

Title: Recovery of large carnivores in Europe's modern human-dominated landscapes

Authors: Guillaume Chapron ¹ *†, Petra Kaczensky ², John D. C. Linnell ³, Manuela von Arx ⁴, Djuro Huber ⁵, Henrik Andrén ¹, José Vicente López-Bao ^{1,6} †, Michal Adamec ⁷, Francisco Álvares ⁸, Ole Anders ⁹, Linas Balčiauskas ¹⁰, Vaidas Balys ¹¹, Péter Bedő ¹², Ferdinand Bego ¹³, Juan Carlos Blanco ¹⁴, Urs Breitenmoser ^{4,15}, Henrik Brøseth ³, Luděk Bufka ¹⁶, Raimonda Bunikyte ¹⁷, Paolo Ciucci ¹⁸, Alexander Dutsov ¹⁹, Thomas Engleder ²⁰, Christian Fuxjäger ²¹, Claudio Groff ²², Katja Holmala ²³, Bledi Hoxha ²⁴, Yorgos Iliopoulos ²⁵, Ovidiu Ionescu ^{26, 27}, Jasna Jeremić ²⁸, Klemen Jerina ²⁹, Gesa Kluth ³⁰, Felix Knauer ², Ilpo Kojola ²³, Ivan Kos ²⁹, Miha Krofel ²⁹, Jakub Kubala ³¹, Saša Kunovac ³², Josip Kusak ⁵, Miroslav Kutal ^{33,34}, Olof Liberg ¹, Aleksandra Majić ²⁹, Peep Mannil ³⁵, Ralph Manz ⁴, Eric Marboutin ³⁶, Francesca Marucco ³⁷, Dime Melovski ³⁸, Kujtim Mersini ³⁹, Yorgos Mertzanis ²⁵, Robert W. Mysłajek ⁴⁰, Sabina Nowak ⁴¹, John Odden ³, Janis Ozolins ⁴², Guillermo Palomero ⁴³, Milan Paunovic ⁴⁴, Jens Persson ¹, Hubert Potočník ²⁹, Pierre-Yves Quenette ⁴⁵, Georg Rauer ², Ilka Reinhardt ³⁰, Robin Rigg ¹², Andreas Ryser ⁴, Valeria Salvatori ⁴⁶, Tomaž Skrbinšek ²⁹, Aleksandar Stojanov ³⁸, Jon E. Swenson ^{3, 47}, László Szemethy ⁴⁸, Aleksandër Trajçe ²⁴, Elena Tsingarska-Sedefcheva ¹⁹, Martin Váňa ³³, Rauno Veeroja ³⁵, Petter Wabakken ⁴⁹, Manfred Wöfl ⁵⁰, Sybille Wöfl ⁵¹, Fridolin Zimmermann ⁴, Diana Zlatanova ⁵² & Luigi Boitani ¹⁸

Affiliations:

1. Grimsö Wildlife Research Station, Department of Ecology, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, 73091 Riddarhyttan, Sweden.
2. Research Institute of Wildlife Ecology, University of Veterinary Medicine, Vienna, Savoyenstrasse 1, 1160 Vienna, Austria.
3. Norwegian Institute for Nature Research, PO Box 5685 Sluppen, 7485 Trondheim, Norway.
4. KORA, Thunstrasse 31, 3074 Muri b. Bern, Switzerland.
5. Biology Department of the Faculty of Veterinary Medicine University of Zagreb, Heinzelova 55, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia.
6. Research Unit of Biodiversity (UO/CSIC/PA), Oviedo University, 33600 Mieres, Spain.
7. State Nature Conservancy of Slovak Republic, Tajovskeho 28B, 974 01 Banská Bystrica, Slovakia.
8. CIBIO/InBio, Centro de Investigação em Biodiversidade e Recursos Genéticos, Universidade do Porto, Portugal.
9. Harz Nationalpark, Lindenallee 35, 38855 Wernigerode, Germany.
10. Nature Research Centre, Akademijos 2, 08412 Vilnius, Lithuania.
11. Association for Nature Conservation "Baltijos vilkas", Visoriu g. 6A-54, 08300 Vilnius, Lithuania.
12. Slovak Wildlife Society, P.O. Box 72, 03301 Liptovský Hradok, Slovakia.
13. Biology Department of the Faculty of Natural Sciences, University of Tirana, Blv. Zog I, Tirana, Albania.

14. Wolf Project, CBC, C/ Manuela Malasana 24, 28004 Madrid, Spain.
15. Centre for Fish and Wildlife Health, Vetsuisse Faculty, University of Bern, Länggassstrasse 122, 3012 Bern, Switzerland.
16. Department of Game Management and Wildlife Biology, Czech University of Life Sciences in Prague, Kamýcká 129, 165 21 Prague, Czech Republic.
17. Ministry of Environment of the Republic of Lithuania, Jakšto 4/9, 01105 Vilnius, Lithuania.
18. Department of Biology and Biotechnologies, University of Rome “La Sapienza”, Viale dell’Università 32, 00185 Roma, Italy.
19. BALKANI Wildlife Society, Blvd. Dragan Tzankov 8, 1164 Sofia, Bulgaria.
20. Lynx Project Austria Northwest, Linzerstr. 14, 4170 Haslach/Mühl, Austria.
21. Nationalpark O.ö. Kalkalpen Ges.m.b.H. Nationalpark Zentrum Molln, Nationalpark Allee 1, 4591 Molln, Austria.
22. Provincia Autonoma di Trento - Servizio Foreste e Fauna, Via Trener n. 3, 38100 Trento, Italy.
23. Finnish Game and Fisheries Research Institute, Oulu Game and Fisheries Research, Tutkijantie 2E, 90570 Oulu, Finland.
24. Protection and Preservation of Natural Environment in Albania, Rr. Vangjush Furxhi 16/1/10, Tirana, Albania.
25. Callisto Wildlife Society, Mitropoleos 123, 54621 Thessaloniki, Greece.
26. Transilvania University, Faculty of Silviculture and Forest Engineering, Department of Silviculture, 1 Beethoven Lane, 500123 Braşov, Romania.

27. Forest Research Institute (ICAS) Bulevardul Eroilor Nr. 128, Voluntari, Ilfov, 077190 Romania.
28. State Institute for Nature Protection, Trg Mažuranića 5, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia.
29. University of Ljubljana, Biotechnical Faculty, Jamnikarjeva 101, 1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia.
30. LUPUS – German Institute for wolf monitoring and research, Dorfstr. 20, 02979 Spreewitz, Germany.
31. Department of Forest Protection and Game Management, Faculty of Forestry, Technical University of Zvolen, T.G. Masaryka 20, 960 53 Zvolen, Slovakia.
32. Faculty of Forestry, University of Sarajevo, Zagrebačka 20, 71000 Sarajevo, Bosnia and Herzegovina.
33. Department of Forest Protection and Wildlife Management, Faculty of Forestry and Wood Technology, Mendel University in Brno, Zemědělská 3, 61300 Brno, Czech Republic.
34. Friends of the Earth Czech Republic, Olomouc branch, Dolní náměstí 38, 77900 Olomouc, Czech Republic.
35. Estonian Environment Agency, Rõõmu tee 2, 51013 Tartu, Estonia.
36. Office National de la Chasse et de la Faune Sauvage, ZI Mayencin, 5 allée de Béthléem, 38610 Gières, France.
37. Centro Gestione e Conservazione Grandi Carnivori, Piazza Regina Elena 30, Valdieri 12010, Italy.
38. Macedonian Ecological Society, Boulevard Kuzman Josifovski Pitu 28/3-7, Skopje 1000, FYR Macedonia.

