1 Running Title: *Blastocystis* genetic diversity 2 3 Genetic diversity of *Blastocystis* in livestock and zoo animals. 4 Mohammed A. Alfellani^{a,d}, Derya Taner-Mulla^a, Alison S. Jacob^a, Christine Atim Imeede^a, 5 Hisao Yoshikawa^b, C. Rune Stensvold^c, C. Graham Clark^{a,*} 6 7 8 ^a Faculty of Infectious and Tropical Diseases, London School of Hygiene and Tropical 9 Medicine, Keppel Street, London WC1E 7HT, UK ^b Department of Biological Sciences, Faculty of Science, Nara Women's University, 10 11 Kitauoya-Nishimachi, Nara 630-8506, Japan ^c Department of Microbiology and Infection Control, Statens Serum Institut, 5 Artillerivej, 12 13 DK-2300 Copenhagen S, Denmark ^d Permanent address: Department of Parasitology, Faculty of Medicine, Sebha University, 14 15 Sebha, Libya 16 17 * Corresponding Author: C. Graham Clark, Faculty of Infectious and Tropical Diseases, 18 London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, Keppel Street, London WC1E 7HT, UK. 19 Tel.: +44 20 7927 2351; FAX.: +44 20 7636 8739; Email: graham.clark@lshtm.ac.uk 20 21 Note: Supplementary data associated with this article

Abstract

Blastocystis is a common unicellular anaerobic eukaryote that inhabits the large intestine of many animals worldwide, including humans. The finding of Blastocystis in faeces in mammals and birds has led to proposals of zoonotic potential and that these hosts may be the source of many human infections. Blastocystis is, however, a genetically diverse complex of many distinct organisms (termed subtypes; STs), and sampling to date has been limited, both geographically and in the range of hosts studied. In order to expand our understanding of host specificity of Blastocystis STs, 557 samples were examined from various non-primate animal hosts and from a variety of different countries in Africa, Asia and Europe. STs were identified using 'barcoding' of the small subunit rRNA gene using DNA extracted either from culture or directly from faeces. The host and geographic range of several STs has thereby been greatly expanded and the evidence suggests that livestock is not a major contributor to human infection. Two new STs were detected among the barcode sequences obtained; for these, and for three others where the data were incomplete, the corresponding genes were fully sequenced and phylogenetic analysis was undertaken.

Keywords

40 Blastocystis; epidemiology; livestock; phylogeny; subtype

Introduction

42

43 Blastocystis is an intestinal eukaryote belonging to the protist group 'Stramenopiles' and is 44 known to infect amphibians, reptiles, cockroaches and a wide range of birds and mammals, 45 including humans (Abe 2004; Abe et al. 2002; Abe et al. 2003a, b; Fayer et al. 2012; Parkar 46 et al. 2007; Parkar et al. 2010; Petrášová et al. 2011; Santín et al. 2011; Stensvold et al. 2009; 47 Tan 2004; Tan et al. 2013; Teow et al. 1991; Teow et al. 1992; Yamada et al. 1987; 48 Yoshikawa et al. 2003a, b, c; Yoshikawa et al. 2004b, c; Yoshikawa et al. 2007). Blastocystis 49 has been reported in many parasite surveys of animals in zoological gardens, especially in 50 non-human primates (Abe et al. 2003b; Abe 2004; Alfellani et al. in press; Stensvold et al. 51 2009), while studies of *Blastocystis* in domestic animals have also revealed high frequency of 52 infection (Abe et al. 2002; Nagel et al. 2012; Tan et al. 2013; Yamada et al. 1987). 53 Blastocystis exhibits extensive genetic heterogeneity in conserved genes, such as the 54 small-subunit rRNA gene (SSU rDNA) and the elongation factor-1α gene (Abe 2004; Arisue 55 et al. 2003; Ho et al. 2000; Noël et al. 2003; Stensvold et al. 2012; Yoshikawa et al. 2003a, 56 c). These genetic variants have been grouped into discrete clades or subtypes (STs) based on 57 sequence similarity (Stensvold et al. 2007). At present 14 STs (ST1—ST14) have been 58 identified on the basis of SSU rRNA gene analysis from mammalian and avian hosts alone 59 (Fayer et al. 2012; Noël et al. 2003; Noël et al. 2005; Parkar et al. 2007; Stensvold et al. 60 2009; Yoshikawa et al. 2004a) of which nine have been identified in humans to date. 61 Many Blastocystis isolates from other mammals and birds belong to the same STs seen 62 in humans and so would seem to have zoonotic potential (Clark 1997; Parkar et al. 2007; 63 Parkar et al. 2010; Salim et al. 1999; Stensvold et al. 2008; Stensvold et al. 2009; Yoshikawa et al. 2009). Therefore it has been proposed that human infections may result from zoonotic 64 65 transmission of the parasite, but the contribution of animal sources to human infection 66 remains to be confirmed, since the direction of transmission cannot be established with any certainty. In fact Stensvold et al. (2012) have shown using multi-locus sequence typing that variation within ST3 is much wider among non-human primates than it is in humans suggesting that a shared ST alone may be too crude a criterion to make a link between human and non-human infections.

The aims of this study were to determine the STs of *Blastocystis* present in livestock from several different countries, primarily the UK and Libya, and to investigate the degree of host specificity among these STs. In addition a number of wild and zoo mammals were sampled to expand our understanding of the host range and genetic diversity of *Blastocystis*.

Results

Sample screening

Samples were obtained from a wide range of hosts, by targeted sampling of livestock at cooperating farms, random trapping of wild rodents, collecting stool samples from zoo animals, and using archival DNA samples from other studies. From the total number of 557 samples that were examined from 53 host species, 118 (21.2 %) were positive by sequencing (Table 1). However sample positivity across groups was not evenly distributed. Only 6/68 rodents (8.8%) were positive while 110/416 artiodactyls were positive (26.4%), the two biggest groups sampled. It is important to note, however, that these cannot be taken as prevalence values as the samples are not equivalent across all hosts, with some DNAs being from culture, others direct from stool, and the presence of inhibitors was not always tested in the same way. Nevertheless, a positive result shows that *Blastocystis* is present in the host and ST identification by sequencing strongly suggests that a host is susceptible to infection with that subtype. Although the possibility cannot be completely excluded, it seems unlikely that an animal would ingest sufficient infected faeces from another host to produce a positive

result after passage through its intestine given the dilution effect and the small size of the faecal sample used for culture inoculation and DNA extraction.

