

Comment on Policy Statement

Comment: obesity as a disease – some implications for the World Obesity Federation’s advocacy and public health activities

In the current issue of *Obesity Reviews*, the World Obesity Federation publishes its consensus statement defining obesity as a disease process (1). The statement follows a document from the World Obesity’s predecessor body, the International Association for the Study of Obesity, which in 2013 issued a discussion paper, *Is obesity a disease?* (2), raising several issues concerning the policy implications of classifying obesity as a disease. That paper explains that a rise in the prevalence of unhealthy weight gain is an understandable and predictable biological response in an inappropriate, ‘obesogenic’ environment (3). It also acknowledges that declaring obesity to be a disease could benefit those people who are suffering with obesity and wish to have access to medical advice and support. In this Comment, we argue that recognizing obesity as a disease can serve this function for those already overweight or obese whilst also strengthening the call for dealing with the social determinants, obesogenic environments and systemic causes of individual weight gain.

World Obesity has a number of policy-related activities already in action that may be enhanced by describing obesity as a disease or disease process. Current activities include close collaboration with the World Health Organization (dating back to a 1997 joint WHO-IOTF *Consultation on Obesity* (4) that declared obesity as a disease) and working alongside other international non-profit health organizations advocating on food, nutrition and physical activity at intergovernmental, governmental and local levels. We also participate in research programmes with clinicians and research departments, supporting scientific dissemination and assisting in the interpretation of project outcomes and working with healthcare professionals to promote best-practice interventions to support people with obesity.

World Obesity also contributes to major efforts to identify the determinants of obesity and the best forms of intervention to prevent weight gain and to alleviate the disease consequences, including contributing to two *Lancet* Series on these issues (5,6) and co-hosting the *Lancet* Commission on Obesity (7). We work on projects to identify disparities and inequities in health and their social determinants, including barriers to obesity

treatment, and we host a regular series of webinars and round-tables to alert advocacy organizations and commercial operators to the current issues and concerns in obesity policy development. World Obesity works to reduce weight bias and stigma and has produced a freely available image library promoting positive images of people with weight problems for use by journalists. World Obesity also provides online resources on obesity including a series of educational modules for health professionals promoting best practices in patient care and treatment.

We are keenly aware that arguments against the classification of obesity as a disease can be made on the grounds that obesity is primarily a risk factor for other conditions rather than a disease in its own right and that ‘medicalizing’ obesity by declaring it as a disease defines large sections of the population – including more than one-third of American adults and one-quarter of UK and Australian adults (8) – as being ill. There are also fears this perpetuates an arbitrary definition of excess adiposity and emphasizes individualized reliance on costly drugs and surgery while neglecting critical public health measures, many of which lie outside the health system, to address the underlying social and commercial determinants of obesity (9,10).

Supporting treatment

In terms of supporting treatment, we believe there are potential benefits of applying the disease label to obesity. Firstly, a medical diagnosis can act to help people to cope with their weight concerns by reducing their internalized stigma or the belief that their problems are self-inflicted and shameful. Such internalized stigma is itself a barrier to effective weight loss (11) and may impair weight loss maintenance (12,13).

Secondly, we are hopeful that a classification of disease, or disease process, may help to change the public discourse about blame for the condition. Blame for heart disease or cancer is rarely put on the individual. Even in the case of lung cancer related to a history of tobacco use, patients are usually seen as victims trapped by their addictions. If a

medical classification can help to reduce the persistent weight bias narrative in the mainstream media (14,15) and social media (16,17), this is also a compelling justification for the World Obesity position.

The weight bias narrative is also very common among healthcare professionals, even in the clinics responsible for helping people manage their weight (18). Classification as a disease may have some impact here, encouraging greater empathy with patients and raising the patient's expectations of unbiased care from health professionals (19).

Thirdly, recognition of obesity as a disease may have benefits in countries where health service costs are funded from insurance schemes that limit payments for non-disease conditions or risk factors. Even in countries where health services are largely funded from general taxation, as in the UK, there are arguments over whether people with obesity who 'fail to look after themselves' should be offered treatment (20) along with proposals to withhold standard surgical procedures for hip and knee operations for people with obesity unless they can show they can lose at least 10% body weight (21).

Supporting prevention

We underscore the importance of ensuring that defining obesity as a disease does not divert attention from the social and commercial determinants of the condition and the need to take a holistic or systems-wide view of disease causation. To define the condition as a disease must not weaken our resolve to advocate for measures such as controls on the promotional marketing of unhealthy foods and beverages to children or the taxation of sugar-sweetened beverages. We agree with the view that overemphasis on individual-oriented solutions to reducing the obesity epidemic, which depend on high levels of personal agency in resisting or overcoming obesogenic environmental forces, is unrealistic and potentially widens health inequalities (21,22).

Tackling obesogenic environments is not simply a preventive measure; it is also integral to the treatment process. Failure to maintain weight loss is the major problem for obesity management (23). Weight re-gain is as much a problem as weight gain, and environments that lead to weight gain for the population generally are as likely to encourage weight re-gain among patients attempting to reduce and manage their weight (24). Preventive measures therefore help prevent initial weight gain and reduce the risk of relapse for those receiving treatment.

