

# Impacts of stocking density on development and puberty attainment of replacement beef heifers

K. M. Schubach<sup>1</sup>, R. F. Cooke<sup>1†</sup>, A. P. Brandão<sup>1,2</sup>, K. D. Lippolis<sup>1</sup>, L. G. T. Silva<sup>1,2</sup>, R. S. Marques<sup>1</sup> and D. W. Bohnert<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Oregon State University – Eastern Oregon Agricultural Research Center, Burns, OR 97720, USA; <sup>2</sup>UNESP – Faculdade de Medicina Veterinária e Zootecnia, Botucatu 18168-000, Brazil

(Received 6 October 2016; Accepted 19 April 2017; First published online 19 May 2017)

*In all, 60 Angus × Hereford heifers were ranked by age and BW ( $210 \pm 2$  days and  $220 \pm 2$  kg) on day 0, and assigned to: (a) one of three drylot pens ( $10 \times 14$  m pens; 10 heifers/pen) resulting in a stocking density of  $14 \text{ m}^2/\text{heifer}$  (HIDENS;  $n = 3$ ), or (b) one of three pastures (25 ha pastures; 10 heifers/pasture), resulting in a stocking density of  $25\,000 \text{ m}^2/\text{heifer}$  (LOWDENS;  $n = 3$ ). Pastures were harvested for hay before the beginning of this experiment, and negligible forage was available for grazing to LOWDENS heifers during the experiment (days 0 to 182). All heifers received the same limited-fed diet, which averaged (dry matter basis)  $4.0 \text{ kg/heifer}$  daily of hay and  $3.0 \text{ kg/heifer}$  daily of a corn-based concentrate. Heifer shrunk BW was recorded after 16 h of feed and water withdrawal on days  $-3$  and 183 for BW gain calculation. On day 0, heifers were fitted with a pedometer behind their right shoulder. Each week, pedometer results were recorded and blood samples were collected for puberty evaluation via plasma progesterone. Plasma samples collected on days 0, 28, 56, 84, 112, 140, 161 and 182 were also analyzed for cortisol concentrations. On days 0, 49, 98, 147 and 182, hair samples were collected from the tail switch for analysis of hair cortisol concentrations. On days 28, 102 and 175, blood samples were collected for whole blood RNA isolation and analysis of heat shock protein (HSP) 70 and HSP72 mRNA expression. Heifers from LOWDENS had more ( $P < 0.01$ ) steps/week compared with HIDENS. No treatment effects were detected ( $P = 0.82$ ) for heifer BW gain. Plasma cortisol concentrations were greater ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) in LOWDENS compared with HIDENS heifers on days 84, 140, 161 and 182 (treatment × day interaction;  $P < 0.01$ ). Hair cortisol concentrations were greater ( $P < 0.01$ ) in HIDENS compared with LOWDENS heifers beginning on day 98 (treatment × day interaction;  $P < 0.01$ ). Heifers from LOWDENS had greater ( $P = 0.04$ ) mean mRNA expression of HSP72, and tended ( $P = 0.09$ ) to have greater mean mRNA expression of HSP70 compared with HIDENS. Heifers from HIDENS experienced delayed puberty attainment and had less ( $P < 0.01$ ) proportion of pubertal heifers on day 182 compared with LOWDENS (treatment × day interaction;  $P < 0.01$ ). In summary, HIDENS altered heifer stress-related and physiological responses, and delayed puberty attainment compared with LOWDENS.*

**Keywords:** beef heifers, growth, puberty, stocking density, stress

## Implications

Rearing replacement beef heifers in drylots with high stocking density altered stress-related and physiological responses, and delayed puberty attainment compared with rearing heifers in pastures with low stocking density. Moreover, these outcomes were independent of heifer nutritional status and growth rate, but were associated with reduced physical activity and increased chronic stress most likely caused by the higher stocking density and/or greater degree of confinement. Therefore, housing management and resultant stocking density should be considered in heifer

development programs to optimize reproductive and overall efficiency of cow-calf operations.

## Introduction

Public scrutiny on beef production systems is growing rapidly, and cattle welfare is one of the main targets for attention (Grandin, 2014). Cattle producers are currently challenged with improving production efficiency while fostering animal well-being (Thornton, 2010). Hence, management regimens that increase beef cattle productivity and promote animal welfare are warranted to enhance profitability in beef cattle systems, address the current and projected increases in beef demand, and satisfy industry and public requirements for proper animal care.

<sup>†</sup> E-mail: reinaldo.cooke@oregonstate.edu

Stocking density is one example of management that impact welfare and productive efficiency in cattle operations (Fraser *et al.*, 2013). In typical US spring-calving cow-calf herds, replacement heifers are weaned in the fall and exposed to their first breeding season the following spring. Hence, these heifers are commonly reared in drylot systems to facilitate feeding and management during the fall and winter (Olson *et al.*, 1992). However, rearing cattle in areas with elevated stocking density is known to stimulate stress reactions (Grandin, 2014), whereas acute and chronic stress directly impairs reproductive function in beef cattle (Dobson and Smith, 2000). Accordingly, Petersen *et al.* (2014) reported that heifers developed in drylots (11 m<sup>2</sup>/heifer) gained more BW but had increased heart rate and rested less compared with contemporary heifers reared on native range (7400 m<sup>2</sup>/heifer). Mulliniks *et al.* (2013) also indicated that heifers reared in drylots had greater average daily gain (ADG), but reduced pregnancy rates compared with cohorts reared on range pastures. Based on this information, it was hypothesized that elevated stocking density impairs welfare and reproductive development in beef heifers. To test this hypothesis, this experiment compared growth, physical activity, stress-related and physiological responses, and puberty attainment in heifers reared on high (drylots) or low (pastures) stocking densities from weaning until the start of their first breeding season.

