



# DIGITAL ACCESS TO SCHOLARSHIP AT HARVARD

## Large Spinose Microfossils in Ediacaran Rocks as Resting Stages of Early Animals

The Harvard community has made this article openly available.  
[Please share](#) how this access benefits you. Your story matters.

<b>Citation</b>	Cohen, P. A., A. H. Knoll, and R. B. Kodner. 2009. Large spinose microfossils in Ediacaran rocks as resting stages of early animals. <i>Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America</i> 106, no.16: 6519-6524.
<b>Published Version</b>	<a href="https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0902322106">doi:10.1073/pnas.0902322106</a>
<b>Accessed</b>	February 17, 2015 7:08:59 PM EST
<b>Citable Link</b>	<a href="http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:2966792">http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:2966792</a>
<b>Terms of Use</b>	This article was downloaded from Harvard University's DASH repository, and is made available under the terms and conditions applicable to Other Posted Material, as set forth at <a href="http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:dash.current.terms-of-use#LAA">http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:dash.current.terms-of-use#LAA</a>

*(Article begins on next page)*

# Large spinose microfossils in Ediacaran rocks as resting stages of early animals

Phoebe A. Cohen<sup>a,1</sup>, Andrew H. Knoll<sup>b,1</sup>, and Robin B. Kodner<sup>c</sup>

Departments of <sup>a</sup>Earth and Planetary Sciences and <sup>b</sup>Organismic and Evolutionary Biology, Harvard University, 26 Oxford Street, Cambridge, MA 02138; and <sup>c</sup>Friday Harbor Laboratories, University of Washington, Friday Harbor, WA 98250

Contributed by Andrew H. Knoll, March 2, 2009 (sent for review December 9, 2008)

**Large (>100  $\mu\text{m}$ ), profusely ornamented microfossils comprise a distinctive paleontological component of sedimentary rocks deposited during the Ediacaran Period (635–542 million years ago). Smaller spinose fossils in Paleozoic rocks have commonly been interpreted as algal cysts or phycmata, but the Ediacaran populations differ from modern algal analogs in size, shape, ultrastructure, and internal contents. In contrast, cysts formed during the diapause egg-resting stages of many metazoans share features of size, ornamentation, and internal contents with large ornamented Ediacaran microfossils (LOEMs). Moreover, transmission electron microscopic observations of animal-resting cysts reveal a 3-layer wall ultrastructure comparable to that of LOEM taxa. Interpretation of these distinctive Ediacaran microfossils as resting stages in early metazoan life cycles offers additional perspectives on their functional morphology and stratigraphic distribution. Based on comparisons with modern marine invertebrates, the recalcitrant life stage represented by LOEMs is interpreted as an evolutionary response to prolonged episodes of bottom water anoxia in Ediacaran shelf and platform environments. As predicted by this hypothesis, the later Ediacaran disappearance of LOEM taxa coincides with geochemical evidence for a marked decline in the extent of oxygen-depleted waters impinging on continental shelves and platforms. Thus, the form, diversity, and stratigraphic range of LOEMs illuminate life cycle evolution in early animals as influenced by the evolving redox state of the oceans.**

acritarchs | Diapause egg cysts | origin of metazoans | paleoenvironment

**S**tratigraphically long-ranging prokaryotes and simple eukaryotic forms dominate a Proterozoic microfossil record nearly 2 billion years in duration. Viewed in this context, the Ediacaran radiation of large (generally >100  $\mu\text{m}$ ), often profusely-ornamented microfossils represents a major departure in the recorded history of life. Like the Ediacaran macrofossils of early animals (1), these distinctive microfossils first appeared in the wake of global glaciation and diversified over tens of millions of years. Unlike macroscopic Ediacaran fossils, however, large ornamented microfossils largely disappeared by  $\approx 560$  million years ago (Ma), if not earlier (2).

The Ediacaran microfossil radiation has been interpreted as an evolutionary response of protists to predation pressure from bilaterian animals, providing an indirect indication of early animal evolution (3). Yin et al. (4) (see also refs. 5 and 6), however, documented multicellular structures previously interpreted as early cleavage-stage animal embryos (7) inside large ornamented microfossils from *ca.* 580  $\pm$  20 Ma rocks in China. This discovery suggests that the Ediacaran radiation of large ornamented microfossils may instead provide a direct record of early metazoans with a resting stage in their life cycle.

In this article, we provide further morphological and ultrastructural evidence that animal resting cysts are well represented in the Ediacaran microfossil record and explore the consequences of this conclusion in terms of terminal Proterozoic evolution and environmental history.

