaism.....	421
6.6.3 Matthew – within Christianity	424
6.7 The SM as Self-presentation	428

§ 7 Wisdom Ethics in the Sermon on the Mount

and in the Epistle of James	429
7.1 Preliminary Questions	429
7.2 The SM and James: Genre and Composition	432
7.2.1 A Comparison of Genre.....	433
7.2.2 Composition/Rhetoric in the SM and in James.....	438
7.2.2.1 Alternatives to a Rhetorical Composition in James.....	439
7.2.2.2 Do Both the SM and James Have a Rhetorical Composition?	441
7.3 Audience Analysis in James (and the SM).....	445
7.3.1 Implied Speaker in the SM and James.....	446
7.3.2 Implied Audience/Readers in the SM and James: Speaking to an In-group?.....	446
7.3.3 The Use of (Rhetorical) Questions	450
7.3.4 Rhetorical τίς/τις-Sentences.....	451
7.3.5 Peripheral Audience in the SM and James	453
7.4 Ethical Argumentation in James Compared with the SM.....	454
7.4.1 The Character of Wisdom-Sayings.....	455
7.4.2 Sentences in the SM and in James (Beatitudes, Maxims, etc.).....	457
7.4.3 Analysis of Imperatives (Admonitions) in the SM and in James.....	460
7.5 Reasons and Motivation for Exhortations and Admonitions	467
7.5.1 Causal and Explanatory.....	468
7.5.2 Consecutive, Consequential ἵνα, ὅπως, μήποτε.....	471
7.5.3 Conditional εἰ- and εἰάν-sentences. Pointing out Consequences.....	472
7.5.4 Explanation through Images and Reflection	473
7.5.5 Parables and Metaphorical Language – Arguments or Motivation?.....	473
7.6 James – within Judaism: Comparison with the SM.....	475
7.6.1 Jewish Identity	475
7.6.2 Quotations and Examples from the Tanach.....	478

7.6.3	The Core Conviction: <i>Shema</i> , Yahve Is the Only God (ἑἷς)	478
7.6.4	Theology and Judgment as the Main Issues in James.....	479
7.6.5	The Notion of Kingdom and Christology in James	481
7.6.6	The Use of νόμος: Is the Jewish Torah the Norm?	482
7.6.7	Covenantal Thinking	485
7.7.	James and Hellenistic Philosophy of Life: Comparison with the SM	487
7.7.1	The Social Setting: Cities and Countryside in the Diaspora.....	487
7.7.2	The Philosophy of Life in James and the Hellenistic Context	488
7.8	Summary	496

§ 8 The Philosophical Theology of Matt 6,34:

An Illuminating Riddle		497
8.1	Preliminary Analysis	497
8.2	Its Sources	502
8.3	The History of Interpretation.....	505
8.4	Parallels: Parallelomania versus Interpretation.....	513
8.5	Interpretation of μή οὐδὲν μεριμνήσητε εἰς τὴν αὔριον (Matt 6,34a)	514
8.5.1	Linguistic Remarks: The Admonition and the First Reason.....	514
8.5.2	(a) Reflection on the Uncertainty of Tomorrow – the Basis for Matt 6,34?.....	518
8.5.3	(b) Unnecessary Considerations – Possible Basis for Matt 6,34?.....	520
8.5.4	(c) The Hedonistic and Epicurean Understanding of ' <i>Carpe diem</i> ' – and Matt 6,34.....	521
8.5.5	(d) About the Possibilities of Today – Premise in Matt 6,34?	523
8.5.6	(e) Retardant to Reflecting about the Future Due to Determinism – also in Matt 6,34?	526
8.5.7	(f) About Trust in God – the Message in Matt 6,34?	528
8.5.8	Interpretation of the First Reason: The Logic of Tomorrow (Matt 6,34b)	529
8.6	Interpretation of the Second Reason v. 34c (ἀρκετὸν τῇ ἡμέρᾳ ἢ κακία αὐτῆς)	532
8.6.1	Linguistic Remarks on ἀρκετὸν τῇ ἡμέρᾳ ἢ κακία αὐτῆς (v. 34c)	533
8.6.2	Not Superficial Optimism, not Pessimism and Resignation in v. 34	535
8.6.3	Beyond Optimism and Pessimism: Matt 6,34 as Realism and Openness	536
8.7	Matt 6,34 in Its Literary Context	537
8.7.1	Matt 6,34 and the Context with 6,19ff and the Lord's Prayer (6,11.13).....	537
8.7.2	Matt 6,34 and the Tranquility of Mind in Matt 6,25–33	538

8.7.3 Matt 6,34 after the Climax in Matt 6,33: About the Future of the Kingdom.....	540
8.7.4 Matt 6,34 and the Context with 7,1(-12).....	542
8.8 Summary	543
§ 9 A Possible New Consensus in Parable-Research: Pebbles in a Road that Can Topple a Big Load	545
9.1 Matt 5,23 and Myths about Research History	545
9.1.1 Matt 5,23f in Recent Research.....	545
9.1.2 Matt 5,23f and Methodology in Parable Research.....	547
9.1.3 Some Myths about the History of Research.....	548
9.2 The Classification of Parables and the Genre of Matt 5,23f.....	550
9.2.1 The Classification: Two, Three, Four Categories or Just One?	550
9.2.2 Do We Have Alternatives to 'Parable'?.....	553
9.2.3 Towards a Better Classification.....	560
9.3 Is an Imagery Neutral?	561
9.3.1 How Important are the Social-Historical and Aesthetic Dimensions?.....	561
9.3.2 The Specific Imagery in Matt 5,23f.....	563
9.4 Only one 'Point' (' <i>Sache</i> ')?.....	566
9.5 The Function of the Parables: Proofs or Illustrations	568
Brief Concluding Remarks	575
Basic Challenges	575
The Historical Quest	579
The Philosophical Quest.....	581
Bibliography.....	583
Index of Sources.....	627
Index of Authors	645
Table of Subjects.....	657