# Call 6/2022
# Phase 2 – review form – Mathematics

**On a scale of 1 to 3, where 1 = strongly disagree and 3 = strongly agree, please rate the following statements:**

### 1) Quality of the proposal

**1.1) Originality**: The applicant's proposal is original.
*The proposal cannot merely repeat previous research.*

**1.2) Boldness**: The applicant´s project is bold and creative.

**1.3) Question**: The applicant's project addresses a big question.

**1.4) Impact on science**: The applicant's work has the potential to broadly impact the field beyond a specific research domain.

**1.5) Structure and presentation**: The applicant's proposal is well structured and presented.

### 2) Viability

**2.1)** The composition of the team meets the demands proposed for the development of the project.

**2.2)** The financial resources requested and deadlines are adequate.

### 3) Risk

**3.1) Conjecture conception risk**: The proposed conjecture might be ill-formulated, it might follow from already established techniques, it might be impossible to prove.

**3.2) Approach risk**: The proposed approach might not work to prove your conjecture - e.g., the problem might be intractable or not amenable to your approach, the approach is unconventional/heterodox.

**Briefly explain your rationale for the risk evaluation (i.e., the two previous questions).**
Open answer (max. 300 characters with spaces)

**4) Quality of the candidate**
**4.1) Scientific capacity***: The candidate has the ability to develop rigorous research.
**The rigor of the research developed to date will be evaluated, regardless of the number of published articles*.

**4.2) Creativity and independence**
**4.2.1)** The scientist is creative and able to generate their own ideas.

**4.2.2)** The candidate has a broad national and international network.

**5) I know the research around this particular proposal very well.**

**6) Oral presentation and interview**
**6.1)** The candidate demonstrates the ability to defend and contextualize their overarching question.

**6.2)** The candidate shows a comprehensive understanding of their area of expertise.

**Comments**

Submit