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

91

92

Subtype identification

Among the 118 samples sequence-positive for *Blastocystis*, nine STs were detected with two considered to be new STs, in samples collected from a camel and cattle (ST15) and from a gundi (ST17) (Table 2; Figure 1). As part of a separate study, ST15 was also found in several non-human primates (Alfellani et al. in press). ST5 was detected with the highest frequency (33%) with ST10 being the second most common at 23%. However, as these STs are most common in artiodactyls and the latter hosts provided most of the samples screened as well as being the most frequently found to be *Blastocystis* positive, this may give an unbalanced picture of overall ST distribution. Camels were the host infected with the widest range of STs, but again this may be influenced by the number of samples analysed. Among the hosts that were not found to contain Blastocystis, members of the genus Equus (zebra, donkeys and horses) were the most sampled, with all of the 36 samples being negative, whether the samples were from Libya or the UK and from culture or faecal DNA; note that Blastocystis has been reported in a horse previously (Thathaisong et al. 2003). Among the hosts that were positive are a number of new species in which *Blastocystis* has not previously been reported, including Barbary sheep, gazelle, gundi, mouflon, anoa and mouse deer, and additional STs have been found in previously studied hosts, e.g. ST3 in a giraffe.

Similarly, since no molecular data on *Blastocystis* from non-primates in Africa have been published previously, the data from Libyan animals represents a geographic range extension for several STs. Although ST1 and ST3 have been found in human samples from Libya and elsewhere in Africa (Alfellani et al. 2013), ST10 has not previously been reported from this continent.

Host specificity

Of the individual hosts studied, cattle have been the most widely sampled around the world. In Table 3, the STs infecting 71 cattle in five countries worldwide are presented. With the exception of Japan (where the technique used would not have detected it) the most common ST detected in each location is ST10, indicating that there is no geographic restriction to the distribution of this ST. Five STs were detected in total and 2-3 STs were detected in cattle in each of the countries sampled.

Sampling of camels in Libya was sufficiently extensive for us to observe some interesting differences between individual farms. Six farms with camels were sampled, five in the area around Sebha in the south and one near Zawia in the north of the country. There were six *Blastocystis* STs detected. However, ST10 and ST15 infections were detected on one Sebha farm only, whereas ST1, ST3, ST5 and ST14 were each found on more than one farm (Table 4) suggesting there is limited cross-infection occurring between farms even in the same region. Camels are normally housed in a pen separate from other livestock, but all farms kept other animals, often in close proximity to the camels, so we cannot exclude cross infection occurring between host species.

A recent study of genetic diversity of *Blastocystis* in goats on five farms in Malaysia revealed that on four farms only ST1 was detected, while the final farm showed the presence of ST1, ST3, ST6 and ST7 (Tan et al. 2013). Together these two studies highlight the epidemiological importance of sampling not only multiple individual animals but also animals at multiple locations, even when the sites are geographically quite close together.

Seven complete SSU rDNA gene sequences were obtained. These include examples of three previously published STs for which complete sequences were not yet available (ST10, ST13 and ST14) as well as two new STs detected during this study (ST15 and ST17).

Comparison of ST10 barcode regions from this study confirmed the presence of two clades within this ST previously detected in the original description (Stensvold et al. 2009). In the barcode region these differ by about 1% which we consider to be intra-ST variation and so only one example was sequenced. Likewise the two sequences for ST15, from a gibbon and a camel, differed by less than 1%. For ST14, two genes were sequenced from different hosts (a mouflon and a cow) and differ by 2.8%. This divergence is at the boundary of what might be considered distinct STs but until further sampling is performed we have decided to retain them within ST14. The problem of ST boundaries is discussed further below.

The original host of *Blastocystis* ST13 was a quokka, a marsupial (*Setonix brachyurus*; Parkar et al. 2010), whereas our example was obtained from a mouse deer. While this work was ongoing a sequence identified as ST5 by Petrášová et al. (2011) was obtained from a Tanzanian colobus monkey. However, comparison with our mouse deer-derived sequence proved it to be ST13; the reason for the misattribution by Petrášová et al. (2011) is because the quokka ST13 sequence lacks the barcode region, which was the SSU rDNA region sequenced in that study. With representation among primates, marsupials and artiodactyls, ST13 clearly has a very wide host range.

Phylogenetic analysis

Phylogenetic analysis showed that ST15 and ST17 are only distantly related to other *Blastocystis* STs found in mammals to date, branching basal to the clades formed by STs 1-14 (Fig. 1). Recalculation of the *Blastocystis* tree using outgroup sequences from among the stramenopiles (see Methods section) identified the branch leading to a clade that contains ST15 as the location of the root. ST15 branches within a clade otherwise made up solely of reptilian *Blastocystis* sequences, while ST17 clusters specifically with isolates from

cockroaches. The designation ST16 has been assigned to as yet unpublished sequences from kangaroos obtained as part of a survey of marsupials (Yoshikawa et al. in preparation). ST16 also appears to lack a specific related mammalian lineage, with a reptilian *Blastocystis* sequence as its closest relative (Fig. 1). As predicted from previous analyses, ST10 clusters with STs 4 and 8 (Stensvold et al. 2009) and STs 13 and 14 with STs 5 and 12 (Fayer et al. 2012; Parkar et al. 2010).

Discussion

Mammals and birds have been proposed to be reservoirs for human infection with *Blastocystis* since certain STs of this organism have been found in both humans and a wide range of other animals (Abe et al. 2003b, c; Arisue et al. 2003b; Fayer et al. 2012; Parkar et al. 2007; Parkar et al. 2010; Roberts et al. in press; Santín et al. 2011; Stensvold et al. 2008; Stensvold et al. 2009; Yoshikawa et al. 2004). Additionally, people with close animal contact were found to have a higher prevalence of *Blastocystis* infection (Salim et al. 1999) and some zoo primate keepers have been found to be infected with STs or ST alleles that are otherwise rare in humans but common in the monkeys they work with (Alfellani et al. in press; Scicluna et al. 2006; Stensvold et al. 2012).