## Material and methods

This experiment was conducted at the Oregon State University – Eastern Agricultural Research Center (Burns, OR, USA) from September 2015 until March 2016 (days 0 to 182). All animals were cared for in accordance with acceptable practices and experimental protocols reviewed and approved by the Oregon State University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (no. 4757).

### Animals and treatments

On day 0 of the experiment, 60 Angus × Hereford heifers were ranked by age and BW (initial age = 210 ± 2 days; initial BW = 220 ± 2 kg) and allocated to: (a) one of three drylot pens (10 × 14 m pens; 10 heifers/pen), resulting in a stocking density of 14 m<sup>2</sup>/heifer (HIDENS; *n* = 3), or (b) one of three meadow foxtail (*Alopecurus pratensis* L.) pastures (25 ha pastures; 10 heifers/pasture), resulting in a stocking density of 25 000 m<sup>2</sup>/heifer (LOWDENS; *n* = 3). Pasture and drylot pens were located ~800 and 80 m, respectively, from the handling facility where cattle were processed during the present experiment. Treatments were designed to represent stocking densities of drylot- or pasture-based heifer development programs utilized at the Oregon State University – Eastern Agricultural Research Center, and representative of commercial cow-calf operations (Cooke *et al.*, 2012; Reis *et al.*, 2015). In addition, HIDENS heifers were exposed to the stocking density recommended for growing cattle reared in drylot systems (Albin and Thompson, 1990; Hurnik, 1991).

All pastures utilized herein were harvested for hay before the beginning of this experiment, and negligible forage was available for grazing to LOWDENS heifers throughout the experimental period due to wintery conditions. Heifers were weaned 7 days before the beginning of the experiment, and maintained as a single group within a 6-ha pasture with *ad libitum* access to alfalfa-grass hay until day 0. During the experimental period (days 0 to 182), all heifers received the same limited-fed diet described in Table 1, in addition to *ad libitum* access to water and a commercial mineral and vitamin mix (Cattleman's Choice; Performix Nutrition Systems, Nampa, ID, USA) containing 14% Ca, 10% P, 16% NaCl, 1.5% Mg, 6000 ppm Zn, 3200 ppm Cu, 65 ppm I, 900 ppm Mn, 140 ppm Se, 136 IU/g of vitamin A, 13 IU/g of vitamin D<sub>3</sub> and 0.05 IU/g of vitamin E. Diets were offered daily at 0800 h in feed bunks with similar linear space across treatments (0.7 m/heifer). Hay was offered separated from concentrate, and the entire diet was completely consumed within 24 h after being offered.

### Sampling

Hay and concentrate samples were collected at the beginning of the experiment, and analyzed for nutrient content by a commercial laboratory (Dairy One Forage Laboratory, Ithaca, NY, USA). Samples were analyzed in triplicates by wet chemistry procedures as reported by Reis *et al.* (2015).

**Table 1** Composition and nutrient profile of diets offered during the experiment

Items	Days 0 to 69	Days 70 to 139	Days 140 to 182
Ingredients (kg/day)			
Alfalfa-grass hay	4.1	4.1	4.0
Whole corn	2.5	3.0	3.5
Soybean meal	0.0	0.0	0.2
Nutrient profile (dry matter basis) <sup>1</sup>			
Dry matter (%)	91.8	86.0	91.7
Total digestible nutrients (%) <sup>2</sup>	70.0	71.3	72.5
NDF (%)	40.1	38.0	35.4
ADF (%)	26.0	24.3	22.4
Net energy for maintenance (Mcal/kg) <sup>3</sup>	1.57	1.62	1.66
Net energy for gain (Mcal/kg) <sup>3</sup>	0.96	1.00	1.04
CP (%)	10.9	10.9	11.9
Nutrient intake <sup>2</sup>			
Dry matter (kg/day)	6.60	7.10	7.80
Total digestible nutrients (kg/day)	4.62	5.06	5.66
NDF (kg/day)	2.65	2.70	2.76
ADF (kg/day)	1.72	1.73	1.75
Net energy for maintenance (Mcal/day) <sup>2</sup>	10.4	11.5	13.0
Net energy for gain (Mcal/day) <sup>2</sup>	6.34	7.10	8.11
CP (kg/day)	0.72	0.77	0.93

<sup>1</sup>Values obtained from a commercial laboratory wet chemistry analysis (Dairy One Forage Laboratory, Ithaca, NY, USA).

<sup>2</sup>Calculated with the following equations (NRC, 2000): net energy for maintenance = 1.37 metabolizable energy – 0.138 (metabolizable energy)<sup>2</sup> + 0.0105 (metabolizable energy)<sup>3</sup> – 1.12; net energy for gain = 1.42 metabolizable energy – 0.174 (metabolizable energy)<sup>2</sup> + 0.0122 (metabolizable energy)<sup>3</sup> – 0.165, given that metabolizable energy = digestible energy × 0.82, and 1 kg of total digestible nutrients = 4.4 Mcal of digestible energy.

