

HOKKAIDO UNIVERSITY

Title	Effect of chemical fertilizer and manure application on N2O emission from reed canary grassland in Hokkaido, Japan
Author(s)	Jin, Tao; Shimizu, Mariko; Marutani, Satoru; Desyatkin, Alexey R.; Iizuka, Natsumi; Hata, Hiroshi; Hatano, Ryusuke
Citation	Soil Science & Plant Nutrition, 56(1), 53-65 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1747-0765.2010.00447.x
Issue Date	2010-02
Doc URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2115/44778
Rights	The definitive version is available at www.blackwellsynergy.com
Туре	article (author version)
File Information	SSPN56-1_53-65.pdf



1		
2 3		
4		
5	1	Title
6	1	The
7	_	
8	2	Effect of chemical fertilizer and manure application on N_2O emission from reed canary
9		
10	3	grassland in Hokkaido, Japan
11		
12 13	4	Names of authors
14	4	Names of authors
15		
16	5	Tao Jin ¹ , Mariko Shimizu ¹ , Satoru Marutani ¹ , Alexey R. Desyatkin ¹ , Natsumi Iizuka ¹ ,
17		
18	6	Hiroshi Hata ² , Ryusuke Hatano ¹
19		
20	7	
21	7	
22 23		
23	8	Affiliations
25		
26	9	¹ Soil Science Laboratory, Graduate School of Agriculture, Hokkaido University, Kita 9
27		
28	10	
29	10	Nishi 9, Kita-ku, Sapporo, Hokkaido 060-8589, Japan
30		
31	11	² Field Science Center for Northern Biosphere, Agro-ecosystem Research Station,
32 33		
34	12	Shizunai Livestock Farm, Hokkaido University, Kita 11 Nishi 10, Kita-ku, Sapporo,
35	12	
36	10	
37	13	Hokkaido 060-0811, Japan
38		
39	14	
40		
41 42	15	Corresponding author
42	10	
44		
45	16	Tao Jin
46		
47	17	Tel.: +81 11 706 2503
48		
49	18	Fax.: +81 11 706 2494
50	10	1 44 +01 11 700 2+9+
51 52		
52 53		
53 54		
55		
56		
57		
58		
59		

- 19 E-mail address: jintao@chem.agr.hokudai.ac.jp
- 20 Soil Science Laboratory, Graduate School of Agriculture, Hokkaido University, Kita 9
- 21 Nishi 9, Kita-ku, Sapporo, Hokkaido 060-8589, Japan

1
2
3
3 4
5 6 7
6
7
8
g
10
10
10
12
13
14
15
16
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19
18
19
20
21
22
20 21 22 23 24 25
24
25
26
20
21
27 28 29
29
30
31
32
32 33 34 35
34
35
36
37
36 37 38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
40 47
47 48
48 49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

22	
23	Abstract
24	We evaluated the effect of chemical fertilizer and manure applications on N ₂ O emission
25	from a managed grassland by establishing three treatment plots of chemical N fertilizer
26	(chemical fertilizer), manure combined chemical N fertilizer (manure), and no N fertilizer
27	(control) at the Shizunai Experimental Livestock Farm in southern Hokkaido, Japan. N ₂ O
28	fluxes from soils were measured by a closed-chamber method from May 2005 to April
29	2008. Soil denitrifying enzyme activity (DEA) in root-mat layer (0-2.5cm) and mineral
30	soil layer (2.5-5cm) of each treatment plot was measured by an acetylene inhibition
31	method after treatment with NO ₃ -N addition, glucose addition, both NO ₃ -N and glucose
32	addition and neither NO ₃ -N nor glucose addition, respectively. Annual N ₂ O emission
33	ranged from 0.6 4.9 kg N ₂ O-N ha ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹ , with the highest observed in manure plot and
34	lowest in control plot. Chemical fertilizer-induced emission factor (EF) (range: 0.85 -
35	1.32%) was significantly higher than manure-induced EF (range 0.35 - 0.85%).
36	Denitrification potential of soil horizons was measured with addition of both NO ₃ ⁻ -N and
37	glucose, which was significantly higher in root-mat soil than that in mineral soil. Soil
38	DEA in root-mat in NO3-N addition with and without addition of glucose had a
39	significantly positive correlation with soil pH ($P < 0.05$). Soil pH was significantly

60

1

40 influenced by N source, which was significantly lower in chemical fertilizer plot than that
41 in control and manure plot. For a fixed quantity of available N, application of manure
42 could result in higher N₂O emission compared to chemical fertilizer owing to high soil pH
43 values under manure application than under chemical fertilizer application.
44 Key words: chemical fertilizer, grassland, manure, N₂O emission, soil DEA.
45

46 INTRODUCTION

47 N₂O is one of the most important radiatively active trace gases in the atmosphere that 48 contributes at least 5% to the observed global warming at present (Myhre et al. 1998). 49 The atmospheric concentration of N₂O has increased from a pre-industrial value of about 270 ppb to 319 ppb in 2005 and continues to increase as a result of human activities 50 51 (IPCC 2007). Agriculture as a whole (i.e. animal excreta, denitrification of leached 52 nitrate, etc.) contributes about 80% of the anthropogenic N₂O emissions (Brown et al. 53 2001). Direct and indirect emissions from agricultural systems are now thought to contribute approximately 6.2 Tg N₂O-N yr⁻¹ to the total global source strength of 17.7 Tg 54 N₂O-N yr⁻¹ (Kroeze *et al.* 1999). About 57% of the global atmospheric sources of N₂O are 55 56 estimated to be related to emissions from soils (Mosier et al. 1998).

N2O is produced in soils primarily by microbial processes of nitrification and

Deleted: We evaluated the effect of chemical fertilizer and manure applications on N2O emission from a managed grassland by establishing three treatment plots of chemical fertilizer, manure, and control at the Shizunai Experimental Livestock Farm in southern Hokkaido, Japan. Seasonal N2O and NO fluxes were measured by a closed-chamber method at 4 to 6 replications in each treatment plot from May 2005 to April 2008. Soil samples were collected from a 0-5 cm top soil layer at three replications on each gas sampling date for measuring pH, NO3-N, NH4+-N, and DOC. Soil samples were collected from the root-mat layer (0-2.5cm) and the mineral soil layer (2.5-5cm) of each treatment plot in April, June, and August 2007, which was followed by measuring soil denitrifying enzyme activity (DEA). The soil DEA was measured by an acetylene inhibition method under the four treatments with and without the addition of NO3⁻-N and glucose. The cumulative N₂O emission in control, chemical fertilizer, and manure plots ranged from 0.6 to 0.7, 1.4 to 3.0, and 2.1 to 4.9 kg N₂O-N ha⁻¹yr⁻¹, respectively. The application of hoth Formatted: Font: Times New Roman Deleted: contributing

Soil Science and Plant Nutrition

58	denitrification (Tiedje 1988; Conrad 1996). Nitrification is the biological oxidation of	
59	ammonium to nitrite or nitrate under aerobic conditions, while denitrification is the	
60	reduction of nitrate to N_2O and N_2 when the supply of oxygen is limited. Increasing soil N	
61	availability as a result of increased N inputs by the application of chemical fertilizer and	Deleted: agricultural
62	manure and atmospheric deposition have greatly enhanced N ₂ O emissions from soils	
63	(Kroeze <i>et al.</i> 1999) by influencing nitrifying and denitrifying enzyme activity. Chemical	Deleted: through affec
64	fertilizer and animal wastes are the two most important sources of direct N ₂ O emissions	
65	from agricultural soils (Mosier et al. 1998). The default IPCC emission factor, i.e. the	Deleted: type of fertility
66	percentage of applied N emitted as N ₂ O, is 1.0%, regardless of the <u>fertilizer type</u> (IPCC	Deleted. type of fertilit.
67	2006). However, the type of N inputs to the fields may affect the N_2O emission rate in	Deleted: the
68	different ways, leading to different patterns of N2O emissions from inorganic and organic	
69	N fertilizers. Addition of inorganic N increases N2O emission through affecting the	Deleted: is reported to Deleted: the
70	process of nitrification and denitrification by increasing the available NH4 ⁺ -N and	Deleted: by Deleted: providing
71	NO ₃ ⁻ N substrates. Organic fertilizers with a high and easily mineralizable organic C	Deleted: providing
72	content stimulate microbial activity and thus N_2O emissions (Chadwick <i>et al.</i> 2000). The	Deleted: .
73	application of chemical N fertilizer resulted in short-lived N_2O peaks (Dobbie and Smith	
74	2003). However, N_2O losses from manure plots extended over a longer period of time and	
75	were greater in magnitude than from chemical N fertilization (Jones et al. 2007). Higher	

Deleted: through affecting

Deleted: type of fertilizer

Deleted: the
Deleted: is reported to
Deleted: the
Deleted: by
Deleted: providing
Deleted: additional
Deleted:

N₂O fluxes from manure and sewage applications compared to that from chemical N fertilizers were also observed in other studies (Scott et al. 2000). High N₂O fluxes from manure treatments can be partly explained by the higher total N input than chemical fertilizer treatments, providing more available N that was mineralized over a longer period of time. Another reason for the increased N₂O losses could be an addition of organic C by the manures, which is known to stimulate denitrification. McTaggart *et al.* (1997) reported that C supply from slurry spread onto grasslands stimulated N_2O production, resulting in a four times larger N₂O loss compared to the application of NH₄NO₃ although the total rate of N application was similar in both treatments. Grassland is an important ecosystem to support the production of herbivorous livestock (Soussana et al. 2007). Application of chemical fertilizer and animal manure to grasslands has been conducted to increase grass production, especially in developed countries where grassland-based livestock production is important (Bouwman et al. 2002). However, N application to grasslands also poses a risk of N loss to the environment in the form of N₂O emission. The objective of this study is to clarify the effect of fertilizer and manure application on N2O emission and to identify the factors controlling N₂O emission from the grassland.