As in earlier studies, we have shown here that many domestic animals are infected with *Blastocystis*. Relatively few authors have screened non-primate zoo animals for *Blastocystis* (Abe et al. 2002; Lim et al. 2008; Roberts et al. in press), but the results of Parkar et al. (2010) suggested that additional STs existed in such hosts and indeed we have found this to be the case. The full host range of STs is as yet unclear and will require further screening of samples from different hosts, and indeed the same hosts in different localities, in order to obtain a clearer picture. Our results from screening camels in Libya highlight the potential consequences of limiting sampling to one locality. Likewise, conclusions regarding host

restriction need to be made cautiously since, for example, ST13 has gone from appearing perhaps to be a marsupial-specific ST to one found in diverse hosts and multiple continents. It is therefore very likely that continued sampling will uncover additional novel STs and new hosts for existing STs even among mammals since many regions of the world and animal groups are yet to be sampled.

Despite the fact that the current picture is incomplete, some general conclusions can nevertheless be made. The first is that grouping host species into higher taxa can be a useful way of assessing specificity (Table 5). For example, artiodactyls tend to show a preponderance of STs 5 and 10 no matter which species or region of the world they come from. Rodents were earlier proposed to be a reservoir of ST4 for human infection, but the present study did not find any examples of ST4 in the rodent hosts screened. This may mean that only some rodent species carry this ST – further study is needed – but our results do show that other STs are found in this host group; only ST4 had been reported previously.

The potential for livestock having a role as a major reservoir for zoonotic transmission of *Blastocystis* infection is diminishing. In Libya, ST5 and ST10 were the most dominant STs in livestock (50 % of samples) yet were not found in humans (Alfellani et al. 2013). In fact, ST10 has never been found in humans and ST5 only very rarely, which means either that those hosts do not contribute to human infections or that humans are not susceptible to infection with these STs. In Libya none of the animals screened carried ST2 (Table 2) but this ST was found in 8 % of human infections (Alfellani et al. 2013). ST1 and ST3 are the dominant STs in Libyan humans (89 % of infections; Alfellani et al. 2013) but are relatively rare in Libyan animals - 7 % and 9 % of samples, respectively, mostly in camels. It seems unlikely from this that human *Blastocystis* is primarily of zoonotic origin in Libya. However, the animals with which the population has the most contact are sheep and these have not been sampled in Libya. Contact occurs during Eid al-Adha, the Muslim feast of sacrifice, and

sheep to human virus transmission has been linked to this ritual (Nougairede et al. 2013) so potentially *Blastocystis* could be transmitted then too. To date sampling of sheep has only been reported from Europe, where ST10 was found to dominate (7/14 samples); the situation in Libyan sheep should be examined.

Interpretation of mixed infections is problematic, especially in artiodactyls. However, not all mixed infections are the same. In our experience, some sequence traces show clear evidence of a mixed infection but one ST is sufficiently 'dominant' to allow its identification. In our data we have not counted these as mixed infections, and it is unclear how other researchers have reported them. The degree of 'dominance' is certainly a continuum and so mixed infections are likely to be greatly underestimated in most datasets derived from sequence data. This is more of an issue in livestock and some zoo mammal samples than in humans in our experience (although see the report by Meloni et al. (2012) for a clear multi-ST mixed human infection).

A number of researchers have used the ST-specific PCR amplification approach of Sequence-Tagged Site analysis (STS). This method allows the identification of STs within mixed infections, but only when ST1—ST7 are involved. No STS primers are available for ST8-ST17 and so when present in mixed infections these STs will not be detected. This is a particular concern when livestock animals are being studied, given the finding that ST10 is so common. For example, Tan et al. (2013) used STS to study goats in Malaysia and found ST1, ST3, ST6 and ST7. We similarly found ST3 and ST7, but also ST10 in Libyan goats. It is not possible to know whether ST10 is also present in Malaysian goats using the STS approach. Similarly, Lee et al. (2012) found 6 *Blastocystis* infections in Nepalese artiodactyls that could not be typed using STS primers; from our results it seems likely that these untyped infections will include ST10. Interestingly, Petrášová et al. (2011) found evidence of mixed subtype infections in their primate samples when sequencing the barcode region, but no evidence of

mixed infection in the same samples when using STS primers. This suggests that the primates were co-infected with a subtype not amplified by STS primers. The ST range restriction and other factors limit the utility of STS in certain types of analysis (Stensvold 2013).

During our work two new STs were discovered that are divergent compared to other STs from mammals. We have based their definition as new subtypes on comparison of complete SSU rDNA sequences and we feel that this should be a requirement before assigning new ST numbers to novel sequences. The misattribution resulting from the definition of ST13 based on a partial SSU rRNA gene (Parkar et al. 2010) would be avoided in future if this guideline is adopted. As it is, it seems likely that the report by Petrášová et al. (2011) of ST5 in a colobus monkey will be re-reported in future survey papers when the availability of a complete gene sequence for ST13 at the time it was reported in 2010 would have avoided this problem. Likewise, ST14 was also defined based on partial sequences (Fayer et al. 2012); by chance we had independently identified the same organism in several hosts and obtained the complete gene sequence. ST11 and ST12 still are not available as complete sequences (Parkar et al. 2010) so similar problems involving these STs may still occur.

Nevertheless, obtaining a complete gene sequence is not enough, as criteria for defining the degree of divergence required to designate a novel sequence as a new ST are needed. This is not straightforward, since in some STs extensive intra-ST diversity exists, up to 3 % in ST3 for example. For this reason we have been conservative in not designating the sequence obtained from the mouflon as a new ST, preferring to keep it within ST14 until further information on intra-ST14 variation becomes available. A consensus will be needed on the degree of divergence that constitutes a novel ST (Clark et al. 2013) but it may be premature at present as intra-ST diversity levels are unclear for several STs. At present, we are using 5 %

divergence as a benchmark for a new ST with less than 3 % representing intra-ST variation pending additional data.

STs 15 and 17 are surprising in that they are not specifically related to any other mammalian STs, but to reptilian and insect *Blastocystis*, respectively. Both, however, were grown in culture at 37 °C and so contamination with reptilian and insect faeces seems unlikely to be the source of the material, especially for ST15 where several hosts and locations were involved – reptilian *Blastocystis* have been shown to be unable to grow at 37 °C (e.g. Teow et al. 1991). Nevertheless, the near ubiquity of *Blastocystis* means that the potential for environmental contamination is real and, especially when culture is used, care needs to be taken when sampling faeces to ensure that the source material is indeed from the desired host.