In summary, while unhealthy weight gain in an obesogenic environment is a predictable biological response, the resulting excess adiposity is neither a biologically 'normal' nor healthy condition. Whilst recognition of obesity as a disease has the potential to over-medicalize a problem of societal origins, it also has

the potential to improve treatment access and outcomes and can serve to strengthen measures to reduce the obesogenicity of the environment to which the population is exposed. This serves to strengthen arguments for the advocacy and public health activities of the World Obesity Federation.

Conflict of interest statement

No conflict of interest was declared.

References

1. Bray G, Kim KK, Wilding J. Obesity: a chronic relapsing progressive disease process. A position statement of the World Obesity Federation. *Obesity Reviews* 2017; <https://doi.org/10.1111/obr.12551>.
2. International Association for the Study of Obesity (2013) Is obesity a disease? Online document. URL: http://www.worldobesity.org/site_media/uploads/Is_obesity_a_disease.pdf
3. Swinburn B, Egger G, Raza F. Dissecting obesogenic environments: the development and application of a framework for identifying and prioritizing environmental interventions for obesity. *Prev Med* 1999; **29**: 563–570.
4. Gill TP, Antipatis VJ, James WP. The global epidemic of obesity. *Asia Pac J Clin Nutr* 1999; **8**: 75–81.
5. Obesity Series 1. *Lancet* 2011; **378**: 804–847.
6. Obesity Series 2. *Lancet* 2015; **385**: 2400–2545.
7. Swinburn B, Dietz W, Kleinert SA. Lancet Commission on obesity. *Lancet* 2016; **346**: 1716–1717.
8. World Obesity Federation, 2017. Online database. URL: <http://www.worldobesity.org/resources/>.
9. Heshka S, Allison DB. Is obesity a disease? *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord* 2001; **25**: 1401–1404.
10. Katz DL. Perspective: obesity is not a disease. *Nature* 2014; **508**: S57.
11. Puhl RM, Quinn DM, Weisz BM, Suh YJ. The role of stigma in weight loss maintenance among U.S. adults. *Ann Behav Med* 2017 (in press) <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12160-017-9898-9>.
12. Hubner C, Baldofski S, Crosby RD, Muller A, de Zwaan M, Hilber A. Weight-related teasing and non-normative eating behaviors as predictor of weight loss maintenance. A longitudinal mediation analysis. *Appetite* 2016; **102**: 25–31.
13. Raves DM, Brewis A, Trainer S, Han SY, Wutich A. Bariatric surgery patients' perceptions of weight-related stigma in healthcare settings impair post-surgery dietary adherence. *Front Psychol* 2016; **7**: 1497.
14. Himes SM, Thompson JK. Fat stigmatization in television shows and movies: a content analysis. *Obesity (Silver Spring)* 2007; **15**: 712–718.
15. Atanasova D, Koteyko N. Obesity frames and counter-frames in British and German online newspapers. *Health (London)* 2016 (in press); PMID: 27207941.
16. De Bruin A, McCarthy M, McKenzie K, McGloin A. Weight stigma and narrative resistance evident in online discussions of obesity. *Appetite* 2014; **72**: 73–81.
17. Lydecker JA, Cotter EW, Palmberg AA *et al*. Does this Tweet make me look fat? A content analysis of weight stigma on Twitter. *Eat Weight Disord* 2016; **21**: 229–235.
18. Puhl RM, Heuer CA. The stigma of obesity: a review and update. *Obesity (Silver Spring)* 2009; **17**: 941–964.

19. Tomiyama AJ, Finch LE, Belsky AC *et al.* Weight bias in 2001 versus 2013: contradictory attitudes among obesity researchers and health professionals. *Obesity (Silver Spring)* 2015; **23**(1): 46–53.
20. Campbell D. Doctors back denial of treatment for smokers and the obese. *The Guardian* 29 April 2012. URL: <https://www.theguardian.com/society/2012/apr/28/doctors-treatment-denial-smokers-obese>
21. Anon. *RCS comments on Vale of York decision to ration surgery for obese patients and smokers.* Statement by the Royal College of Surgeons of England, 26 Nov 2016. URL: <https://www.rcseng.ac.uk/news-and-events/media-centre/press-releases/vale-of-york-ccg/>
22. Rutter H, Bes-Rastrollo M, de Henauw S *et al.* Balancing upstream and downstream measures to tackle the obesity epidemic: a position statement from the European Association for the Study of Obesity. *Obes Facts* 2017; **10**: 61–63.
23. MacLean PS, Wing RR, Davidson T *et al.* NIH Working Group Report: innovative research to improve maintenance of weight loss. *Obesity* 2015; **23**: 7–15.
24. Bray GA, Wadden TA. Improving long-term weight loss maintenance: can we do it? *Obesity* 2015; **23**: 1–2.

T. Lobstein

World Obesity Federation, London, UK
Email: tlobstein@worldobesity.org

H. Brinsden

World Obesity Federation, London, UK

T. Gill

The Boden Institute of Obesity, Nutrition, Exercise and Eating Disorders, University of Sydney, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia

S. Kumanyika

Department of Community Health and Prevention,
Dornsife School of Public Health, Drexel University,
Philadelphia PA, USA

B. Swinburn

School of Population Health, University of Auckland
Auckland, New Zealand