

PREFACE	IX
1. POLITICAL ASPECTS OF THE ARYAN INVASION DEBATE	
1.1 Politicizing a Linguistic Theory	1
1.2 The Aryan Invasion Theory in Indian Politics	19
1.3 Politicization as an Obstacle to Research	46
1.4 A Case Study in AIT Polemic	57
1.5 Some Red Herrings	78
1.6 Conclusion	87
2. ASTRONOMIC DATA AND THE ARYAN QUESTION	
2.1 Dating the Rg-Veda	89
2.2 Ancient Hindu Astronomy	90
2.3 The Precession of the Equinox	95
2.4 Additional Astronomical Indications	103
2.5 Conclusion	116
3. LINGUISTIC ASPECTS OF THE INDO-EUROPEAN URHEIMAT QUESTION	
3.1 Introduction	118
3.2 Origin of the Linguistic Argument	120
3.3 Direct Geographical Clues	125
3.4 Exchanges with other Languages Families	133
3.5 Conclusion	157

4. MISCELLANEOUS ASPECTS OF THE ARYAN INVASION DEBATE	
4.1 Demographical Common Sense	159
4.2 Textual Evidence	164
4.3 Where did the Kurgan People come from?	172
4.4 The Horse Evidence	175
4.5 Vedic Aryans in West Asia	182
4.6 Memory of the Urheimat	187
4.7 Indra and Shiva	200
4.8 Invasionist Terms in the Vedas	205
4.9 The Evidence from Physical Anthropology	212
5. SOME NEW ARGUMENTS	
5.1 A Remarkable Book	238
5.2 Evidence provided by Physical Anthropology	240
5.3 The Archaeological Evidence	248
5.4 Linguistic Arguments	281
5.5 The Evidence from Comparative Religion	296
5.6 Conclusion	320
6. DEPARTING THOUGHTS	
6.1 Some False Problems	321
6.2 Things To Do	329
6.3 The Non-invasionist Model	332
	335
INDEX	