In conclusion, the universe of mammalian *Blastocystis* is still expanding with 8 new STs detected since 2007, thereby almost doubling their number. Further sampling from diverse hosts and various geographical areas may on the one hand improve our ability to define ST boundaries, but may on the other hand lead to the merging of certain STs if clear boundaries cannot be identified. It will be interesting to see to what extent *Blastocystis* ST distribution is linked to host groups rather than geography. Finally, the higher resolution data generated by allele analysis within STs found in humans and other animals will provide additional evidence for evaluating the potential for zoonotic transmission of *Blastocystis* in various parts of the world. Allele analysis is necessary in view of our finding of cryptic host specificity within subtypes (Alfellani et al. in press; Stensvold et al. 2012). As yet, only a few cases of zoonotic transmission have been clearly documented by showing that the same strain is present in both hosts and not just the same subtype. Hence, although *Blastocystis* appears to be an extremely common parasite colonising probably more than 1 billion people, in our

opinion it seems most likely that *Blastocystis* in humans results primarily from anthroponotic transmission.

291

289

290

Methods

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

292

Source of specimens

Faecal samples were collected from various animal hosts and from different countries (Table 1). Many of the livestock and native animal samples from the UK were collected by MSc students on parasitology field-trips in the Dartmoor/Exmoor region of the South-West of England. Libyan samples were primarily collected from farms in the vicinity of Sebha in South-Western Libya. The latter samples were screened by microscopy and culture in modified Jones' medium (Leelayoova et al. 2002) or Robinson's medium (Clark and Diamond 2002) whereas DNA was extracted directly from faeces in most of the other samples. Cultures were incubated at 37 °C and examined every 2 days. Microscopy-positive cultures were passaged into fresh medium for another 3-4 days, then Blastocystis was harvested and DNA purified as previously described (Alfellani et al. 2013). In our experience, this short-term cultivation approach permits mixed infections to be identified as it prevents differential outgrowth of STs affecting the results (as seen by the fact that mixed infections are readily detected). Cultures were discontinued after harvesting, which unfortunately means that reference cultures of the new subtypes do not exist at present. Faecal DNA was extracted using the QIAamp DNA Stool Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer's protocol.

311

312

PCR and sequencing

PCR and sequencing of the SSU rDNA barcode region were carried out as described by Scicluna et al. (2006). Additionally, seven almost complete SSU rDNA sequences from either novel STs or STs for which no complete sequence was previously available, were obtained by sequencing of whole or partial gene PCR products with a mixture of pan-eukaryotic and ST-specific primers, as described (Stensvold et al. 2012). Sequence files were edited and assembled using the Staden software package (http://staden.sourceforge.net/) and have been deposited in the NCBI nucleotide database with accession numbers KC148205-KC148211.

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

Phylogenetic analysis

Complete sequences were aligned with reference sequences for all previously named STs and one novel ST from kangaroos (here designated ST16; accession numbers: EU427512 and EU427514 (Yoshikawa unpublished)), as well as non-mammal/bird sequences available from GenBank, using the alignment tool MUSCLE as implemented in MEGA5 (Tamura et al. 2011). The alignment was edited manually to remove regions of ambiguity, resulting in an alignment of 1,462 positions for all 59 Blastocystis sequences included (supplementary file 1). Phylogenetic analyses were performed as described previously (Stensvold et al. 2012) using distance (Neighbor-Joining) and maximum likelihood algorithms as implemented in MEGA5 and Bayesian analysis (MrBayes 3.1.2; Huelsenbeck and Ronquist 2001). Bayesian and maximum likelihood analysis used a General Time Reversible (GTR) model of nucleotide substitution with four categories of among-site rate variation and the proportion of invariant sites, the best model selected by ModelTest, implemented in MEGA5. Statistical support for distance and maximum likelihood trees was evaluated using bootstrapping (1,000 replicates). Bayesian analysis used four Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) strands, 1,000,000 generations, with trees sampled every 100 generations. The resulting average standard deviation of split frequencies was less than 0.01. A consensus tree was produced

after excluding an initial burn-in of 25% of the samples, as recommended. A second alignment was produced to place the root on the resulting trees. This contained a subset of the *Blastocystis* reference sequences including all the established STs plus the new sequences. Outgroups included two members of the Stramenopiles that are closely related to *Blastocystis* (*Karotomorpha* and *Proteromonas*) plus three additional members of that group (*Saprolegnia, Cafeteria* and *Wobblia*). This alignment contained 48 sequences in total. Phylogenies were calculated as above; all three methods resulted in the same root placement.

Acknowledgements

We would like to acknowledge the following for help with this study. For DNA samples: Eloise Busby, Simone Cacciò, Abigail Levy, and Egbert Tannich. For stool samples: Ghislaine Sayers (Paignton Zoo), Jane DeGabriel (University of Aberdeen), Mark Fox and colleagues (Royal Veterinary College), the Garaboli Dairy Cow Project, and LSHTM parasitology field trip students. For logistical help in Libya: Dr Al Hadi Al Megarbi, Mr Mabrouk Jabreel, and Mr Mouftha Egnewa. For providing laboratory facilities in Libya: Sebha Central Medical Laboratory. Much of this work formed part of the PhD thesis of MAA, who was supported by the Libyan Government, while other portions formed parts of the MSc project reports of DT-M and CAI.