Net energy for maintenance ( $NE_m$ ) and net energy for gain ( $NE_g$ ) were calculated using the equations proposed by the NRC (2000). Nutritional profile of the diets is described in Table 1.

Heifer shrunk BW was recorded after 16 h of feed and water withdrawal on days -3 and 183 for ADG calculation. Heifer temperament was assessed via chute score, exit velocity and overall temperament score as described by Cooke (2014) on days 0 and 182. On day 0, heifers were also fitted with a pedometer (HJ-321; Omron Healthcare, Inc., Bannockburn, IL, USA) placed inside a polyester patch (HeatWatch II; CowChips, LLC, Manalapan, NJ, USA) fixed behind their right shoulder to assess physical activity (Haley *et al.*, 2005; Knight *et al.*, 2015). Pedometers had the capability to store daily data for 7 consecutive days, and remained in heifers throughout the experimental period.

Each week during the experiment (days 0 to 182), heifer full BW and pedometer results were recorded, and blood samples were collected via jugular venipuncture into commercial blood collection tubes (Vacutainer, 10 ml; Becton Dickinson, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA) with 158 US Pharmacopeial Convention units of freeze-dried sodium heparin for plasma collection. If pedometer malfunctioned or it was lost, a new pedometer was inserted during the weekly handling and data from the previous week was considered missing. Pedometer data from the day of sampling was discarded to prevent confounding effects between treatments and additional activity due to cattle gathering and handling. All plasma samples were analyzed for progesterone concentrations to estimate onset of puberty. Heifers were considered pubertal once plasma progesterone concentrations were  $\geq 1.0$  ng/ml, followed by a cyclic pattern of plasma progesterone  $<$  and  $\geq 1.0$  ng/ml suggestive of normal estrous cycles (Reis *et al.*, 2015). Puberty attainment was declared at the first sampling that resulted in plasma progesterone  $\geq 1.0$  ng/ml. Heifer age and BW at puberty was calculated based on weekly full BW measurements and heifer age at the week of puberty attainment. Heifer full BW on day 182 was also used to estimate the percentage of mature BW at the end of the experiment, based on the mature BW of the cowherd utilized herein (545 kg; Marques *et al.* 2016). Plasma samples collected on days 0, 28, 56, 84, 112, 140, 161 and 182 were also analyzed for concentrations of cortisol.

On days 0, 49, 98, 147 and 182, hair samples were collected from the tail switch (Burnett *et al.*, 2014) for analysis of hair cortisol concentrations. Within each sampling, hair was collected from an area that has not been previously sampled. Hair was collected using scissors as close to the skin as possible, and the hair material closest to the skin (2.5 cm of length, 300 mg of weight) was stored at  $-80^\circ\text{C}$  until processed for cortisol extraction. On days 28, 102 and 175, blood samples were also collected via jugular venipuncture into PAXgene tubes (BD Diagnostics, Sparks, MD, USA) for subsequent whole blood RNA isolation and analysis of *heat shock protein (HSP) 70*, *HSP72*, *ribosomal protein 9* and  *$\beta 2$ -microglobulin* mRNA expression in whole blood cells via real-time quantitative reverse transcription (RT)-PCR.

#### Laboratory analyses

For plasma collection, blood samples were placed immediately on ice after sampling, subsequently centrifuged ( $2500 \times g$  for 30 min;  $4^\circ\text{C}$ ), and plasma stored at  $-80^\circ\text{C}$  on the same day of collection. Plasma concentrations of progesterone and cortisol were analyzed using chemiluminescent enzyme immunoassays (Immulite 1000; Siemens Medical Solutions Diagnostics, Los Angeles, CA, USA). The intra- and interassay CV were, respectively, 5.1% and 5.8% for progesterone and 4.8% and 7.0% for cortisol.

Cortisol was extracted from hair samples based on the procedures described by Moya *et al.* (2013). In brief, hair samples were cleaned with warm water ( $37^\circ\text{C}$ ) for 30 min, and dried at room temperature for 24 h. Hair samples were then washed twice with isopropanol, dried at room temperature for 120 h, and ground in a 10-ml stainless steel milling cup with a 12-mm stainless steel ball (Mixer Mill MM400 ball mill; Retsch, Hannover, Germany) for 5 min at a frequency of 30 repetitions/s. A quantity of 20 mg of ground hair and 1 ml of methanol were combined into a 7-ml glass scintillation vial, sonicated for 30 min, and incubated for 18 h at  $50^\circ\text{C}$  and 100 r.p.m. for steroid extraction. Upon incubation, 0.8 ml of methanol was transferred to a 2-ml microcentrifuge tube and evaporated at  $45^\circ\text{C}$ . Samples were reconstituted in 100  $\mu\text{l}$  of the phosphate-buffered saline supplied with a salivary cortisol ELISA kit (High Sensitivity 1-E3002; Salimetrics Expanded Range, State College, PA, USA), and stored at  $-80^\circ\text{C}$ . Samples were analyzed for cortisol concentrations using the aforementioned ELISA kit, whereas intra- and inter-assay CV were, respectively, 5.8% and 7.3%.

