LMC 6650 Project Studio: Material, Care, Computer?

Spring 2021 Michael Nitsche Tue 12:30-3:15 online

office hours Wed 2-3 (TBC) phone 404 894 7000 michael.nitsche@gatech.edu

Overview

This course will relate to the fields of physical computing and tangible interaction design as they intersect with material culture and practices. It asks: How do we speak to stuff and how does stuff speak to us and what role can digital media and interaction design play in these conversations? The main concern of the class, thus, is how someone or something "relates." We will look for answers along a few key theme. One focus of this exploration will center on the principle of "care," which we will adapt from selected readings in feminist technoscience and new materialism. We, then, will investigate possible connections reaching into fields such as hybrid craft as well as design approaches such as critical fabulations and material experience design.

Our methods will combine theory with critical discussions, hands on exercises, design, and implementation of example cases. Students should be ready to discuss, produce, and critique theory as well as practices, design, and projects. This course aims to include individual practical final projects that should reflect the issues we discuss and the materials we encounter. The course will not enforce any particular technology but knowledge in prototyping tools and techniques from handcraft to Arduino are certainly valuable. The more it involves your hands as well as your minds, the better.

The class is online only. Students most likely will have to purchase materials and prototyping components for this course. All texts will be online.

Schedule

(note that changes are bound to happen)

Day	Topic	Projected reading
1/19	Intro to course	(Burrison; Turkle)
	Debating the syllabus and procedures (blog? Teams?) C I: Material culture – Turning in North GA	(Sameon, ramae)
	C II: PrimalClay	ASSIGNMENT: read Dunne and do the
	Activity	"narrative
	Objects and material culture	speculation"
1/26	Pre-discuss: syllabus settling	Syllabus stabilized
	DUE : collection of 500 word stories	Barad; Glanville
	Materials Relating > voices of materials	
	C I: "second order cybernetics" C II: material agency	ASSIGNMENT: sensory speculation

		T
	Activity/ Method: discuss in your group what your object "does"	
	Discuss: analytical tools?	
2/2	Materials Relating > body practices	Haraway
	DUE: Sensory Speculation	Höök Wilde/ Vallgarda/
		Tomico
	C I: Of critters and string figures C II: What is somaesthetic design/ embodied design ideation?	ASSIGNMENT: find example
	Activity/ Mathady amhadiad design ideation	
2/9	Activity/ Method: embodied design ideation Materials Relating > material collaborations	Ingold
2/9	Materials Relating > material collaborations	Ratto/ Hertz
	DUE: critical making example	Pye; (Risatti)
	C I: Is making a critical practice? C II: Is craft a critical practice? The value of "risk" and "need"	Rosner Karana
	Method I: Critical Fabulations Method II: material experience	
	Discussion/ Activity: what are possibilities to do a non- human-focused "relational analytical kit" > sketch ~3 exercises (this is ideation for an analytical tool kit)	ASSIGNMENT: Develop tools
2/16	DUE : Brief presentation of your exercise	Schechner
	Discussion: assembly of a first "relational analytical kit"	Simondon
	-	Richards
	Humans Relating > needs and performance (the	
	individual)	ASSIGNMENT: start
		looking for your
	C I: Performance and expression	material/ use the
	C. II. How do we individuated toward chicated	
	C II: How do we individuate? toward objects?	methods we explored
	C II: How do we individuate? toward objects?	
	Activity: a remote shared performance exercise	methods we explored so far (from fabulation to our own kit)
2/23	Activity: a remote shared performance exercise Humans Relating > "more than one critter"	methods we explored so far (from fabulation to our own kit) Lupton?
2/23	Activity: a remote shared performance exercise Humans Relating > "more than one critter" C I: Health	methods we explored so far (from fabulation to our own kit) Lupton? Clary-Lemon?
2/23	Activity: a remote shared performance exercise Humans Relating > "more than one critter" C I: Health C II: Social Justice	methods we explored so far (from fabulation to our own kit) Lupton? Clary-Lemon? Haraway again?
2/23	Activity: a remote shared performance exercise Humans Relating > "more than one critter" C I: Health	methods we explored so far (from fabulation to our own kit) Lupton? Clary-Lemon?
2/23	Activity: a remote shared performance exercise Humans Relating > "more than one critter" C I: Health C II: Social Justice C III: Environmental relating (Liu?)	methods we explored so far (from fabulation to our own kit) Lupton? Clary-Lemon? Haraway again?
2/23	Activity: a remote shared performance exercise Humans Relating > "more than one critter" C I: Health C II: Social Justice C III: Environmental relating (Liu?) Activity/ Discussion: how can we include human needs	methods we explored so far (from fabulation to our own kit) Lupton? Clary-Lemon? Haraway again?
2/23	Activity: a remote shared performance exercise Humans Relating > "more than one critter" C I: Health C II: Social Justice C III: Environmental relating (Liu?)	methods we explored so far (from fabulation to our own kit) Lupton? Clary-Lemon? Haraway again?