- 357 References
- 358 **Abe N** (2004) Molecular and phylogenetic analysis of *Blastocystis* isolates from various
- 359 hosts. Vet Parasitol **120**: 235-242.
- 360 **Abe N, Wu Z, Yoshikawa H** (2003a) Molecular characterization of *Blastocystis* isolates
- 361 from birds by PCR with diagnostic primers and restriction fragment length polymorphism
- analysis of the small subunit ribosomal RNA gene. Parasitol Res **89**: 393-396.
- 363 **Abe N, Wu Z, Yoshikawa H** (2003b) Molecular characterization of *Blastocystis* isolates
- 364 from primates. Vet Parasitol **113**: 321-325.
- 365 **Abe N, Wu Z, Yoshikawa H** (2003c) Zoonotic genotypes of *Blastocystis hominis* detected in
- 366 cattle and pigs by PCR with diagnostic primers and restriction fragment length polymorphism
- analysis of the small subunit ribosomal RNA gene. Parasitol Res **90**: 124-128.
- 368 Abe N, Nagoshi M, Takami K, Sawano Y, Yoshikawa H (2002) A survey of *Blastocystis*
- sp. in livestock, pets, and zoo animals in Japan. Vet Parasitol **106**: 203-212.
- 370 Alfellani MA, Stensvold CR, Vidal-Lapiedra A, Onuoha ESU, Fagbenro-Beyioku AF,
- 371 Clark CG (2013) Variable geographic distribution of *Blastocystis* subtypes and its potential
- 372 implications. Acta Trop **126**: 11-18.
- 373 Alfellani MA, Jacob AS, Ortíz Perea N, Krecek RC, Taner-Mulla D, Verweij JJ,
- Levecke B, Tannich E, Clark CG, Stensvold CR Diversity and distribution of *Blastocystis*
- sp. subtypes in non-human primates. Parasitology (in press) DOI:
- 376 10.1017/S0031182013000255
- 377 **Arisue N, Hashimoto T, Yoshikawa H** (2003) Sequence heterogeneity of the small subunit
- 378 ribosomal RNA genes among *Blastocystis* isolates. Parasitology **126**: 1-9.
- 379 Clark CG (1997) Extensive genetic diversity in *Blastocystis hominis*. Mol Biochem Parasitol
- **87**: 79-83.
- Clark CG, Diamond LS (2002) Methods for cultivation of luminal parasitic protists

- of clinical importance. Clin Microbiol Rev 15: 329–341.
- Clark CG, van der Giezen M, Alfellani MA, Stensvold CR (2013) Recent developments in
- 384 Blastocystis research. Adv Parasitol 82: 1-32.
- **Eroglu F, Koltas IS** (2010) Evaluation of the transmission mode of *B. hominis* using PCR
- 386 method. Parasitol Res **107**: 841-845.
- Fayer R, Santín M. Macarisin D (2012) Detection of concurrent infection of dairy cattle
- with Blastocystis, Cryptosporidium, Giardia, and Enterocytozoon by molecular and
- microscopic methods. Parasitol Res **111**: 1349-1355.
- 390 Ho LC, Armiugam A, Jeyaseelan K, Yap EH, Singh M (2000) Blastocystis elongation
- factor-1alpha: genomic organization, taxonomy and phylogenetic relationships. Parasitology
- **121**: 135-144.
- 393 **Huelsenbeck JP, Ronquist F** (2001) MRBAYES: Bayesian inference of phylogenetic trees.
- 394 Bioinformatics **17**: 754-755.
- Lee LI, Chye TT, Karmacharya BM, Govind SK (2012) *Blastocystis* sp.: waterborne
- zoonotic organism, a possibility? Parasit Vectors **5**: 130.
- 397 Leelayoova S, Taamasri P, Rangsin R, Naaglor T, Thathaisong U, Mungthin M
- 398 (2002) In-vitro cultivation: a sensitive method for detecting *Blastocystis hominis*.
- 399 Ann Trop Med Parasitol **96**: 803–807.
- 400 Lim YA, Ngui R, Shukri J, Rohela M, Mat Naim HR (2008) Intestinal parasites in various
- animals at a zoo in Malaysia. Vet Parasitol **157**: 154-159.
- 402 Meloni D, Poirier P, Mantini C, Noël C, Gantois N, Wawrzyniak I, Delbac F, Chabé M,
- 403 **Delhaes L, Dei-Cas E, Fiori PL, El Alaoui H, Viscogliosi E** (2012) Mixed human intra- and
- inter-subtype infections with the parasite *Blastocystis* sp. Parasitol Int **61**: 719-722.

- Nagel R, Cuttell L, Stensvold CR, Mills PC, Bielefeldt-Ohmann H, Traub RJ (2012)
- 406 Blastocystis subtypes in symptomatic and asymptomatic family members and pets and
- 407 response to therapy. Intern Med J **42**: 1187-1195.
- Noël C, Peyronnet C, Gerbod D, Edgcomb VP, Delgado-Viscogliosi P, Sogin ML,
- 409 Capron M, Viscogliosi E, Zenner L (2003) Phylogenetic analysis of *Blastocystis* isolates
- 410 from different hosts based on the comparison of small-subunit rRNA gene sequences. Mol
- 411 Biochem Parasitol **126**: 119-123.
- Noël C, Dufernez F, Gerbod D, Edgcomb VP, Delgado-Viscogliosi P, Ho LC, Singh M,
- Wintjens R, Sogin ML, Capron M, Pierce R, Zenner L, Viscogliosi E (2005) Molecular
- 414 phylogenies of *Blastocystis* isolates from different hosts: implications for genetic diversity,
- identification of species, and zoonosis. J Clin Microbiol 43: 348-355.
- Nougairede A, Fossati C, Salez N, Cohen-Bacrie S, Ninove L, Michel F, Aboukais S,
- Buttner M, Zandotti C, de Lamballerie X, Charrel RN (2013) Sheep-to-human
- 418 transmission of Orf virus during Eid al-Adha religious practices, France. Emerg Infect Dis
- 419 **19**: 102-105.
- 420 Parkar U, Traub RJ, Kumar S, Mungthin M, Vitali S, Leelayoova S, Morris K,
- 421 **Thompson RC** (2007) Direct characterization of *Blastocystis* from faeces by PCR and
- evidence of zoonotic potential. Parasitology **134**: 359-367.
- Parkar U, Traub RJ, Vitali S, Elliot A, Levecke B, Robertson I, Geurden T, Steele J,
- 424 **Drake B, Thompson RC** (2010) Molecular characterization of *Blastocystis* isolates from zoo
- animals and their animal-keepers. Vet Parasitol **169**: 8-17.
- 426 Petrášová J, Uzlíková M, Kostka M, Petrželková KJ, Huffman MA, Modrý D (2011)
- Diversity and host specificity of *Blastocystis* in syntopic primates on Rubondo Island,
- 428 Tanzania. Int J Parasitol **41**: 1113-1120.

