


JA



**Complex Absorption in the Seyfert 1 Galaxy  
EXO 055620-3820.2**

T.J. Turner, H. Netzer, I.M. George

Laboratory for High Energy  
Astrophysics



209620

High Energy Astrophysics Science  
Archive Research Center

NASA Goddard Space Flight Center  
Greenbelt, MD 20771

# Complex Absorption in the Seyfert 1 Galaxy EXO 055620-3820.2

T.J. Turner <sup>1,2</sup>, H. Netzer <sup>3</sup>, I.M. George <sup>1,2</sup>

Received \_\_\_\_\_; accepted \_\_\_\_\_

Accepted for publication in *The Astrophysical Journal*

Tel Aviv University, Institute of Astronomy and Wise Observatory preprint number 95/69

---

<sup>1</sup>Laboratory for High Energy Astrophysics, Code 660.2, NASA/Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD 20771

<sup>2</sup>Universities Space Research Association

<sup>3</sup>School of Physics and Astronomy and the Wise Observatory, The Beverly and Raymond Sackler Faculty of Exact Sciences, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv 69978, Israel.

## ABSTRACT

We report the results from an *ASCA* observation which yields the first uncontaminated hard X-ray spectrum of the Seyfert 1 galaxy EXO 055620–3820.2. We find the source spectrum to exhibit a complex structure below 2 keV, indicating that the continuum is attenuated either by an ionized absorber fully or partially covering the X-ray source, or a neutral absorber partially-covering the source. While the X-ray data alone do not allow us to distinguish between these models, consideration of the optical properties of this highly reddened nucleus suggests that partial-covering by neutral material is unlikely, as it implies an unusually large intrinsic optical flux. We find a good fit to the X-ray data, and consistency with the observed optical properties of the source with either 96% of the source covered by a column of  $3.1 \times 10^{22} \text{cm}^{-2}$  of low ionization gas or full covering by a column of  $3.6 \times 10^{22} \text{cm}^{-2}$  of highly ionized gas. Both models required extinction of the optical continuum by dust in the host galaxy with  $A_V \sim 2$  mag., we find evidence for a column of neutral material associated with this dust.

The partial-covering models leave a residual soft excess below 1 keV, and the possible origin of this soft emission is discussed.

We detect an iron *K*-shell emission line, which appears to be significantly broad, with an equivalent width ( $\sim 300$  eV) exceeding that predicted from the absorbing material along the line-of-sight. The line is consistent with emission from neutral iron, and we find a FWHM for the line of  $31,000 - 77,000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ , similar to several other Seyfert spectra reported recently.

*Subject headings:* galaxies: individual (EXO 055620–3820.2) — galaxies: Seyfert — X-rays: galaxies

## 1. Introduction

Observations over the last few years have shown that many Active Galactic Nuclei (AGN) contain significant amounts of circumnuclear material which reprocesses and hence substantially modifies the intrinsic X-ray radiation. Partially-ionized X-ray absorbers were first suggested to exist close to AGN when flux-correlated spectral variability was observed in sources such as MR 2251-178 (Halpern 1984; Pan, Stewart & Pounds 1990) and NGC 4151 (Fiore, Perola & Ramano 1990; Yaqoob & Warwick 1991). Supporting evidence for such so-called “warm absorbers” was later provided by *Ginga* observations of emission-line AGN which revealed iron *K*-shell features in some sources, suggestive of an origin in ionized material (e.g. Nandra & Pounds 1994). Additional observational support for the existence of warm absorbers came from *ROSAT* Position Sensitive Proportional Counter (PSPC) observations, which clearly showed a deficit of photons close to  $\sim 0.8$  keV, interpreted as absorption by ionized oxygen in sources such as MCG-6-30-15 (Nandra & Pounds 1992); 3C351 (Fiore et al. 1993) and NGC 3783 (Turner et al. 1993). These results are confirmed by *ASCA* observations (e.g. Fabian et al. 1994; George et al. 1995; Reynolds et al. 1995a). Ionized absorbers are now thought to be common among low luminosity AGN. Indeed, Nandra & Pounds (1994) find that 12 out of 27 sources in their sample (Seyfert 1 galaxies and Narrow Emission Line Galaxies, NELGS) show some evidence for such a component.

