Multimedia Appendix 2 Outcome and results of included studies comparing serious gaming/gamification with traditional learning (see key below table for acronyms)

Study ID	Outcome (measurement tool)	Results (SMD calculated where sufficient data reported or
		p values, [95% confidence interval]
Adams 2012	Skills (peg transfer time)	No significant difference between pre- and post-test scores in
		any group
Boada 2015	Skills (CRP lab assessment)	Those in the intervention group who completed >50% of tasks
		vs. control p<0.05
Boeker 2013	Knowledge (34 question single	SMD 0.69 [0.35, 1.03]
	choice test)	
	Satisfaction (Likert scale)	Serious gaming vs. traditional learning p<0.001
Cook 2012	Skills (assessment of ABCDE	Significant differences between groups for three of eight
	approach)	measures
De Araujo 2016	Skills (standardized surgical skills	SMD 2.07 [0.83, 3.31]
	exercise)	
Del Blanco 2017	Knowledge (participant perceived	SMD 1.05 [0.68, 1.41]
	knowledge measured with a Likert	
	scale)	
	Skills (perceived errors)	SMD 0.38, 95% CI 0.04 to 0.73
	Attitudes (fear to make mistakes	SMD 0.49 [0.14, 0.84]
	and attitudes to patients/staff	
	measured with Likert scales)	
	measured with Likett scales)	

Study ID	Outcome (measurement tool)	Results (SMD calculated where sufficient data reported or
		p values, [95% confidence interval]
Diehl 2017	Knowledge (MCQs)	SMD 0.40 [0.06, 0.73]
	Attitudes (Likert scale)	Attitudes improved significantly in 4 out of 9 items in the
		intervention group and 3 out of 9 items in the control group
Foss 2014	Skills (medication calculation	Report no significant difference
	assessment)	
Giannotti 2013	Skill (basic laparoscopic skills	Statistically significant differences reported for a variety of
	assessment on a validated	tasks but not all and no overall measure
	simulator)	
Graafland 2017	Skills (assessment using OSATS on	Statistically significant difference in total problems solved
	procedures conducted on a live	(p=0.04)
	anaesthetized pig model)	
Hannig 2013	Skills (self-report skills in alginate	SMD 0.08 [-0.45 to 0.61]
	mixing, mean score for 6 item	
	Likert scale)	
Katz 2017	Skills (graded on a simulation	SMD 0.76, 95% CI 0.11 to 1.40
	scenario)	
	Satisfaction (game group only)	*
Knight 2010	Skills (time to triage in an outdoor	SMD -0.18 [-2.37 to 2.02]
	gas explosion scenario assessment)	
Lagro 2014	Knowledge (self-perceived, Likert	SMD 0.01 [-1.5, 1.61]
	scale)	

Study ID	Outcome (measurement tool)	Results (SMD calculated where sufficient data reported or
		p values, [95% confidence interval]
	Skills (self-perceived)	SMD -0.77 [-2.53, 1.00]
	Satisfaction (intervention only,	*
	Likert scale)	
LeFlore 2012	Knowledge (MCQ)	SMD 0.65 [0.23, 1.07]
	Skills (OSCE)	SMD 0.92 [0.49 to 1.35]
Li 2015	Skills (mannequin based	Serious gaming vs. control p<0.05
	assessment)	
Plerhoples 2011	Skills (laparoscopic simulation)	Statistically significant differences reported for a variety of
		tasks but not all and no overall measure
Rondon 2013	Knowledge (MCQs)	SMD 0.05 [-0.74, 0.83]
Tan 2016	Knowledge (MCQs)	SMD 1.95 [-0.20 to 4.11]
	Skills (performance tool)	SMD 0.33, 95% [CI -1.19 to 1.86]
	Attitudes (blood transfusion	SMD 1.23 [-0.55, 3.02]
	confidence scale)	

OSATS = Objective structured assessment of technical skills

OSCE = Objective Structured Clinical Examination

MCQ = Multiple Choice Question

SMD = Standardized mean difference