## Large Ediacaran Microfossils: Systematic Interpretation

Large spheroidal microfossils, commonly with regularly arranged spines or other processes (large ornamented Ediacaran

microfossils, or LOEMs) were first reported from Ediacaran cherts of the Doushantuo Formation, China (8) and have since been recorded globally (9). These microfossils have organic walls, and most are much larger than comparable Paleozoic fossils (100 to >500  $\mu\text{m}$  in vesicle diameter, not including processes) (Fig. 1). Most also bear one to many spinose or branched processes distributed across vesicle surfaces. LOEMs are minor components of lower Ediacaran successions, but increase dramatically in both abundance and diversity at higher stratigraphic levels (2, 13). Where microfossils and carbon isotopic data are available for the same succession, LOEMs disappear within or just below an interval marked by a pronounced negative C-isotopic excursion tightly constrained by a  $551 \pm 0.7$  Ma U-Pb date near its top but only loosely bracketed from below by *ca.* 600–621 Ma detrital zircons (Fig. 2 and refs. 27, 32, and 33). Uppermost Ediacaran strata do not contain large ornamented microfossils; instead they are dominated by simple spheroidal forms (34). Ornamented organic-walled microfossils radiated anew in the Early Cambrian, but Paleozoic forms are generally <50  $\mu\text{m}$  in diameter (Fig. 1). Any hypothesis advanced to explain the biology and evolution of LOEM taxa must account for their distinctive features of morphology and stratigraphy.

**Evaluation of Candidate Relationships.** Variably-ornamented, organic-walled microfossils occur widely in Lower and Middle Paleozoic marine rocks. Called acritarchs, they are classified as problematica, but commonly interpreted as algae. More than a decade ago, however, van Waveren and Marcus (35) emphasized the morphological similarities between some of these fossils and diapause egg cysts produced by copepods and other animals.


Among extant phytoplankton groups, dinoflagellates and green algae include species that produce decay-resistant cell walls at some point in their life cycle. Resting stages with recalcitrant walls also occur in most major clades of animals, including the gemmules of sponges, cnidarian podocysts, and the egg and diapause cysts of diverse bilaterian metazoans (10, 36–38). Other protists are known to produce recalcitrant cysts, but to the best of our knowledge, none provide a close match for the Ediacaran fossils under consideration. Green algae, dinoflagellates, and animals, then, provide the principal actualistic comparisons to LOEM taxa. Observable characters that can be used to evaluate hypotheses of systematic relationship include size, shape, ultrastructure, internal contents, and, in principle, wall chemistry.

A cardinal feature of LOEMs is their size. More than 80% of described species have diameters >100  $\mu\text{m}$ , and half exceed 200  $\mu\text{m}$  (Fig. 1). This immediately casts doubt on dinoflagellate affinities, because most modern and fossil dinocysts are 30–80  $\mu\text{m}$  in diameter; dinocysts >120  $\mu\text{m}$  are rare, and examples >200  $\mu\text{m}$  are unknown (39, 40). [Diffusion within cells and through the

Author contributions: P.A.C. and A.H.K. designed research; P.A.C. and R.B.K. performed research; P.A.C., A.H.K., and R.B.K. analyzed data; and P.A.C. and A.H.K. wrote the paper.


The authors declare no conflict of interest.

<sup>1</sup>To whom correspondence may be addressed. E-mail: pacohen@fas.harvard.edu or aknoll@oeb.harvard.edu.



**Fig. 1.** Size frequency distributions of Ediacaran and Cambrian acritarch species, with modern analog ranges. Data are from refs. 7–26.

boundary layer limits cell size in extant phytoplankton that lack large vacuoles; very likely similar biophysical factors limited algal cell size in the past (41).] Moreover, at least some large Ediacaran microfossils preserve multicellular contents (4, 5, 11), an observation inconsistent with dinoflagellate life cycles (42). The interpretation of LOEM taxa as dinocysts also runs afoul of evidence from lipid biomarkers; diagnostically dinoflagellate steranes are rare in Ediacaran bitumens and do not become common until the Mesozoic Era, coincident with the appearance




**Fig. 3.** Morphologies of LOEM taxa and a modern analog. (A) SEM of resting cyst, modern arthropod *Brachinella longirostris*. (B) *Alicesphaeridium* sp., Vychegda Formation, northern Russia. (C) LOEM microfossil, Doushantuo Formation, China. (D) LOEM microfossil, Officer Basin, Australia. (E) LOEM microfossil, Kursovsky Formation, Siberia. (Scale bar: 100  $\mu\text{m}$  for A and D; 200  $\mu\text{m}$  for B and C; 150  $\mu\text{m}$  for D and E.)


of abundant, morphologically-diagnostic dinoflagellate microfossils (43, 44).

Prasinophytes, phytoflagellates that form a paraphyletic base to the green algal tree, include species that make reproductive structures called phycmata, which can be up to 500  $\mu\text{m}$  in diameter (Fig. 1). Prasinophyte phycmata occur as microfossils in Phanerozoic shales, and Arouri et al. (45) have documented distinctively phycmate ultrastructure in a large spheroidal microfossil from Ediacaran strata in Australia. No known living or fossil prasinophyte, however, produces spinose structures comparable to those in Ediacaran rocks. In contrast, chlorophycean zygospores and the resting cysts of some other derived green algae may bear spinose or branched processes, but are much smaller than LOEMs (an order of magnitude in diameter; hence, 3 orders of magnitude by volume). Arouri et al. (46) identified aliphatic polymers called algaenans in the walls of some LOEMs, and on this basis they suggested green algal relationships; however, in the modern biota, algaenan production is largely limited to 2 specific clades of nonmarine green algae, and there is reason to believe that at least some of the algaenans in ancient marine rocks originated during diagenesis (47).