Theoretical work on such ionized gas has been presented by several workers, including Halpern (1984), Krolik & Kallman (1984), Ferland & Rees (1988), Yaqoob (1990), Netzer (1993), Mathur (1994), Reynolds, & Fabian (1995), and Krolik & Kriss (1995). While the physics of the ionized gas is thought to be well understood (Netzer 1993), severe difficulties are encountered in modeling the observed spectral features. In particular, combined variability and spectral analysis suggests the assumption of a single ionized component

along the line-of-sight may be somewhat simplistic (e.g. Fabian et al. 1994; Reynolds et al. 1995a). The geometry of the innermost regions of AGN are probably highly complex and the number of X-ray spectral features currently observable is not large enough to constrain all possible models.

The hard X-ray source 3A0557-383, also detected in the *HEAO-1* A-2 (H0557-385) and *Uhuru* (4U0557-38) surveys, was originally identified with a Seyfert 1 galaxy of redshift  $z = 0.03440$  (Fairall, McHardy and Pye, 1982), and included in the hard X-ray logN-logS and luminosity function of Seyferts (Piccinotti et al. 1982). However, a subsequent study by Giommi *et al* (1989) using the *EXOSAT* CMA instrument, revealed two X-ray emitting AGN within  $\sim 25$  arcmin of each other: the BL Lac object, EXO 055625-3838.6 with an optical position (J2000)  $05^{\text{h}} 58^{\text{m}} 05.3^{\text{s}} -38^{\circ} 38' 23.9''$ , and the Seyfert 1 galaxy EXO 055620-3820.2 with an optical position (J2000)  $05^{\text{h}} 58^{\text{m}} 02.0^{\text{s}} -38^{\circ} 20' 04.7''$ . The hard X-ray source previously observed by passively collimated detectors may have had contributions from both the Seyfert and BL Lac. However, separation is large enough such that confusion between the two sources is not a problem for *ASCA*. Here we present the first uncontaminated hard X-ray spectrum of EXO 055620-3820.2. The results from the BL Lac object EXO 055625-3838.6 are presented elsewhere (George & Turner 1996).

In §2 below we present new *ASCA* observations of EXO 055620-3820.2 and in §3 describe several complex models we have used to fit the X-ray data. The discussion in §4 uses X-ray and optical data in an attempt to identify the best physical model for this source. We present our conclusions in §5.

## 2. The *ASCA* observation

EXO 055620-3820.2 was observed by *ASCA* over the period 1995 March 23–24 with an on-source time  $\sim 45$  ksec. As described in Tanaka, Inoue & Holt (1994), *ASCA* has four

identical, co-aligned telescopes, two with Solid State Imaging Spectrometers (SISs, Burke et al. 1991) and two Gas Imaging Spectrometers (GISs, Ohashi et al. 1991) in the focal plane.

EXO 055620-3820.2 was observed with the SISs in 2-CCD mode, with data accumulated in both 'FAINT' and 'BRIGHT' telemetry modes. As EXO 055620-3820.2 has a relatively low count rate in the SIS, the superior resolution available in 'FAINT' mode could not be utilized. Therefore the FAINT and BRIGHT mode data were combined for the analysis presented here. Data were rejected by removing 'hot' and 'flickering' pixels in the SISs; removing data accumulated during passages through the South Atlantic Anomaly; imposing a minimum geomagnetic rigidity of 6 GeV/c (SIS) and 7 GeV/c (GIS); removing data accumulated when the angle from the Earth's limb was less than 20° during orbit-day and less than 5° during orbit-night; restricting SIS data to event 'GRADES' 0,2,3 and 4. After these initial criteria had been applied, a light curve was constructed of the data from each instrument, and time periods of data dropout or spikes due to high background were removed manually. Application of these screening criteria gave a mean effective exposure time of ~40 ks in all instruments.

Images were extracted from the screened and cleaned data from all instruments, and region descriptors defined for the extraction of light curves and spectra. For the two SIS instruments, we used a 3 arcmin circle centered on EXO 055620-3820.2 with the background taken at the edge of the same CCD chip. For the two GIS instruments, we used a circular extraction cell of 6 arcmin radius centered on EXO 055620-3820.2, with the background taken in a nearby source-free region.

The ASCA light curves reveal only low-amplitude flux variability (< 20%) and, as the source was steady across > 80 % of the observation, with no evidence for any spectral variability, we consider only the mean spectrum in this paper.