	Discussion: Humans Relating > "folklife"?	Dorson? Nitsche?
3/9	DUE: Own text/ project presentation	
3/16	Break	
3/23	DUE: Final Project Idea Discussion	
3/30	Work on project	
4/6	DUE : technical prototype of digital intervention (fundamental working but not yet in the actual condition)	
4/13	Work on project	
4/20	Work on project	
4/27	NOTE: this is in reading week DUE : final project presentation Discussion: Class reflection	

Grading and Main Deliverables

Assignment	Description	% of final grade
Narrative speculation	read Dunne; write a 500 word essay from the perspective of the chosen object (use only words) Do not tackle a speculative social issue but focus on the object at hand; center of this object and its current condition – the speculation is the transfer into this object, not the development of any social dystopia	5%
Sensory speculation	Adapt at least one of the analytical methods developed in class and conduct it; document the process; this is an exercise on sensory systems and how they relate to the object/ material you look at; what are the "right" ways to document here? his should not resolve into an academic reflective text; it might be more a collage (visual, acoustic, haptic) length: 2 pages	5%
Examples	Find at least one example of "critical making" and/ or "critical craft" projects; be ready to present briefly in class during discussions	5%
Develop tools	Pick one of the "relational exercises" we have identified and perform it; iterate and adjust the exercise as you perform it; document it; detail it; deliver it as a developed exercise back to class; others should be able to perform your optimized exercise with the help of your documentation only; length: ~ 3-4 pages (images, instructions)	10%

Own paper presentation	Presentation of self-selected research paper; pick a text that relates to your "problem" and bring it in relation to the discussions we have in class; this might be a text you are already familiar with; cover background; field, method, logic of the paper; critical review with clear argumentation; clarity; quality presentation (slides, delivery); ability to answer questions	15%
Material presentation	Critically reflect on the material you have chosen; put it in a range of contexts (historical, social, technological, environmental it will depend on the particular material you have picked); use the terminology and means introduced in the course; look out for: clarity of presentation and argument, use of terminology, and an effective rich and deep reflection of the material Analyze it using our "relational kit"	15%
Final project	Project idea presentation, final presentation; clarity and ambition of the concept; implementation; process (effective work over time); connection to the material relation; argument for the design and how does it relate to the texts discussed in class (and others); each project needs a short YouTube style video (~ 2 min) that explains its nature, evolution, and results	30%
Participation	active in discussions, active in example sessions; active in design meetings, teamwork, homework; activity and engagement in all meetings;	15%

No use of cell phones (including texting) in class.

100-90% = A 89-80% = B 79-70% = C 69- = D

Grading of individual pieces will be in percentage

Late submissions lead to automatic reductions of the grade unless a valid excuse is provided. Any 1 day delay, meaning anything after 5pm of the due day, will have 10% reduced from the grade; any 2 day delay will have 20% reduced, 3 day delays will not be accepted.

The Honor Code of Georgia Tech applies (see http://www.honor.gatech.edu/).

Inclusivity Statement

The Ivan Allen College of Liberal Arts supports the Georgia Institute of Technology's commitment to creating a campus free of discrimination on the basis of race, color, religion, sex, national origin, age, disability, sexual orientation, gender identity, or veteran status. We further affirm the importance of cultivating an intellectual climate that allows us to better understand the similarities and differences of those who constitute the Georgia Tech community, as well as the necessity of working against inequalities that may also manifest here as they do in the broader society.