- **Roberts T, Stark D, Harkness J, Ellis J** Subtype distribution of *Blastocystis* isolates from a
- variety of animals from New South Wales, Australia. Vet Parasitol (in press;
- 431 DOI:10.1016/j.vetpar.2013.01.011).
- 432 Salim HR, Kumar, GS, Vellayan S, Mak JW, Anuar AK, Init I, Vennila GD,
- 433 **Saminathan R, Ramakrishnan K** (1999) *Blastocystis* in animal handlers. Parasitol Res **85**:
- 434 1032-1033.
- Santín M, Gómez-Muñoz MT, Solano-Aguilar G, Fayer R (2011) Development of a new
- PCR protocol to detect and subtype *Blastocystis* spp. from humans and animals. Parasitol Res
- **109**: 205-212.
- 438 Scicluna SM, Tawari B, Clark CG (2006) DNA barcoding of *Blastocystis*. Protist 157: 77-
- 439 85.
- 440 **Stensvold CR** (2013) Comparison of sequencing (barcode region) and STS PCR for
- 441 Blastocystis subtyping. J Clin Microbiol **51**: 190-194.
- Stensvold CR, Alfellani M, Clark CG (2012) Levels of genetic diversity vary dramatically
- between *Blastocystis* subtypes. Infect Genet Evol **12**: 263-273.
- Stensvold CR, Arendrup MC, Nielsen HV, Bada A, Thorsen S (2008) Symptomatic
- infection with *Blastocystis* sp. subtype 8 successfully treated with trimethoprim-
- sulfamethoxazole. Ann Trop Med Parasitol **102**: 271-274.
- 447 Stensvold CR, Suresh GK, Tan KSW, Thompson RCA, Traub RJ, Viscogliosi E,
- **Yoshikawa H, Clark CG** (2007) Terminology for *Blastocystis* subtypes a consensus.
- 449 Trends Parasitol **23**: 93-96
- 450 Stensvold CR, Alfellani MA, Nørskov-Lauritsen S, Prip K, Victory EL, Maddox C,
- Nielsen HV, Clark CG (2009) Subtype distribution of *Blastocystis* isolates from
- 452 synanthropic and zoo animals and identification of a new subtype. Int J Parasitol **39**: 473-479.

- Tamura K, Peterson D, Peterson N, Stecher G, Nei M, Kumar S (2011) MEGA5:
- 454 molecular evolutionary genetics analysis using maximum likelihood, evolutionary distance,
- and maximum parsimony methods. Mol Biol Evol **28**: 2731-2739.
- 456 **Tan KS** (2004) *Blastocystis* in humans and animals: new insights using modern
- methodologies. Vet Parasitol 126: 121-144.
- 458 Tan TC, Tan PC, Sharma R, Sugnaseelan S, Kumar GS (2013) Genetic diversity of
- 459 caprine *Blastocystis* from Peninsular Malaysia. Parasitol Res **112**: 85-89.
- 460 Teow WL, Ng GC, Chan PP, Chan YC, Yap EH, Zaman V, Singh M (1992) A survey of
- 461 *Blastocystis* in reptiles. Parasitol Res **78**: 453-455.
- Teow WL, Zaman V, Ng GC, Chan YC, Yap EH, Howe J, Gopalakrishnakone P, Singh
- 463 **M** (1991) A *Blastocystis* species from the sea-snake, *Lapemis hardwickii* (Serpentes:
- 464 Hydrophiidae). Int J Parasitol 21: 723-726.
- Thathaisong U, Worapong J, Mungthin M, Tan-Ariya P, Viputtigul K, Sudatis A,
- Noonai A, Leelayoova S (2003) *Blastocystis* isolates from a pig and a horse are closely
- related to *Blastocystis hominis*. J Clin Microbiol **41**: 967-975.
- 468 Yamada M, Yoshikawa H, Tegoshi T, Matsumoto Y, Yoshikawa T, Shiota T, Yoshida Y
- 469 (1987) Light microscopical study of *Blastocystis* spp. in monkeys and fowls. Parasitol Res
- 470 73**:** 527-531.
- 471 Yoshikawa H, Abe N, Wu Z (2003a) Genomic polymorphism among *Blastocystis* isolates
- and development of PCR-based identification of zoonotic isolates. J Eukaryot Microbiol 50
- 473 Suppl: 710-711.
- 474 Yoshikawa H, Abe N, Wu Z (2004a) PCR-based identification of zoonotic isolates of
- 475 Blastocystis from mammals and birds. Microbiology **150**: 1147-1151.
- 476 Yoshikawa H, Wu Z, Nagano I, Takahashi Y (2003c) Molecular comparative studies
- among *Blastocystis* isolates obtained from humans and animals. J Parasitol **89**: 585-594.

478 Yoshikawa H, Morimoto K, Nagashima M, Miyamoto N (2004b) A survey of *Blastocystis* 479 infection in anuran and urodele amphibians. Vet Parasitol 122: 91-102. 480 Yoshikawa H, Nagashima M, Morimoto K, Yamanouti Y, Yap EH, Singh M (2003b) 481 Freeze-fracture and cytochemical studies on the in vitro cyst form of reptilian Blastocystis 482 pythoni. J Eukaryot Microbiol 50: 70-75. 483 Yoshikawa H, Wu Z, Howe J, Hashimoto T, Geok-Choo N, Tan KS (2007) 484 Ultrastructural and phylogenetic studies on Blastocystis isolates from cockroaches. J 485 Eukaryot Microbiol **54**: 33-37. 486 Yoshikawa H, Wu Z, Pandey K, Pandey BD, Sherchand JB, Yanagi T, Kanbara H 487 (2009) Molecular characterization of *Blastocystis* isolates from children and rhesus monkeys 488 in Kathmandu, Nepal. Vet Parasitol 160: 295-300. 489