39. National Veterinary Epidemiology Unit, Food Safety and Veterinary Institute, Rr. Aleksandër Moisiu no.10 Tirana, Albania.
40. Institute of Genetics and Biotechnology, Faculty of Biology, University of Warsaw, Pawińskiego 5a, 02-106 Warszawa, Poland.
41. Association for Nature “Wolf”, Twardorzeczka 229, 34-324 Lipowa, Poland.
42. Latvian State Forest Research Institute “Silava”, Rigas str. 111, Salaspils, 2169 Latvia.
43. Fundación Oso Pardo, C/ San Luis 17, 4º A, 39010 Santander, Spain.
44. Natural History Museum, Njegoseva 51, 11000 Belgrade, Serbia.
45. ONCFS-CNERA PAD, Equipe ours, Chef de Projet, Impasse de la Chapelle, 31800 Villeneuve de Rivière, France.
46. Istituto di Ecologia Applicata, Via B. Eustachio 10, 00161 Rome, Italy.
47. Department of Ecology and Natural Resource Management, Norwegian University of Life Sciences, Postbox 5003, 1432 Ås, Norway.
48. St. István University Institute for Wildlife Conservation, Páter Károly 1, 2103 Gödöllő, Hungary.
49. Hedmark University College, Evenstad, 2480 Koppang, Norway.
50. Bavarian Agency of Environment, Hans-Högn-Str. 12, 95030 Hof/Saale, Germany.
51. Lynx Project Bavaria, Trailing 1a, 93462 Lam, Germany.
52. Department of Zoology and Anthropology, Faculty of Biology/Sofia University "St. Kliment Ohridski", Bul. Dragan Tzankov 8, 1164 Sofia, Bulgaria.

* Correspondence should be addressed to gchapron@carnivoreconservation.org or guillaume.chapron@slu.se

† These authors contributed equally to this work.

Abstract: The conservation of large carnivores represents a formidable challenge for biodiversity conservation. Using a dataset on the past and current status of brown bears (*Ursus arctos*), Eurasian lynx (*Lynx lynx*), grey wolves (*Canis lupus*), and wolverines (*Gulo gulo*) in European countries, we show that roughly one-third of mainland Europe hosts at least one large carnivore species, with stable or increasing abundance in most cases in 21st-century records. The reasons for this overall conservation success include protective legislations, supportive public opinion as well as a variety of practices making coexistence between large carnivores and people possible. The European situation reveals that large carnivores and people can successfully share the same landscape.

One Sentence Summary: Europe is succeeding in maintaining and restoring viable large carnivore populations on a continental scale.

Main Text: Large carnivores are among the most controversial and challenging group of species to conserve in our modern and crowded world. There is a deeply rooted hostility against these species in human history and culture because of perceptions of their negative impacts on human lives and livelihoods (1). Large carnivore abundance and distribution have historically been reduced (2) and their present conservation has become intertwined with broader emotional, political, socio-economic and societal issues that

further complicate this challenge (3). In addition, large carnivores live at low densities and have large spatial requirements (4). Accordingly, the conservation of viable large carnivore populations needs to be planned and coordinated on very wide scales often spanning many intra- and inter-national borders (i.e. requiring transboundary management (5)).

The main debate around large carnivore conservation is whether there is enough suitable space left for viable and ecologically functional large carnivore populations (6). As the two main drivers of the current biodiversity crisis – human overpopulation and overconsumption – show no sign of reducing, an intuitive forecasting could be that large carnivores will only persist in protected areas with a high degree of management (e.g. regular translocations to achieve artificial connectivity) or in some remote and uninhabited wilderness areas. This approach derives conceptually from the North American wilderness model that seeks to separate people and nature, which has further been adopted in many Asian, African and Neotropical countries (6). It has had a strong influence in shaping the dominant paradigm of large carnivore conservation, namely that people and predators do not mix well (“keeping people and predators apart”, separation model). The ultimate expression of this approach lies in the southern African propensity to fence protected areas (6). The alternative model “allowing people and predators together” (coexistence model), following a landscape-scale conservation approach, has rarely been given proper consideration, probably because it has been deemed a priori to fail due to the existing conflicts between large carnivores and humans. This dichotomy of large carnivore conservation models is analogous to the land sharing versus land sparing debate, which is ongoing in a wider biodiversity conservation context (7).

We compiled data about the status (i.e. current and past occurrence and abundance) of large carnivores (brown bears *Ursus arctos*, Eurasian lynx *Lynx lynx*, grey wolves *Canis lupus*, and wolverines *Gulo gulo*) in Europe (8). We show that the European continent (considering all continental European countries excluding Belarus, Ukraine and Russia) is succeeding in maintaining, and to some extent restoring, viable large carnivore populations on a continental scale (Fig. 1, Fig. S1). All mainland European countries except for Belgium, Denmark, the Netherlands and Luxembourg have a permanent and reproducing occurrence of at least one species of large carnivore (Fig. 1). The total area with permanent presence of at least one large carnivore species in Europe covers 1,529,800 km² (roughly one third of mainland Europe) and the area of occasional presence is expanding as the presence of solitary dispersing wolves has been confirmed in both Denmark and Belgium in recent times.

Brown bears presently occur permanently in 22 countries (485,400 km²) and can be clustered into 10 populations, most of which are native populations (tables S1-S3). Eurasian lynx presently occur permanently in 23 countries (813,400 km²) and can be clustered into 11 populations, five of them being native populations (tables S5-S7). Wolves currently occur permanently in 28 countries (798,300 km²) and can be clustered into 10 populations, which are all native (tables S9-S11). Wolverines, however, are only found in the three Fennoscandic countries and they permanently occur over a total of 247,900 km² in 2 populations (tables S13-S15). Because of the limited biogeographic distribution of wolverines, Fennoscandia is the only region containing all four large carnivore species in Europe (171,500 km²), and could be considered as a large carnivore

hotspot together with South-eastern Europe (Dinaric, Carpathian and Balkan regions) and the Baltics (Fig. S2). Three large carnivore species overlap over 593,800 km² in Europe (Fig. S2).

Overall, Europe hosts several large and stable populations with numbers of animals in the order of thousands of individuals, many medium-sized and increasing populations that number in the hundreds of individuals and a few small and declining populations with a few tens of individuals. Interestingly, none of the medium or large populations are declining. Brown bears are the most abundant large carnivore in Europe, with an estimated total number around 17,000 individuals and all population ranges have been relatively stable or slightly expanding (table S2). Wolves are the second most abundant species, with an estimated total number larger than 12,000 individuals (table S10). Most populations have been increasing or stable during recent years although the Sierra Morena population (Spain) is on the brink of extinction with only one pack detected in 2010 (9). In recent years, the larger Iberian population has an uncertain trend, although it seems stable, and the Karelian population has declined (9). The estimated total number of Eurasian lynx is around 9,000 individuals (table S6) and most populations have generally been stable in the last decade, although most of the reintroduced populations appear to have stagnated at relatively small sizes and the Vosges-Palatinian and Balkan lynx populations have declined (9). Finally, the estimated total number of wolverines is 1,250 individuals and both populations are increasing (table S14). Details on large carnivore monitoring methods are given in tables S4, S8, S12, S16 and (9).

All four large carnivore species are persisting in human-dominated landscapes (Fig. S3) and largely outside protected areas. The average \pm SD human density in areas of

permanent large carnivore presence is 19.0 ± 69.9 inhabitants/km² (range: 0–1,651) for brown bears; 21.8 ± 73.8 inhabitants/km² (range: 0–2603) for lynx; 36.7 ± 95.5 inhabitants/km² (range: 0–3050) for wolves and 1.4 ± 5.7 inhabitants/km² (range: 0–115) for wolverines (Fig. S3). These figures suggest species-specific sensitivities of large carnivores to humans with wolves being most successful in adapting to human-dominated landscapes (Fig. S3). Wolverines are somewhat special because their distribution is constrained by climatic conditions, which restricts them to northern and high altitude areas which have low human population densities (10).

These figures permit cautious optimism for the occurrence, abundance and trends for large carnivores in Europe. The general picture emerging from the current status of large carnivores in Europe is that these species have shown the capacity to survive in human-dominated landscapes, representing an often under-appreciated conservation success story. Having high numbers of large carnivores in such landscapes is not exclusive to Europe (the United States has abundant populations of black bears *Ursus americanus* and mountain lions *Puma concolor*), however, the largest species, brown bears and wolves, occur in Europe with much higher human densities. For example, Europe hosts twice as many wolves (>11,000) as the contiguous United States (~5,500 wolves (11)), despite being half the size (4.3 M km² vs. 8 M km²) and more than twice as densely populated (97 inhabitants/km² vs. 40 inhabitants/km²).

We believe that the alternative view to the coexistence model, i.e. the separation model, which argues that the largest predators can only survive in protected areas or wilderness, is an artefact that arose when there were active policy goals to exterminate these species (12). However, the results presented here underline that if the separation model had been

applied in Europe, there would hardly be any large carnivore populations at all because most European protected areas are too small to even host a few large carnivore reproductive units (13).