Upon collection, PAXgene tubes were stored at room temperature overnight and then at  $-80^\circ\text{C}$  until RNA isolation. Total RNA was extracted from whole blood samples using the PAXgene Blood RNA Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA). Quantity and quality of isolated RNA were assessed via UV absorbance (NanoDrop Lite; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Wilmington, DE, USA) at 260 nm and 260/280 nm ratio, respectively. Extracted whole blood RNA (120 ng) was reverse transcribed using the High-Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit with random hexamers (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). Real-time RT-PCR was completed using the Fast SYBR Green Master Mix (Applied Biosystems) and gene-specific primers (20 pM each; Table 2) with the StepOne Real-time PCR system (Applied Biosystems), according to procedures described by Rodrigues *et al.* (2015). At the end of each RT-PCR, amplified products were subjected to a dissociation gradient ( $95^\circ\text{C}$  for 15 s,  $60^\circ\text{C}$  for 30 s and  $95^\circ\text{C}$  for 15 s) to verify the amplification of a single product by denaturation at the anticipated temperature. A sample of each amplified product was purified with the QIAquick PCR purification kit (Qiagen) and sequenced at the Oregon State University – Center for Genome Research and Biocomputing to verify the specificity of amplification. All amplified products represented only the genes of interest. Responses were quantified based on the threshold cycle ( $C_T$ ), the number of PCR cycles required for target amplification to reach a predetermined threshold. The  $C_T$  responses from *HSP70*

**Table 2** Primer sequences, accession number and reference for all gene transcripts analyzed by real-time reverse transcriptase-PCR

Target genes	Primer sequence 5' to 3'	Accession no.	Reference
<i>Heat shock protein 70</i>			
Forward	CGGCTTAGTCCGTGAGAACA	BTU09861	Liu <i>et al.</i> (2014)
Reverse	CCGCTCGGTATCGGTGAA		
<i>Heat shock protein 72</i>			
Forward	AACATGAAGAGCGCCGTGGAGG	U02892	Lacetera <i>et al.</i> (2006)
Reverse	GTTACACACCTGCTCCAGCTCC		
<i>Ribosomal protein 9</i>			
Forward	ACATCCCGTCCTTCATCGT	NM001101152	Liu <i>et al.</i> (2014)
Reverse	GCCCTTCTGGCGTTCTT		
<i><math>\beta</math>2-microglobulin</i>			
Forward	GGGCTGCTGTCGCTGTCT	NM_173893	Rodrigues <i>et al.</i> (2015)
Reverse	TCTTCTGGTGGGTCTTGAGT		

and *HSP72* were normalized to the geometrical mean of  $C_T$  values from *ribosomal protein 9* and  *$\beta$ 2-microglobulin* (Vandesompele *et al.*, 2002). The CV for the geometrical mean of *ribosomal protein 9* and  *$\beta$ 2-microglobulin*  $C_T$  values across all samples was 2.5%. Results are expressed as relative fold change ( $2^{-\Delta\Delta C_T}$ ), as described by Rodrigues *et al.* (2015).

#### Statistical analysis

All data were analyzed using pen or pasture (three replications per treatment) as experimental unit, with the MIXED or GLIMMIX procedure of SAS (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA) for quantitative and binary data, respectively, and Satterthwaite approximation to determine the denominator df for the tests of fixed effects. One heifer from the LOWDENS treatment was already pubertal at the beginning of the experiment; hence, results from this heifer were removed from the experiment. All data were analyzed using replication (treatment) and heifer (replication) as random effects. The model statement used for ADG, initial and final BW, initial and final temperament variables, as well as heifer BW and age at puberty contained the effects of treatment. The model statement for puberty attainment, physical activity and physiological variables contained the effects of treatment, day and the treatment  $\times$  day interaction. The specified term used in the repeated statement was day, the subject was heifer (replication), and the covariance structure utilized was autoregressive, which provided the best fit for these analyses according to the Akaike information criterion. Results are reported as least square means. Significance was set at  $P \leq 0.05$  and tendencies were determined if  $P > 0.05$  and  $\leq 0.10$ . Results are reported according to effect of treatment if no interactions were significant, or according to the highest order interaction detected.

## Results

#### Growth and physical activity

A treatment effect was detected ( $P < 0.01$ ) for physical activity, given that LOWDENS had more steps/week

compared with HIDENS heifers throughout the experiment (Table 3). No treatment differences ( $P = 0.82$ ) were detected for heifer BW and ADG during the experimental period (Table 3). In addition, heifer full BW and percentage of mature BW at the end of the experiment (day 182) were similar ( $P = 0.57$ ) between HIDENS and LOWDENS heifers (364 and 368 kg of full BW, SEM = 5; 66.8% and 67.6% of mature BW, SEM = 1.0; respectively).

#### Physiological parameters

A treatment  $\times$  day interaction was detected ( $P < 0.01$ ) for plasma cortisol concentration (Figure 1), which was greater ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) in LOWDENS compared with HIDENS heifers on days 84, 140, 161 and 182 of the experiment. A treatment  $\times$  day interaction was also detected ( $P < 0.01$ ) for hair cortisol concentrations, which were greater ( $P < 0.01$ ) for HIDENS compared with LOWDENS heifers on days 98, 147 and 182 (Figure 2). Heifers from the LOWDENS group had greater ( $P = 0.04$ ) mean mRNA expression of *HSP72*, and tended ( $P = 0.09$ ) to have greater mean mRNA expression of *HSP70* compared with HIDENS heifers during the experiment (Table 3; treatment  $\times$  day interaction,  $P = 0.26$ ).