The resting stages of invertebrate eggs and embryos encompass the full range of sizes observed in LOEM taxa (Fig. 1) and display a comparable range of morphologies (Fig. 3). Indeed, among extant organisms, metazoans are the only group known to produce preservable structures that match LOEM taxa in both size and morphology. We do not argue that cysts of living animals provide a precise systematic guide to LOEMs; available evidence suggests that Ediacaran animals included stem group metazoans, eumetazoans, and bilaterians only broadly related to extant metazoans (7). We are more confident, however, in making the converse argument. If found as fossils, the diapause stages produced by living animals would be assigned to genera erected for the description of Ediacaran microfossils. Comparisons based solely on external morphology and size are incomplete, however, because of potential convergence. Thus, we turn to



**Fig. 2.** Stratigraphic relationships of major events in Ediacaran oceans. Dates are indicated by: # (28), \* (27), ^ (29), and & (30). Carbon isotope data are from refs. 2, 27, and 31. Geochemical data suggesting oxygenation of the oxygen minimum zone are bracketed by the beginning and end of the so-called Shuram event, the large negative C isotopic anomaly within the Ediacaran Period (2, 76, 77, 81).



**Fig. 4.** Comparison of a modern algal analog and Ediacaran smooth-walled microfossil. (A) *Halosphaera* sp. phycoma from Puget Sound. (B) TEM of *Halosphaera* sp. phycoma. I indicates inside of phycoma. (C) Ediacaran *Leiosphaera* sp. (D) TEM Ediacaran *Leiosphaera* sp. (Scale bars: A and C, 50  $\mu$ m; B and D, 500 nm.)

ultrastructure to evaluate further the systematic relationships between LOEM fossils and their extant analogs.

**Insights from Ultrastructure.** Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) provides a strong test of proposed systematic affinities. For example, the walls of some prasinophyte phycomata, including the genera *Pachysphaera*, *Halosphaera*, and *Cymbomonas*, have a distinctive ultrastructure marked by radially-oriented punctae (refs. 48–50 and Fig. 4 A and B) that, as noted above, has been recorded in large sphaeromorphic microfossils from Ediacaran rocks (45). Other Ediacaran sphaeromorphs, however, preserve ultrastructural features incompatible with a prasinophyte interpretation (Fig. 4 C and D and see also ref. 51), as do some sphaeromorphs from both older and younger rocks (52, 53).

TEM observations show that some LOEMs preserve a complex wall structure, with discrete layers of varying electron density (45, 54). We analyzed additional LOEM specimens, with similar results, seen clearly in *Gyalosphaeridium* sp. from the Ediacaran Officer Basin of Australia (Fig. 5A). This specimen shows an electron-dense outer layer that extends outward to form processes (Fig. 5 B and C), a fibrous and electron-tenuous middle layer, and a thin, electron-dense inner layer. Preserved as an organic-walled structure in siliciclastic sediments, this specimen and others like it represent only the recalcitrant outer wall of a resting stage. The ultrastructure of *Gyalosphaeridium* sp., however, is consistent with taphonomic pattern displayed by silicified LOEMs, which commonly show the diagenetic separation of an outer, process-bearing layer from the internal wall and sometimes preserve internal contents lost to decay in organic-walled forms (4–6, 11).

The ultrastructure of examined LOEM taxa clearly differentiates them from punctate phycomata, and, despite having multiple layers, it does not closely resemble the trilaminar ultrastructure of other green algal walls (55). How, though, does it compare with the resting cysts of animals? To address this

question, we imaged diapause cysts of the brine shrimp *Branchinella longirostris* (Fig. 5D). Under TEM, the cyst wall exhibits a thin, electron-dense outer layer from which processes arise (Fig. 5E Inset), a thicker, more electron-tenuous and fibrous middle layer (Fig. 5F), and an inner layer, similar in electron density to the outer wall but thinner. Comparable ultrastructure has been documented for other arthropods (56, 57). Like its external morphology, then, the ultrastructure of *B. longirostris* compares closely with those of observed LOEM taxa (Fig. 3).


**Systematic Conclusions.** Based on available evidence from size, morphology, ultrastructure, and internal contents, the most compelling interpretation of LOEM taxa is that they are metazoan resting stages. We do not claim that all early animals had a resting stage in their life cycle; taphonomic experiments (58) indicate that cyst-forming animals should be differentially well-represented in the fossil record. Nor do we claim that all LOEMs must be metazoan cysts. Not all have close morphological counterparts among living animals, although the stratigraphic coherence of these fossils makes such a view tenable. Certainly, however, species assigned to such genera as *Alicesphaeridium*, *Appendisphaera*, *Cerionopora*, *Gyalosphaeridium*, *Ericiasphaera*, *Dicrospinosphaera*, *Sinosphaera*, *Tanarium*, *Tianzhushania*, *Tae-digerasphaera*, and *Vidalia* have attributes of size, shape, and, where known, ultrastructure and internal contents consistent with interpretation as metazoan cysts. Although these are undoubtedly only a subset of early to mid-Ediacaran animal diversity, they form the most diverse record of early animals currently available.