Whereas large carnivores do not permanently occur in the areas of highest human density in Europe they have shown an ability to recolonize areas at moderate human densities if they are allowed, and to persist in highly human-dominated landscapes and the proximity of urban areas (14, 15) in highly fragmented landscapes consisting of forest – farmland mosaics or even agro-ecosystems. Our results are not the first to reveal that large carnivores can coexist with people (16-18) but show that the land-sharing model for large carnivores (coexistence model) can be successful on a continental scale.

The reasons for the success of large carnivores in Europe range from coordinated legislation shared by many European countries (19, 20) to context-specific management practices and institutional arrangements. Since the end of WWII, Europe has benefited from stable political institutions ensuring proper law enforcement. The post-communist transition in Eastern European countries was not generally associated with institutional collapse, with the exception of some Balkan countries. This stability created the conditions for securing land tenure and associated rights for activities such as forestry and hunting, which are preconditions for the development of sustainable practices. The rise of environmental movements in the 1970s provided the motivation for various pan-European legislative agreements to emerge that served to promote biodiversity conservation. For example, the Bern Convention, administered by the Council of Europe, covers all countries included in this report and the Habitats Directive covers all 20 European Union member states with a permanent occurrence of large carnivores.

Consequently, the four large carnivore species examined here enjoy some degree of legal protection in all European countries. Large carnivores have also benefited from the socio-economic changes over the last four decades that led to an improvement in habitat quality. For example, Europe again hosts large populations of wild ungulates (21) which can sustain large carnivore populations. The impact of human land use activities has also been declining in many areas because of widespread exodus from rural areas and associated abandonment of agricultural land (22). These broad patterns are further accompanied by a variety of local, cultural or regulatory practices making coexistence between large carnivores and people possible (15, 23).

The most severe challenges for large carnivore conservation concern countries where large carnivores have previously been extirpated, where the adaptations for coexistence have been lost, or where husbandry practices have evolved towards new production schemes. In such contexts, the return of large carnivores can trigger social conflicts. For example, poaching enjoys social acceptance in rural areas of Norway (24), limits the recovery of wolves in Scandinavia (25) and eradicated a reintroduced bear population in Austria (26). In these areas, the practical challenges and economic impacts of carnivore conservation have escalated into social conflicts where the carnivores have become symbols of wider political divisions between rural and urban populations, and between individuals and groups with fundamentally different value orientations and interests.

At present, there is a fortunate conjuncture between many policy areas combined with a generally supportive public opinion such that the positive forces have been prevailing and the carnivores are doing well overall. However, the underlying negative forces are still present and could easily gain the upper hand in the future as a result of ecological, social,

political or economic changes. There is thus a constant need to monitor both the ecological situation and socio-political frames to ensure that the current trends are maintained.

We conclude that the European experience offers a hope for wildlife conservation in human dominated landscapes and is relevant to many other areas of the world. Although non-developed countries may lack many of the institutions and capacities that have enabled large carnivore recovery in Europe, there are other examples of large carnivores persisting and recovering in human-dominated landscapes and even in cities (17, 27, 28). Clearly, the presence of large carnivores in human-dominated ecosystems is associated with highly modified ecological situations that deviate significantly from areas with no human activity. However, the fact that such species can persist in these novel ecosystems opens up for the conservation of much larger and more connected large carnivore populations than would have been otherwise possible.

References and Notes:

1. A. Treves *et al.*, Human-carnivore conflict and perspectives on carnivore management worldwide. *Conservation Biology* **17**, 1491-1499 (2003).
2. W. J. Ripple *et al.*, Status and Ecological Effects of the World's Largest Carnivores. *Science* **343**, (2014).
3. G. Chapron *et al.*, Conserving carnivores: politics in play. *Science* **343**, 1199-1200 (2014).
4. J. L. Gittleman *et al.*, Carnivore conservation. *Conservation Biology Series (Cambridge)* **5**, i-xiii, 1-675 (2001).
5. J. D. C. Linnell *et al.*, Building biological realism into wolf management policy: the development of the population approach in Europe. *Hystrix* **23**, 80-91 (2012).
6. C. Packer *et al.*, Conserving large carnivores: dollars and fence. *Ecol Lett* **16**, 635-641 (2013).
7. B. Phalan *et al.*, Reconciling Food Production and Biodiversity Conservation: Land Sharing and Land Sparing Compared. *Science* **333**, 1289-1291 (2011).

8. See Materials and Method, Figures S1-S3 and Tables S1-S16 in the online Supplementary Materials.
9. P. Kaczensky *et al.*, "Status, management and distribution of large carnivores - bear, lynx, wolf and wolverine - in Europe. Report to the EU Commission. Part 1 and Part 2," (http://ec.europa.eu/environment/nature/conservation/species/carnivores/pdf/task_1_part1_statusoflcineurope.pdf and http://ec.europa.eu/environment/nature/conservation/species/carnivores/pdf/task_1_part2_species_country_reports.pdf 2013).
10. J. P. Copeland *et al.*, The bioclimatic envelope of the wolverine (*Gulo gulo*): Do climatic constraints limit its geographic distribution? *Canadian Journal of Zoology* **88**, 233-246 (2010).
11. International Wolf Center, "Wolves in the United States <http://www.wolf.org/wow/united-states/>," (2014).
12. J. D. C. Linnell *et al.*, Predators and people: conservation of large carnivores is possible at high human densities if management policy is favourable. *Anim Conserv* **4**, 345-349 (2001).
13. R. Woodroffe *et al.*, Edge effects and the extinction of populations inside protected areas. *Science* **280**, 2126-2128 (1998).
14. M. Basille *et al.*, What shapes Eurasian lynx distribution in human dominated landscapes: selecting prey or avoiding people? *Ecography* **32**, 683-691 (2009).
15. J. V. López-Bao *et al.*, Indirect effects on heathland conservation and wolf persistence of contradictory policies that threaten traditional free-ranging horse husbandry. *Conservation Letters*, 10.1111/conl.12014 (2013).
16. S. D. Gehrt *et al.*, *Urban carnivores: ecology, conflict, and conservation*. Urban carnivores: ecology, conflict, and conservation. (The John Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, 2010), pp. i-xv, 1-285.
17. V. Athreya *et al.*, Big Cats in Our Backyards: Persistence of Large Carnivores in a Human Dominated Landscape in India. *Plos One* **8**, (2013).
18. N. H. Carter *et al.*, Coexistence between wildlife and humans at fine spatial scales. *P Natl Acad Sci USA* **109**, 15360-15365 (2012).
19. Council Directive 92/43/EEC of 21 May 1992 on the conservation of natural habitats and of wild fauna and flora. (1992).
20. *Convention on the Conservation of European Wildlife and Natural Heritage* (1979).
21. J. D. C. Linnell *et al.*, in *Ungulate management in Europe: problems and practices.*, R. Putman, M. Apollonio, R. Andersen, Eds. (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, New York etc., 2011), pp. 12-53.
22. L. M. Navarro *et al.*, Rewilding Abandoned Landscapes in Europe. *Ecosystems* **15**, 900-912 (2012).