### 3. Spectral analysis

Data from both pairs of SIS and GIS instruments were analyzed together, but with the normalization of each dataset allowed to vary relative to the others (since there are small discrepancies in the absolute flux calibrations of the detectors).

A power-law model ( $N(E)dE \propto E^{-\Gamma}dE$ , where  $N(E)$  is the photon flux density at energy  $E$ ), corrected for photo-electric absorption (parameterized by an effective hydrogen column density  $N_H$  assuming the cross-sections and abundances given by Morrison & McCammon 1983) does not provide an acceptable fit to the data, ( $\chi^2=1515$  for 1217 degrees of freedom,  $dof$ ) leaving broad unmodeled structure in the data-minus-model residuals (Figure 1). Such structure suggests the need for a different/additional continuum component and/or complex absorber. In fact, below we find several models which adequately describe the X-ray spectrum. We describe each of these in turn, and then discuss them in the light of observations at other wavelengths in §4. The observed 2-10 keV flux of the source was  $F_{2-10} \simeq 2 \times 10^{-11}$  erg cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>.

#### 3.1. The Iron K-shell Regime

Examining first the spectrum above 3 keV we find evidence (at >99% confidence) for an iron  $K$ -shell emission line. Modeling the 3-10 keV spectrum as a power-law continuum and a Gaussian emission line ( $N(E) \propto 1/\sigma_z^l \exp\{(E - E_z^l)^2/2\sigma_z^l{}^2\}$ , where  $E_z^l$  is the line energy in the rest-frame of the source,  $\sigma_z^l$  its width) yields  $\Gamma \sim 1.8$ . As we will discuss later, complex model fits to the full 0.4–10 keV spectrum of this source show an underlying photon index of  $\Gamma = 1.82_{-0.07}^{+0.06}$  (uncertainties are quoted at 90% confidence throughout). Thus, in this section we constrained  $\Gamma$  to the 90% confidence range (1.75 – 1.88) and examined the details of the iron line.

Assuming a narrow line (fixing  $\sigma_z^l$  at 0.0 keV), we obtain an acceptable fit for a line at  $E_z^l \simeq 6.55$  keV with an equivalent width of  $107_{-42}^{+141}$  eV (Table 1, Model A). Allowing the width of the line to be free (Table 1, Model B), yields a rest-frame energy  $E_z^l \simeq 6.26$  keV, width  $\sigma_z^l \simeq 0.47$  keV, and a much larger equivalent width of  $277_{-109}^{+154}$  eV. The reduction of 19 in the  $\chi^2$ -statistic, for one additional free parameter, indicates that the line is broad (at  $> 99$  % confidence), which is confirmed by the formal constraints on  $\sigma_z^l$  when allowed to vary. The line energy is consistent with 6.4 keV, and hence with emission from neutral iron.

The data were also fitted with an emission line profile of the form expected from the inner regions of a relativistic accretion disk. Such a profile has been suggested in NGC 3227 (George, Nandra & Fabian 1990) and recently confirmed in MCG-6-30-15 (Tanaka et al. 1995). We use the parameterization described in Fabian et al. (1989) with the line emissivity varying as  $r^{-2}$  over the region  $3r_s < r < 100r_s$ , where  $r_s$  is the Schwarzschild radius of the putative central black hole. We constrained the energy of the line to lie in the range 6.4-6.9 keV. The results of this fit (Table 1, Model C) yielded a line with an equivalent width of  $302 \pm 103$  eV and a rest-frame centroid energy  $E_z^l = 6.40(< 6.58)$  keV. The inclination angle of the disk was implied to be  $i = 18_{-18}^{+8}^\circ$ . Statistically we cannot distinguish between a broad, gaussian line and that expected from a relativistic disk.

The data are suggestive of an iron  $K$ -shell edge at  $\sim 7.1$  keV, but the addition of such a component does not significantly improve the fit. We find an optical depth  $\tau < 0.27$  for an edge fixed at a rest-frame energy of 7.1 keV.

### 3.2. The Complex Broad-Band X-ray Spectrum

Next, the full 0.4–10 keV spectrum was analyzed, with iron  $K$ -shell line parameters fixed, in all ensuing models, at the best-fitting values derived assuming a broad, gaussian line.