Deleted: partly

Deleted: additional

Deleted: clarify

94 MATERIALS AND METHODS

95 Study site

95	Study site	Formatted: Justified
96	This study was conducted on a managed grassland located at the Shizunai	
97	Experimental Livestock Farm, Field Science Center for Northern Biosphere of Hokkaido	
98	University in Southern Hokkaido, Japan (42°26'N, 142°29'E). The site is characterized	
99	by a humid continental climate with cold winters and cool summers. During 1979 to 2000,	
100	the mean annual precipitation and air temperature for this region were 1365 mm and	
101	7.9°C, respectively. The soil is derived from Tarumae (b) volcanic ash, and is classified as	
102	Thaptic Melanudands (Soil Survey Staff, 2006; Mollic Andosol (IUSS Working Group,	
103	WRB, 2006)). A layer of three cm thick root-mat was found on the top, and a 21 cm thick	
104	Ap-layer was found under the root-mat in a survey conducted in August 2004 (Shimizu et	
105	al. 2009). The C and N contents in the Ap-layer were 3.7% and 0.33%, respectively, and	Deleted: in
106	the C:N ratio was 11.1. Dominant grass species at this site were reed canary grass	Deleteu. III
107	(Phalaris arundinacea L.) and foxtail grass (Alopecurus pratensis L.). The harvesting of	
108	grass was carried out twice a year (21 st June and 11 th August in 2005, 27 th June and 23 rd	Formatted: Superscript
109	August in 2006 and 18 th June and 18 th August in 2007) in accordance with the local	Formatted: Superscript
110	practice.	
111		

112	Experimental setup	
113	Three experimental plots were set up on the study site; one for treatment with chemical	Deleted: ,
114	fertilizer (chemical fertilizer plot), another with beef cattle manure and chemical fertilizer	
115	(manure plot), and the other with no \underline{N} fertilizer or manure (control plot). Setting of the	
116	treatments was initiated in the spring of 2005. Eighteen subplots (5 m \times 4 m) were	
117	established for the chemical fertilizer, manure, and control plots with six and four	Deleted: in
118	replications from May 2005 to April 2007 and from May 2007 to April 2008,	
119	respectively.	Formatted: Font color: Auto
120	Table 1 shows the information on the date of application and the application rates of	
121	chemical fertilizer and manure. The N application rates in the chemical fertilizer plot	
122	were at the recommended level for this site on the basis of soil tests, and were 164 kg N	
123	ha ⁻¹ year ⁻¹ in 2005 and 184 kg N ha ⁻¹ year ⁻¹ in 2006 as ammonium sulfate and ammonium	Formatted: Subscript
124	phosphate (Table 1). For learning the N ₂ O emission from grassland soil under the local N	
125	fertilization level, N fertilization decreased to 74 kg N ha ⁻¹ year ⁻¹ in chemical fertilizer	
126	plot according to the recommends of Shizunai Experimental Livestock Farm staffs. The	
127	pH value of manure that used in 2005, 2006 and 2007 were 8.3, 8.8 and 9.1, respectively.	Formatted: Font color: Auto
128	The manure application rates were the optimum rates used by farmers in the region, and	
129	were based on adequate amounts of potassium (K) application to the fields. Beef cattle	
	8	

Soil Science and Plant Nutrition

Deleted: nutrient

e 9 of 53	Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
130	manure with bedding litter (bark) was applied to the manure plot, and the application
131	rates were 44 Mg FM ha ⁻¹ (236 kg N ha ⁻¹ and 5.8 Mg C ha ⁻¹) in May 2005, 43 Mg FM ha ⁻¹
132	$(310 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1} \text{ and } 6.0 \text{ Mg C ha}^{-1})$ in May 2006, and 43 Mg FM ha $^{-1}$ (331 kg N ha $^{-1}$ and 7.7
133	Mg C ha ⁻¹) in May 2007 (Table 1). In the manure plot, the N supply rates from manure
134	were estimated by multiplying the application rates by the N mineralization rate, and the
135	differences between the supply rates in manure and the application rates in the fertilizer
136	plot were supplied by chemical fertilizer. The N mineralization rates were estimated
137	based on Uchida's model (Shiga et al. 1985) which was developed in Japan and were
138	13.2%, 7.0% and 5.5%, respectively in the first, second and third years after application.
139	The mineralization rates of P and K from the manure were estimated based on the
140	handbook of animal waste management and utilization in Hokkaido 2004 (Anon. 2004).
141	The P mineralization rate was 20%, 10%, and 0% and the K mineralization rate was 70%,
142	10%, and 0% in the first year, second year, and third year after application, respectively.
143	
144	N ₂ O and NO fluxes
145	We defined the crop growing season as a 7-day moving average of daily air temperature
146	above 5 °C and the non-growing season as the rest (Shimizu et al. 2009). The growing
147	season was 215 days in 2005 (From 10 th April 2005 to 10 th November 2005), 218 days in
	9

1	
2 3	
4 5	
6	
8	
9 10	
11 12	
13 14	
15 16	
17	
18 19	
20 21	
22 23	
24 25	
26 27	
28	
$\begin{smallmatrix} -2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 7 \\ 18 \\ 19 \\ 21 \\ 22 \\ 3 \\ 24 \\ 25 \\ 27 \\ 28 \\ 9 \\ 30 \\ 13 \\ 33 \\ 34 \\ 35 \\ 37 \\ 8 \\ 33 \\ 33 \\ 35 \\ 37 \\ 8 \\ 8 \\ 37 \\ 37$	
31 32	
33 34	
35 36	
37 38	
39 40	
41	
42 43	
44 45	
46 47	
48 49	
50 51	
52 53	
54 55	
56 57	
57 58 59	
59 60	

L	2006 (From 15 th April 2006 to 18 th November 2006) and 220 days in 2007 (From 13 th	148
;	April 2007 to 18^{th} November 2007. N ₂ O and NO fluxes from the soil to the atmosphere	149
;	were measured by the static closed chamber method on the control, fertilizer, and manure	150
ł	plots (Shimizu et al. 2009). The flux measurements were conducted in 2 - 28 day interval	151
l	during the crop growing season and 10 - 30 day intervals during the non growing season	152
	and between 8:00 and 11:00 h in each measuring day to minimize the effect of diurna	153
l	temperature variation. The stainless steel chambers were 40 cm in diameter and 30 cm	154
l	high in the chemical fertilizer and manure plots, and 20 cm in diameter and 25 cm high in	155
,	the control plots. The chambers were placed directly into the soil to a depth of about 3 cm	156
	12 hours before the measurement of each subplot, and contained no aboveground biomas	157
	in the chemical fertilizer, manure, and control plots. Before closing the chamber, a 250 m	158
)	gas sample from the headspace of each chamber was extracted into a Tedlar bag for NC	159
)	analysis, and a 20 ml gas sample was injected into an evacuated vial (10 ml) for N_2C	160
L	analysis. This measurement was regarded as time 0 min. After 20 min or 30 min under a	161
l	closed-chamber condition, 250 ml of the headspace gas sample was extracted from each	162
	chamber into a bag, and 20 ml was injected into a vial. From these bag samples, NO gas	163
L	concentrations were determined in a laboratory within 16 hours using a	164
	Chemiluminescence N Oxide Analyzer (Model 265P, Kimoto Electric, Osaka, Japan)	165

Soil Science and Plant Nutrition

2 3
3
4
$3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 2 \\ 11 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 10 \\ 2 \\ 12 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ $
6
7
1
8
9
10
11
12
12
10
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
20
21
28
29
30
31
32
22
33
34
35
36
37
38
30
40
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
40
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

166	N_2O gas concentrations were determined in a laboratory within 1 month using an ECD
167	(Electron capture detector) gas chromatograph (model GC-14B, Shimadzu, Kyoto,
168	Japan) from the samples of vials.
169	Gas fluxes were calculated from the change in gas concentration in the chamber against
170	closure time:
171	$F = \rho \times h \times (\Delta c / \Delta t) \times [273 / (273 + T)]$
172	where F is the gas flux (µg N m ⁻² h ⁻¹ for N ₂ O), ρ is the gas density (N ₂ O-N = 1.26 × 109
173	μ g m ⁻³), h is the height of the chamber from the soil surface (m), $\Delta c/\Delta t$ is the change in
174	gas concentration inside the chamber during the sampling period $(m^3 m^{-3} h^{-1})$, and T is the
175	average air temperature during the sampling period (°C). A positive flux denotes the
176	emission from the soil, whereas a negative flux denotes the uptake from the atmosphere.
177	The cumulative gas flux was calculated as follow:
178	Cumulative gas flux = $\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\mathbf{R}i \times 24 \times \mathbf{D}i)$
179	where Ri is the mean gas flux $(mg m^{-2} hr^{-1})$ of the two successive sampling dates, Di is the
180	number of days in the sampling interval, and n is the number of sampling times. The
181	cumulative period of 2005, 2006 and 2007 were calculated from 10 th April 2005 to 14 th
182	April, from 15 th April 2006 to 18 th April 2007 and from 19 th April 2007 to 4 th April 2008,
183	respectively.
	11

Formatted: Superscript
Formatted: Superscript

Formatted: Superscript Formatted: Superscript

Formatted: Superscript Formatted: Superscript

2
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
3
4
5
6
7
6
8
9
10
11 12 13 14 15
12
12
13
14
15
16 17 18
17
10
18
19
$\gamma \gamma$
21
22
22
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39
24
25
26
27
20
20
29
30
31
32
33
33
34
35
36
37
38
20
39
40
41
42
43
43 44
44
45
46
47
48
10
49 50
50
51
52
53
50
54
55
56
57
50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59
50
09
60

184	Emission factor
185	N_2O Emission factor (EF) for chemical fertilizer and manure (kg N_2O –N (kg N input) ⁻¹)
186	was calculated as follows:
187	Chemical fertilizer-induced EF = {[N ₂ O emission (chemical fertilizer plot)] – [N ₂ O
188	emission (control plot)]}/[chemical fertilizer N application rate (chemical fertilizer
189	plot)]
190	Manure-induced $EF = \{ [N_2O \text{ emission (manure plot)}] - [chemical fertilizer N application N app$
191	rate (manure plot)]×chemical fertilizer-induced EF-[N ₂ O emission (control plot)]}/
192	[manure N application rate (manure plot)]
193	Environmental variables
194	Daily precipitation was obtained at the Sasayama AMeDAS (Automated Meteorological
195	Data Acquisition System) station by the Japan Meteorological Agency. Air temperature
196	and soil temperature at a 5 cm depth were measured at the same time with the flux
197	measurements using a thermistor thermometer (CT220, CUSTOM, Tokyo, Japan), and
198	soil moisture content at a 0 - 6 cm depth was measured using the Frequency Domain
199	Reflectometry (FDR) method (DIK-311A, Daiki, Saitama, Japan). Soil core samples (14
200	cm diameter, 13 cm height) were collected in April 2007, and calibration curves were
201	made to calculate water-filled pore space (WFPS) from the FDR device reading $(m^3 m^{-3})$
	12
	12

Deleted: Deleted: closest

Deleted: and daily maximum depths

of snow were

1
3
4
2 3 4 5 6 7 8
5
0
1
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
10
10
9 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 32 4 25 26 27 28 9 30 31 22 33 4 35 36 37 38 39
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
20
20
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
43 44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
53 54
54 55
ວວ 56
57
58
59