23. E. Knott *et al.*, The potential impacts of changes in bear hunting policy for hunting organisations in Croatia. *European Journal of Wildlife Research*, 1-13 (2013).
24. K. E. Gangaas *et al.*, Geo-Spatial Aspects of Acceptance of Illegal Hunting of Large Carnivores in Scandinavia. *Plos One* **8**, (2013).
25. O. Liberg *et al.*, Shoot, shovel and shut up: cryptic poaching slows restoration of a large carnivore in Europe. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, (2011).
26. L. Krukenhauser *et al.*, Genetic monitoring of a founder population of brown bears (*Ursus arctos*) in central Austria. *Conservation Genetics* **10**, 1223-1233 (2009).
27. C. Vynne *et al.*, Resource Selection and Its Implications for Wide-Ranging Mammals of the Brazilian Cerrado. *PLoS ONE* **6**, e28939 (2011).
28. A. Zimmermann *et al.*, in *Wild Rangelands*, J. du Toit, R. Kock, J. Deutsch, Eds. (John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, 2010), pp. 129-151.
29. U. Breitenmoser *et al.*, Spatial-Organization and Recruitment of Lynx (*Lynx-Lynx*) in a Re-Introduced Population in the Swiss Jura Mountains. *J Zool* **231**, 449-464 (1993).
30. W. Jedrzejewski *et al.*, Population dynamics (1869-1994), demography, and home ranges of the lynx in Bialowieza Primeval Forest (Poland and Belarus). *Ecography* **19**, 122-138 (1996).
31. J. D. C. Linnell *et al.*, Home range size and choice of management strategy for lynx in Scandinavia. *Environmental Management* **27**, 869-879 (2001).
32. J. Kusak *et al.*, Home ranges, movements, and activity of wolves (*Canis lupus*) in the Dalmatian part of Dinarids, Croatia. *European Journal of Wildlife Research* **51**, 254-262 (2005).
33. J. Mattisson *et al.*, Home range size variation in a recovering wolf population: evaluating the effect of environmental, demographic, and social factors. *Oecologia* **173**, 813-825 (2013).
34. B. Dahle *et al.*, Home ranges in adult Scandinavian brown bears (*Ursus arctos*): effect of mass, sex, reproductive category, population density and habitat type. *J Zool* **260**, 329-335 (2003).
35. D. Huber *et al.*, Brown bear management in Croatia. *Proceedings of the International Union of Game Biologists Congress* **21**, 287-292 (1993).
36. A. Landa *et al.*, Active wolverine *Gulo gulo* dens as a minimum population estimator in Scandinavia. *Wildlife Biology* **4**, 159-168 (1998).
37. J. Persson *et al.*, Space use and territoriality of wolverines (*Gulo gulo*) in northern Scandinavia. *European Journal of Wildlife Research* **56**, 49-57 (2010).
38. J. Linnell *et al.*, "Guidelines for population level management plans for large carnivores in Europe," (European Commission, Brussels, Belgium, 2008).

39. GEOSTAT. (2006).
40. E. Bellemain *et al.*, Estimating population size of elusive animals using DNA from hunter-collected feces: comparing four methods for brown bears. *Conservation Biology* **19**, 150-161 (2005).
41. K. H. Solberg *et al.*, An evaluation of field and non-invasive genetic methods to estimate brown bear (*Ursus arctos*) population size. *Biological Conservation*, 158-168 (2006).
42. V. Gervasi *et al.*, A multiple data source approach to improve abundance estimates of small populations: The brown bear in the Apennines, Italy. *Biological Conservation* **152**, 10-20 (2012).
43. J. Kindberg *et al.*, Estimating population size and trends of the Swedish brown bear (*Ursus arctos*) population. *Wildlife Biology* **17**, 114-123 (2011).
44. H. Andren *et al.*, Estimating total lynx *Lynx lynx* population size from censuses of family groups. *Wildlife Biology* **8**, 299-306 (2002).
45. J. D. C. Linnell *et al.*, Distance rules for minimum counts of Eurasian lynx *Lynx lynx* family groups under different ecological conditions. *Wildlife Biology* **13**, 447-455 (2007).
46. K. Schmidt *et al.*, Using scent-marking stations to collect hair samples to monitor Eurasian lynx populations. *Wildlife Society Bulletin* **34**, 462-466 (2006).
47. F. Zimmermann *et al.*, Potential distribution and population size of the Eurasian lynx *Lynx lynx* in the Jura Mountains and possible corridors to adjacent ranges. *Wildlife Biology* **13**, 406-416 (2007).
48. U. Breitenmoser *et al.*, "Guidelines for the Monitoring of Lynx," *KORA Bericht* (KORA, Bern, Switzerland, 2006).
49. F. Zimmermann *et al.*, Status and distribution of the lynx (*Lynx lynx*) in the Swiss Alps 2005–2009. *Acta Biologica Slovenica* **54**, 74-84 (2011).
50. A. Molinari-Jobin *et al.*, "Pan-Alpine Conservation Strategy for the Lynx," *Nature and Environment*. (Council of Europe. , 2003).
51. A. Molinari-Jobin *et al.*, Monitoring the Lynx in the Alps. *Hystrix* **23**, 49-53 (2012).
52. K. Weingarth *et al.*, First estimation of Eurasian lynx (*Lynx lynx*) abundance and density using digital cameras and capture-recapture techniques in a German national park. *Animal Biodiversity and Conservation* **35**, 197-207 (2012).
53. O. Liberg *et al.*, Monitoring of wolves in Scandinavia. *Hystrix* **23**, 29-34 (2011).
54. L. Svensson *et al.*, "Varg i Skandinavien och Finland. Slutrapport från inventering av varg vintern 2012-2013," *Viltskadecenter Rapport 3-2013* (2013).
55. L. Svensson *et al.*, "Varg i Skandinavien och Finland. Statusrapport för vintern 2011-2012," *Viltskadecenter Rapport* (2012).
56. C. Duchamp *et al.*, A dual frame survey to assess time and space related changes of the colonizing wolf population in France. *Hystrix* **23**, 14-28 (2012).

57. F. Marucco *et al.*, Non-invasive Integrated Sampling Design to Monitor the Wolf Population in Piemonte, Italian Alps. *Hystrix* **23**, 5-14 (2012).
58. J. C. Blanco *et al.*, Surveying wolves without snow: a critical review of the methods used in Spain. *Hystrix* **23**, 35-48 (2012).
59. L. Llaneza *et al.*, Intensity of Territorial Marking Predicts Wolf Reproduction: Implications for Wolf Monitoring. *PloS ONE* **9**, e93015 (2014).
60. K. Elgmork, The Cryptic Brown Bear Populations of Norway. *Bears: Their Biology and Management* **7**, 13-16 (1987).
61. J. E. Swenson *et al.*, The near extinction and recovery of brown bears in Scandinavia in relation to the bear management policies of Norway and Sweden. *Wildlife Biology* **1**, 11-25 (1995).
62. S. Myrberget, Den norske bestand av bjorn, *Ursus arctos* L. *Meddelelser fra Statens Viltundersokelser* **2**, 1-21 (1969).
63. C. Tobiassen *et al.*, "Populasjonsovervåking av brunbjørn 2009-2012: DNA-analyse av prøver samlet i Norge i 2011," *Bioforsk Rapport No. 57* (2012).
64. J. Kindberg *et al.*, Monitoring rare or elusive large mammals using effort-corrected voluntary observers. *Biological Conservation* **142**, 159-165 (2009).
65. A. Bjärvall, The brown bear in Sweden. *Aquilo Ser. Zool.* **27**, 17-19 (1990).
66. H. J. Fengewisch, *Grossraubwild in Europas Revieren*. BLV Jagdbuch (Bayerischer Landwirtschaftsverlag, 1968).
67. I. Kojola *et al.*, Trends and harvest in Finland's brown bear population. *Ursus* **17**, 18-21 (2006).
68. I. Kojola *et al.*, Structure of expanded brown bear population at the edge of range in Finland. *Annales Zoologici Fennici* **43**, 258-262 (2006).
69. A. Kopatz *et al.*, Connectivity and population subdivision at the fringe of a large brown bear (*Ursus arctos*) population in North Western Europe. *Conservation Genetics* **13**, 681-692 (2012).
70. E. Pulliainen, Distribution and population structure of the bear (*Ursus arctos* L.) in Finland. *Annales Zoologici Fennici* **9**, 199-207 (1972).
71. K. Curry-Lindahl, The Brown Bear (*Ursus arctos*) in Europe: Decline, Present Distribution, Biology and Ecology. *Bears: Their Biology and Management* **2**, 74-80 (1972)10.2307/3872571).
72. A. Ermala, A Survey of Large Predators in Finland during the 19th–20th Centuries. *Acta Zoologica Lituanica* **13**, 15-20 (2003).
73. P. Männil *et al.*, "Action plan for conservation and management of wolf, lynx and brown bear in Estonia in 2012-2021," (2012).
74. P. Männil *et al.*, "Status of Game populations in Estonia and proposal for hunting in 2011," (2011).
75. M. Kaal, Ecology, Protection and Prospect of Utilization of the Brown Bear in the Estonian S.S.R. *Bears: Their Biology and Management* **3**, 303-306 (1976).