Large spheroidal microfossils that are unornamented (e.g., Fig. 4 C and D) may also include animal cysts, although this interpretation must be evaluated on a case-by-case basis using TEM. We note that large organic-walled microfossils with regularly-arranged processes on the vesicle surface are rare in pre-Ediacaran assemblages, with only a single instance documented from Mesoproterozoic shales (59). *Shuiyousphaeridium maeroreticulatum* exhibits regularly-arranged cylindrical processes that flare outward; its ultrastructure, however, is distinct from those of LOEMs (52).

Cyst formation has been documented in freshwater choanoflagellates (60), but these differ markedly from animal cysts in both size (a few microns) and shape (flask-shaped). Both molecular clocks (32, 33) and biomarker molecules indicate that sponges had evolved by the time the Marinoan glaciation ended (61); thus, LOEM diversification corresponds to the time of initial animal divergence and likely documents the diversification of stem and early crown group metazoans.

### Implications for Ediacaran Evolution and Environmental Change

Peterson and Butterfield (3) interpreted Ediacaran microfossil morphologies as a defensive response to the evolution of cell-ingesting animal predators. Such an interpretation is plausible, but has at least 2 weaknesses. First, the spines-as-defense hypothesis is explicitly predicated on the assumption that protistan cells were not subject to predation before the evolution of eumetazoans. Many protists feed primarily on bacteria or small organic particles, but the ingestion of whole eukaryotic cells is also widespread. Indeed, testate amoebae, planktonic foraminifera, and the large ciliate *Stentor* all have been observed to capture and digest small animals and other protists (62–65). Thus, to the extent that spinose cysts are protective, they might well have been required as defense against protistan predators that were present in the oceans long before the Ediacaran Period (66). Spines and other ornamentation that effectively increase cell size may actually provide better protection against protistan predators than they do against invertebrates, especially if early



**Fig. 5.** Comparison of a LOEM fossil and a modern crustacean analog. (A–C), *Gyalosphaeridium* sp. (A) Light micrograph. (B and C) TEM. (D–F) *Branchinella longirostris*. (D) SEM. (E) TEM. (Inset) Hollow process. (F) TEM of outer wall. (Scale bars: A and D, 100  $\mu$ m; B and C, 500 nm; E, 4  $\mu$ m; F, 200 nm.)

animals were filter feeders that would have more easily entrapped ornamented forms. When offered dinoflagellates in feeding experiments, copepods choose unencysted prey over encysted prey, but do not distinguish among cysts with differing external morphologies (67). In many cases, encysted dinoflagellates and diatoms simply pass through the gut of predators unharmed (67, 68), which suggests that whereas encystment itself may be a useful strategy for avoiding predation, resource-costly spines are not necessarily so.

The second weakness of the spines-as-defense hypothesis is stratigraphic: LOEM taxa disappear at about the time when trace and body fossil evidence of bilaterian animals first appears (28, 69). That is, just when LOEMs should become most useful as defense against cell ingesting metazoans, they exit the record (Fig. 2).

If LOEM taxa are interpreted as metazoan, why might early animals have evolved the capacity to encyst? Along modern shorelines, many species produce encysted resting stages that accumulate in sediments (70). Resting stages that have been studied in detail have resistant multilayered walls, contain abundant lipids as metabolic reserves, and have highly suppressed metabolic rates (67). Although predation pressure can induce cyst formation in modern lakes (71), encystment in marine settings often occurs in response to physical rather than biological challenges. For example, observations of marine copepods show that anoxia can be a factor in the induction of a diapause stage, causing females to switch production from subitaneous (immediately hatching) to resting eggs (72, 73). Such resting stages can settle into marine sediments and remain for years or even decades before activating their ontogeny (71, 74). Experimental data show that hypoxia completely inhibits hatching in a number of animals; when returned to normoxic conditions after an interval of months to years, the same eggs hatch with high rates of viability (75–77). Modern studies, thus, show that deleterious environmental conditions are a powerful factor in inducing diapause in marine organisms. Additionally, tapho-

nomic experiments on *Artemia* diapause cysts show that resting stages have high preservation potential (58). In summary, then, modern comparisons indicate the physical environment may have played a role in the appearance of LOEM taxa in Ediacaran oceans and emphasize the fossilization potential of such recalcitrant ontogenetic stages.

Considering these data, we suggest that some early animals had a protective resting stage in their life cycles to accommodate variable and potentially-lethal environmental conditions, including anoxia. A resting stage would be highly adaptive where the probability was high that broadcast eggs would land in an environment unfavorable for growth. Like the spines-as-defense hypothesis, our view is predicated on function, but a different and well-established function of cysts in modern environments. It is linked to animal evolution directly in terms of life cycle dynamics, as opposed to indirectly through ecology. Moreover, our hypothesis provides a direct link to environmental history of the oceans. Although the first appearance and radiation of LOEMs reflect, in this view, early animal diversification in unstable and commonly unfavorable environments, their later Ediacaran disappearance finds ready explanation in the increased oxygenation of the bottom waters that covered marine shelves and platforms. The loss of LOEM fossils coincides stratigraphically with geochemical data from C and S isotopes, Fe speciation chemistry, and Mo abundances in shales that collectively indicate the oxygenation of previously widespread anoxia in the oxygen minimum zones of the world's oceans (2, 78–80). Thus, the predictions this hypothesis makes about the stratigraphic relationship between LOEM fossils and geochemical records of Ediacaran environmental evolution are borne out by integrated geochemical and micropaleontological data.