202	and percent total porosity (Linn and Doran 1984). The percent total porosity was
203	measured using a 100 ml soil core collected in April 2007 and was regarded as constant
204	throughout the study period because of no tillage.
205	Soil chemical analyses
206	Soil samples at a depth of 0 to 5 cm <u>from ground surface</u> were collected during a period
207	from April to November at three replicates in all treatment plots. Within 48 hours of soil
208	sampling, soil samples were sieved through the 2 mm sieve and stones and roots were
209	removed. Soil samples were then immediately extracted in deionized water (1:5) and in 2
210	M KCl (1:10), and the extracts were stored at 4 °C until analysis for dissolved nutrients
211	after filtered through 0.2-µm membrane filters. Water-soluble organic carbon (DOC)
212	content in the deionized-water-extract solution was analyzed using a TOC analyzer (TOC
213	5000A, Shimadzu). The concentration of NO_2-N and NO_3-N in the
214	deionized-water-extract solution was analyzed by ion chromatography (Dionex QIC
215	Analyzer, Dionex Japan, Osaka, Japan). The concentration of NH_4^+ -N in the 2 M KCl
216	extracted solution was determined by the indophenol-blue method (UV mini 1240,
217	Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan). Soil pH was measured in the deionized-water-extract solution
218	with a combined electrode pH meter (F-8 pH meter, Horiba, Japan).
219	Measurement of soil denitrifying enzyme activity (DEA)

Deleted: -----Page Break------

Deleted: under the root-mat

1 2
- 3 4
5 6 7
7 8 9
10 11
12 13 14
15 16 17
$^{-}2$ 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 1 12 13 14 15 16 17 8 9 20 1 22 3 24 5 6 7 8 9 30 1 12 3 34 35 6 7 8 9 30 1 12 3 34 35 6 7 8 9 30 1 22 3 24 5 26 7 8 9 30 1 32 33 34 35 6 7 8 9 30 1 22 3 34 35 6 7 8 9 30 1 22 3 24 5 26 7 8 9 30 1 22 3 34 35 6 7 8 9 10 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20 1 20
20 21 22
23 24
25 26 27
28 29
30 31 32
33 34 25
35 36 37
38 39 40
41 42
43 44 45
46 47 48
49 50
51 52 53
54 55
56 57 58
59 60

220	For measuring soil denitrifying enzyme activity (DEA), soil samples were taken from all
221	treatment plots in the root-mat layer (0 - 2.5cm depth) and mineral soils layer (2.5 - 5cm
222	depth) with 3 replications in April, June, and August 2007. The root-mat soil samples
223	were cut into small pieces with 1cm diameter, and stones or roots were removed from the
224	mineral soil samples by passing through the 2mm sieve within 48 h after sampling. Then
225	we mixed three replicates of soil samples and kept in refrigerator at 4 °C until analysis.
226	The DEA was determined by an acetylene block technique, which inhibits the final
227	conversion of N_2O to N_2 gas (Tiedje, 1994). Soil samples were incubated under anaerobic
228	condition at 25°C with a solution treated with 1) chloramphenicol (1g L^{-1}) (Chl), 2) with
229	chloramphenicol (1g L^{-1}) and NO ₃ ⁻ -N (200 mg N L^{-1} as KNO ₃) (Chl+N), 3) with
230	chloramphenicol (1g L^{-1}) and organic-C (2 g C L^{-1} as glucose) (Chl+C), and 4) with
231	chloramphenicol (1g L^{-1}), NO ₃ ⁻ -N (200 mg N L^{-1} as KNO ₃) and organic-C (2 g C L^{-1} as
232	glucose) (Chl+N+C). Fresh soil of 15g was placed into a 100 conical flask, and 15 ml
233	treated solution was added to the flask. The flasks were evacuated and flushed four times
234	with N_2 to ensure anaerobic conditions, and acetylene (C_2H_2) gas was added to a final
235	concentration of 10% (10 kPa) in the headspace. The headspace gas was sampled by a
236	syringe at 2 and 4 h and denitrification rates were calculated from the linear increment of
237	N ₂ O production against time. <u>Denitrification potential of the soil horizons was measured</u>

Soil Science and Plant Nutrition

2			
3 4	I		
5 6	238	with the addition of both NO ₃ -N and soluble C source as proposed by D'Haene et al.	
7 8 9	239	<u>(2003).</u>	
9 10 11	240	Statistical analyses	
12 13 14	241	Analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Pearson correlation analysis were performed using	
15 16	242	SPSS 13.0. Linear regression and other statistical analyses were carried out by using	
17 18 19	243	Excel 2003. Two-way ANOVA and Tukey test were used to compare the mean difference	
20 21	244	(P < 0.05) of a given variable between treatment plots and years. Three-way ANOVA and	
22 23 24	245	Tukey test were used to compare the mean difference (P < 0.05) in soil N ₂ O fluxes among	
25			Deleted: ,
26 27 28	246	the seasons, treatment plots of field experiment, and years; and in soil DEA among the	Deleted: plots
29 30	247	treatment plots of field experiment, soil layers, and incubation treatments,	
31 32	248		
33 34 35	249	RESULTS	
36 37	250	Soil temperature and moisture	
38 39 40	251	Daily precipitation is shown in Fig. 1a. Annual precipitations were 1176 mm from the	
41 42 43	252	mid April 2005 to the mid April 2006, 1047 mm from the mid April 2006 to the mid April	
44 45	253	2007, and 879 mm from the mid April 2007 to the beginning of April 2008. These values	
46 47 48	254	are smaller than that the mean annual precipitation $(1365 \pm 215 \text{ mm})$ from 1989 to 2000.	
49 50 51	255	Soil moisture content expressed as WFPS in a 0 to 6 cm depth is shown in Fig. 1b. The	Deleted: These values are smaller than the long-term average (1365 ± 215 mm).¶
52 53		15	
54 55		15	
55 56			
57			
58 59			

1
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12
3
4
5
6
7
1
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
10
20
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 32 4 25 26 27 8 29 30 31 22 33 4 5 36 37 38 39
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
J∠ 22
22
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
44
45 46
47
48
49
50
51 52
52
53
54
55
56
57
57 58
50
59

256	WFPS from April to November was influenced by precipitation and the low soil moisture
257	was observed with low precipitation in August 2006 and June 2007. From December to
258	March, soil moisture was not observed because of soil freezing. In the winter of
259	2006/2007, soil freezing began from beginning of December 2006, reaching the
260	maximum depth of 17.75 cm in 9 th March 2007, and then thawed in early April in 2007.
261	In the winter of 2007/2008, soil freezing also began from beginning of December 2007,
262	but reaching the maximum 29 cm in 12 th March 2008, and then thawed in early April in
263	2008. The soil freezing depth was not observed in the winter of 2005/2006.
264	Soil temperature at a 5 cm depth is shown in Fig. 1c. The soil temperature increased
265	from April, reaching its maximum from July through August, and then decreased
266	gradually. The soil temperature was around 0 $^{\circ}$ C from December to March. There was no
267	difference in soil temperature between the chemical fertilizer and manure plots, but soil
268	temperature was higher in the control plot than in the chemical fertilizer and manure plots
269	(P < 0.05).
270	N ₂ O fluxes
271	The seasonal patterns of N ₂ O fluxes were mainly driven by a seasonal variation as
272	varieties of air and soil temperature which were higher in summer and lower in winter,
273	and influenced by fertilization (Fig. 2a). <u>N₂O fluxes in the chemical fertilizer and manure</u>

Deleted: ¶

Deleted: pattern of N₂O fluxes was mainly driven by a seasonal variation

1
2
3
4
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16
6
7
8
ă
10
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
10
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 23 34 35 36 37 38 39
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
20
20
27
28
29
30
31
32
22
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
40
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
50
57 58
58
59
60

291

274	plots increased after application of manure or chemical fertilizer, These remained at a
275	higher level than in the control plot until the beginning of September (Fig. 2a). Three-way
276	ANOVA showed that there was a significant difference in N_2O fluxes between the
277	non-growing and growing seasons (P < 0.001) and between each treatment (P < 0.05). In
278	the meantime, an interaction between the season and treatment in the $\mathrm{N}_2\mathrm{O}$ fluxes was
279	observed (P < 0.05) (Table 2). In the growing season, the mean N ₂ O fluxes in 2005, 2006,
280	and 2007 were 12.3, 12.9, and 16.7 ug N ₂ O-N m- ² h ⁻¹ for control plot, 85.3, 83.9, and 36.5
281	ug N ₂ O-N m ⁻² h ⁻¹ for chemical fertilizer plot, and 101.9, 187.6, and 50.6 ug N ₂ O-N m ⁻² h ⁻¹
282	for manure plot, respectively (Table 3). The N ₂ O fluxes in the growing season were
283	significantly higher in the chemical fertilizer and manure plots than that in the control plot
284	(P < 0.01), but there was no significant difference between the chemical fertilizer and
285	manure plots. In the non-growing season, the N_2O fluxes were lower and stable with the
286	mean values of 0.3, 2.6 and 4.7 ug N_2O -N m- ² h ⁻¹ in the control plot, 2.7, 6.0 and 7.4 ug
287	$N_2O-N \text{ m}^{-2}h^{-1}$ in the chemical fertilizer plot, and 2.7, 3.6 and 3.5 ug $N_2O-N \text{ m}^{-2}h^{-1}$ in the
288	manure plot in 2005, 2006, and 2007, respectively (Table 3). There was no significant
289	difference in the mean of N_2O fluxes between each treatment plot.
290	The annual N_2O emission in control, chemical fertilizer, and manure plots ranged from

0.6 to 0.7, 1.4 to 3.0 and 2.1 to 4.9 kg N₂O-N ha⁻¹yr⁻¹ <u>during 2005 to 2007</u>, respectively

Deleted: N₂O fluxes in the chemical fertilizer and manure plots increased after the application of N fertilizer.