76. M. Kaal, *Brown bear*. (Valgus, Tallinn, Estonia, 1980).
77. Council of Europe, *Workshop on the Situation and Protection of the Brown Bear (Ursus Arctos) in Europe: Covadonga (Asturias, Spain), 18-20 May 1988; Proceedings of the Workshop*. EDC collection (Council of Europe, 1989).
78. H. Valdmann *et al.*, The brown bear population in Estonia: current status and requirements for management. *Ursus* **12**, 31-36 (2001).
79. V. Pilāts *et al.*, Status of brown bear in Latvia. *Acta Zoologica Lituanica* **13**, 65-71 (2003).
80. J. Ozoliņš, "Winter denning evidences of a brown bear in the north-east of Latvia," (2005).
81. Z. Jakubiec, "Niedźwiedź *Ursus arctos*," *Monitoring gatunków i siedlisk przyrodniczych ze szczególnym uwzględnieniem specjalnych obszarów ochrony siedlisk Natura 2000. Wyniki monitoringu* (Główny Inspektorat Ochrony Środowiska, Warszawa, 2008).
82. N. Selva *et al.*, "Program ochrony niedźwiedzia brunatnego *Ursus arctos* w Polsce - projekt.," *Szkoła Główna Gospodarstwa Wiejskiego* (Warszawa, Poland, 2011).
83. T. Buchalczyk, The Brown Bear in Poland. *Bears: Their Biology and Management* **4**, 229-232 (1980).
84. W. Grodzinski, Materiały do fauny kregow cow Bieszczad Zachodnich. Zesz. nauk. *UJ Zool.* **10**, 177-221 (1957).
85. O. Ionescu, "Bear status and management in Carpathians, ICAS," (2002).
86. M. A. J. Couturier, *L'ours brun: Ursus arctos L.* (Editions B. Arthaud, 1954).
87. C. Servheen *et al.*, *Bears: Status Survey and Conservation Action Plan*. IUCN/SSC action plans for the conservation of biological diversity (IUCN, 1999).
88. M. Janík *et al.*, Súčasnú rozšírenie medveďa hnedého (*Ursus arctos*) v Československu. *Poľovnícky Zborník – Folia Venatoria* **16**, 331-352 (1986).
89. R. Rigg *et al.*, "Status, ecology and management of the brown bear (*Ursus arctos*) in Slovakia," (Slovak Wildlife Society, Liptovský Hrádok, Slovakia, 2007).
90. E. Ministry of *et al.*, "Action plan for the brown bear in Bulgaria," (2007).
91. D. Zlatanova *et al.*, Habitatverbund für den Braunbären in Bulgarien - Grundlage für die Schaffung Transeuropäischer Wildtiernetze (TEWN) auf dem Balkan. *Naturschutz und Landschaftsplanung* **41**, (2009).
92. A. Festetics *et al.*, *Der Luchs in Europa: Verbreitung, Wiedereinbürgerung, Räuber-Beute-Beziehung : (1. Internationales Luchs-Kolloquium Murau/Steiermark, 7.-9. Mai 1978)*. Text + Kritik (Kilda-Verlag, 1980).
93. M. Ruskov *et al.*, Der Braunbär (*Ursus arctos* L.) in Bulgarien. *Zeitschrift für Säugetierkunde* **39**, 358-368 (1974).
94. N. Spassov, B. Kryštufek, B. Flajšman, H. I. Griffiths, Eds. (Ecological Forum of the Liberal Democracy of Slovenia, 2003), pp. 229-243.

95. G. Ivanov *et al.*, in *Proceedings of the III Congress of Ecologists of the Republic of Macedonia with International Participation. Special issues of Macedonian Ecological Society*. (Struga, FYR Macedonia, 2008), vol. 8, pp. 249-256.
96. A. Trajçe *et al.*, "Report on camera-trapping activities in Albania during winter 2010-11," (PPNEA, Tirana, Albania, 2011).
97. A. Trajçe *et al.*, "Final Report on the Baseline Survey for Lynx, Prey and other Large Carnivores in Albania, 2006-07," (PPNEA, Tirana, Albania, 2008).
98. E. Keçi *et al.*, "Conflicts between lynx, other large carnivores, and humans in Macedonia and Albania," (Special issues of Macedonian Ecological Society, Vol. 8, Skopje, Struga, Macedonia, 2009).
99. F. Ministry of Environment, Water, Administration,, "Report on the State of Environment 2009," (Tirana, Albania, 2009).
100. F. Bego, On the status and distribution of the large carnivores (Mammalia: Carnivora: brown bear, wolf and lynx) in Albania. *Albanian Journal of Natural and Technical Sciences* (1)(XI (17)), 41-53 (2005).
101. A. Karahasanović, Perspektivni plan razvoja lovstva NR BiH i naši zadaci u njemu. *Lovački list* 5, 229 (1958).
102. I. Kocijan *et al.*, "Conservation genetics of brown bears in Croatia. Final report. Project Gaining and Maintaining public acceptance of Brown bear in Croatia (BBI-Matra/2006/020 through ALERTIS)," (2008).
103. Đ. Huber *et al.*, A multidimensional approach to managing the European brown bear in Croatia. *Ursus* 19, 22-32 (2008).
104. D. Huber *et al.*, B. Kryštufek, B. Flajšman, H. I. Griffiths, Eds. (Ecological Forum of the Liberal Democracy of Slovenia, 2003), pp. 207-227.
105. G. Mertzanis *et al.*, in *Woodland Cultures in Time and Space - Tales from the past, messages for the future*, E. Saratsi, M. Burgi, E. Johann, K. Kirby, D. Moreno, C. Watkins, Eds. (Embryo Publication, 2009), pp. 330-337.
106. A. A. Karamanlidis *et al.*, Genetic diversity, structure, and size of an endangered brown bear population threatened by highway construction in the Pindos Mountains, Greece. *European Journal of Wildlife Research* 58, 511-522 (2012).
107. A. Karamanlidis, "First Genetic Census of brown bear (*Ursus arctos*) population in Greece. Final Report.," (Arcturos, Hellenic Ministry of Rural Development and Food & Hellenic Ministry of Environment, Energy and Climate Change, Thessaloniki, 2011).
108. D. Tsaparis *et al.*, "Genetic study of the brown bear (*Ursus arctos*, L.) population in NW Greece (region of Kastoria). Project LIFE09NAT/GR/000333 (NGO "Callisto")," (2012).
109. Y. Mertzanis *et al.*, Legakis, Maragou, Eds. (Greek Zoological Society, Athens, 2009), pp. 387-389.

110. R. Hainard *et al.*, *Mammifères sauvages d'Europe: Insectivores, Chéiroptères [Primates] Carnivores*. Beautés de la nature (Delachaux & Niestlé, 1961).
111. M. Paunović *et al.*, "Status and Conservation of Carnivores in Serbia," (Belgrade, 2008).
112. M. Paunović *et al.*, "Action plan on conservation of brown bear *Ursus arctos* (L., 1758) in Serbia, Phase 1 – Strategic plan," (Belgrade, 2007).
113. K. Jerina *et al.*, Modeling the brown bear population in Slovenia: A tool in the conservation management of a threatened species. *Ecological Modelling* **170**, 453-469 (2003).
114. M. Adamič, B. F. B. G. H. I. Kryštufek, Ed. (2003), pp. 157-171.
115. K. Jerina *et al.*, Range and local population densities of brown bear *Ursus arctos* in Slovenia. *European Journal of Wildlife Research* **59**, 459-467 (2013)10.1007/s10344-013-0690-2).
116. K. Jerina *et al.*, Fifty years of brown bear population expansion: effects of sex-biased dispersal on rate of expansion and population structure. *Journal of Mammalogy* **89**, 1491-1501 (2008)10.1644/07-MAMM-A-357.1).
117. P. Ciucci *et al.*, The Apennine Brown Bear: A Critical Review of Its Status and Conservation Problems. *Ursus* **19**, 130-145 (2008).
118. F. Zunino *et al.*, The status of the brown bear (*Ursus arctos*) in Abruzzo national park, Italy, 1971. *Biological Conservation* **4**, 263-272 (1972).
119. Coordination board for Bear Management in Austria, "Bears in Austria – a management plan. Revised version 2005," (Vienna, Austria, 2005).
120. C. Groff *et al.*, "2011 Bear Report," (Forestry and Wildlife Department of the Autonomous Province of Trento, Italy. , 2012).
121. AA. *et al.*, Piano d'Azione interregionale per la Conservazione dell'Orso brunon elle Alpi centro- orientali – PACOBACE. *Quaderni di Conservazione della Natura* **33**, (2010).
122. Bafu, "Konzept Bär. Managementplan für den Braunbären in der Schweiz," (2009).
123. J. J. Camarra *et al.*, What's Up in the Pyrenees? Disappearance of the Last Native Bear, and the Situation in 2011. *International Bear News* **20**, 34-35 (2011).
124. J. J. Camarra *et al.*, Vingt ans de suivi (1993-2012) de la population d'ours brun des Pyrénées: bilan et perspectives. *Faune Sauvage* **302**, 30-37 (2014).
125. F. Decaluwe *et al.*, Le statut de la population d'ours brun dans les Pyrénées: bilan 2010 et actualités 2011. *Faune Sauvage* **292**, 48-50 (2011).
126. P. Röben, Status of the Brown Bear in the Pyrenees. *Bears: Their Biology and Management* **4**, 243-247 (1980).
127. S. Palazón *et al.*, El oso en Cataluña: La población se consolida. *Quercus* **304**, 16-23 (2011).