#### Temperament parameters

No treatment differences were detected for temperament traits ( $P = 0.37$ ), given that LOWDENS and HIDENS heifers had similar chute score, exit velocity and overall temperament score at the beginning and end of the experiment (Table 3).

#### Puberty attainment

A treatment  $\times$  day interaction was detected ( $P < 0.01$ ) for puberty attainment, as HIDENS heifers experienced delayed puberty attainment compared with LOWDENS heifers (Figure 3). At the end of the experimental period, a greater ( $P < 0.01$ ; Figure 3) proportion of LOWDENS were pubertal compared with HIDENS heifers (65.4% v. 31.9% pubertal heifers/total heifers; SEM = 5.5). Within heifers that reached puberty during the experiment, HIDENS were heavier ( $P < 0.01$ ) and older ( $P = 0.04$ ) at puberty attainment

compared with LOWDENS heifers (324% v. 372 kg of BW, SEM = 10; 331 v. 364 days of age, SEM = 12; respectively).

## Discussion

### Growth and physical activity

Treatment differences detected for steps/week were expected based on the current experimental design, given that

**Table 3** Activity, growth parameters, temperament variables and whole blood mRNA expression of heat shock proteins (HSP) in heifers reared in low stocking density (25 000 m<sup>2</sup>/heifer; LOWDENS, n = 3) or high stocking density (14 m<sup>2</sup>/heifer; HIDENS, n = 3)<sup>1</sup>

Items	LOWDENS	HIDENS	SEM	P
<b>Activity</b>				
Steps/week <sup>2</sup>	19 709	3148	628	<0.01
<b>Growth parameters</b>				
Initial BW on day -3 (kg)	211	212	3	0.82
Final BW on day 183 (kg)	356	358	5	0.84
ADG (kg/day) <sup>3</sup>	0.777	0.783	0.018	0.82
<b>Temperament variables<sup>4</sup></b>				
Chute score				
Initial (day 0)	1.93	1.80	0.12	0.45
Final (day 182)	1.85	1.89	0.11	0.76
Exit velocity (m/s)				
Initial (day 0)	2.50	2.25	0.19	0.37
Final (day 182)	1.62	1.67	0.15	0.80
Temperament score				
Initial (day 0)	2.45	2.35	0.16	0.60
Final (day 182)	2.44	2.39	0.17	0.83
<b>HSP mRNA expression<sup>5</sup></b>				
HSP70 (fold effect)	3.72	2.39	0.46	0.09
HSP72 (fold effect)	3.48	2.77	0.18	0.04

<sup>1</sup>From days 0 to 182, HIDENS heifers were reared in one of three drylot pens (10 × 14 m pens; 10 heifers/pen) and LOWDENS heifers were reared in one of three meadow foxtail (*Alopecurus pratensis* L.) pastures (25 ha pastures; 10 heifers/pasture).

<sup>2</sup>Based on pedometers (HJ-321; Omron Healthcare, Inc., Bannockburn, IL, USA) assessed every 7 days during the experimental period.

<sup>3</sup>Calculated using initial (day -3) and final (day 183) shrunk BW, which was recorded after 16 h of feed and water withdrawal.

<sup>4</sup>According to the techniques described by Cooke (2014), and evaluated on days 0 and 182 of the experiment.


<sup>5</sup>Samples collected on days 28, 102 and 175 of the experiment, processed and evaluated for mRNA expression according to Rodrigues *et al.* (2015). The treatment × day interaction was not significant ( $P=0.24$ ); hence, results are reported according to main treatment effects.

LOWDENS had greater area available for movement compared with HIDENS heifers. Others have also reported greater physical activity in heifers reared on pasture compared with drylot cohorts (Petersen *et al.*, 2014; Perry *et al.*, 2015). Hence, HIDENS heifers were not only exposed to greater stocking density, but also had less opportunity to exercise compared with LOWDENS heifers.

Although elevated physical activity may increase maintenance requirements and reduce growth rates in cattle (NRC, 2000), LOWDENS and HIDENS heifers had similar BW and ADG during the experimental period (Table 3). According to the NRC (2000) model, stocking density and space allowance assigned to LOWDENS, their NE<sub>m</sub> requirements could be up to 15% greater compared with NE<sub>m</sub> requirements of HIDENS heifers. Petersen *et al.* (2014) and Perry *et al.* (2015) also reported greater ADG in heifers reared in drylot compared with pastures, although nutritional management differed among heifer groups. In this experiment, pasture availability and grazing activity of LOWDENS heifers were deemed negligible due to previous hay harvest and snow cover resultant from wintery conditions. Hence, it is unlikely that LOWDENS heifers consumed pasture in amounts that fulfilled potential increases in their NE<sub>m</sub> requirements, although pasture availability and intake were not evaluated herein to fully support this rationale. Given that HIDENS and LOWDENS heifers were offered and completely consumed the same limit-fed diet, BW and ADG results suggest that the stocking densities evaluated herein did not impact growth rates in beef heifers receiving the same dietary regimen.

