Supplementary Material

A Proofs

A.1 Proof of Theorem3.1

Proof. We just need to check the reproducing property of $K = \mathbf{R}^+$ for all $v \in \mathcal{V}$ and $\mathbf{h} \in \operatorname{im}(\mathbf{R})$: $\langle \mathbf{h}, K(v_i, \cdot) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \langle \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{R}^+ \mathbf{e}_i \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \mathbf{h}^\top \mathbf{R} \mathbf{R}^+ \mathbf{e}_i = \mathbf{h}^\top \mathbf{e}_i = h_i = h(v_i)$.

A.2 Proof of Theorem 4.3

Proof. Theorem 4.2 implies that in time $\mathcal{O}(\widehat{n}s\log n(\log\log n)^2\log\frac{\widehat{q}\widehat{n}\eta\kappa}{\epsilon\lambda_{\min}^2}+\widehat{n}^2n)$, we can compute an \boldsymbol{A} such that,

$$||(\boldsymbol{A} + \eta \boldsymbol{K}) - (\widehat{\boldsymbol{Q}}^{-1} + \eta \boldsymbol{K})||_{2} < \frac{\epsilon \lambda_{\min}^{2}}{\eta \widehat{n} \kappa}$$
(13)

which implies that (see for example Horn and Johnson, 1990, section 5.8),

$$||(\boldsymbol{A} + \eta \boldsymbol{K})^{-1} - (\widehat{\boldsymbol{Q}}^{-1} + \eta \boldsymbol{K})^{-1}||_2 < \frac{\epsilon}{\eta \widehat{n} \kappa} + \text{h.o.t}$$
(14)

where h.o.t. denotes terms in ϵ^2 or greater. Define $K_{\mathbf{A}}(x,x') := K(x,x') + \eta \hat{\mathbf{k}}_x^{\mathsf{T}} (\mathbf{A} + \eta \widehat{\mathbf{K}})^{-1} \hat{\mathbf{k}}_{x'}$. Then since $\sup_{x \in \mathcal{X}} ||\hat{\mathbf{k}}_x||^2 = \kappa \hat{n}$ and since $\hat{\mathbf{Q}}$ is positive definite,

$$\begin{split} \sup_{x,x'\in\mathcal{X}} |\check{K}_{\boldsymbol{A}}(x,x') - \check{K}(x,x')| &= \eta \sup_{x,x'\in\mathcal{X}} |\widehat{\boldsymbol{k}}_x^\top \left((\boldsymbol{A} + \eta \boldsymbol{K})^{-1} - (\widehat{\boldsymbol{Q}}^{-1} + \eta \boldsymbol{K})^{-1} \right) \widehat{\boldsymbol{k}}_{x'}| \\ &\leq \eta ||(\boldsymbol{A} + \eta \boldsymbol{K})^{-1} - (\widehat{\boldsymbol{Q}}^{-1} + \eta \boldsymbol{K})^{-1}||_2 \sup_{x\in\mathcal{X}} ||\widehat{\boldsymbol{k}}_x||^2 \\ &\leq \epsilon + \text{h.o.t.} \end{split}$$

The higher order terms involve terms in ϵ^2 or higher order and potentially depend upon n. Thus by choosing ϵ asymptotically sufficiently small w.r.t. n (for example if h.o.t $\in O(f(n))$ then choosing $\epsilon = \frac{\text{const.}}{f(n)}$ suffices) then asymptotically ϵ dominates the higher order terms as both $n \to \infty$ and $\epsilon \to 0$ so that we can remove the higher order terms from the asymptotic analysis (for example by making a substitution $\epsilon' = \epsilon + \text{h.o.t.}$).

A.3 Proof of Theroem 4.4

Proof. We begin by making $p\hat{n}$ calls to the solver of Koutis et al. (2011) to iteratively solve the equations

$$\boldsymbol{R}\boldsymbol{z}_i^{(j)} = \boldsymbol{z}_i^{(j-1)}$$

for each i where $\boldsymbol{x}_{s_i} \in \widehat{\mathcal{X}}_{\mathcal{S}}$ and all $1 \leq j \leq p$ and where $\boldsymbol{z}_i^{(0)} = \boldsymbol{e}_{s_i}$. This gives $\boldsymbol{z}_i^{(j)} = \boldsymbol{R}^+ \boldsymbol{z}_i^{(j-1)} + \boldsymbol{r}_i^{(j)}$ such that