128. M. Alonso *et al.*, J. Naves, G. Palomero, Eds. (ICONA, Colección Técnica, Madrid, 1993), pp. 339-350.
129. G. Palomero *et al.*, Trends in number and distribution of brown bear females with cubs-of-the-year in the Cantabrian Mountains, Spain. *Ursus* **18**, 145-157 (2007).
130. J. Naves *et al.*, *Riesgo de extinción del oso pardo Cantábrico*. (Fundación Oso de Asturias., 1999), pp. p. 38 showing a map from SPCCPN, 1968.-p. 1938 showing a map from SPCCPN, 1968.
131. R. Notario, *El oso pardo en España*. (S.N.C.P.C.C.P.N., Madrid, Spain, 1970).
132. J. D. C. Linnell *et al.*, Sustainably Harvesting a Large Carnivore? Development of Eurasian Lynx Populations in Norway During 160 Years of Shifting Policy. *Environmental Management* **45**, 1142-1154 (2010).
133. J. Kratochvil, Recent distribution of the lynx in Europe. *Acta Scientiarum Naturalium Academiae Scientiarum Bohemicae BRNO, XXX Nova Series* **5/6**, 1-74 (1968).
134. S. Myrberget, The lynx population in Norway. *Acta Scientiarum Naturalium Academiae Scientiarum Bohemicae BRNO, XXX Nova Series* **5/6**, 17-20 (1968).
135. H. Brøseth *et al.*, "Antall familiegrupper, bestandsestimat og bestandsutvikling for gaupe i Norge i 2012," *NINA Rapport* (NINA, Trondheim, Norway, 2012).
136. H. Andrén *et al.*, "The Swedish lynx population 2009-2010 and a forecast for 2011-2012. – Monitoring report Wildlife Damage Center 2010-4, Grimsö Wildlife Research Station, SLU. ISBN 978-91-86331-21-4," (2010).
137. K. Curry-Lindahl, Lons (Lynx lynx) historia och nuvarande förekomst i Sverige och övriga Europa. *Sveriges Natur* **42**, 122-146 (1951).
138. K. Holmala, "Status, increase and sustainability of Finnish lynx population. RKTL 434/401/2012," (2012).
139. I. Kojola, M. von Arx, C. Breitenmoser-Würsten, F. Zimmermann, U. Breitenmoser, Eds. (KORA Bericht No. 19., 2004), pp. 78-85.
140. E. Pulliainen, The lynx population in Finland. *Acta Scientiarum Naturalium Academiae Scientiarum Bohemicae BRNO Nova Series* **5/6**, 27-34 (1968).
141. G. A. Novikov, Contemporaneous distribution of the lynx in the western part of the USSR. *Acta Scientiarum Naturalium Academiae Scientiarum Bohemicae BRNO Nova Series* **5/6**, 35-48 (1968).
142. J. Ozoliņš *et al.*, in *Economic, social and cultural aspects in biodiversity conservation*, O. Opermanis, G. Whitelaw, Eds. (Riga: Press of the University of Latvia, 2008), pp. 59-72.
143. A. Andersone *et al.*, Y. N. Matyushkin, M. A. Vaisfeld, Eds. (Nauka, Moscow, 2003).
144. Y. N. Matyushkin *et al.*, *The Lynx: Regional Features of Ecology, Use and Protection*. (Nauka, Moscow, 2003).
145. J. Prūsaitė, *Fauna of Lithuania. Mammals*. (Mokslas Vilnius, Lithuania, 1988).

146. M. Bieniek *et al.*, Historical biogeography of the lynx in Poland. *Acta Zool. Cracov.* **41**, 143-167 (1998).
147. Z. Pielowski, A. Festetics, Ed. (Kilda Verlag, Greven, 1980), pp. 181-186.
148. K. Schmidt, "Program ochrony rysia *Lynx lynx* w Polsce - projekt," (Warszawa, 2011).
149. D. Zlatanova *et al.*, M. von Arx, C. Breitenmoser-Würsten, F. Zimmermann, U. Breitenmoser, Eds. (KORA Bericht No. 19., 2004).
150. D. Zlatanova *et al.*, "First hard evidence of lynx (*Lynx lynx* L.) presence in Bulgaria. Proceedings of XI Anniversary Scientific Conference 120 years Of Academic Education In Biology, 45 years Faculty of Biology. Biotechnol. & Biotechnol. Eq. 23/2009/Se," (2009).
151. D. Zlatanova *et al.*, in *The Balkan Lynx Population - History, Recent Knowledge on its Status and Conservation Needs*, C. Breitenmoser-Wuersten, U. Breitenmoser, Eds. (KORA, Muri b., Bern, 2001), pp. 19-23.
152. N. Atanasov, Der Luchs (*Lynx lynx* L.) in Bulgarien. *Acta Scientifica Naturalis* **2**, 25-32 (1968).
153. J. Cervený *et al.*, Eurasian lynx (*Lynx lynx*) and its chance for survival in central europe: the case of the Czech Republic. *Acta Zoologica Lituanica* **12**, 428-432 (2002).
154. M. Anděra *et al.*, *Large mammals in the Czech Republic. Distribution, history and protection. 2. Carnivores (Carnivora)*. (Národní Muzeum Praha, 2009), pp. 215-215.
155. P. Koubek *et al.*, Lynx in the Czech and Slovak Republics. *Acta Scientiarum Naturalium Academiae Scientiarum Bohemicae BRNO, XXX Nova Series*, 1-78 (1996).
156. L. Kunc, Lynx (*Lynx lynx*) in the Moravskolezské Beskydy Mts. *Acta Scientiarum Naturalium Academiae Scientiarum Bohemicae BRNO, XXX Nova Series* **30**, 58-53 (1996).
157. P. Kovařík *et al.*, Sheep and wolves: Is the occurrence of large predators a limiting factor for sheep grazing in the Czech Carpathians? *Journal for Nature Conservation* **22**, 479-486 (2014).
158. Z. Rakonczay, *Hungarian Red Data Book*. (Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest, Hungary, 1990), pp. 360.
159. L. Szemethy *et al.*, in *Emlős ragadozók Magyarországon* M. Heltai, Ed. (Mezőgazda Kiadó, Budapest, Hungary, 2010), pp. 81-85.
160. G. Predoiu *et al.*, "Safeguarding the Romanian Carpathian ecological network – A vision for large carnivores and biodiversity in Estern Europe – PIN MATRA 2002/019," (2006).
161. M. Paunovic *et al.*, M. von Arx, C. Breitenmoser-Würsten, F. Zimmermann, U. Breitenmoser, Eds. (KORA Bericht No. 19., 2004).