Deleted: 8
Deleted: 4

{	Deleted: cumulative
{	Deleted: in
ĺ	Deleted: , 2006, and

Soil Science and Plant Nutrition

2
2
3
4
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 13 14 15 16 17 18 0
6
7
1
8
9
10
11
40
12
13
14
15
16
10
17
18
20
20
21
20 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39
23
24
27
20
26
27
28
20
29
30
31
32
33
24
34
35
36
37
20
00
39
40
41
42
43
40
44
45
46
47
10
40
49
50
51
52
52
53
54
55
56
57
48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60
58
59
60

309

1

292	(Table 4). Application of both chemical fertilizer and manure stimulated the annual		
293	cumulative N_2O emissions, and the significantly highest annual cumulative N_2O emission		
294	was observed in the manure plot, followed by the chemical fertilizer plot. Application of		
295	chemical fertilizer contributed to 76.9, 79.2 and 47.2% of the total N_2O emission from the		
296	chemical fertilizer plot in 2005, 2006, and 2007, respectively. In the manure plot, $N_2 O$		
297	emission from the applied chemical fertilizer and manure contributed to 81.8, 87.4, and		
298	67.6% of the total N_2O emission in 2005, 2006, and 2007, respectively. Chemical		
299	fertilizer-induced EF was 1.32, 1.30, and 0.85 % in 2005, 2006, and 2007, respectively.		
300	Manure-induced EF was significantly lower than the fertilizer-induced EF ($P < 0.001$),		
301	and was 0.51, 0.85, and 0.35 % in 2005, 2006, and 2007, respectively (Table 5).		
302	NO fluxes showed a <u>seasonal variation that similar with the seasonal pattern of N_2Q_1</u>	Dele	
303	fluxes, which were higher in summer and lower in winter and also influenced by	Dele	
304	fertilization (Fig. 2b). The NO fluxes ranged from -1.2 to <u>91.3</u> ug NO-N m- ² h ⁻¹ which was	Dele Dele	
305	smaller than the N ₂ O fluxes (-3.6 to 1290.7 ug N ₂ O-N m- ² h ⁻¹). Large NO fluxes were		
306	observed mainly after the manure and chemical fertilizer application (Fig. 2b), Most of	Dele Dele	
307	the values of N ₂ O/NO ratio were distributed from 1 to 100, and a significant positive	Dele	
308	correlation was found (P < 0.01) between the N ₂ O/NO ratio and the N ₂ O fluxes (Fig. 3).		

eleted: variability in a
eleted:
eleted: seasonal variation and
eleted: 249.0

Deleted: of fertilizer	
Deleted:	
Deleted: ly	

1 2 3 4	
5 6 7	
8 9 10 11	
11 12 13 14 15	
16 17 18 19	
20 21 22 23	
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30	
28 29 30 31	
32	
33 34 35 36 37 38 39	
39 40 41 42 43	
44 45 46	
47 48 49 50	
51 52 53 54	
55 56 57 58	
59 60	

310	Soil chemical properties	Deleted: E
311	During 2005 to 2007, soil pH in chemical fertilizer plot was obviously lower than that in	Deleted: From Deleted: soil pH
312	control and manure plot (Fig.4a). Chemical fertilizer application could lead to the soil pH	top soil layer in co
313	decreasing not only in chemical fertilizer plot but also in manure plot (Fig.4a). The mean	similar seasonal particular seasonal seasonal seasonal particular seasonal se
314	soil pH <u>during 2005 to 2007</u> , in control, chemical fertilizer and manure plots were 5.2, 4.6,	Deleted: Howev
315	and 5.1, respectively. The soil pH in the chemical fertilizer plot was significantly lower	Deleted: , t Deleted: in 2005
316	than that in the manure and control plots ($P < 0.001$). There was no significant difference	
317	in soil pH between the manure and control plots.	
318	The mean NH4 ⁺ -N concentrations, during 2005 to 2007, in control, chemical fertilizer,	Deleted:
319	and manure plots were 4.4, 23.7, and 17.5 mg kg ⁻¹ , respectively. Soil NH_4^+ -N	Deleted: in 2005
320	concentration in chemical fertilizer and manure plots exhibited a wide range of 0.4 - 245	
321	mg kg ⁻¹ (Fig. 4b). In contrast, soil NH_4^+ -N concentration in the control plot was stable,	
322	and was always below 12 mg kg ⁻¹ . The pattern of soil NH_4^+ -N concentration was not	
323	influenced by manure application only; however, it was influenced by the chemical	Deleted: T Deleted: he patte
324	<u>fertilizer</u> application (Fig. 4b). Soil NH_4^+ -N concentration in chemical fertilizer and	concentration Deleted: N
325	manure plots increased rapidly right after chemical fertilizer, application, but then	Deleted: N Deleted: the N
326	decreased within a few days (Fig. 4b). In 2005 and 2006, peak concentrations of soil	Deleted: days
327	NH_4^+ -N were <u>always</u> observed in <u>both</u> chemical fertilizer and <u>Manure plot after chemical</u>	Deleted: twice
·		
	19	

soil pH from the 0 - 5 cm $\,$ yer in control, chemical nd manure plots showed a

asonal pattern (Fig. 4a).

ed: Font color: Aqua

However

in 2005, 2006, and 2007,

in 2005, 2006, and 2007

Deleted: T
Deleted: he pattern of soil NH_4^+ -N concentration
Deleted: N
Deleted: the N
Deleted: days
Deleted: twice

Soil Science and Plant Nutrition

1
2
- 3 4
4
5
5 6 7 8
7
1
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
9 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 31
19
20
21
22
22 22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
29 30 31 32
32
33
34
35
33 34 35 36 37 38
37
20
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
52 53
53 54
54 55
50
56
57
58
59
60

		Deleted: and manure plots
328	fertilizer application, But in 2007, only one small peak was observed in the chemical	Perceed. and manute plots
329	fertilizer plot following the base fertilizer application in May. After chemical fertilizer	
330	application in July 2007, soil NH_4^+ -N concentration peak was not observed may caused	
331	by low precipitation and low soil moisture that limited the additional NH_4^+ -N by chemical	
332	fertilizer application go into more deep soil layer by water dynamics before it was	
333	assumed on the soil surface and in root mat through NH_4^+ -N volatilization or microbial,	
334	chemical and physical reaction.	Deleted:
335	The mean NO ₃ ⁻ -N concentrations, during 2005 to 2007, were 1.4, 2.7, and 2.2 mg kg ⁻¹ in $/$	Deleted: Deleted: in 2005, 2006, and 2007
336	the control, chemical fertilizer, and manure plot, respectively. The pattern of soil $NO_3 - N_1$	Deleted. In 2003, 2000, and 2007
337	concentration was also influenced by N application, and the peaks were observed slightly	
338	later than those of soil NH_4^+ -N concentration (Fig. 4c).	
339	During the study period, the soil DOC concentration ranged from 48 to 121 mg kg^{-1} in	Deleted: 156
340	the control plot, from 23 to $\frac{116 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}}{1000 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}}$ in the chemical fertilizer plot, and from 43 to 199 /	
341	mg kg ⁻¹ in the manure plot (Fig. 4d). The mean soil DOC concentrations in control,	
342	chemical fertilizer, and manure plots were 73.3, 59.4, and 97.8 mg kg ⁻¹ , respectively. The	
343	soil DOC concentration in the manure plot was significantly higher than that in the	
344	control and chemical fertilizer plots ($P < 0.01$), but application of chemical fertilizer had	
345	no significant influence on the soil DOC concentration compared with that in the control	
	20	

346	plot. Continuous 3 years manure application significantly increased the soil DOC
347	concentration, which was significantly higher in 2007 than in 2005 and 2006 (P<0.01).
348	Pearson correlation analysis showed that instantaneous N_2O flux had a strong positive
349	correlation with soil temperature (P < 0.01), soil NO ₃ ⁻ N concentration (P < 0.01), and
350	soil NH_4^+ -N concentration (P < 0.01) (Table 6).
351	Soil denitrifying enzyme activity (DEA)
352	Table 7 shows the result of DEA. Result of a 3-way ANOVA shows that there was a
353	significant difference in soil DEA among soil layers (root-mat and mineral) ($P < 0.001$)
354	and treatments (with and without NO ₃ and glucose) (P < 0.001), but there was no
355	significant difference in soil DEA among plots (control, chemical fertilizer, and manure)
356	(P = 0.058) (Table 8). However, there was a significant interaction between the soil layer
357	and treatments ($P < 0.001$). In the root-mat layer, soil DEA was significantly increased by
358	the addition of NO ₃ -N with ($P < 0.001$) or without ($P < 0.001$) the addition of glucose. But
359	there was no significant effect on soil DEA by the addition of only glucose. There was no
360	significant difference in soil DEA between the treatments Chl+N and Chl+N+C. On the
361	other hand, there was no significant effect of single addition of NO ₃ -N (Chl+N) or
362	glucose (Chl+C) on soil DEA in the mineral soil. However, a combination of NO ₃ -N and
363	glucose addition (Chl+N+C) increased the soil DEA significantly (P < 0.05). The soil
	21

1
2
3
1
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
10
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
10
19
20
-2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 21 31 4 5 16 7 8 9 10 11 21 31 4 5 16 7 8 9 10 11 21 31 4 5 16 7 8 9 21 22 32 4 5 26 27 28 9 30 31 32 33 43 5 36 37 8 20 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10
22
23
24
25
26
27
21
28
29
30
31
32
33
31
25
30
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
43 44
45
46
47
48
49
50
52
53
54
55
56
57 58
58
59
60

364	DEA with the addition of both NO ₃ -N and glucose in the root-mat soil was significantly
365	higher than that in the mineral soil ($P < 0.001$). The soil DEA in the root-mat soil with the
366	addition of NO ₃ -N and both NO ₃ -N and glucose had a significantly positive correlation
367	with soil pH ($P < 0.05$) (Fig. 5).
368	
369	DISCUSSION
370	Seasonal pattern of N ₂ O emission
371	Soil N_2O fluxes were significantly higher in the growing season than that in the
372	non-growing season. This is attributed to the high soil temperature (Table 6) in the
373	growing season. Granli and Bøckman (1994) found an increased rate of N_2O production
374	with an increase in soil temperature up to 20-40 °C. High peaks of N_2O fluxes were
375	usually observed in both chemical fertilizer and manure plots within a few weeks after the
376	application of manure or chemical fertilizer, in our study (Fig. 2a). This can be attributed
377	to the result of rapid increase in soil NH_4^+ -N and NO_3^- -N concentrations immediately
378	after the application of fertilizer, which decreased within a few days (Fig 4). It is well
379	established that the rate of N_2O emission usually increases with an increase in soil
380	available N (Skiba and Smith 2000; Sehy et al. 2003). Several studies reported that N_2O
381	fluxes significantly increased after the application of N fertilizers. Mu et al. (2008)

Deleted: fertilizer

reported that N₂O fluxes increased rapidly to higher emission levels in soils cultivated with wheat (from 242 to 433 μ g N m⁻² h⁻¹) and onion (from 47.2 to 157 μ g N m⁻² h⁻¹) after N fertilization and that the fluxes lasted for about three weeks. Schils *et al.* (2008) also reported high N₂O fluxes occurred in the first week after the application of chemical fertilizer or cattle slurry.

The bacterial processes of nitrification and denitrification are the most important sources of N₂O in soil (Granli and Bøckmann 1994). According to Davidson (1992) and Skiba et al. (1993), nitrification produces more NO than N₂O; conversely, dentrification produces more N₂O than NO. The ratio of N₂O-N/NO-N is the index of N₂O production from nitrification or denitrification (Lipschultz et al. 1981). Lipschultz et al. (1981) reported that the ratio of production of N₂O-N / NO-N ranged from 0.2 to 1.0 in nitrification and 100 in denitrification. A significant positive correlation between the N2O fluxes and the ratio of N₂O-N / NO-N and was found (Fig. 3) in our study (P < 0.01), indicating that the high N₂O emissions are primarily due to denitrification.