$$||r_i^{(j)}||_R \le \epsilon ||R^+ z_i^{(j-1)}||_R,$$
 (15)

in total time $\mathcal{O}(p\hat{n}s\log n(\log\log n)^2\log\frac{1}{\epsilon})$ by Lemma 4.1. Now note that,

$$egin{aligned} oldsymbol{z}_i^{(j)} &= oldsymbol{R}^+ oldsymbol{z}_i^{(j-1)} + oldsymbol{r}_i^{(j)} \ &= oldsymbol{R}^+ (oldsymbol{R}^+ oldsymbol{z}_i^{(0)} + oldsymbol{r}_i^{(j-1)}) + oldsymbol{r}_i^{(j)} \ &= (oldsymbol{R}^+)^j oldsymbol{z}_i^{(0)} + (oldsymbol{R}^+)^{j-1} oldsymbol{r}_i^{(1)} + \ldots + oldsymbol{R}^+ oldsymbol{r}_i^{(j-1)} + oldsymbol{r}_i^{(j)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$||\boldsymbol{z}_{i}^{(j)} - (\boldsymbol{R}^{+})^{j} \boldsymbol{e}_{s_{i}}||_{\boldsymbol{R}} \leq ||(\boldsymbol{R}^{+})^{j-1} \boldsymbol{r}_{i}^{(1)}||_{\boldsymbol{R}} + \dots + ||\boldsymbol{R}^{+} \boldsymbol{r}_{i}^{(j-1)}||_{\boldsymbol{R}} + ||\boldsymbol{r}_{i}^{(j)}||_{\boldsymbol{R}}$$

$$\leq ||\boldsymbol{R}^{+}||_{2}^{j-1}||\boldsymbol{r}_{i}^{(1)}||_{\boldsymbol{R}} + \dots + ||\boldsymbol{R}^{+}||_{2}||\boldsymbol{r}_{i}^{(j-1)}||_{\boldsymbol{R}} + ||\boldsymbol{r}_{i}^{(j)}||_{\boldsymbol{R}}$$

$$(16)$$

Now note, by repeatedly applying (15),

$$||\mathbf{r}_{i}^{(k)}||_{\mathbf{R}} \leq \epsilon ||\mathbf{R}^{+}\mathbf{z}_{i}^{(k-1)}||_{\mathbf{R}}$$

$$\leq \epsilon ||\mathbf{R}^{+}||_{2} ||\mathbf{z}_{i}^{(k-1)}||_{\mathbf{R}}$$

$$\leq \epsilon ||\mathbf{R}^{+}||_{2} (||\mathbf{R}^{+}\mathbf{z}_{i}^{(k-2)}||_{\mathbf{R}} + ||\mathbf{r}_{i}^{(k-1)}||_{\mathbf{R}})$$

$$\leq \epsilon ||\mathbf{R}^{+}||_{2} (||\mathbf{R}^{+}||_{2}||\mathbf{z}_{i}^{(k-2)}||_{\mathbf{R}} + \epsilon ||\mathbf{R}^{+}\mathbf{z}_{i}^{(k-2)}||_{\mathbf{R}}),$$

$$\leq \epsilon ||\mathbf{R}^{+}||_{2}^{2} ||\mathbf{z}_{i}^{(k-2)}||_{\mathbf{R}} + \text{h.o.t.}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\leq \epsilon ||\mathbf{R}^{+}||_{2}^{k-1}||\mathbf{z}_{i}^{(1)}||_{\mathbf{R}} + \text{h.o.t.}$$

$$\leq \epsilon ||\mathbf{R}^{+}||_{2}^{k-1}||\mathbf{R}^{+}\mathbf{e}_{s_{i}}||_{\mathbf{R}} + \text{h.o.t.}$$

$$\leq \epsilon ||\mathbf{R}^{+}||_{2}^{k-1} + h.o.t.,$$

$$(17)$$

where h.o.t. denotes terms in ϵ^2 or greater. And so plugging this into (16) gives,