Attendance

A student is allowed three excused absences. With the fourth absence, the student's overall grade will be lowered by 8% point, with the fifth an additional 8%, six absences are an automatic failure of the class.

If a student needs to miss a class, contact the instructor at least 24 hours in advance. If Institute Approved Absences collide with class times please contact the instructor in advance to make sure the workload can be distributed.

Expectations

Students are expected to have a working video camera and microphone to be able to engage in the synchronous lectures and in group meetings with instructors and teammates. Unless technical issues prevent a student from participating via video, the course will ask all students to share their video during discussion, supervision, and collaboration segments.

The course materials, assignments, and deliverables will be on canvas but we will assess which scheduling format works best for our needs.

This is an online only course with synchronous meeting times.

References

(selection)

Adamson, G. (2007). Thinking Through Craft. New York: Berg Publishers.

- Alfoldy, S. (Ed.) (2010). *NeoCraft: Modernity and the Crafts*. Halifax, CAN: The Press of the Nova Scotia College of Art and Design.
- Barad, K. (2007). *Meeting the Universe Halfway. Quantum Physics and the Entanglement of Matter and Meaning.* Durham; London: Duke University Press.
- Bennett, J. (2010). *Vibrant Matter. A political Ecology of Things*. Durham, London: The Duke University Press.
- Bennett, C. L., Rosner, D. K., & Taylor, A. S. (2020). *The care work of access.* Paper presented at the Proceedings of the 2020 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems.
- Bergström, J., Clark, B., Frigo, A., Mazé, R., Redström, J., & Vallgårda, A. (2010). Becoming materials: material forms and forms of practice. *Digital Creativity*, *21*(3), 155-172.
- Buechley, L., & Perner-Wilson, H. (2012). Crafting technology: Reimagining the processes, materials, and cultures of electronics. *ACM Trans. Comput.-Hum. Interact.*, 19(3), 1-21. doi:10.1145/2362364.2362369
- Burrison, J. A. (2008 (1983)). *Brothers in Clay. The Story of Georgia Folk Pottery*. Athens, GA; London: University of Georgia Press.
- Camere, S., & Karana, E. (2018). Experiential Characterization of Materials: toward a toolkit. In C. Storni, K. Leahy, M. McMahon, P. Lloyd, & E. Bohemia (Eds.), *Proceedings of the DRS Vol 4* (pp. 1685-1705). London, UK: Design Research Society.
- Chin, E. (2016). *My life with things: The consumer diaries*. Durham, NC: Duke University Press. Clary-Lemon, J. (2019). Gifts, Ancestors, and Relations: Notes Toward an Indigenous New Materialism. *Enculturation Journal*.
- Coelho, M., Poupyrev, I., Sadi, S., Vertegaal, R., Berzowska, J., Buechley, L., . . . Oxman, N. (2009). *Programming reality: from transitive materials to organic user interfaces.* Paper presented at the CHI'09 Extended Abstracts on Human Factors in Computing Systems.
- Coole, D., & Frost, S. (Eds.). (2010). *New Materialism. Ontology, Agency, and Politics*. Durham; London.

- Dorson, R. M. (Ed.) (1972). *Folklore and Folklife. An Introduction*. Chicago; London: The University of Chicago Press.
- Dunne, A., & Raby, F. (2013). *Speculative Everything. Design, Fiction, and Social Dreaming.* Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Frankjaer, R., & Dalsgaard, P. (2018). *Understanding Craft-Based Inquiry in HCI*. Paper presented at the DIS 2018, Hong Kong.
- Giaccardi, E., & Karana, E. (2015). Foundations of Materials Experience: An Approach for HCI.