### Physiological parameters

Treatment differences detected for plasma cortisol concentrations do not corroborate with the hypothesis that cattle reared in elevated stocking density experience increased adrenocortical stress response (Huzzey *et al.*, 2012; Grandin, 2014). Circulating cortisol concentrations have been widely used as a biomarker of stress in cattle (Carroll and Forsberg, 2007). However, plasma cortisol concentrations are also promptly increased in response to physical activity (Hill *et al.*, 2008). Hence, treatment differences for plasma cortisol can be attributed, at least partially, to the additional activity of gathering and bringing the LOWDENS heifers from pasture to



**Figure 1** Plasma cortisol concentrations from heifers reared in low stocking density (25 000 m<sup>2</sup>/heifer; LOWDENS; n = 3) or high stocking density (14 m<sup>2</sup>/heifer; HIDENS; n = 3) from days 0 to 182 of the experiment. A treatment × day interaction was detected ( $P < 0.01$ ). Within days: \* $P \leq 0.05$ , \*\* $P \leq 0.01$ .

the handling facility, whereas HIDENS heifers were grouped in drylot pens adjacent to the handling facility.


Accordingly, treatment differences detected for hair cortisol concentration support this latter rationale and the main hypothesis of this research. Cortisol concentration in hair from the tail switch has been recently validated as biomarker of chronic stress in cattle (Burnett *et al.*, 2014; Moya *et al.*, 2015). Cortisol is gradually accumulated in the emerging tail hair and its concentration represents long-term adrenocortical activity rather than concurrent circulating cortisol concentrations (Moya *et al.*, 2013; Burnett *et al.*, 2014; Cooke *et al.*, 2017). Hence, measuring cortisol in hair from the tail switch eliminates the confounding effects that gathering and handling cattle exert on plasma cortisol concentrations (Moya *et al.*, 2013, Moya *et al.*, 2015). Treatment differences detected for hair cortisol concentration (Figure 2) suggest that chronic stress and adrenocortical activity were indeed greater in HIDENS compared with LOWDENS heifers. Such outcomes were only noted beginning on day 98 of the experiment, which might be associated with the time required for elevated stocking density to be perceived as a stressor by HIDENS heifers, as well as the time required for hair with elevated

cortisol concentration to cross the skin line and become available for collection (Burnett *et al.*, 2014). Treatment effects on hair cortisol concentrations may also help explaining the similar ADG among HIDENS and LOWDENS heifers. It can be speculated that the greater chronic stress experienced by HIDENS heifers during the experiment increased their basal metabolism and maintenance requirements to the same level that physical activity increased these parameters in LOWDENS heifers (NRC, 2000; Petersen *et al.*, 2014).


Expression of HSP in whole blood cells can also be used as diagnostic marker of stress, given that HSP are rapidly synthesized when cells are exposed to a variety of stressors (Welch, 1992). Therefore, treatment effects of whole blood mRNA expression of *HSP70* and *HSP72* also do not corroborate with the hypothesis of this research and treatment effects detected for hair cortisol concentrations. Nevertheless, exercise has been shown to stimulate mRNA expression and circulating concentrations of these HSP in rodents and humans (Naito *et al.*, 2001; Febbraio *et al.*, 2002; Milne and Noble, 2002). Exercise activates the heat shock response via several mechanisms including increased muscle temperature, exercise-related production of reactive oxygen species and muscle ATP depletion (Noble *et al.*, 2008). Thus, treatment effects detected for whole blood mRNA expression of *HSP70* and *HSP72* should be attributed to the greater physical activity of LOWDENS *v.* HIDENS heifers, either on a daily basis according to differences in stocking rate and steps/week (Table 3), or during gathering for weekly samplings corroborating with plasma cortisol outcomes (Figure 1).

*Temperament parameters*

Rearing cattle in intensive systems, such as drylot with elevated stocking density, results in increased human-animal interaction, which has been shown to impact cattle temperament and subsequent productivity (Cooke, 2014). However, treatments evaluated herein did not impact heifer temperament variables as reported in Table 3; perhaps the



**Figure 2** Cortisol concentrations in tail switch hair from heifers reared in low stocking density (25 000 m<sup>2</sup>/heifer; LOWDENS; n=3) or high stocking density (14 m<sup>2</sup>/heifer; HIDENS; n=3) from days 0 to 182 of the experiment. A treatment × day interaction was detected (P < 0.01). Within days: \*\*P ≤ 0.01.



**Figure 3** Puberty attainment in heifers reared in low stocking density (25 000 m<sup>2</sup>/heifer; LOWDENS, n=3, represented by gray line) or high stocking density (14 m<sup>2</sup>/heifer; HIDENS, n=3, represented by black line) from days 0 to 182 of the experiment. Puberty was evaluated according to plasma progesterone concentrations in samples collected weekly during the experiment. Heifers were considered pubertal once plasma progesterone concentrations were ≥1.0 ng/ml, followed by a cyclic pattern of plasma progesterone < and ≥1.0 ng/ml suggestive of normal estrous cycles (Reis *et al.*, 2015). Puberty attainment was declared at the first sampling that resulted in plasma progesterone ≥1.0 ng/ml. A treatment × day interaction was detected (P < 0.01). Within days: \*P ≤ 0.05, \*\*P ≤ 0.01.

level of interaction between HIDENS heifers and research personnel was not sufficient to impact heifer temperament.

Cattle temperament has been directly associated with neuroendocrine reactions and subsequent circulating cortisol concentrations (Cooke, 2014). Hence, treatment differences detected for plasma and hair cortisol concentrations should not be attributed to heifer temperament, which in turn did not impact any of the heifer performance parameters evaluated herein.