In light of the above observations, it seems clear that early metazoans had to contend with water column redox conditions marked by pronounced spatial and temporal variation through all phases of their life cycles. The development of a protected egg

or embryo stage that could withstand adverse conditions would have enabled early animals to survive through protracted intervals of seafloor anoxia, only reactivating development when ambient waters or sediments transitioned to more favorable conditions. The time scales on which these transitions may have occurred can also account for the large size of LOEM taxa. In modern marine invertebrates, larger egg size enables organisms to survive in resting stages for longer periods of time, because larger size enables enhanced storage of the lipids required to maintain a highly suppressed but still active metabolism (81). Viewed physiologically, then, the size of LOEM taxa could reflect a need to maintain resting metabolism over potentially long periods of time.

Our hypothesis also has the potential to explain the highly ornamented nature of LOEM taxa. The majority of modern marine resting stages show sculpted or spiny coverings, in contrast to subitaneous eggs, which rarely have ornamentation (70). Thus, in modern groups, spines appear to play a role in survival in the marine sedimentary environment. One possible role could be that during episodic disturbance of the sediment cysts with spines will stay suspended above the sediment-water interface longer than settling sediments, allowing organisms to perceive changes in water column conditions that may induce excystment (70). In modern environments, spinose cyst morphologies commonly record a life history response to the physical environment, and by analogy or homology, LOEM taxa may record the same life history strategy in Ediacaran animals.

## Conclusions

The recognition that some Ediacaran microfossils were metazoan prompts the question of whether animal cysts may lurk among the diverse acritarchs in Paleozoic rocks. It has been noted that Paleozoic acritarch diversity mirrors the diversification of marine invertebrates, a correspondence generally interpreted in terms of trophic interactions (82, 83). Without question, algal microfossils are present in Paleozoic acritarch assemblages, but only careful TEM imaging will establish to what extent Paleozoic acritarchs provide direct versus indirect indications of animal diversity, and whether the later Paleozoic collapse of acritarch diversity records extinction among primary producers, life-cycle alterations in response to a long-lasting state change in marine redox profiles, or a combination of the two.

In any event, multiple lines of evidence support the hypothesis that some large, ornamented, organic walled microfossils in

Ediacaran sedimentary rocks record resting stages of early animals. This conclusion leads to the hypothesis that LOEM taxa are a life stage evolved by early animals in response to challenging environmental factors faced by organisms in Ediacaran seas. The disappearance of LOEMs coincides with geochemical evidence for widespread oxygenation of the seafloor, removing a major impetus for resting stage formation. In this view, true biological extinction may not have governed the Ediacaran microfossil record; the disappearance of LOEM taxa could reflect life-cycle evolution in early animals. The pattern of LOEM diversity observed in Ediacaran rocks may, thus, be a combination of true taxonomic changes and an evolutionary and physiological response to dramatic transitions in the biogeochemical conditions of the world's oceans.

## Materials and Methods

All fossil samples used for ultrastructural analysis are from the Giles 1 core, Officer Basin, Australia, meter levels 430 and 427.6. Nine specimens, 6 acanthomorphic and 3 smooth-walled, were examined by TEM. Information on locality and stratigraphy can be found in ref. 84. Fossils were macerated directly from core samples at the Harvard University Botanical Museum according to the methods in ref. 85. *Halosphaera* phycomata were collected in January and February, 2006, from northern Puget Sound, Washington and were fixed in 2% gluteraldehyde in sea water and transferred to distilled water through a series of washes with decreasing ratios of sea water/distilled water in 20% increments. Samples were then postfixed in 2% osmium tetroxide for 1 h at 4 °C, then washed with distilled water and stored at 4 °C. For TEM, both fossil and modern samples were dehydrated with ethanol in successive 20% increasing steps for 1 h at each step. Samples were embedded in a mixture of 50%–50% Epon epoxy and ethanol for 1 h, 30%–70% for 12–24 h, then 100% Epon for 1 h under vacuum. Samples were embedded in a thin film and hardened in a 60 °C oven for 12–24 h. Specimens were cut out and remounted on blank capsules for microtoming with a diamond knife and mounted on copper or Formvar-coated grids. Grids were stained by using uranyl acetate and lead citrate to improve contrast and examined with a JEOL 2100 TEM or a Zeiss SupraVP 5-TEM.

**ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.** We thank Nancy Marcus, Phillip Donoghue, and Shuhai Xiao for constructive reviews, Margaret Cloughlin for technical help, and Vladimir Sergeev, Natasha Vorobyeva, Brian Timms, Kath Gray, and members of the University of Washington Friday Harbor Laboratories for assistance with samples. This work was supported by the National Science Foundation Graduate Research Fellowship Program (P.A.C.), a Paleontological Society Gould Student Research Grant (to P.A.C.), and National Science Foundation Grant EAR-0420592 (to A.H.K.). This work was performed in part at the Harvard Center for Nanoscale Systems, which is supported by National Science Foundation Award ECS-0335765.