396 Cumulative N₂O emission

397 Chemical fertilizer and animal wastes are <u>the</u> two most important sources of direct N_2O 398 emissions from agricultural soils (Mosier *et al.* 1998). Increasing soil N availability 399 associated with application of N by chemical fertilizer and manure has greatly enhanced

1
2 3 1
4 5 6
2 3 4 5 6 7 8
9 10
11 12
13 14
15 16 17
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 32 25 26 27 28 29 30 132 33 435 36 37 82
20 21
22 23
24 25 26
27 28
29 30
31 32
34 35
36 37
39
40 41 42
43 44
45 46
47 48 49
50 51
52 53
54 55
56 57 58
59 60

	N ₂ O emissions from agricultural soils (Kroeze et al. 1999). Meng et al. (2005) found that	400
	chemical fertilizer and manure contributed to 74–82% of the total N_2O emissions. Mori <i>et</i>	401
	al. (2008) also reported the N ₂ O emission predominantly derived from the manure and	402
	the chemical fertilizer N application on a volcanic grassland soil in Nasu, Japan.	403
	Generally, emissions of N_2O increase with an increase in N application rates (Granli and	404
	Bøckman 1994; MacKenzie et al. 1997). In our study, the N ₂ O emission from applied	405
	chemical fertilizer and manure contributed to 77-85% of the total N_2O emission in 2005	406
	and 2006. The contribution of chemical fertilizer and manure to N_2O emission in 2007	407
(decreased to 47-65% due to the lower application rates than that in 2005 and 2006. The	408
Delet	chemical fertilizer-induced EF <u>ranged from 0.85 to 1.32%</u> , which was comparable to the	409
	IPCC default value 1% (IPCC 2006), but was higher than that reported by Akiyama and	410
	Tsuruta (2003) from the Japanese Andisols amended with chemical fertilizer (ranging	411
Delet	from 0.06% to 0.29%). The manure-induced EF of our study ranged from 0.35 to 0.85%,	412
Delet	which was significantly lower than the chemical fertilizer-induced EF and the IPCC	413
	default value, but close to that reported by Akiyama and Tsuruta (2003), which was	414
	0.55%.	415
/ Delet	Soil DEA	416
/ the ad / solubl	The soil DEA with an addition of NO ₃ -N and glucose in the root-mat soil was	417
D'Hae	1	

Deleted: average
Deleted: was 1.17%

1	Deleted: average
1	Deleted: was
(Deleted: 57

Deleted: Denitrification potential of he soil horizons was measured with he addition of both NO₃⁻-N and soluble C source as proposed by D'Haene *et al.* (2003).

Soil Science and Plant Nutrition

418	significantly higher than that in the mineral soil, indicating that the soil denitrification	
419	potential in the root-mat soil was significantly higher than that in the mineral soil.	
420	Microbial activities in the surface soil are reported to be higher than in the deeper soil	
421	(Speir et al. 1984; Higashida and Takao, 1985). Parkin and Meisinger (1989) reported	
422	that total viable bacteria and numbers of denitrifying bacteria were found to decrease	
423	exponentially with an increase in soil depth on a well-drained silt loam soil.	
424	Soil DEA in the root-mat soil significantly increased by the addition of NO ₃ -N with (P <	
425	0.001) or without (P < 0.001) the addition of glucose, indicating that the availability of	
426	soil NO ₃ ⁻ -N could be the major limiting factor for soil DEA in our study grassland. In the	Formatted: Subscript
427	mineral soil, only addition NO ₃ -N or glucose could not increase the soil DEA, but	Formatted: Subscript
428	addition NO ₃ -N and glucose together increased the soil DEA that means not only the	Formatted: Subscript
429	NO ₃ -N but also the carbon is the limited factor for soil DEA in mineral soil. The soil $/$	
430	DEA in the root-mat soil with NO ₃ -N addition and both NO ₃ -N and glucose addition had	
431	a significantly positive correlation with soil pH (P < 0.05 , Fig. 5). The soil pH is supposed	
432	to be a major variable of soil, controlling the microbial community in general and the	
433	community of denitrifiers in particular (Simek and Hopkins 1999). Simek and Hopkins	
434	(1999) detected an optimum pH value for denitrification in soils as a range from 7 to 8.	
435	Simek and Cooper (2002) reported that both the overall rates of denitrification under field	
	25	

2
3
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
5
6
7
0
8
9
9 10
11 12 13 14
12
12 13 14 15 16 17 18
13
14
15
16
17
17
18
19
20
21
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39
22
23
24
25
20
20
27
28
29
20
30
31
32
33
34
25
30
36
37
38
30
40
40
41
42
43
11
44
45
46
47
<u>⊿</u> 2
40
49
50
51
52
52
55
54
55
56
57
50
45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 55 56 57 58 60
59
60

436	conditions (i.e. the formation of N_2O , N_2 and NO and their subsequent emission) and
437	DEA were influenced by soil pH, and they were less in acidic soils than in neutral or
438	slightly alkaline soils. Ellis <i>et al.</i> (1998) observed that the production of N_2O decreased
439	with decreasing pH under anaerobic conditions through an incubation experiment. These
440	results suggest that the highest N_2O emission in the manure plot in our study was resulted
441	from the soil DEA that could have been controlled by the soil pH.
442	The application of chemical fertilizer significantly decreased the soil pH in the chemical
443	fertilizer plot than in the control plot. However, the soil pH in manure plot was not
444	significantly different with control plot. That maybe because higher pH of manure
445	(8.3-9.1) input decrease the effect of chemical fertilizer application on the soil pH. Soil
446	acidity is controlled by the amount of H^+ and Al^{3+} which is either contained in or
447	generated by the soil and soil components. According to Kirikae et al. (2001),
448	nitrification is a source of H^+ through two nitrification pathways of NH_4^+ origin and
449	organic N origin. They reported that the ratio of H^+ to NO_3^- was 2 in the pathway of NH_4^+
450	origin and 1 in the pathway of organic N origin. In the meanwhile, NO_3^- uptake by
451	vegetation was the sink of H^+ . Therefore, the organic N has less effect on H^+ production
452	than NH_4^+ -N. On the other hand, application of manure increased the value of cation
453	exchange capacity (CEC) compared to that of chemical fertilizer (Bulluck et al. 2002).

Deleted: manure application had no significant effect on t

The soils with a high CEC have a greater capacity to contain or generate sources of acidity. The soil pH is higher in soils with manure than that with chemical fertilizer as reported by several studies (Bulluck et al. 2002 and Gil et al. 2008). Conclusions Deleted: cumulative The application of both chemical fertilizer and manure to grassland stimulated the annual Deleted: 89 N_2O emission. The chemical fertilizer-induced EF (range: 0.85 - 1.32%) was Deleted: 33 significantly higher than the manure-induced EF (range 0.35 - 0.85%). However, annual N₂O emission was significantly higher in the manure plot than that in the chemical fertilizer plot. The soil DEA in the NO3-abundant root-mat layer significantly decreased with a decrease in soil pH. Moreover, application of chemical fertilizer could significantly decrease soil pH, but the manure application had no significant effect on soil Deleted: similar pH. Therefore, for the a fixed quantity of available N, application of manure could result in higher N₂O emission compared to chemical fertilizer owing to high soil pH values under manure application than under chemical fertilizer application. ACKNOWLENDGMENTS We would like to thank the technical staff of Shizunai Livestock Farm for their help in the field measurements. This study was partly supported by a research grant provided by the

Deleted: application of manure could result in higher N2O emission compared to chemical fertilizer owing to high pH values in manures.¶

2	
3	
1	
- E	
0	
4 5 6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
10	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	
22	
23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31	
24	
25	
26	
20	
21	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32 33 34 35 36 37 38	
33	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51 52	
52 53	
23	
54	
55	
56	
57	
58	
59	
60	

472 Project entitled 'Establishment of good practices to mitigate Greenhouse Gas emissions

- 473 from Japanese grasslands' funded by Racing and Livestock Association.
- 474

1

475 **REFERENCES**

- 476 Akiyama H, Tsuruta H 2003: Effect of organic matter application on N₂O, NO, and NO₂
- 477 fluxes from an Andisol field. *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*, **17**, 1-16.
- 478 Anon., 2004: Handbook of animal waste management and utilization in Hokkaido.
- 479 Hokkaido Prefectural Experiment Stations and Hokkaido Animal Research Center,
- 480 Sapporo, 64-67 (in Japanese).
- 481 Bouwman AF, Boumans LJM, Batjes NH 2002: Modeling global annual N₂O and NO
- 482 emissions from fertilized fields. *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*, **16**, 1080.
- 483 Brown CD, Fryer CJ, Walker A 2001: Influence of topsoil tilth and soil moisture status on
- 484 losses of pesticide to drains from a heavy clay soil. *Pest Management Science*, **57**,

485 1127-1134.

- 486 Bulluck III LR, Brosius M, Evanylo GK, Ristaino JB 2002: Organic and synthetic
- 487 fertility amendments influence soil microbial, physical and chemical properties on
- 488 organic and conventional farms. *Applied Soil Ecology*, **19**, 147-160.

3
4
5
6
0
7
8
9
10
11
12
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
∠ ı 20
22
23
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 7 8 9 21 22 24 25 6 7 8 9 31 23 33 33 35 23 35 25 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20
25
26
27
28
20
20
24
31
32
33
34
35
36 37
37
38
39 40
40
40 41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
- 50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
55

489	Chadwick DR, Pain BF, Brookman SKE 2000: Nitrous oxide and methane emissions
490	following application of animal manures to grassland. J. Environ. Qual., 29, 277-287.
491	Conrad R 1996: Soil microorganisms as controllers of atmospheric trace gases (H ₂ , CO,
492	CH ₄ , OCS, N ₂ O, and NO). <i>Microbiol. Rev.</i> , 60 , 609 - 640.
493	D'Haene K, Moreels E, Neve S, Daguilar BC, Boeckx P, Hofman G, Van Cleemput O
494	2003: Soil properties influencing the denitrification potential of Flemish agricultural
495	soils. Biol. Fertil. Soils., 38, 358-366.
496	Davidson EA 1992: Source of nitric oxide and nitrous oxide following wetting of dry soil.
497	Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J., 56 , 95-102.
498	Dobbie, KE, Smith KA 2003: Nitrous oxide emission factors for agricultural soil in Great
499	Brita: the impact of soil water-filled pore space and other controlling variables.
500	Global Change Biol., 9, 204-218.
501	Ellis S, Howe MT, Goulding WT, Mugglestone M.A, Dendooven, L 1998: Carbon and
502	nitrogen dynamics in a grassland soil with varying pH: effect of pH on the
503	denitrification potential and dynamics of the reduction enzymes. Soil Biol. Biochem.,
504	30 , 359-367.
	29

2
3
4
0
0
1
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
10
17
$\begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1$
19
20
21
22
23
24
27
20
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
24
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
43 44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
55
54
55
56
57
57 58 59

1

505 Gil MV, Carballo MT and Calvo LF 2008: Fertilization of maize with compost from

506 cattle manure supplemented with additional mineral nutrients. *Waste Management*,

28, 1432-1440.