$$\begin{split} ||\boldsymbol{z}_{i}^{(j)} - (\boldsymbol{R}^{+})^{j} \boldsymbol{e}_{s_{i}}||_{\boldsymbol{R}} &\leq j\epsilon ||\boldsymbol{R}^{+}||_{2}^{(j-\frac{1}{2})} + \text{h.o.t.} \\ ||\boldsymbol{z}_{i}^{(j)} - (\boldsymbol{R}^{+})^{j} \boldsymbol{e}_{s_{i}}||_{\boldsymbol{R}^{j}} &\leq j\epsilon ||\boldsymbol{R}||_{2}^{(j-1)/2} ||\boldsymbol{R}^{+}||_{2}^{(j-\frac{1}{2})} + \text{h.o.t.} \\ ||\boldsymbol{z}_{i}^{(p)} - \boldsymbol{Q}^{+} \boldsymbol{e}_{s_{i}}||_{\boldsymbol{Q}} &\leq p\epsilon ||\boldsymbol{R}||_{2}^{(p-1)/2} ||\boldsymbol{R}^{+}||_{2}^{(p-\frac{1}{2})} + \text{h.o.t.} \end{split}$$

Now let $oldsymbol{Z} := \left(egin{array}{ccc} oldsymbol{z}_1^{(p)} & ... & oldsymbol{z}_{\widehat{n}}^{(p)} \end{array}
ight)$ and

$$A := Z^{\mathsf{T}} Q Z = Z^{\mathsf{T}} R^p Z$$
.

and note that A can be computed with $\mathcal{O}(ps\hat{n}+\hat{n}^2n)$ operations since R has s non-zero entries. Now note that,

$$\begin{split} |\widehat{Q}_{ij}^{+} - A_{ij}| &= |Q_{s_is_j}^{+} - A_{ij}| \\ &= |e_{s_i}^{\top} \boldsymbol{Q}^{+} \boldsymbol{e}_{s_j} - \boldsymbol{z}_i^{(p)^{\top}} \boldsymbol{Q} \boldsymbol{z}_j^{(p)}| \\ &= |(\boldsymbol{Q}^{+} \boldsymbol{e}_{s_i} - \boldsymbol{z}_i^{(p)})^{\top} \boldsymbol{Q} \boldsymbol{Q}^{+} \boldsymbol{e}_{s_j} + (\boldsymbol{Q}^{+} \boldsymbol{e}_{s_j} - \boldsymbol{z}_j^{(p)})^{\top} \boldsymbol{Q} \boldsymbol{Q}^{+} \boldsymbol{e}_{s_i} - (\boldsymbol{Q}^{+} \boldsymbol{e}_{s_i} - \boldsymbol{z}_i^{(p)})^{\top} \boldsymbol{Q} (\boldsymbol{Q}^{+} \boldsymbol{e}_{s_j} - \boldsymbol{z}_j^{(p)})| \\ &\leq ||\boldsymbol{Q}^{+} \boldsymbol{e}_{s_i} - \boldsymbol{z}_i^{(p)}||\boldsymbol{Q} \sqrt{\boldsymbol{e}_{s_j}^{\top} \boldsymbol{Q}^{+} \boldsymbol{e}_{s_j}} + ||\boldsymbol{Q}^{+} \boldsymbol{e}_{s_j} - \boldsymbol{z}_j^{(p)}||\boldsymbol{Q} \sqrt{\boldsymbol{e}_{s_i}^{\top} \boldsymbol{Q}^{+} \boldsymbol{e}_{s_i}} \\ &+ ||\boldsymbol{Q}^{+} \boldsymbol{e}_{s_i} - \boldsymbol{z}_i^{(p)}||\boldsymbol{Q}||\boldsymbol{Q}^{+} \boldsymbol{e}_{s_j} - \boldsymbol{z}_j^{(p)}||\boldsymbol{Q} \\ &\leq 2p\epsilon ||\boldsymbol{R}||_2^{(p-1)/2} ||\boldsymbol{R}^{+}||_2^{\frac{3p-1}{2}} + \text{h.o.t.}, \end{split}$$

which, after setting ϵ' such that $\epsilon = 2p\epsilon' ||\boldsymbol{R}||_2^{(p-1)/2} ||\boldsymbol{R}^+||_2^{\frac{3p-1}{2}}$, we have that in time complexity,

$$\mathcal{O}(p\widehat{n}s\log n(\log\log n)^2\log\frac{1}{\epsilon'} + p\widehat{n}^2s)$$

$$= \mathcal{O}(p\widehat{n}s\log n(\log\log n)^2(\log p + p\log||\mathbf{R}||_2||\mathbf{R}^+||_2 + \log\frac{1}{\epsilon}) + \widehat{n}^2n)$$

the guarantee,

$$|\widehat{Q}_{ij}^+ - A_{ij}| \le \epsilon + \text{h.o.t.},$$

and the higher order terms can be removed as in the proof of Theorem 4.3.