## REPLY TO COMMENT BY ZASLAVSKII ON EXTREMAL BLACK HOLE ACTION

It is shown that Zaslavskii's misunderstanding of our published proof of the irrelevance of all extremal black hole configurations (whether with equal charge and mass or not) rests on his refusal to see the essential difference between the correct inequality governing extremal and non-extremal actions and his incorrect version.

Recently in a comment [1] on our already published reply [2] Zaslavskii expressed the opinion that our relation  $I_n(m,q-\epsilon) < I_e(m,q)$  [2] between non-extremal and extremal on-shell Reissner - Nordström actions is essentially the same as the relation  $I_n(m,q) < I_e(m,q)$  [3]. But the latter relation, which is his misinterpretation of a statement in our Letter [4], leads him astray, when he relies on the equality of the charges on the two sides at the top of p. 2 (1]:v.1). On p. 4, while commenting on the former (correct) inequality, he forgets this and claims that the fact "that charges on both sides ... may (be) slightly different from each other, is not crucial"! This contradicts his use of the inequality.

Our point was that for each extremal configuration (m,q), there is a non-extremal configuration  $(m,q-\epsilon)$  of lower action. This follows trivially from the first inequality, but cannot be understood from the second inequality (which does not exist anywhere in [2,4] and is Zaslavskii's invention) because in the case m=q one would need a non-extremal configuration with m=q, but such a thing does not exist, whereas non-extremal configurations with  $q=m-\epsilon$  of course do. For extremal configurations only with  $m\neq q$ , the second relation can be used. In all cases, the corresponding non-extremal configurations have lower action, so that extremal configurations cannot be physically relevant in the approximation considered.

His other comments (on, e.g., the evaluation of path integrals under the approximations considered in [4]) show further misconceptions which, however, are not related to the main point involved in [4].

- [1] O. B. Zaslavskii, hep-th 9804090 (1998)
- [2] A. Ghosh and P. Mitra, Phys. Rev. Letters, 80, 3413 (1998)
- [3] O. B. Zaslavskii, Phys. Rev. Letters, 80, 3412 (1998)
- [4] A. Ghosh and P. Mitra, Phys. Rev. Letters, 78, 1858 (1997)

A. Ghosh

CERN, CH-1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland

and P. Mitra

Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, Block AF, Bidhannagar, Calcutta 700 064, India