- Narbonne G (2005) The Ediacara Biota: Neoproterozoic origin of animals and their ecosystems. *Annu Rev Earth Planet Sci* 33:421–442.
- McFadden KA, et al. (2008) Pulsed oxidation and biological evolution in the Ediacaran Doushantuo Formation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 105:3197–3202.
- Peterson KJ, Butterfield NJ (2005) Origin of the Eumetazoa: Testing ecological predictions of molecular clocks against the Proterozoic fossil record. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 102:9547–9552.
- Yin L, et al. (2007) Doushantuo embryos preserved inside diapause egg cysts. *Nature* 446:661–663.
- Yin C, Bengtson S, Yue Z (2004) Silicified and phosphatized *Tianzhushania*, spheroidal microfossils of possible animal origin from the Neoproterozoic of South China. *Acta Palaeontol Pol* 49:1–12.
- Xiao S, Knoll AH (2000) Phosphatized animal embryos from the Neoproterozoic Doushantuo Formation at Weng'an, Guizhou, South China. *J Paleontol* 74:767–788.
- Hagadorn J, et al. (2006) Cellular and subcellular structure of Neoproterozoic animal embryos. *Science* 314:291–294.
- Yin L, Li Z (1978) Precambrian microfossils of Southwest China. *Nanjing Inst Geol Paleontol Mem* 104:1–102.
- Grey K (2005) Ediacaran palynology of Australia. *Mem Assoc Australasian Palaeontol* 31:1–439.
- Hill R, Shepard W (1997) Observations on the identification of California anostracan cysts. *Hydrobiologia* 359:113–124.
- Zhang Y, Yin L, Xiao S, Knoll AH (1998) Permineralized fossils from the terminal Proterozoic Doushantuo Formation, South China. *Paleontol Soc Mem* 50:1–52.
- Willman S, Moczydlowska M, Grey K (2006) Neoproterozoic (Ediacaran) diversification of acritarchs: A new record from the Murnaroo 1 drillcore, eastern Officer Basin, Australia. *Rev Palaeobot Palynol* 139:17–39.
- Vorob'eva NG, Sergeev VN, Knoll AH (2009) Diverse Ediacaran microfossils from the margin of the East European Platform. *J Paleontol* 83:161–196.
- Perron FE, Carrier RH (1981) Egg size distributions among closely related marine invertebrate species: Are they bimodal or unimodal? *Am Nat* 118:749–755.
- Parke M, Boalch G, Jowett R, Harbour D (1978) The genus *Pterosperma* (Prasinophyceae): Species with a single equatorial ala. *J Mar Biol Assoc* 58:239–276.
- Parke M, Hartog-Adams I (1965) Three species of *Halosphaera*. *J Mar Biol Assoc* 45:537–558.
- Moczydlowska M, Vidal G (1988) Early Cambrian acritarchs from Scandinavia and Poland. *Palynology* 12:1–10.
- Moczydlowska M (1998) Cambrian acritarchs from Upper Silesia, Poland: Biochronology and tectonic implications. *Fossils Strata* 46:1–121.
- Moczydlowska M (1991) Acritarch biostratigraphy of the Lower Cambrian and the Precambrian-Cambrian boundary in southeastern Poland. *Fossils Strata* 29:1–127.
- Marcus NH (1990) Calanoid copepod, cladoceran, and rotifer eggs in sea-bottom sediments of northern Californian coastal waters: Identification, occurrence, and hatching. *Mar Biol* 105:413–418.
- Havenhand J (1993) Egg to juvenile period, generation time, and the evolution of larval type in marine invertebrates. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 97:247–260.
- Hagenfeldt SE (1989) Lower Cambrian acritarchs from the Baltic Depression and south-central Sweden, taxonomy and biostratigraphy. *Stockholm Contri Geol* 41:1–176.
- Downie C (1982) Lower Cambrian acritarchs from Scotland, Norway, Greenland, and Canada. *Trans R Soc Edinburgh* 72:257–265.
- Di Milia A (1991) Upper Cambrian acritarchs from the Solanas Sandstone Formation, central Sardinia, Italy. *Boll Soc Paleontol Italiana* 30:127–152.
- Castellani C, Lucas I (2003) Seasonal variation in egg morphology and hatching success in the calanoid copepods *Temora longicornis*, *Acartia clausi*, and *Centropages hama-tus*. *J Plankton Res* 25:527–537.