 Paper presented at the Proceedings of the 33rd Annual ACM Conference on Human
 Factors in Computing Systems, Seoul, Republic of Korea.
- Gillespie, T., Boczkowski, P. J., & Foot, K. A. (Eds.). (2014). *Media Technologies. Essays on Communication, Materiality, and Society*. Cambridge, MA; London: The MIT Press.
- Glassie, H. (1999). Material Culture. Bloomington; Indianapolis: Indiana University Press.
- Haraway, D. J. (2016). *Staying with the Trouble. Making Kin in the Chthulucene*. Durham; London, UK: Duke University Press.
- Hardy, J. (2019). *How the Design of Social Technology Fails Rural America*. Paper presented at the Companion Publication of the 2019 on Designing Interactive Systems Conference 2019 Companion, San Diego, CA, USA. https://doi.org/10.1145/3301019.3323906
- Henare, A., Holbraad, M., & Wastell, S. (Eds.). (2007). *Thinking through Things. Theorising artefacts ethnographically*. London; New York: Routledge.
- Höök, K. (2018). Designing with the Body: Somaesthetic Interaction Design. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Ingold, T. (2008). When ANT meets SPIDER: Social theory for arthopods. In C. Knappett & L. Malafouris (Eds.), *Material Agency. Towards a Non-Anthropocentric Approach* (pp. 209-217). New York, NY: Springer.
- Ingold, T. (2009). The Texility of Making. Cambridge Journal of Economics, 34, 91-102.
- Ingold, T. (2013). *Making: Anthropology, Archaeology, Art and Architecture*. New York: Routledge.
- Karana, E., Pedgley, O., & Rognoli, V. (2015). On Materials Experience. *Design Issues, 31*(3), 16-27.
- Kaziunas, E., Klinkman, M. S., & Ackerman, M. S. (2019). Precarious Interventions: Designing for Ecologies of Care. *Proc. ACM Hum.-Comput. Interact.*, *3*(CSCW), Article 113. doi:10.1145/3359215
- Kuutti, K., & Bannon, L. J. (2014). *The turn to practice in HCI: towards a research agenda*. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems, Toronto, Ontario, Canada.
- Latour, B. (1996). On Actor-Network Theory: A few Clarifications. Soziale Welt, 47(4), 369-381.
- Liu, J., Byrne, D., & Devendorf, L. (2018). *Design for collaborative survival: An inquiry into human-fungi relationships*. Paper presented at CHI 2018 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems.
- Lupton, D. (2019). Toward a more-than-human analysis of digital health: inspirations from feminist new materialism. *Qualitative Health Research*, *29*(14), 1998-2009.
- Malafouris, L. (2013). *How Things Shape the Mind. A Theory of Material Engagement*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Miller, D. (Ed.) (2005). Materiality. Durham, London: Duke.
- Nimkulrat, N. (2012). Hands-On Intellect: Integrating Craft Practice into Design Research. *International Journal of Design, 6*(3), 1-14.
- Peters, J. D. (2015). *The Marvelous Clouds. Toward a Philosophy of Elemental Media*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Pierce, J., & Paulos, E. (2013). *Electric materialities and interactive technology*. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems.

- Ravetz, A., Kettle, A., & Felcey, H. (Eds.). (2013). *Collaboration through Craft*. London, UK; New York, NY: Bloomsbury.
- Richards, M. C. (1966). Centering. Middletown, CT: Weslyean University Press.
- Risatti, H. (2007). A Theory of Craft. Function and Aesthetic Expression. Chapel Hill: University of North Carolina.
- Rosner, D. (2018). *Critical Fabulations. Reworking the Methods and Margins of Design.*Cambridge, MA; London: The MIT Press.
- Sennett, R. (2008). The Craftsman. New Haven, CT; London: Yale University Press.
- Spuybroek, L. (2012). *The Sympathy of Things. Ruskin and the Ecology of Design.* Rotterdam, NL: NAi Publisher.
- Tsaknaki, V., Balaam, M., Ståhl, A., Sanches, P., Windlin, C., Karpashevich, P., & Höök, K. (2019). *Teaching Soma Design*. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the 2019 on Designing Interactive Systems Conference.
- Turkle, S. (Ed.) (2007). *Evocative Objects. Things we Think with*. Cambridge, MA, London: MIT Press.
- Valentine, L. (2011). Craft as a Form of Mindful Inquiry. *The Design Journal*, 14(3), 282-306.
- Wiberg, M. (2018). *The Materiality of Interaction. Notes on the Materials of Interaction Design.*Cambridge, MA; London: The MIT Press.
- Wilde, D., Vallgårda, A., & Tomico, O. (2017). *Embodied design ideation methods: analysing the power of estrangement.* Paper presented at the Proceedings of the 2017 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems.