Reviewer's report

Title: Burden of severe RSV disease among immunocompromised children and adults: a 10 year

retrospective study.

Version: 0 Date: 24 Aug 2017

Reviewer: Bernhard Resch

Reviewer's report:

Of 239 RSV-positive immunocompromised patients [175 adults and 64 children] 111 patients

(47.8%) presented with LRTI, which resulted in a 38 % (89/239) admission rate to hospital.

While immunocompromised children were more likely to be admitted to hospital compared to

adults (75% vs 62.9%, p= 0.090), inpatients admitted to the intensive care unit

(17/19) or those who died (11/11) were mainly adults. From multivariable analyses, adults with

solid tumors (OR 5.2; 95% CI: 1.4-20.9 P=0.015) or those requiring chronic immunosuppressive

treatments mainly for rheumatologic conditions (OR 4.1; 95% CI: 1.1-

16.0; P=0.034) were significantly more likely to be admitted to hospital compared to

hematopoietic stem cell (HSCT) recipients. Bacterial co-infection was significantly and

consistently associated with viral LRTI and pneumonia. In conclusion, RSV-related disease

resulted in a significant burden among adults requiring chronic immunosuppressive treatments

for rheumatological conditions and those with solid tumors.

Major concerns

None. Well done and interesting study with stringent methods and conclusions that are strongly

based on study findings. To not only focus on adults within the introduction and discussion

section, I suggest to incorporate the following papers: Paediatric Respiratory Reviews 10 (2009)

148-153; N Engl J Med. 1986 Jul 10;315(2):77-81; Influenza Other Respir Viruses 2016

May;10(3):205-10; J Immunol Res. 2014;2014:850831.

Minor concerns

None

Are the methods appropriate and well described?

If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?

If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?

If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?

If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I am able to assess the statistics

Quality of written English

Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Acceptable

Declaration of competing interests

Please complete a declaration of competing interests, considering the following questions:

- 1. Have you in the past five years received reimbursements, fees, funding, or salary from an organisation that may in any way gain or lose financially from the publication of this manuscript, either now or in the future?
- 2. Do you hold any stocks or shares in an organisation that may in any way gain or lose financially from the publication of this manuscript, either now or in the future?
- 3. Do you hold or are you currently applying for any patents relating to the content of the manuscript?
- 4. Have you received reimbursements, fees, funding, or salary from an organization that holds or has applied for patents relating to the content of the manuscript?
- 5. Do you have any other financial competing interests?
- 6. Do you have any non-financial competing interests in relation to this paper?

If you can answer no to all of the above, write 'I declare that I have no competing interests' below. If your reply is yes to any, please give details below.

I declare that I have no competing interests

I agree to the open peer review policy of the journal. I understand that my name will be included on my report to the authors and, if the manuscript is accepted for publication, my named report including any attachments I upload will be posted on the website along with the authors' responses. I agree for my report to be made available under an Open Access Creative Commons CC-BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). I understand that any comments which I do not wish to be included in my named report can be included as confidential comments to the editors, which will not be published.

I agree to the open peer review policy of the journal