162. P. Hell *et al.*, *Rys a divá mačka v slovenských Karpatoch a vo svete*. (PaRPress, Bratislava, Slovakia, 2004), pp. 160.
163. D. Mirić, "The Lynx Populations of the Balkan Peninsula. Serbian Academy of Sciences and Arts: Department of Natural and Mathematical Sciences, Separate editions DXXXIX," (1981).
164. D. Melovski, "Status and distribution of the Balkan lynx (*Lynx lynx martinoi* Mirić, 1978) and its prey," (University of Montenegro, Podgorica, 2012).
165. D. Čirović *et al.*, "Action plan on conservation of lynx *Lynx lynx* (L., 1758) in Serbia, Phase 1 – Strategic plan," (Belgrade, 2007).
166. M. Čirović *et al.*, "Status and Conservation of Carnivores in Serbia," (Belgrade, 2008).
167. M. Paunovic *et al.*, C. Breitenmoser-Wuersten, U. Breitenmoser, Eds. (KORA Muri b., Bern, 2001), pp. 12-17.
168. T. Kominos, A. Legakis, P. Maragou, Eds. (Greek Zoological Society, Athens, 2009), pp. 365-366.
169. T. Kominos *et al.*, Recent tangible evidence of the existence of Lynx in Greece. *Biologica Callo-hellenica* **18**, 67-74 (1991).
170. M. Panayotopoulou, C. Breitenmoser-Wuersten, U. Breitenmoser, Eds. (KORA, Muri b., Bern, 2001), pp. 28-31.
171. M. Sindičić *et al.*, "Plan upravljanja risom u Republici Hrvatskoj. Državni zavod za zaštitu prirode," (2010).
172. V. Soldo, in *The Balkan Lynx Population - History, Recent Knowledge on its Status and Conservation Needs*, C. Breitenmoser-Wuersten, U. Breitenmoser, Eds. (KORA, Muri b., Bern, 2001), pp. 6-7.
173. I. Kos *et al.*, Status and distribution of Eurasian lynx (*Lynx lynx*) in Slovenia from 2005 to 2009. *Acta Biologica Slovenica* **55**, 49-63 (2012).
174. T. Engleder, "Aktuelles zum Luchs im Nordwesten Österreichs - Böhmerwald, Mühlviertel, Waldviertel; Kurzbericht 2011," (2011).
175. K. Weingarth *et al.*, "Grenzüberschreitendes Fotofallenmonitoring - wie zählt man Luchse?," <http://www.luchserleben.de/standardtext/berichte.html> (2011).
176. L. Bufka *et al.*, The lynx (*Lynx lynx* L.) in the Šumava Region, Southwest Bohemia. *Journal of Wildlife Research* **1**, 167-170.
177. S. Wölfl *et al.*, "Luchs-Monitoring mittels Fotofallen im Bayerischen Wald. Winterereinsatz 2010," (Bayerischen Landesamts für Umwelt, 2010).
178. P. Kaczensky *et al.*, "Monitoring Large Carnivores in Germany," (Bundesamt für Naturschutz, Bonn, Germany, 2009).
179. C. Fuxjäger, "Der Luchs im Nationalpark Kalkalpen 2011 Jahresbericht," (2012).
180. C. Fuxjäger *et al.*, Eurasian lynx (*Lynx lynx*) in the Austrian Alps in period 2005-2009. *Acta Biologica Slovenica* **55**, 65-69 (2012).

181. U. Breitenmoser *et al.*, *Der Luchs. Ein Grossraubtier in der Kulturlandschaft*. (Salm Verlag, Wohlen/Bern., 2008), pp. 538-538.
182. E. Marboutin *et al.*, Survey of the Lynx lynx distribution in the French Alps: 2005-2009 update. *Acta Biologica Slovenica* **55**, 29-34 (2012).
183. P. Molinari *et al.*, Status and distribution of the lynx (Lynx lynx) in the Italian Alps 2005-2009. *Acta Biologica Slovenica* **55**, 35-41 (2012).
184. F. Zimmermann *et al.*, "Monitoring der Raubtiere in der Schweiz 2009," *KORA Bericht* (2010).
185. A. Molinari-Jobin *et al.*, Recovery of the Alpine lynx Lynx lynx metapopulation. *Oryx* **44**, 267-275 (2010).
186. E. Pesenti *et al.*, Density estimations of the Eurasian lynx (Lynx lynx) in the Swiss Alps. *Journal of Mammalogy* **94**, 73-81 (2013).
187. J. M. Vandel *et al.*, Distribution trend of the Eurasian lynx Lynx lynx populations in France. *Mammalia* **69**, 145-158 (2005).
188. Nationalparkverwaltung Harz, "Luchsprojekt Harz Bericht 2012/11," (2011).
189. P. Wabakken *et al.*, The recovery, distribution, and population dynamics of wolves on the Scandinavian peninsula, 1978-1998. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* **79**, 710-725 (2001); published online Epub2001/04/01 (10.1139/z01-029).
190. S. Myrberget, Den norske bestand av ulv, Canis lupus (L). *Meddelelser fra Statens Viltundersøkelser*, 1-17 (1969).
191. I. Kojola *et al.*, Recent changes in wolf population in Finland based on various data sets. *Suomen Riista* **57**, 55-62 (2011).
192. E. Jansson *et al.*, Rise and fall of a wolf population: Genetic diversity and structure during 6 recovery, rapid expansion, and drastic decline. *Molecular ecology* **21**, 5178-5193 (2012).
193. P. Rassi *et al.*, "The 2010 Red List of Finnish species," (2010).
194. P. Wabakken *et al.*, "The wolf in Scandinavia and Finland: final report from wolf monitoring in the 2011-2012 winter," (2012).
195. E. Pulliainen, Studies on the wolf (Canis lupus L.) in Finland. *Annales Zoologici Fennici* **2**, 215-259 (1965).
196. D. H. Pimlott, *Wolves: Proceedings of the First Working Meeting of Wolf Specialists and of the First International Conference on the Conservation of the Wolf. Stockholm, Sweden 5 - 6 September 1973*. IUCN Publications: Supplementary papers (International Union for Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources, 1975).
197. D. I. Bibikov, "The Wolf in the U.S.S.R," (1975).
198. M. Kaal, *Wolf*. (Valgus, Tallinn, Estonia, 1983).
199. J. Ozoliņš *et al.*, "Wolf hunting in Latvia in the light of population continuity in the Baltics. In: M. Stubbe (ed.), Beiträge zur Jagd- und Wildforschung, Band 36,

- Halle/Saale: Gesellschaft für Wildtier- und Jagdforschung e.V., S. 93-104," (2011).
200. R. S. Bačkaitienė, "The influence of environmental factors on the population of wolves (*Canis lupus lupus* L.) in Lithuanian forests," (Aleksandras Stulginskis University, Lithuania, 2012).
 201. L. Balčiauskas, Wolf numbers and distribution in Lithuania and problems of species conservation. *Annales Zoologici Fennici* **45**, 329-334 (2008).
 202. P. Suminski, "The wolf in Poland," (IUCN Publication New Series. Supplementary Paper 43., 1975).
 203. M. Wolsan *et al.*, "The history of distributional and numerical changes of the wolf *Canis lupus* L. in Poland," (Świat Press, Kraków-Warszawa., 1992).
 204. I. Reinhardt *et al.*, "Pilotstudie zur Abwanderung und zur Ausbreitung von Wölfen in Deutschland," (2011).
 205. I. Reinhardt *et al.*, "A review of wolf management in Poland and Germany with recommendations for future transboundary management," *BfN-Skripten* (2012).
 206. M. Anděra *et al.*, Recent distribution of the wolf (*Canis lupus*) in the Czech Republic. *Lynx (Praha), n.s.* **25**, 5-12 (2004).
 207. R. Hausknecht *et al.*, Confirmation of wolf residence in Northern Hungary by field and genetic monitoring. *Mammalian Biology* **75**, 348-352 (2010).
 208. S. Faragó, A farkas (*Canis lupus* L. 1758) 1920-1985 közötti előfordulása Magyarországon. *Fol. Hist.-nat. Mus. Matr.* **14**, 139-164 (1989).
 209. L. Szemethy *et al.*, in *Emlős ragadozók Magyarországon* M. Heltai, Ed. (Mezőgazda Kiadó, Budapest, Hungary, 2010), pp. 20-24.
 210. S. Nowak *et al.*, Density and demography of wolf *Canis lupus* population in the western–most part of the Polish Carpathian Mountains, 1996–2003. *Folia Zoologica* **57**, 392-402 (2008).
 211. R. Rigg, "Slovakia wolf census project. Progress report, February 2007.," (Slovak Wildlife Society, Liptovský Hrádok, Slovakia, 2007).
 212. S. Findo *et al.*, M. Kutal, R. Rigg, Eds. (Malenovice, Beskydy Mts., Czech Republic, 2008), pp. 15-24.
 213. R. Rigg, "Abundance, hunting and protection of the wolf (*Canis lupus*) in the Slovak Carpathians - too much or not enough?," (Štátna ochrana prírody Slovenskej republiky, Banská Bystrica, Zvolen, 2008).
 214. F. Bego *et al.*, S. Psaroudas, Ed. (Arctouros, DAC Project, Thessaloniki, 2002), pp. 73-81.
 215. D. Bojović *et al.*, "Wolves in Yugoslavia with special reference to the period 1945-1973," (1973).
 216. Ž. Rapaić, Staništa vuka u Bosni i Hercegovini i rezultati reprodukcije. *Lovački list* **4**, 8-11 (1989).

