Additional File 4: Completed PRISMA statement re. A Qualitative Systematic Review of the Literature on Normalization Process Theory in use

As this was a qualitative review of predominantly qualitative empirical studies, some aspects of the PRISMA statement [1] were not applicable.

Section/Topi c	#	Description	Page No.
Title	1	A systematic qualitative review of the literature on Normalization Process Theory in use.	1
ABSTRACT			
Structured	2		3
summary			
INTRODUCT	ΓΙΟΝ		
Rationale	3		5-6
Objectives	4		Additional file 2: PICO Table
METHODS			
Protocal & registration	5	No Protocol registered	N/A
Eligibility criteria	6		8-9
Information sources	7		8
Search	8		8 Figure 2: PRISMA Flow Chart
Study selection	9		8
Data collection process	10		9
Data items	11		N/A
Risk of bias in individual studies	12		8
Summary measures	13		N/A
Synthesis of results	14		N/A
Risk of bias across studies	15		N/A
Additional analyses	16		N/A
RESULTS			
Study selection	17	Flow diagram developed (see Figure 2)	8 See Figure 2
Study	18		See Tables 1 & 2

characterist ics		
Risk of bias within studies	19	8
Results of individual studies	20	Additional File 2 PICO Table
Synthesis of results	21	N/A
Risk of bias across studies	22	N/A
Additional analysis	23	N/A
DISCUSSIO	N	
Summary of evidence	24	Tables 2 & 3
Limitations	25	17
Conclusion s	26	17-18
FUNDING		
Funding	27	20

1. Liberati A, Altman D, Tetzlaff J, Mulrow C, Gøtzsche P, Ioannidis J, Clarke M, Devereaux P, Kleijnen J, Moher D: **The PRISMA statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate health care interventions: explanation and elaboration**. *BMJ* 2009, **339: b2700**.