508 Granli T and Bøckman OC 1994: Nitrous oxide from agriculture. Nor. J. Agric. Sci.,

509 *Suppl.*, **12**, 7-128.

- 510 Higashida S, and Takao K 1985: Seasonal fluctuation patterns of microbial numbers in
 511 the surface of a grassland. *Soil Sci. plant Nutr.*, **31**, 113-121.
- 512 IPCC 2006: Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories, prepared by the
- 513 National Greenhouse Gas Inventories Programme. Intergovernmental Panel on

514 Climate Change.

515 IPCC 2007: Climate changes 2007: The Physical Science Basis. Cambridge University

516 Press, Cambridge.

- 517 IUSS Working Group WRB 2006: World Reference Base for Soil Resources 2006.
- 518 World Soil Resources Reports 103, FAO, Rome.
- 519 Jones SK, Rees RM, Skiba UM, Ball BC 2007: Influence of organic and mineral N
- 520 fertiliser on N₂O fluxes from a temperate grassland. Agr. Ecosyst. Environ., **121**,

521 74–83.

Soil Science and Plant Nutrition

1	
2	
- 3 4 5 6 7 8	
5	
6	
7	
8 Q	
10	
11	
12	
13	
15	
16	
17	
18	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24 25	
26	
27	
28	
29 30	
9 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 20 21 22 24 25 26 27 28 9 30 31 22 30 31 22	
32	
33	
33 34 35 36 37	
36	
37	
38	
39 40	
41	
42	
43 44	
44 45	
46	
47	
48	
49 50	
51	
52	
53	
54 55	
56	
57	
58	
59 60	
00	

522	Kirikae M, Hatano R, Shibata H, Tanaka Y 2001: Analysis of proton generation and
523	consumption of forest surface soils in Hokkaido, northern Japan. Water, Air and Soil
524	<i>Pollution</i> , 130 , 697-702.
525	Kroeze C, Mosier AR, Bouwman AF 1999: Closing the global N_2O budget: A
526	retrospective analysis 1500 - 1994. Global Biogeochem. Cycles, 13, 1-8.
527	Linn DM, Doran JW 1984: Effect of water-filled pore-space on carbon-dioxide and
528	nitrous-oxide production in tilled and nontilled soils. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J., 48,
529	1267-1272.
530	Lipschultz F, Zafiriou OC, Wofsy SC, McElroy MB, Valois FW, Watson SW 1981:
531	Production of NO and N_2O by soil nitrifying bacteria. <i>Nature</i> , 294 , 641–643.
532	MacKenzie AF, Fan MX, Cadrin F 1997: Nitrous oxide emission as affected by tillage,
533	corn-soybean-alfalfa rotations and nitrogen fertilization. Can. J. Soil Sci., 77,
534	145-152.
535	McTaggart IP, Douglas JT, Clayton H, Smith KA 1997: Nitrous oxide emissions from
536	slurry and mineral nitrogen applied to grassland. In: Jarvis, S.C., Pain, B.F. (Eds.),
537	Gaseous Nitrogen Emission from Grasslands. CAB International, Wallingford, UK,
538	209-210.
	31

539	Meng L, Ding W, Cai Z 2005: Long-term application of organic manure and nitrogen
540	fertilizer on N_2O emissions, soil quality and crop production in a sandy loam soil. Soil
541	Biol. Biochem., 37 , 2037-2045
542	Mogge B, Kaiser EA, Munch JC 1999: Nitrous oxide emissions and denitrification
543	N-losses from agricultural soils in the Bornhoved Lake region: influence of organic
544	fertilizers and land-use. Soil Biol. Biochem., 31, 1245-1252.
545	Mori A, Hojito M, Shimizu M, Matsuura S, Miyaji T, Hatano R 2008: N_2O and CH_4
546	fluxes from a volcanic grassland soil in Nasu, Japan: Comparison between manure plus
547	fertilizer plot and fertilizer-only plot. Soil Sci. Plant Nutr., 54, 606-617.
548	Mosier A, Kroeze C, Nevison C, Oenema O, Seitsinger S, van Cleemput O 1998: Closing
549	the global N_2O budget: nitrous oxide emissions through the agricultural nitrogen
550	cycle. Nutr. Cycling Agroecosyst., 52 , 225-248.
551	Mu Z, Kimura SD, Toma Y, Hatano R 2008: Nitrous oxide fluxes from upland soils in
552	central Hokkaido, Japan. J. Environ. Oual., 20, 1312–1322.
553	Myhre G, Highwood EK, Shine KP, Stordal F 1998: New estimates of radiative forcing
554	due to well mixed greenhouse gases. Geophys. Res. Lett., 25, 2715-2718.
555	Parkin TB, Meisinger JJ 1989: Denitrification below the crop rooting zone as influenced
556	by surface tillage. J. Environ. Oual., 18, 12-16.
	32

Soil Science and Plant Nutrition

3		
4 5 6	557	Schils RLM, van Groenigen JW, Velthof GL, Kuikman PJ 2008: Nitrous oxide emissions
7 8 9	558	from multiple combined applications of fertiliser and cattle slurry to grassland. Plant
10 11	559	and Soil, 310 , 89-101.
12 13 14	560	Scott A, Ball BC, Crichton IJ, Aitken MN 2000: Nitrous oxide and carbon dioxide
15 16	561	emissions from grassland amended with sewage sludge. Soil Use Manage., 16,
17 18 19	562	36-41.
20 21 22	563	Sehy U, Ruser R, Munch JC 2003: Nitrous oxide fluxes from maize fields: relationship to
23 24	564	yield, site-specific fertilization, and soil conditions. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ., 99,
25 26 27	565	97-111.
28 29 30	566	Shiga H, Ohyama N, Maeda K, Suzuki M 1985: An evaluation of different organic
31 32	567	materials based on their decomposition pattern in paddy soils. <u>Res. Bull. Natl. Agric.</u>
33 34 35	568	Res. Cetr., 5, 1-19 (in Japanese with English summary).
36 37 38	569	Shimizu M, Marutani S, Desyatkin AR, Jin T, Hata H, Hatano R 2009: The effect of
39 40	570	manure application on carbon dynamics and budgets in a managed grassland of Formatted: Font: Italic
41 42 43	571	Southern Hokkaido, Japan. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ., 130, 31-40.
44 45 46	572	Simek M, Cooper JE 2002: The influence of soil pH on denitrification-progress towards
47 48	573	the understanding of this interaction over the last 50 years. European Journal of Soil
49 50 51	574	Science, 53 , 345-354.
52 53		33
54 55 56		
57 58 59		

1
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 32 4 25 26 27 28 9 30 132 33 45 36 37 80
20
21
27
22 22
20
24
20
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
5 0
51 52
52 53
53 54
54 55
55
56
57 58
58
59

575	Simek M, Hopkins DW 1999: Regulation of potential denitrification by soil pH in	
576	long-term fertilized arable soils. Biol. Fertil. Soils, 30, 41-47.	
577	Skiba U, Smith K.A 2000: The control of nitrous oxide emissions from agricultural and	
578	natural soils. Chemosphere Global Change Sci., 2, 379-386.	
579	Skiba U, Smith KA, Fowler D 1993: Nitrification and denitrification as source of nitric	
580	oxide and nitrous oxide in a sandy loam soils. Soil Biol. Biochem., 25, 1527-1536.	
581	Soil Survey staff 2006: Keys to Soil Taxonomy, 10th ed. USDA-Natural Resources	
582	Conservation Service, Washington DC.	
583	Soussana JF, Allard V, Pilegaard K 2007: Full accounting of the greenhouse gas (CO ₂ ,	
584	N ₂ O, CH ₄) budget of nine European grassland sites. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ., 121 ,	
585	121-134.	
586	Speir T, Ross DJ, Orchard VA 1984: Spatial Variability of biochemical properties in a	
587	taxonomically-uniform soil under grazed pasture. Soil Biol Biochem., 16, 153-160.	
588	Tiedje JM 1988: Ecology of denitrification and dissimilatory nitrate reduction to	
589	ammonium. In: Zehnder, A.J.B., (Ed.), Biology of Anaerobic Microorganisms,	
590	Wiley, New York, 179–244.	
591	Tiedje, JM, 1994: Denitrifiers. Methods of Soil Analysis, Part 2. Microbiological and	Deleted: , Deleted: .
592	Biochemical Properties. Soil Sci. Soc. Amer., Madison, Wisconsin, 245-267.	Deleted: .

594		
595	Figure captions	
596	Figure 1 Seasonal patterns of precipitation (a), WFPS <u>at 6 cm</u> depth (b), and soil	
597	temperature at 5 cm depth (c). Data of WFPS and soil temperature represent means \pm	
598	SD $(n = 4 \text{ to } 6)$.	
599	Figure 2 Seasonal patterns of soil N_2O fluxes (a) and soil NO fluxes (b). Data represent	
600	means \pm SD (n = 4 to 6). Full arrow indicates date of the chemical fertilizer	Deleted: The arrows indicate the date of fertilizer application.
601	application, and dotted arrow indicates date of manure application. The growing	
602	season was 215 days in 2005 (From 10 th April 2005 to 10 th November 2005), 218	
603	days in 2006 (From 15 th April 2006 to 18 th November 2006) and 220 days in 2007	
604	(From 13 th April 2007 to 18 th November 2007).	
605	Figure 3 Relationships between N_2O fluxes and the ratio of N_2O to NO.	
606	Figure 4 Seasonal patterns of Soil pH (a), soil NH_4^+ -N (b), soil NO_3^-N (c), and soil DOC	
607	(d) at a depth of 0 - 5 cm. Data represent means \pm SD (n = 3). Full arrow indicates	
608	date of the chemical fertilizer application, and dotted arrow indicates date of manure	
609	application. The arrows indicate the date of fertilizer application.	
610	Figure 5 Relationship between the soil DEA and the soil pH in root-mat soil.	
	26	
	36	

Page 37 of 53

1
2
3
4
5
6
0
1
8
9
10
11
11
12
13
14
15
16
10
17
$\begin{smallmatrix} 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 7 & 8 & 9 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1$
19
20
21
Z I
22
23
24
25
20
20
27
28
29
30
30
31
32
33
34
25
33
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
00

