

RESUMMED COEFFICIENT FUNCTION FOR THE SHAPE FUNCTION

U. Aglietti^{*)}

Theoretical Physics Division, CERN
CH - 1211 Geneva 23

Abstract

We present a leading evaluation of the resummed coefficient function for the shape function. It is also shown that the coefficient function is short-distance-dominated. Our results allow relating the shape function computed on the lattice to the physical QCD distributions.

^{*)} On leave of absence from Dipartimento di Fisica, Universita' di Roma I, Piazzale Aldo Moro 2, 00185 Roma, Italy. E-mail address: ugo.aglietti@cern.ch.

1 Introduction

In this note we present a leading evaluation of the coefficient function of the shape function [?]-[?]. The latter is also called structure function of the heavy flavours. The coefficient function allows relating the shape function — computed with a non-perturbative technique such as lattice QCD — to distributions in semi-inclusive heavy-flavour decays. We consider in particular the processes

$$B \rightarrow X_s + \gamma \tag{1}$$

and

$$B \rightarrow X_u + l + \nu \tag{2}$$

in the hard limit

$$Q \gg \Lambda. \tag{3}$$

The quantity Q is the hard scale of these time-like processes: $Q \equiv 2\mathcal{E}$, where \mathcal{E} is the hadronic energy of the state X and Λ is the QCD scale¹. For a B -meson at rest, $v = (1; 0, 0, 0)$, and the jet X flying along the minus direction ($-z$ axis), the shape function is defined as

$$\varphi(k_+) \equiv \langle B(v) | h_v^\dagger \delta(k_+ - iD_+) h_v | B(v) \rangle. \tag{4}$$

This represents the probability that the b -quark in the B -meson has momenta

$$p_b = m_B v + k' \tag{5}$$

with any transverse and minus components and with given plus component

$$k'_+ = k_+. \tag{6}$$

The static field $h_v(x)$ is related to the Dirac field of the beauty quark $b(x)$ by

$$b(x) = e^{-im_B v \cdot x} h_v(x) + O(1m_B). \tag{7}$$

With the shape function, the decays (1) and (2) are related to their respective quark-level processes

$$b \rightarrow \widehat{X}_s + \gamma \tag{8}$$

and

$$b \rightarrow \widehat{X}_u + l + \nu, \tag{9}$$

¹For the rare decay (1), one can actually set $Q = m_B$.

where the b -quark has the momentum (5) with the distribution (4). It can be shown that the invariant mass m of the state \widehat{X} is related to the virtuality of the b -quark by

$$k_+ = -m^2 Q. \quad (10)$$

The shape function is a non-perturbative distribution — analogous to parton distribution functions — and it describes the slice of the semi-inclusive region² in which

$$m^2 \sim \Lambda Q,$$

i.e.

$$|k_+| \sim \Lambda, \quad \text{or} \quad z \sim 1 - \Lambda Q, \quad (11)$$

where

$$z \equiv 1 - m^2 Q^2. \quad (12)$$

Because of ultraviolet divergences affecting its matrix elements, the shape function has a dependence on the ultraviolet cut-off or renormalization point μ :

$$\varphi(k_+) = \varphi(k_+; \mu), \quad (13)$$

and is related to a physical QCD distribution by means of a coefficient function by (cf. eq. (??))

$$\varphi(k_+; Q) = \int dk'_+ C(k_+ - k'_+; Q, \mu) \varphi(k'_+; \mu). \quad (14)$$

The QCD distribution does not depend on μ :

$$d\mu \varphi(k_+; Q) = 0, \quad (15)$$

while the shape function does not depend on Q . As a consequence, the coefficient function depends on both Q and μ .

The shape function can be computed with a non-perturbative technique or extracted from experimental data. If it is computed inside a field-theory model — such as lattice QCD — its expression will exhibit a μ -dependence that cancels against that of the coefficient function. If it is instead computed inside a phenomenological model — such as a quark model — the situation is less transparent. The μ -independence is not “automatic” and one has to specify the value of μ appropriate for the model. Some care is needed also in extracting the shape function from the experimental data, in order to avoid double counting of perturbative corrections. A factorization scheme must be defined and the coefficient functions for the various processes all have to be computed in the same scheme³. In particular, if a branching MonteCarlo is used for the analysis, the perturbative corrections generated by the program must be subtracted.

The coefficient function is obtained by evaluating in leading approximation both φ and φ and inserting their expression in eq. (14). Since the coefficient function is expected to be a short-distance quantity, we compute the QCD distribution and the shape function in PT for an on-shell b -quark ($k' = 0$). This expectation will be verified *a posteriori*.

²This region is also called threshold region, large- x region, radiation-inhibited region and Sudakov region.

³The situation is analogous to usual hard processes, where various factorization schemes for the parton distribution functions are defined: DIS, $\overline{\text{MS}}$, etc.

2 The QCD distribution

The (perturbative) long-distance effects occurring in (1) and (2) can be factorized in the function

$$\mathbf{f}(z) = \delta(1-z-0) - A_1 \alpha_S (\log[1-z] 1-z)_+, \quad (16)$$

where

$$A_1 = C_F \pi \quad (17)$$

and $C_F = (N_c^2 - 1) / (2N_c) = 4/3$. The plus-distribution is defined as usual as

$$P(z)_+ \equiv P(z) - \delta(1-z-0) \int_0^1 dy P(y). \quad (18)$$

The integrated or cumulative distribution is defined as

$$\mathbf{F}(z) \equiv \int_z^1 dz' \mathbf{f}(z'). \quad (19)$$

Inserting expression (16) in this, one obtains the well-known double logarithm:

$$\mathbf{F}(z) = 1 - A_1 \alpha_S 2 \log^2(1-z). \quad (20)$$

The cumulative distribution satisfies the normalization condition $\mathbf{F}(0) = 1$. Multiple soft-gluon emission exponentiates the one-loop distribution, so that

$$\mathbf{F}(z) = \exp[-A_1 \alpha_S 2 \log^2(1-z)]. \quad (21)$$

For further improvement, it is convenient to write the function $\mathbf{f}(z)$ in an “unintegrated” form, as

$$\mathbf{f}(z) = \delta(1-z) + A_1 \alpha_S \int_0^1 d\epsilon \epsilon \int_0^1 dt t [\delta(1-z-\epsilon t) - \delta(1-z)], \quad (22)$$

where we have defined the unitary energy and angular variables

$$\epsilon \equiv EQ \quad \text{and} \quad t \equiv 1 - \cos \theta. \quad (23)$$

The quantity E is two times the energy of the soft gluon, $E = 2E_g$, and θ is the emission angle. Leading logarithmic corrections are included replacing the bare coupling with the running coupling evaluated at the gluon transverse momentum squared [?]:

$$\alpha_S \rightarrow \alpha_S(l_\perp^2), \quad (24)$$