Table 1. Animal samples collected from various hosts in different countries

Host	Scientific name	Location	Sample numbers	Sequence positive samples
Monotremata				
Echidna	Tachyglossus aculeatus	UK	1	0
Marsupialia				
Western gray				
kangaroo	Macropus fuliginosus	UK	1	0
Rodentia				
Bank vole	Clethrionomys glareolus	Poland	6	0
		UK	32	1
Black-tailed prarie dog	Cynomys ludovicianus	UK	1	0
Capybara	Hydrochoerus hydrochaeris	UK	1	0
Chinchilla	Chinchilla lonigera	Belgium	5	2
		Croatia	1	0
Crested porcupine	Hystrix cristata	UK	1	0
Degu	Octodon degus	Croatia	1	0
Prevost's squirrel	Callosciurus prevostii	UK	1	0
Syrian hamster	Mesocricetus auratus	Croatia	1	0
Yellow necked mouse	Apodemus flavicollis	Poland	1	1
Wood mouse	Apodemus sylvaticus	UK	13	1
Gundi	Ctenodactylus gundi	Libya	4	1
Lagomorpha				
European rabbit	Oryctolagus cuniculus	Croatia	1	0
		UK	1	0
Artiodactyla				
Anoa	Bubalus quarlesi	UK	3	2
European bison	Bison bonasus	Poland	1	0
Giraffe	Giraffa cameloparadalis	UK	4	1
Mouse deer	Tragulus javanicus	UK	2	2
Red deer	Cervus elaphus	UK	3	0
Roe deer	Capreolus capreolus	UK	2	1
Fallow deer	Dama dama	Mauritius	2	2
Pudu	Pudu puda	UK	1	0
Camel	Camelus dromedarius	Libya	196	47
Cattle	Bos taurus	, Libya	36	15
		France	2	0
		Italy	3	0
		UK	31	7
Goat	Capra aegagrus aegagrus	Libya	38	4
	Capra aegagrus hircus	Italy	2	0
Barbary sheep	Ammotragus Iervia	Libya	5	1
Sheep	Ovis aries	Italy	2	0
·		UK	51	12

Red River Hog	Potamochoerus porcus	UK	1	0
Collared peccary	Pecari tajacu	UK	1	0
Domestic pig	Sus scrofa domesticus	UK	7	2
		Vietnam	12	12
Gazelle	Gazella arabica	Libya	9	1
Bongo	Tragelaphus eurycerus	UK	1	0
		Czech		
Mouflon	Ovis orientalis musimon	Republic	1	1
Perissodactyla				
Brazilian tapir	Tapirus terrestris	UK	1	0
Black rhinoceros	Diceros bicornis	UK	1	1
Donkey	Equus africanus asinus	Libya	16	0
		UK	2	0
Horse	Equus ferus caballus	Libya	2	0
		UK	14	0
Mountain zebra	Equus zebra hartmannae	UK	2	0
Proboscidea				
African elephant	Loxodonta africana	UK	1	0
		Belgium	4	0
Carnivora				
European badger	Meles meles	UK	1	0
Dog	Canis familiaris	Croatia	3	0
		France	2	0
Domestic cat	Felis catus	France	2	0
Meerkat	Suricata suricatta	UK	1	0
Red fox	Vulpes vulpes	UK	1	0
Serval	Leptailurus serval	Croatia	1	0
Stoat	Mustela erminea	UK	2	0
Aves				
Ostrich	Struthio camelus	UK	1	0
Southern cassowary	Casuarius casuarius	UK	1	0
Chicken	Gallus gallus	Libya	3	1
		UK	1	0
		Mauritius	1	0
Macaw	<i>Ara</i> hybrid	Mauritius	1	0
Black Swan	Cygnus atratus	Mauritius	2	0
Domestic duck	Anas platyrhynchos	Mauritius	1	0
Domestic goose	Anser anser	UK	2	0
Total			557	118

Table 2. Subtype results from sequence-positive samples

Host	Scientific name	Location	Sequences	ST1	ST2	ST3	ST4	ST5	ST6	ST7	ST8	ST9	ST10	ST11	ST12	ST13	ST14	ST15	ST16	ST17	Mixed
Rodentia																					
Bank vole	Clethrionomys glareolus	UK	1	_	_	_	_	1	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Chinchilla	Chinchilla lonigera	Belgium	2	_	_	2	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Yellow necked mouse	Apodemus flavicollis	Poland	1	_	_	1	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	-	_	_
Wood mouse	Apodemus sylvaticus	UK	1	-	-	1	_	_	-	-	_	-	-	-	_	_	-	-	-	-	_
Gundi	Ctenodactylus gundi	Libya	1	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	-	_	_	-	_	-	1	_
Artiodactyla																					
Anoa	Bubalus quarlesi	UK	2	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	1	-	_	_	_	_	-	_	1
Giraffe	Giraffa cameloparadalis	UK	1	_	_	1	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	-	_	_
Mouse deer	Tragulus javanicus	UK	2	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	2	_	_	_	_	_
Fallow deer	Dama dama	Mauritius	2	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	2	-	_	_	_	_	-	_	_
Roe deer	Capreolus capreolus	UK	1	_	_	_	_	1	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	-	_	_
Camel	Camelus dromedarius	Libya	47	5	_	5	_	20	_	_	_	_	6	_	_	_	3	1	_	_	7
Cattle	Bos taurus	Libya	15	_	_	_	_	2	_	_	_	_	6	-	_	_	2	_	-	_	5
		UK	7	1	_	_	_	1	_	_	_	_	3	-	_	_	_	_	-	_	2
Goat	Capra aegagrus aegagrus	Libya	4	_	_	1	_	_	_	1	_	_	1	-	_	_	_	_	-	_	1
Barbary sheep	Ammotragus Iervia	Libya	1	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	1	-	_	_	_	_	-	_	_
Sheep	Ovis aries	UK	12	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	7	-	_	_	_	1	-	_	4
Domestic pig	Sus scrofa domesticus	Vietnam	12	_	_	_	_	12	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	-	_	_
		UK	2	-	-	_	_	1	-	-	_	-	-	-	_	_	-	-	-	-	1
Gazelle	Gazella arabica	Libya	1	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	-	_	1
Mouflon	Ovis orientalis musimon	Czech Republic	1	-	-	_	_	_	-	-	_	-	-	-	_	_	1	-	-	-	_
Perissodactyla																					
Black rhinoceros	Diceros bicornis	UK	1	_	_	_	_	1	_	_	-	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	-
Aves																				-	-
Chicken	Gallus gallus	Libya	1		_			_		1	_		_	_		_	_	_	_		
Total			118	6 (5%)	_	11 (9%)	_	39 (33%)	_	2 (2%)	_	_	27 (23%)	_	_	2 (2%)	6 (5%)	2 (2%)	_	1 (1%)	22 (19%)