Page 4: [1] Deleted	Jin	11/25/2009 6:49:00 PM
We evaluated the effect of chem	nical fertilizer and manure app	plications on N ₂ O emission
from a managed grassland by es	stablishing three treatment plo	ots of chemical fertilizer,
manure, and control at the Shize	unai Experimental Livestock	Farm in southern Hokkaido,
Japan. Seasonal N_2O and NO fl	uxes were measured by a clos	sed-chamber method at 4 to 6
replications in each treatment pl	lot from May 2005 to April 20	008. Soil samples were
collected from a 0-5 cm top soil	layer at three replications on	each gas sampling date for
measuring pH, NO ₃ ⁻ -N, NH ₄ ⁺ -N	I, and DOC. Soil samples wer	re collected from the root-mat
layer (0-2.5cm) and the mineral	soil layer (2.5-5cm) of each	treatment plot in April, June,
and August 2007, which was fo	llowed by measuring soil den	itrifying enzyme activity
(DEA). The soil DEA was meas	sured by an acetylene inhibition	on method under the four
treatments with and without the	addition of NO ₃ ⁻ -N and gluce	ose. The cumulative N ₂ O
emission in control, chemical fe	ertilizer, and manure plots	ranged from 0.6 to 0.7, 1.4 to
3.0, and 2.1 to 4.9 kg N_2 O-N ha	¹ yr ⁻¹ , respectively. The applie	cation of both chemical
fertilizer and manure significant	tly increased the cumulative N	N ₂ O emission, and the highest
cumulative N ₂ O emission was o	observed in the manure plot. N	V ₂ O fluxes were positively
correlated with the N ₂ O:NO rati	to $(P < 0.01)$, indicating high I	N ₂ O fluxes resulting from the
increased denitrification activiti	es. The denitrification potenti	ial of the soil horizons was
significantly higher in the root-	mat soil than that in the miner	ral soil. The soil DEA in the
root-mat soil in NO ₃ ⁻ -N addition	n with and without the additic	on of glucose had a
significantly positive correlation	n with soil pH ($P < 0.05$). The	e soil pH was significantly
influenced by N fertilization, w	hich was significantly lower i	in the chemical fertilizer plot
than that in the control and man	ure plots. For the similar qua	ntity of available N,

application of manure could result in higher N₂O emission compared to chemical fertilizer owing to high pH values in manures.

Soil Science and Plant Nutrition

Formatted: Font: 12 pt

Formatted: Font: 12 pt

 Table 1 The applied date and the application rates of chemical fertilizer and manure during the study period.

		Fertilizer	Applica	tion rat	es (kg ha ⁻¹	¹)		
Treatment	Date	type	С	Ν	P_2O_5	K_2O		
	2005/5/11	Chemical fertilizer ^a	0	0	0	0		
	2005/7/4	Chemical fertilizer ^a	0	0	0	0		
Control	2006/5/9	Chemical fertilizer ^a	0	0	0	0		
Control	2006/7/10	Chemical fertilizer ^a	0	0	0	0		
	2007/5/12	Chemical fertilizer ^a	0	0	14	73		
	2007/7/5	Chemical fertilizer ^a	0	0	7	37		
	2005/5/11	Chemical fertilizer ^a	0	103	23	168		
	2005/7/4	Chemical fertilizer ^a	0	61	23	97		
	2006/5/9	Chemical fertilizer ^a	0	124	50	177		
Chemical fertilizer	2006/7/10	Chemical fertilizer ^a	0	59	18	97		
	2007/5/12	Chemical fertilizer ^a	0	49	14	73		
	2007/7/5	Chemical fertilizer ^a	0	25	7	37		
	2005/5/11	Manure ^b	5833	236	191	266	Deleted: 2	235
1	2005/7/4	Chemical fertilizer ^a	0	133	7	70		
	2006/5/9	Manure ^b	5958	310	212	167		
Manure	2006/5/9	Chemical fertilizer ^a		71	0	33		
	2006/7/10	Chemical fertilizer ^a	0	59	6	97		
	2007/5/12	Manure ^b	7714	331	342	336		
	2007/7/5	Chemical fertilizer ^a	0	21	0	0		

^a Chemical fertilizer is comprised of ammonium sulfate, ammonium phosphate,

potassium sulfate, and potassium magnesium sulfate.

^b Beef cattle manure with bedding litter was applied in the manure plot.

3
4
4 5 6
6
0
7 8 9 10
8
9
10
11
12
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
10
20
2U
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 31 32 33
20
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
20
30
37
38
39
34 35 36 37 38 39 40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
09

Source	df	Mean Square	F	P value,	Deleted: Sig
Season	1	179,974.04	17.521	0	
Year	2	13,990.75	1.362	0.258	
Treatment	2	39,922.53	3.887	0.022	
Season * Year	2	15,307.66	1.49	0.228	
Season * Treatment	2	38,549.47	3.753	0.025	
Year * Treatment	4	7,659.25	0.746	0.562	
Season * Year * Treatment	4	7,454.57	0.726	0.575	
Error	210	10,271.71			

16.7 (27.6)

36.5 (53.2)

50.6 (48.9)

4.7 (3.1)

7.4 (13.7)

3.5 (8.0)

Table 3 Mean N ₂ O flu	uxes from the control	, chemical fertili	zer, and manure	plots.
Saacan	Treatment	Mean N ₂ O flux	kes (ug N ₂ O-N n	$n^{-2}h^{-1}$)
Season	Treatment	2005	2006	2007
	Control	12.3 (12.7)	12.9 (15.23)	16.7
Growing season	Chemical fertilizer	85.3 (94.1)	83.9 (66.3)	36.5
	Manure	101.9 (102.1)	187.6 (301.6)	50.6
	Control	0.3 (0.6)	2.6 (6.0)	4.7 (3
Non-growing season	Chemical fertilizer	2.7 (2.3)	6.0 (11.4)	7.4 (1
	Manure	2.7 (3.9)	3.6 (5.1)	3.5 (8
Data represent means	(SD), n=4 to 6. We d	efined the crop §	growing season a	as a 7-c
moving average of da	ily air temperature ab	ove 5 °C and the	non-growing se	eason a
rest (Shimizu et al. 20	009). The growing sea	son was 215 day	s in 2005 (From	n 10 th A
2005 to 10 th November	er 2005), 218 days in 2	2006 (From 15 th	April 2006 to 1	8^{th}
November 2006) and	220 days in 2007 (Fro	om 13 th April 20	07 to 18 th Nover	nber 2

Formatted: Font: 12 pt

Deleted: .

Formatted: Font: 12 pt

D efined the crop growing season as a 7-day ove 5 °C and the non-growing season as the m son was 215 days in 2005 (From 10th April re 2006 (From 15th April 2006 to 18th om 13th April 2007 to 18th November 2007. Ν

\$				 Deleted:
Tractment	Cumulativ	e N ₂ O emissions (kg	$N_2O-N ha^{-1} yr^{-1})_{}$	 Deleted:
Treatment	2005	2006	2007	
Control ^a	0.7 (0.4)	0.6 (0.3)	0.7 (0.5)	
Chemical fertilizer ^b	2.8 (0.7)	3.0 (0.8)	1.4 (0.5)	

Data represent means (SD), n=4 to 6, and different small letters denote the significant

difference at the 0.05 level between each treatment.

Table 5 N_2O Emission factor for chemical fertilizer and manure (kg N_2O –N (kg N	
input) ⁻¹)	

		N ₂ O emission factor (%	b)
	2005	2006	2007
Chemical fertilizer	1.32 (0.43)	1.30 (0.44)	0.85 (0.97)
Manure	0.51 (0.42)	0.85 (0.89)	0.35 (0.23)

Data represent means (SD), n=4 to 6.

fluxes a	nd environ	mental fact	ors using th	ne whole da	ta			 Deleted:
	N ₂ O	Soil T.	WFPS	pН	NO ₃ ⁻	NH4 ⁺	DOC	
N ₂ O	<u>1</u> ,	. T			•	V	•	Deleted: 1
Soil T.	0.330 **	<u>1</u> ,	.		•		v	Deletedu I
WFPS	0.020	-0.288 **	<u>1</u> ,			V		<u></u>
pН	-0.117	-0.275 **	0.378 **	<u>1</u> ,		V	v	Deleted: 1
NO_3^-	0.307 **	0.378 **	-0.061	-0.201 *	<u>1</u> ,	V	T	 Deleted: 1
$\mathrm{NH_4}^+$	0.329 **	0.156 *	-0.048	-0.273 **	0.380 **	<u>1</u> ,	v	 Deleted: 1
DOC	-0.104	-0.173 *	-0.204 *	0.341 **	-0.282 **	-0.040	<u>1</u>	 Deleted: 1
*n<0.05	5; **p<0.01	l						<u></u>
p 10102								Deleted: 1
p 0000								

http://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/sspn

Soil Science and Plant Nutrition

 Table 7 Denitrification enzyme activity (DEA) of soil samples from three treatment

 plots of control, chemical fertilizer, and manure

Deleted: .

Deleted: s

				DEA	(mgN_2C))-N kg⁻¹h	-1)	
Date	Soil layer	Treatment	Contro	l plot	Che	mical	Manur	e plot
					fertiliz	zer plot		
		Chl	0.04	(0.04)	0.04	(0.01)	0.04	(0.02
	Root-mat	Chl+N	9.99	(1.07)	3.66	(0.50)	16.30	(2.98
		Chl+C	0.06	(0.01)	0.07	(0.02)	0.14	(0.07
2007/4/29		Chl+N+C	10.98	(6.71)	3.08	(0.49)	13.56	(3.16
		Chl	2.68	(3.84)	0.16	(0.11)	0.58	(0.34
	Mineral	Chl+N	0.52	(0.64)	0.16	(0.03)	1.56	(0.25
		Chl+C	0.02	(0.12)	0.22	(0.01)	0.07	(0.14
		Chl+N+C	1.00	(0.20)	2.21	(1.50)	1.97	(0.36
		Chl	0.15	(0.02)	0.01	(0.00)	0.10	(0.05
	Root-mat	Chl+N	14.05	(5.03)	2.63	(0.68)	15.05	(2.28
		Chl+C	0.08	(0.02)	0.02	(0.01)	0.13	(0.03
2007/6/11		Chl+N+C	9.47	(0.33)	4.66	(0.40)	17.35	(0.81
		Chl	0.09	(0.03)	0.07	(0.02)	0.71	(0.09
	Mineral	Chl+N	1.43	(0.00)	0.35	(0.10)	1.24	(0.55
		Chl+C	0.03	(0.00)	0.04	(0.01)	0.05	(0.14
		Chl+N+C	2.14	(0.21)	0.54	(0.15)	1.71	(2.15
		Chl	0.12	(0.05)	0.20	(0.06)	0.04	(0.01
	Root-mat	Chl+N	27.84	(3.87)	9.80	(0.18)	13.58	(1.83
		Chl+C	0.13	(0.06)	0.14	(0.05)	0.03	(0.02
2007/8/20		Chl+N+C	21.33	(0.23)	35.19	(37.29)	19.91	(1.73
		Chl	1.14	(0.31)	0.76	(0.37)	3.01	(0.20
	Mineral	Chl+N	1.34	(0.17)	0.55	(0.09)	2.49	(1.83
		Chl+C	0.37	(0.03)	0.64	(0.33)	1.03	(1.22
		Chl+N+C	2.92	(0.23)	0.83	(0.33)	7.65	(0.87

Data represent means (SD), n=3.