26. Albani R, Massa D, Tongiorgi M (1991) Palynostratigraphy (acritarchs) of some Cambrian beds from the Rhadames (Ghadamis) Basin (western Libya - southern Tunisia). *Boll Soc Paleontol Italiana* 30:255–280.
27. Condon D, et al. (2005) U-Pb Ages from the Neoproterozoic Doushantuo Formation, China. *Science* 308:95–98.
28. Martin MW, et al. (2000) Age of Neoproterozoic bilaterian body and trace fossils, White Sea, Russia: Implications for metazoan evolution. *Science* 288:841–845.
29. Bowring S, Schmitz M (2003) High-precision U-Pb zircon geochronology and the stratigraphic record. *Rev Mineral Geochem* 53:305–326.
30. Amthor J, Grotzinger J, Schroder S, Bowring S (2003) Extinction of *Cloudina* and *Namacalathus* at the Precambrian-Cambrian boundary in Oman. *Geology* 31:431–434.
31. Halverson G, Hoffman P, Schrag D, Maloof A (2005) Toward a Neoproterozoic composite carbon-isotope record. *Bull Geol Soc Am* 117:1181–1207.
32. Bowring SA, et al. (2009) Reply to comment: Oman chronostratigraphy. *Am J Sci* 309:91–96.
33. Le Guerroue E, Rieu R, Cozzi A (2009) Comment: Oman chronostratigraphy. *Am J Sci* 309:85–90.
34. Knoll AH, Javaux EJ, Hewitt D, Cohen P (2006) Eukaryotic organisms in Proterozoic oceans. *Philos Trans R Soc B* 361:1023–1038.
35. van Waveren IM, Marcus NH (1993) Morphology of recent copepod egg envelopes from Turkey Point, Gulf of Mexico, and their implications for acritarch affinity. *Spec Pap Palaeontol* 48:111–124.
36. Onoue Y, Toda T, Ban S (2004) Morphological features and hatching patterns of eggs in *Acartia steueri* (Crustacea, Copepoda) from Sagami Bay, Japan. *Hydrobiologia* 511:17–24.
37. Buckland-Nicks J, Hodgson A (2000) Fertilization in *Callochiton castaneus* (Mollusca). *Biol Bull* 199:59–67.
38. Caceres C (1997) Dormancy in invertebrates. *Invertebr Biol* 116:371–383.
39. Sarjeant W, Lacalli T, Gaines G (1987) The cysts and skeletal elements of dinoflagellates: Speculations on the ecological causes for their morphology and development. *Micro-paleontology* 33:1–36.
40. Finkel Z, Sebbö J, Feist-Burkhardt S, Irwin A (2007) A universal driver of macroevolutionary change in the size of marine phytoplankton over the Cenozoic. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 104:20416–20420.
41. Beardall J (2009) Allometry and stoichiometry of unicellular, colonial, and multicellular phytoplankton. *New Phytol* 181:295–309.
42. Graham LE, Wilcox LW (2000) *Algae* (Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River, NJ).
43. Fensome R, MacRae R, Moldovan J, Taylor F (1996) The early Mesozoic radiation of dinoflagellates. *Paleobiology* 22:329–338.
44. Knoll AH, Summons RE, Waldbauer JR, Zumberge JE (2007) in *Evolution of Primary Producers in the Sea*, eds Falkowski P, Knoll AH (Academic, Boston), pp 134–157.
45. Arouri KR, Greenwood PF, Walter MR (2000) Biological affinities of Neoproterozoic acritarchs from Australia: Microscopic and chemical characterization. *Org Geochem* 31:75–89.
46. Arouri KR, Greenwood P, Walter M (1999) A possible chlorophycean affinity of some Neoproterozoic acritarchs. *Org Geochem* 30:1323–1327.
47. Kodner RB, Summons RE, Knoll AH (2009) Phylogenetic investigation of the aliphatic, nonhydrolyzable biopolymer algaenan, with a focus on the green algae. *Org Geochem*, in press.
48. Wall D (1962) Evidence from Recent plankton regarding the biological affinities of *Tasmanites* Newton 1875 and *Leiosphaeridia* Eisenack 1958. *Geol Mag* 99:353–362.
49. Jux U (1969) Ueber den Feinbau der Zystenwandung von *Pachysphaera marshalliae* Parke 1966. *Palaeontographica Abt B* 125:104–110 (in German).
50. Inouye I, Hori T, Moestrup Ø (2003) Ultrastructural studies on *Cymbomonas tetramitiformis* (Prasinophyceae). I. General structure, scale microstructure, and ontogeny. *Can J Bot* 81:657–671.
51. Willman S (2008) The Ediacaran diversification of organic-walled microbiota: Ocean life 600 million years ago. PhD thesis (Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden).
52. Javaux EJ, Knoll AH, Walter MR (2004) TEM evidence for eukaryotic diversity in mid-Proterozoic oceans. *Geobiology* 2:121–132.
53. Talyzina NM, Moczydlowska M (2000) Morphological and ultrastructural studies of some acritarchs from the Lower Cambrian Lukati Formation, Estonia. *Rev Palaeobot Pal* 112:1–21.
54. Willman S, Moczydlowska M (2007) Wall ultrastructure of an Ediacaran acritarch from the Officer Basin, Australia. *Lethaia* 40:111–123.
55. Allard B, Templier J (2000) Comparison of neutral lipid profile of various trilaminar outer cell wall (TLS)-containing microalgae with emphasis on algaenan occurrence. *Phytochemistry* 54:369–380.
56. Couch K, Downes M, Burns C (2001) Morphological differences between subitaneous and diapause eggs of *Boeckella triarticulata* (Copepoda: Calanoida). *Freshwater Biol* 46:925–933.