Table 3. Comparison of *Blastocystis* STs in cattle in various countries

Country			Blastocy	stis ST			Total
Country	ST1	ST3	ST5	ST10	ST14	MIX	Total
Denmark	_	_	3 (12%)	22 (88%)	_	_	25
Libya	_	_	2 (13%)	6 (40%)	2 (13%)	5 (33%)	15
UK	1 (14%)	_	1 (14%)	3 (43%)	_	2 (29%)	7
USA	_	_	_	13 (81%)	1 (6%)	2 (13%)	16
Japan	1 (12.5%)	1 (12.5%)	6 (75%)	? ª	?ª	_	8
							71

a - This study (Yoshikawa et al. 2004a) used STS for subtyping and would not have detected infections with subtypes ST8-ST17

Table 4. Blastocystis subtypes detected in camels from various farms in Libya

Subtype	ST1	ST2	ST3	ST4	ST5	ST6	ST7	ST8	ST9	ST10	ST11	ST12	ST13	ST14	ST15	ST16	ST17	Mix	Total
Farm 1 Sebha	_	_	1 (5%)	_	15 (75%)	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	1 (5%)		_	_	3 (15%)	20
Farm 2 Sebha	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	6 (60%)	_	_	_	1 (10%)	1 (10%)	_	_	2 (20%)	10
Farm 3 Sebha	2 (50%)	_	2 (50%)	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	-	_	4
Farm 4 Sebha	1 (17%)	_	2 (33%)	_	1 (17%)	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	2 (33%)	6
Farm 5 Sebha	_	_	_	_	1 (100%)	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	1
Farm 7 Zawia	2 (33%)	_	_	_	3 (50%)	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	1 (17%)	_	_	_	_	6
Total	5	_	5	_	20	_	_	_	_	6	_	_	_	3	1	_	_	7	47

Table 5. Blastocystis subtypes identified in different animal groups worldwide

Animal host group												S	Subtype							
Animai nost group	ST1	ST2	ST3	ST4	ST5	ST6	ST7	ST8	ST9	ST10	ST11	ST12	ST13	ST14	ST15	ST16	ST17	Mixed	Untypable	Reference
Placental Mammals																				
Homo sapiens (total)	882	343	1399	318	9	89	118	10	3	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	191	34	Alfellani et al. (2013)
Non-human primates (total)	105	79	76	4	19			30		2			1		3			15	4	Alfellani et al. (in press)
	151	7	10	_	64	_	_	_	_	24	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	1	_	Stensvold et al. (2009) ^a
	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	4	-	-	-	_	_	_	_	Parkar et al. (2010)
	_	_	_	-	7	_	_	-	_	7	_	_	-	-	-	_	_	_	_	Santín et al. (2011)
Artiodactyla	43	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	29	_	Tan et al. (2013)
, ii doddotyld	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	-	_	6	_	_	-	1	-	_	_	2	_	Fayer et al. (2012)
	_	_	_	_	_	3	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	1	6	Lee et al. (2012)
	3	_	2	1	8	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	Roberts et al. (in press)
	6	_	7		37		1			27			2	6	2			22	-	Present study
Total	203	7	19	1	116	3	1	0	0	64	0	4	2	7	2	0	0	55	6	
Perissodactyla	1	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	Stensvold et al. (2009)
		_			1														-	Present study
Total	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Proboscidea	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	4	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	Parkar et al. (2010)
	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	11	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	Roberts et al. (in press)
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	1	3	1	-	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	Stensvold et al. (2009)
Carnivora	1	_	_	-	-	_	3	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	Eroglu et al. (2010)
	4	_	2	-	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	2	_	Nagel et al. (2012)
	_	_	_	1	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	Roberts et al. (in press)
Carnivora	1 1 4 —	3 - -	1 - 2 -	- - - 1	- - -	- - -	- 3 - -	- - -	_ _ 2 _	- - -	Eroglu et al. (2010) Nagel et al. (2012)									

Total	6	3	3	1	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	
Rodentia	_	_	_	7	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	Stensvold et al. (2009)
	_	_	4	_	1	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	1	_	_	Present study
Total	0	0	4	7	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	
<u>Marsupials</u>																				
Didelphimorphia	_	_	_	1	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	Stensvold et al. (2009)
Diprotodontia	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	2	2	_	_	_	_	_	_	Parkar et al. (2010)
	_	_	-	9	_	_	-	_	-	-	_	-	1	_	_	_	-	_	_	Roberts et al. (in press) Yoshikawa et al.
		_		_	_	_	_	_	_				_	_	_	2	_		_	(unpublished)
Total	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	0	0	2	0	0	0	
Birds																				
<u>Dirus</u>																				
Anseriformes	_	_	_	_	_	_	2	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	Stensvold et al. (2009)
Galliformes	3	1	_	_	_	10	11	1	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	2	5	Stensvold et al. (2009)
	-	_	_	-	-	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	1	_	Santín et al. (2011)
	-	_	_	-	-	_	1	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	Present study
	_	1	_	_	_	_	2	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	Roberts et al. (in press
Ratites	-	2	_	6	-	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	Roberts et al. (in press
Unidentified	2		_				3		_						_		_	_	_	Eroglu et al. (2010)
Total	5	4	0	6	0	10	19	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5	
Mammals and birds total																				
(excluding primates)	215	14	26	25	118	13	23	11	0	64	15	6	5	7	2	2	1	60	11	608

The numbers do not match those in this publication because of some double counting identified later, resulting from the same samples being

⁵⁰¹ typed by different methods in two publications.

Figure 1. Phylogenetic relationships among SSU rDNA sequences of *Blastocystis*. The tree shown is the one inferred using the Maximum-Likelihood method. The trees were computed as described in the methods section and the bootstrap support values and posterior probabilities are shown next to each node in the order Maximum Likelihood/Bayesian Analysis/Neighbor-Joining. An asterisk indicates lower than 50 % bootstrap support or a posterior probability value of less than 0.5. Accession numbers for the sequences used are listed parentheses with the common name in English of the host. New subtypes are identified in a larger size bold font. Bar = estimated number of substitutions per site.