#### Puberty attainment

Age at puberty in cattle is highly determined by BW and growth rate (Schillo *et al.*, 1992); however, HIDENS heifers experienced delayed puberty attainment compared with LOWDENS heifers (Figure 3) despite their similar ADG (Table 2). It is also important to note that heifers from both treatments achieved the recommended BW for puberty attainment during the experimental period (60% to 65% of mature BW; Patterson *et al.*, 1992). These results indicate that rearing heifers in high stocking density delayed their onset of puberty despite adequate age and BW development, and reasons for this outcome likely include treatment differences among physical activity and chronic stress parameters. Regarding physical activity, exercise stimuli alter circulating concentrations of endogenous opioids that modulate gonadotropin secretion and consequent onset of puberty, cyclicity and fertility in cattle (Mahmoud *et al.*, 1989). Accordingly, Lamb *et al.* (1979) reported that *prepartum* exercise regimens enhanced subsequent reproductive efficiency in dairy heifers without impacting BW change. Regarding stress and puberty attainment, chronic stress and the resultant increase in adrenocortical activity impairs gonadotropin synthesis and release and reduces the sensitivity of the brain to estrogen (Dobson and Smith, 2000). Hence, the reduced physical activity and increased adrenocortical activity of HIDENS heifers, as evidenced by treatment differences on steps/week and hair cortisol concentrations, likely contributed to their delayed puberty attainment compared with LOWDENS cohorts.

#### Overall conclusions

In conclusion, rearing replacement beef heifers in drylots with high stocking density impacted stress-related and physiological responses, and delayed puberty attainment compared with rearing heifers on pastures with low stocking density. Moreover, these outcomes were independent of heifer nutritional status and growth rate, but were associated with reduced physical activity and increased chronic stress most likely caused by the higher stocking density and/or greater degree of confinement. Therefore, housing management and resultant stocking density should be considered in heifer development programs to optimize reproductive and overall efficiency of cow-calf operations.

#### Acknowledgments

Financial support for this research was provided by the Agricultural Research Foundation. Dr Reinaldo Cooke is also affiliated

as permanent professor to the Programa de Pós-Graduação em Zootecnia/Faculdade de Medicina Veterinária e Zootecnia, UNESP – Universidade Estadual Paulista, Botucatu, SP 18618-970, Brazil.

#### References

- Albin RC and Thompson GB 1990. Cattle feeding: a guide to management. Trafton Printing, Inc., Amarillo, TX, USA.
- Burnett TA, Madureira AML, Silper BF, Nadalin A, Tahmasbi AM, Veira DM and Cerri RLA 2014. Short communication: factors affecting hair cortisol concentration in lactating dairy cows. *Journal of Dairy Science* 97, 7685–7690.
- Carroll JA and Forsberg NE 2007. Influence of stress and nutrition on cattle immunity. *Veterinary Clinics of North America: Food Animal Practice* 23, 105–149.
- Cooke RF 2014. Temperament and acclimation to human handling influence growth, health, and reproductive responses in *Bos taurus* and *B. indicus* cattle. *Journal of Animal Science* 92, 5325–5333.
- Cooke RF, Bohnert DW, Cappelozza BI, Mueller CJ and DelCurto T 2012. Effects of temperament and acclimation to handling on reproductive performance of *Bos taurus* beef females. *Journal of Animal Science* 90, 3547–3555.
- Cooke RF, Schubach KM, Marques RS, Peres RG, Silva LGT, Carvalho R, Cipriano RS, Bohnert DW, Pires AV and Vasconcelos JLM 2017. Effects of temperament on physiological, productive, and reproductive responses in *Bos indicus* beef cows. *Journal of Animal Science* 95, 1–8.
- Dobson H and Smith RF 2000. What is stress and how does it affect reproduction? *Animal Reproduction Science* 60-61, 743–752.
- Febbraio MA, Ott P, Nielsen HB, Steensberg A, Keller C, Krstrup P, Secher NH and Pedersen BK 2002. Exercise induces hepatosplanchnic release of heat shock protein 72 in humans. *Journal of Physiology* 544, 957–962.
- Fraser D, Duncan IJ, Edwards SA, Grandin T, Gregory NG, Guyonnet V, Hemsworth PH, Huertas SM, Huzzey JM, Mellor DJ, Mench JA, Spinka M and Whay HR 2013. General principles for the welfare of animals in production systems: the underlying science and its application. *The Veterinary Journal* 198, 19–27.
- Grandin T 2014. *Livestock handling and transport: theories and applications*, 4th edition. CABI Publishing, Wallingford, UK.
- Haley DB, Bailey DW and Stookey JM 2005. The effects of weaning beef calves in two stages on their behavior and growth rate. *Journal of Animal Science* 83, 2205–2214.
- Hill EE, Zack E, Battaglini C, Viru M, Viru A and Hackney AC 2008. Exercise and circulating cortisol levels; the intensity threshold effect. *Journal of Endocrinological Investigation* 31, 587–591.
- Hurnik H 1991. Recommended code of practice for the care and handling of farm animals – beef cattle. Agriculture Canada Publication 1870/E, Ottawa, ON, Canada.
- Huzzey JM, Nydam DV, Grant RJ and Overton TR 2012. The effects of overstocking Holstein dairy cattle during the dry period on cortisol secretion and energy metabolism. *Journal of Dairy Science* 95, 4421–4433.
- Knight CW, Bailey DW, Faulkner D and Schafer DW 2015. Intake and grazing activity of mature range cows on Arizona rangelands. *Proceedings, Western Section, American Society of Animal Science* 66, 222–224.
- Lacetera N, Bernabucci U, Scalia D, Barisico L, Morera P and Nardone A 2006. Heat stress elicits different responses in peripheral blood mononuclear cells from Brown Swiss and Holstein cows. *Journal of Dairy Science* 89, 4606–4612.
- Lamb RC, Barker BO, Anderson MJ and Walters JL 1979. Effects of forced exercise on two-year-old Holstein heifers. *Journal of Dairy Science* 62, 1791–1797.
- Liu J, Ye G, Zhou Y, Liu Y, Zhao L, Liu Y, Chen X, Huang D, Liao SF and Huang K 2014. Feeding glycerol-enriched yeast culture improves performance, energy status, and heat shock protein gene expression of lactating Holstein cows under heat stress. *Journal of Animal Science* 92, 2494–2502.
- Mahmoud AI, Thompson FN, Peck DD, Mizinga KM, Leshin LS, Rund LA, Stuedemann JA and Kiser TE 1989. Difference in luteinizing hormone response to an opioid antagonist in beef heifers and cows. *Biology of Reproduction* 41, 431–437.
- Marques RS, Cooke RF, Rodrigues MC, Cappelozza BI, Larson CK, Moriel P and Bohnert DW 2016. Effects of organic or inorganic Co, Cu, Mn, and Zn