57. Willman S (2009) Morphology and wall ultrastructure of leiosphaeric and acanthomorphic acritarchs from the Ediacaran of Australia. *Geobiology* 7:8–20.
58. Gostling NJ, Dong X, Donoghue PCJ (2009) Ontogeny and taphonomy: An experimental taphonomy study of the development of the brine shrimp. *Artemia Salina Palaeontol* 52:169–186.
59. Xiao S, et al. (1997) Neoproterozoic fossils in Mesoproterozoic rocks? Chemostratigraphic resolution of a biostratigraphic conundrum from the North China Platform. *Precambrian Res* 84:197–220.
60. Leadbeater B, Karpov S (2000) Cyst formation in a freshwater strain of the choanoflagellate *Desmarella moniliformis*. *Kent J Eukaryotic Microbiol* 47:433–439.
61. Love G, et al. (2009) Fossil steroids record the appearance of Demospongiae during the Cryogenian period. *Nature* 457:718–721.
62. Han B, Wang T, Lin Q, Dumont H (2008) Carnivory and active hunting by the planktonic testate amoeba *Diffugia tuberspinifera*. *Hydrobiologia* 596:197–201.
63. Jiang L, Morin P (2005) Predator diet breadth influences the relative importance of bottom-up and top-down control of prey. *Am Nat* 165:350–363.
64. Smith H, Bobrov A, Lara E (2008) Diversity and biogeography of testate amoeba. *Biodiversity Conserv* 17:329–343.
65. Spero HJ, Norris RD, Corfield RM (1998) Life history and stable isotope geochemistry of planktonic Foraminifera. *Paleontol Soc Pap* 4:7–36.
66. Porter SM, Meisterfeld R, Knoll AH (2003) Vase-shaped microfossils from the Neoproterozoic Chuar Group, Grand Canyon: A classification guided by modern testate amoebae. *J Paleo* 77:409–429.
67. Montresor M, Nuzzo L, Mazzocchi M (2003) Viability of dinoflagellate cysts after the passage through the copepod gut. *J Exp Mar Biol Ecol* 287:209–221.
68. Kuwata A, Tsuda A (2005) Selection and viability after ingestion of vegetative cells, resting spores and resting cells of the marine diatom, *Chaetoceros pseudocurvisetus*, by two copepods. *J Exp Mar Biol Ecol* 322:143–151.
69. Fedonkin MA, Waggoner BM (1997) The late Precambrian fossil *Kimberella* is a mollusc-like bilaterian organism. *Nature* 388:868–871.
70. Belmonte G, Miglietta A, Rubino F, Boero F (1997) Morphological convergence of resting stages of planktonic organisms: A review. *Hydrobiologia* 355:159–165.
71. Hairston, NG, Jr (1987) in *Predation: Direct and Indirect Impacts on Aquatic Communities*, eds Kerfoot CW, Sih A (Univ Press of New England, Hanover, NH), pp 281–290.
72. Uye S, Kasahara S, Onbé T (1979) Calanoid copepod eggs in sea-bottom muds. IV. Effects of some environmental factors on the hatching of resting eggs. *Mar Biol* 51:151–156.
73. Ban S, Minoda T (1992) Hatching of diapause eggs of *Eurytemora affinis* (Copepoda: Calanoida) collected from lake-bottom sediments. *J Crustacean Biol* 12:51–56.
74. Marcus N (1984) Recruitment of copepod nauplii into the plankton: Importance of diapause eggs and benthic processes. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 15:47–54.
75. Clegg JS, Jackson SA, Popov VI (2000) Long-term anoxia in encysted embryos of the crustacean, *Artemia franciscana*: Viability, ultrastructure, and stress proteins. *Cell Tissue Res* 301:433–446.
76. Katajisto T (2004) Effects of anoxia and hypoxia on the dormancy and survival of subitaneous eggs of *Acartia bifilosa* (Copepoda: Calanoida). *Mar Biol* 145:751–757.
77. Katajisto T (1996) Copepod eggs survive a decade in the sediments of the Baltic Sea. *Hydrobiologia* 320:153–159.
78. Canfield D, et al. (2008) Ferruginous conditions dominated later Neoproterozoic deep-water chemistry. *Science* 321:949–952.
79. Fike DA, Grotzinger JP, Pratt LM, Summons RE (2006) Oxidation of the Ediacaran ocean. *Nature* 444:744–747.
80. Scott C, et al. (2008) Tracing the stepwise oxygenation of the Proterozoic ocean. *Nature* 452:456–459.
81. Andrew TE, Herzig A (1984) The respiration rate of the resting eggs of *Leptodora kindtii* (Focke 1844) and *Bythotrephes longimanus* Leydig 1860 (Crustacea, Cladocera) at environmentally encountered temperatures. *Oecologia* 64:241–244.
82. Vidal G, Moczydlowska-Vidal M (1997) Biodiversity, speciation, and extinction trends of Proterozoic and Cambrian phytoplankton. *Paleobiology* 23:230–246.
83. Servais T, et al. (2008) The Ordovician biodiversification: Revolution in the oceanic trophic chain. *Lethaia* 41:99–109.
84. Willman S, Moczydlowska M (2007) Ediacaran acritarch biota from the Giles 1 drillhole, Officer Basin, Australia, and its potential for biostratigraphic correlation. *Precambrian Res* 162:498–530.
85. Grey K (1999) A modified palynological preparation technique for the extraction of large Neoproterozoic acanthomorphic acritarchs and other acid-insoluble microfossils. *W Australia Geol Surv* 10:23f.