Table 8 ANOVA results for soil denitrification enzyme activity (DEA),

Deleted: .


Source	df	Mean Square	F	P value
Plot	2	94,796,698	3.351	0.058
Soil layer	1	991,237,940	35.040	0.000
Treatment	3	832,401,859	29.426	0.000
Plot * Soil layer	2	9,030,352	0.319	0.390
Plot * Treatment	6	53,775,775	1.901	0.174
Soil layer * Treatment	3	451,061,076	15.945	0.000
Plot * Soil layer * Treatment	5	25,358,833	0.896	0.258
Error	37	28,288,420		


Page 6: [1] Deleted Jin 11/24/2009 7:25:00 A 1 11/24/2009 7:25:00 A Page 6: [1] Deleted Jin 11/24/2009 7:24:00 A 0.330 ** 11/24/2009 7:24:00 A Page 6: [1] Deleted Jin 11/24/2009 7:24:00 A 0.020 11/24/2009 7:24:00 A 0.020 11/24/2009 7:24:00 A -0.102 11/24/2009 7:24:00 A -0.117 11/24/2009 7:24:00 A -0.117 11/24/2009 7:24:00 A 0.307 ** 11/24/2009 7:24:00 A 0.307 ** 11/24/2009 7:24:00 A 0.329 ** 11/24/2009 7:24:00 A 0.329 ** 11/24/2009 7:24:00 A 0.329 ** 11/24/2009 7:24:00 A -0.104 11/24/2009 7:24:00 A -0.104 11/24/2009 7:24:00 A -0.288 ** - Page 6: [2] Deleted Jin 11/24/2009 7:24:00 A -0.275 - - Page 6: [2] Deleted Jin 11/24/2009 7:24:00 A 0.378 ** - - Page 6: [2] Deleted Jin 11/24/2009 7:24:00 A 0.378 ** - - <tr< th=""></tr<>
Page 6: [1] Deleted Jin 11/24/2009 7:24:00 A 0.330 ** Page 6: [1] Deleted Jin 11/24/2009 7:24:00 A 0.020 In 11/24/2009 7:24:00 A Page 6: [1] Deleted Jin 11/24/2009 7:24:00 A -0.117 In 11/24/2009 7:24:00 A Page 6: [1] Deleted Jin 11/24/2009 7:24:00 A 0.307 ** In 11/24/2009 7:24:00 A Page 6: [1] Deleted Jin 11/24/2009 7:24:00 A 0.329 ** In 11/24/2009 7:24:00 A -0.104 Jin 11/24/2009 7:24:00 A Page 6: [2] Deleted Jin 11/24/2009 7:24:00 A -0.104 In 11/24/2009 7:24:00 A Page 6: [2] Deleted Jin 11/24/2009 7:24:00 A -0.288 ** In 11/24/2009 7:24:00 A -0.275 In 11/24/2009 7:24:00 A -0.275 Jin 11/24/2009 7:24:00 A Page 6: [2] Deleted Jin 11/24/2009 7:24:00 A -0.378 ** In 11/24/2009 7:24:00 A
Page 6: [1] Deleted Jin 11/24/2009 7:24:00 A 0.020 9age 6: [1] Deleted Jin 11/24/2009 7:24:00 A -0.117 9age 6: [1] Deleted Jin 11/24/2009 7:24:00 A Page 6: [1] Deleted Jin 11/24/2009 7:24:00 A 0.307 ** 9age 6: [1] Deleted Jin 11/24/2009 7:24:00 A 0.329 ** 9age 6: [1] Deleted Jin 11/24/2009 7:24:00 A 0.329 ** 9age 6: [2] Deleted Jin 11/24/2009 7:24:00 A -0.104 9age 6: [2] Deleted Jin 11/24/2009 7:24:00 A -0.104 9age 6: [2] Deleted Jin 11/24/2009 7:24:00 A -0.288 ** 9age 6: [2] Deleted Jin 11/24/2009 7:24:00 A -0.275 9age 6: [2] Deleted Jin 11/24/2009 7:24:00 A -0.275 9age 6: [2] Deleted Jin 11/24/2009 7:24:00 A -0.378 ** 9age 6: [2] Deleted Jin 11/24/2009 7:24:00 A
Page 6: [1] Deleted Jin 11/24/2009 7:24:00 A -0.117 Page 6: [1] Deleted Jin 11/24/2009 7:24:00 A Page 6: [1] Deleted Jin 11/24/2009 7:24:00 A 0.307 ** Page 6: [1] Deleted Jin 11/24/2009 7:24:00 A Page 6: [1] Deleted Jin 11/24/2009 7:24:00 A 0.329 ** Page 6: [1] Deleted Jin 11/24/2009 7:24:00 A -0.104 Page 6: [2] Deleted Jin 11/24/2009 7:24:00 A Page 6: [2] Deleted Jin 11/24/2009 7:24:00 A -0.288 ** Page 6: [2] Deleted Jin 11/24/2009 7:24:00 A -0.275 Jin 11/24/2009 7:24:00 A 0.378 ** Page 6: [2] Deleted Jin 11/24/2009 7:24:00 A 0.378 ** Jin 11/24/2009 7:24:00 A
-0.117 Page 6: [1] Deleted Jin 11/24/2009 7:24:00 A 0.307 ** Page 6: [1] Deleted Jin 11/24/2009 7:24:00 A 0.329 ** Page 6: [1] Deleted Jin 11/24/2009 7:24:00 A -0.104 Page 6: [2] Deleted Jin 11/24/2009 7:24:00 A 1 Page 6: [2] Deleted Jin 11/24/2009 7:24:00 A -0.288 ** Page 6: [2] Deleted Jin 11/24/2009 7:24:00 A -0.275 Page 6: [2] Deleted Jin 11/24/2009 7:24:00 A 0.378 ** Page 6: [2] Deleted Jin 11/24/2009 7:24:00 A
0.307 ** Page 6: [1] Deleted Jin 11/24/2009 7:24:00 A 0.329 ** Page 6: [1] Deleted Jin 11/24/2009 7:24:00 A -0.104 Page 6: [2] Deleted Jin 11/24/2009 7:24:00 A Page 6: [2] Deleted Jin 11/24/2009 7:24:00 A -0.288 ** Page 6: [2] Deleted Jin 11/24/2009 7:24:00 A -0.275 Jin 11/24/2009 7:24:00 A Page 6: [2] Deleted Jin 11/24/2009 7:24:00 A -0.378 ** Jin 11/24/2009 7:24:00 A Page 6: [2] Deleted Jin 11/24/2009 7:24:00 A
0.329 ** Jin 11/24/2009 7:24:00 A -0.104 Jin 11/24/2009 7:24:00 A Page 6: [2] Deleted Jin 11/24/2009 7:24:00 A -0.288 ** Jin 11/24/2009 7:24:00 A -0.275 Jin 11/24/2009 7:24:00 A Page 6: [2] Deleted Jin 11/24/2009 7:24:00 A -0.275 Jin 11/24/2009 7:24:00 A Page 6: [2] Deleted Jin 11/24/2009 7:24:00 A -0.378 ** Jin 11/24/2009 7:24:00 A
-0.104 Page 6: [2] Deleted Jin 11/24/2009 7:24:00 A 1 Page 6: [2] Deleted Jin 11/24/2009 7:24:00 A -0.288 ** Page 6: [2] Deleted Jin 11/24/2009 7:24:00 A -0.275 Page 6: [2] Deleted Jin 11/24/2009 7:24:00 A 0.378 **
1 Page 6: [2] Deleted Jin 11/24/2009 7:24:00 A -0.288 ** Page 6: [2] Deleted Jin 11/24/2009 7:24:00 A -0.275 Page 6: [2] Deleted Jin 11/24/2009 7:24:00 A -0.378 ** Page 6: [2] Deleted Jin 11/24/2009 7:24:00 A
-0.288 ** Page 6: [2] Deleted Jin 11/24/2009 7:24:00 A -0.275 Page 6: [2] Deleted Jin 11/24/2009 7:24:00 A 0.378 ** Page 6: [2] Deleted Jin 11/24/2009 7:24:00 A
-0.275 Page 6: [2] Deleted Jin 11/24/2009 7:24:00 A 0.378 ** Page 6: [2] Deleted Jin 11/24/2009 7:24:00 A
Page 6: [2] Deleted Jin 11/24/2009 7:24:00 A 0.378 ** Page 6: [2] Deleted Jin 11/24/2009 7:24:00 A
0.378 ** Page 6: [2] Deleted Jin 11/24/2009 7:24:00 A
Page 6: [2] Deleted Jin 11/24/2009 7:24:00 A -0.173 * -0.173 *
Page 6: [3] Deleted Jin 11/24/2009 7:25:00 A
Page 6: [3] Deleted Jin 11/24/2009 7:24:00 A 0.378 **
Page 6: [3] Deleted Jin 11/24/2009 7:24:00 A -0.061
Page 6: [3] Deleted Jin 11/24/2009 7:24:00 A -0.048
Page 6: [3] Deleted Jin 11/24/2009 7:24:00 A -0.204 *
Page 6: [4] Deleted Jin 11/24/2009 7:25:00 A 1
Page 6: [4] Deleted Jin 11/24/2009 7:25:00 A
-0.201 *

-0.273 **

Page 6: [4] Deleted	Jin	11/24/2009 7:25:00 AM
0.341 **		
Page 6: [5] Deleted	Jin	11/24/2009 7:25:00 AM
1		
Page 6: [5] Deleted	Jin	11/24/2009 7:25:00 AM
0.380 **		
Page 6: [5] Deleted	Jin	11/24/2009 7:25:00 AM
-0.282 **		
Page 6: [6] Deleted	Jin	11/24/2009 7:25:00 AM
1		
Page 6: [6] Deleted	Jin	11/24/2009 7:25:00 AM
0.040		

-0.040





3 4

6