- supplementation to late-gestating beef cows on productive and physiological responses of the offspring. *Journal of Animal Science* 94, 1215–1226.
- Milne KJ and Noble EG 2002. Exercise-induced elevation of HSP70 is intensity dependent. *Journal of Applied Physiology* 93, 561–568.
- Moya D, He ML, Jin L, Wang Y, Penner GB, Schwartzkopf-Genswein KS and McAllister TA 2015. Effect of grain type and processing index on growth performance, carcass quality, feeding behavior, and stress response of feedlot steers. *Journal of Animal Science* 93, 3091–3100.
- Moya D, Schwartzkopf-Genswein KS and Veira DM 2013. Standardization of a non-invasive methodology to measure cortisol in hair of beef cattle. *Livestock Science* 158, 138–144.
- Mulliniks JT, Hawkins DW, Kane KK, Cox SH, Torrell LA, Scholljegerdes EJ and Petersen MK 2013. Metabolizable protein supply while grazing dormant winter forage during heifer development alters pregnancy and subsequent in-herd retention rate. *Journal of Animal Science* 91, 1409–1416.
- Naito H, Powers SK, Demirel JA and Aoki J 2001. Exercise training increases heat shock protein in skeletal muscles of old rats. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise* 33, 729–734.
- Noble EG, Milne KJ and Melling CWJ 2008. Heat shock proteins and exercise: a primer. *Applied Physiology, Nutrition, and Metabolism* 33, 1050–1065.
- National Research Council (NRC) 2000. Nutrient requirements of beef cattle, 7th revised edition. National Academic Press, Washington, DC, USA.
- Olson KC, Jaeger JR and Brethour JR 1992. Growth and reproductive performance of heifers overwintered in range or drylot environments. *Journal of Production Agriculture* 5, 72–76.
- Patterson DJ, Perry RC, Kiracofe GH, Bellows RA, Staigmiller RB and Corah LR 1992. Management considerations in heifer development and puberty. *Journal of Animal Science* 70, 4018–4035.
- Perry GA, Larimore EL, Perry BL and Walker JA 2015. Grazing behavior of drylot developed beef heifers and the influence of post-AI supplementation on AI pregnancy success. *Professional Animal Scientist* 31, 264–269.
- Petersen MK, Muscha JM, Roberts AJ and Waterman RC 2014. Can method of weaning and subsequent development impact heifer fitness. *Proceedings, Western Section, American Society of Animal Science* 65, 116–119.
- Reis MM, Cooke RF, Cappelozza BI, Marques RS, Guarnieri Filho TA, Rodrigues MC, Bradley JS, Mueller CJ, Keisler DH, Johnson SE and Bohnert DW 2015. Creep-feeding to stimulate metabolic imprinting in nursing beef heifers: Impacts on heifer growth, reproductive, and physiological parameters. *Animal* 9, 1500–1508.
- Rodrigues MC, Cooke RF, Marques RS, Arispe SA, Keisler DH and Bohnert DW 2015. Effects of oral meloxicam administration to beef cattle receiving lipopolysaccharide administration or vaccination against respiratory pathogens. *Journal of Animal Science* 93, 5018–5027.
- Schillo KK, Hall JB and Hileman SM 1992. Effects of nutrition and season on the onset of puberty in the beef heifer. *Journal of Animal Science* 70, 3994–4005.
- Thornton PK 2010. Livestock production: recent trends, future prospects. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 365, 2853–2867.
- Vandesompele J, de Preter K, Pattyn F, Poppe B, Van Roy N, de Paepe A and Speleman F 2002. Accurate normalization of real-time quantitative RT-PCR data by geometric averaging of multiple internal control genes. *Genome Biology* 3, research0034-1.
- Welch WJ 1992. Mammalian stress response: cell physiology, structure/function of stress proteins, and implications for medicine and disease. *Physiological Reviews* 72, 1063–1081.