217. A. E. Moura *et al.*, Unregulated hunting and genetic recovery from a severe population decline: the cautionary case of Bulgarian wolves. *Conservation Genetics* **15**, 405-417 (2014).
218. G. Spiridonov *et al.*, in *Red data Book of Bulgaria. Vol. Animals*. (Publ. House of the Bulg. Acad. Sci., Sofia, Bulgaria, 1985), pp. 132-138.
219. G. Spiridonov *et al.*, in *Red Data Book of the Republic of Bulgaria, V*. Golemanski, Ed. (Bulgarian Academy of Sciences & Ministry of Environment and Water, Bulgaria, Sofia, 2011).
220. J. Jeremić *et al.*, "Izvyješće o stanju populacije vuka u 2012," (Zagreb, 2012).
221. A. Štrbenac *et al.*, "Plan upravljanja vukom u Republici Hrvatskoj za razdoblje od 2010. do 2015," (2010).
222. A. Frković *et al.*, "Wolves in Croatia: Baseline data," (Munich Wildlife Society, Ettal, 1992).
223. Y. Iliopoulos, "Distribution, population estimates, conservation problems and management of wolf, in Northern Pindus National Park," (Callisto NGO, 2008).
224. Y. Iliopoulos, "Effects of Egnatia construction on local wolf population in the alignment of EGNATIA highway – section 4.1- Grevena," (Callisto NGO-EGNATIA S.A., 2009).
225. Y. Iliopoulos, "Wolf status," (Greek Zoological Society, Athens, 2009).
226. Y. Iliopoulos *et al.*, "Monitoring wolf population in the construction zone of the high speed railway (Leinokladi- Domokos) in Central Greece," (2010).
227. M. Delibes, "Status and conservation needs of the wolf *Canis lupus* in the Council of Europe member states. 47," (Convention on the Conservation of European Wildlife and Natural Habitats, Council of Europe, Strasbourg, 1990).
228. Y. Iliopoulos, "Distribution and population estimates of the wolf in Greece. Project report (in Greek) - Project LIFE "Lycos" NAT97-GR04249: Conservation of the wolf (*Canis lupus* L.) and its habitats in Greece (Arcturos, EU-DGEnv, Greek Ministry of Agriculture)," (1999).
229. M. Milenković *et al.*, "Current status of Wolf and possibility of sustainable use," (Zagubica, 2008).
230. M. Milenković *et al.*, "Action plan on conservation of wolf *Canis lupus* (L., 1758) in Serbia, Phase 1 – Strategic plan," (2007).
231. M. Adamič *et al.*, "Problematika ohranjanja redkih živalskih vrst v Sloveniji na primeru volka (*Canis lupus* L.)," (2003).
232. M. Adamič *et al.*, The return of the wolf (*Canis lupus*) into its historic range in Slovenia - is there any place left and how to reach it? *Zbornik gozdarstva in lesarstva* **57**, 235-254 (1998).
233. H. Potočnik *et al.*, "Monitoring of grey wolf population in Slovenia. 1s, 2nd and 3rd season - 2010/11, 2011/12 in 2012/13 (in Slovenian)," (Projekt SloWolf, Ljubljana, Slovenia, 2014).

234. M. Apollonio *et al.*, Wolves in the Casentinesi Forests: insights for wolf conservation in Italy from a protected area with a rich wild prey community. *Biological Conservation* **120**, 249-260 (2004).
235. R. Caniglia *et al.*, An improved procedure to estimate wolf abundance using non-invasive genetic sampling and capture-recapture mixture models. *Conservation Genetics* **13**, 53-64 (2012).
236. P. Ciucci *et al.*, "Progetto per la ricerca e conservazione del Lupo (*Canis lupus*) nel Parco Nazionale del Pollino: relazione finale delle attività di ricerca (1999-2003)," (2004).
237. P. Ciucci *et al.*, "Conservation of large carnivores in Abruzzo: a research project integrating species, habitat and human dimension. Annual Report 2009.," (Wildlife Conservation Society, New York, USA, 2010).
238. L. Boitani *et al.*, "Status of the wolf in Italy," (1973).
239. F. Marucco *et al.*, Wolf survival and population trend using non-invasive capture-recapture techniques in the Western Alps. *Journal of Applied Ecology* **46**, 1003-1010 (2009).
240. Bafu, "Konzept Wolf. Managementplan für den Wolf in der Schweiz," (2010).
241. G. Lampreave *et al.*, El lobo vuelve a Cataluña. Historia del regreso y medidas de conservación. *Quercus* **302**, 16-25 (2011).
242. J. A. Valverde, El lobo español. *Montes*, 229-241 (1971).
243. J. C. Blanco *et al.*, *El lobo (Canis lupus) en España: distribución, estatus y daños de la ganadería.*, (ICONA, Madrid, Spain, 1990).
244. J. Garzón, Especies en peligro: el lobo. *Adena* **8**, 6-13 (1974).
245. F. Petrucci-Fonseca, "O lobo (*Canis lupus signatus* Cabrera, 1907) em Portugal: problemática da sua conservação," (1990).
246. E. Flower, "Wolves in Portugal (1933-1957)," (Direcção Geral dos Serviços Florestais e Aquícolas, , Lisbon, Portugal, 1971).
247. F. Álvares, Status and Conservation of the Iberian Wolf in Portugal. *Wolf Print* **20**, 4-6 (2004).
248. V. Pimenta *et al.*, "Situação Populacional do Lobo em Portugal: resultados do Censo Nacional 2002/2003," (Instituto da Conservação da Natureza/Grupo Lobo, Lisbon, Portugal, 2005).
249. Ø. Flagstad *et al.*, Colonization history and noninvasive monitoring of a reestablished wolverine population. *Conservation Biology* **18**, 676-688 (2004).
250. A. Landa *et al.*, *Action Plan for the Conservation of Wolverines in Europe (Gulo Gulo)*. Action Plan for the Conservation of Wolverines in Europe (Council of Europe Pub., 2000).
251. J. Persson *et al.*, "Järv i Skandinavien - status och utbredning 1996-2010 " *NINA Rapport* (NINA, 2011).

252. S. Myrberget, Den Norske Bestand Av Jerv, Gulo Gulo (L.), Og Gaupe, Lynx Lynx (L.). *Statens viltundersøkelser* **33**, (1970).
253. H. Brøseth *et al.*, "Yngleregistreringer av jerv i Norge i 2011," *NINA Rapport* (NINA, 2011).
254. Viltskadecenter, "Resultat från inventeringar av järv i Sverige 2012," *Inventeringsrapport från Viltskadecenter* (2012).
255. B. Haglund, De stora rovdjurens vintervanor II. *Viltrevy* **5**, (1968).
256. E. Pulliainen, Breeding biology of the wolverine (*Gulo gulo* L.) in Finland. *Annales Zoologici Fennici* **5**, 338-344 (1968).
257. M. Wikman *et al.*, "Riistakannat 2010," *No. 21* (Finnish Game and Fisheries Research Institute, 2010).

Acknowledgements: Shape files of all maps are available in Dryad at this address: URL.

This study was partly funded by European Commission contract

070307/2012/629085/SER/B3. PK, GC, JDCL, MvA, DH, HA, JVLB and LB designed the study; GC and JVLB wrote the paper with help from PK, JDCL, MvA, DH, HA and LB; all authors contributed data.

Fig. 1 Distribution of large carnivores in Europe in 2011. Brown bears (top left), Eurasian lynx (top right), grey wolves (bottom left) and wolverines (bottom right). Dark blue cells indicate areas of permanent occurrence, light blue cells indicate areas of sporadic occurrence. Numbers refers to populations ID in tables S1-S16. Orange lines indicate boundaries between populations.

Supplementary Materials:

Materials and Methods

Figures S1 to S3

Tables S1 to S16

References 29 to 257