Below, we model the complex absorbing component in several different ways. First we present an investigation of complete covering by ionized material. We continue by investigating alternative models; partial-covering by neutral or ionized material. We use optical data to identify the most likely model for the absorber. We also discuss the possibility of an additional soft continuum component in this source. The fitting process is described below while a discussion of the physical implications is postponed to §4.

In the models involving ionized material, the data were fit using model tables generated from the ION code (Netzer 1993, and described below), and in those cases an underlying photon index of  $\Gamma = 1.8$  was assumed, justified by the 3–10 keV fit (§3.1). (Experimentation with atables covering the 90% confidence range for  $\Gamma$  yielded similar results and conclusions.)

### *3.2.1. Full Covering Ionized Absorption*

Evidence for the existence of ionized material in several AGN (see §1) suggests that such a component is made out of "small" clouds (i.e. gas condensations smaller than the central X-ray source – see Netzer (1993) and references therein) obscuring only a fraction of the power-law continuum source. This possibility, while physically plausible, is quite complex since some of the emission from the ionized component may be observed without attenuation by the line-of-sight material (Netzer 1993, George et al. 1995).

The ionized models used here are described in Netzer (1993) and Netzer et al. (1994), but have been modified to include more extreme ultraviolet lines and the most recent atomic data (Netzer 1996). Full radiative transfer, in line and continuum, is implemented in the models, and electron scattering and continuum fluorescence are included. The set of models used consist of thin spherical shells of constant hydrogen density  $n_{\text{H}} = 10^{11} \text{cm}^{-3}$  and variable column density. Solar composition is assumed throughout. We define an X-ray ionization parameter  $U_x^*$ , using the incident flux of X-ray photons over the 0.1–10

keV range. This definition is more meaningful for material ionized by X-rays since it is less dependent on the softer Lyman continuum flux contributing to the lower ionization stages.

Thus

$$U_x^* = \int_{\nu(0.1 \text{ keV})}^{\nu(10 \text{ keV})} \frac{L_\nu/h\nu}{4\pi r^2 n_H c} d\nu \quad (1)$$

where  $r$  is the distance of the cloud from the ionizing source,  $n_H$  is the hydrogen density of the gas,  $L_\nu$  is the monochromatic luminosity and  $c$  is the speed of light. All models considered here have a typical AGN ultraviolet continuum with a blue bump,  $\alpha_{OX} \simeq 1.4$  and a photon index  $\Gamma \simeq 1.8$  above 200 eV. For such a spectral shape, the ratio of the traditional ionization parameter  $U(>1 \text{ Ryd})$  (e.g. Netzer 1990; defined over the full Lyman continuum) and the one used here is  $U_x^*/U(>1 \text{ Ryd})=0.004$ .

Initially we attempted to model the absorption as a single-component ionized absorber, plus a neutral column fixed at the Galactic 21 cm value,  $N_{H,0} = 3.35 \times 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-2}$  (Elvis, Lockman & Wilkes 1989). This model did not adequately describe the data, leaving a residual s-shape in the 1–2 keV regime. However, as shown by Fairall, McHardy and Pye (1982), EXO 055620-3820.2 is an edge-on spiral galaxy with clear indications for an obscured nucleus. The observed  $\text{H}\alpha/\text{H}\beta$  line ratio is very large (18), far in excess of the distribution of this property in Seyfert 1 galaxies (e.g. Osterbrock 1977) and the optical continuum is much steeper than that normally seen in such AGN (energy spectral index of about 3.4, compared to a typical slope of about 0.7 for Seyfert 1 galaxies). More recent observations of EXO 055620-3820.2 by Rafanelli (1985) give a lower value for the broad component  $\text{H}\alpha/\text{H}\beta$  line ratio (7.7), a somewhat shallower optical continuum slope (about 2.7 as estimated from his Fig. 1) but extremely large ratio for the narrow components,  $\text{H}\alpha/\text{H}\beta = 23.6$ . These two observations are consistent, given the ambiguous separation of the broad and narrow components of the Balmer lines and the likely variability of the broad emission lines. However, even with the uncertainty on the shape of the intrinsic optical continuum, and the observed range of the intrinsic  $\text{H}\alpha/\text{H}\beta$  ratio in Seyfert 1s where large

reddening is not suspected (Netzer 1990), the evidence for line-of-sight reddening in this source is compelling. This is further supported by the infrared and X-ray analysis of Ward et al. (1987), which shows the subclass of AGN similar to EXO 055620-3820.2 to have large  $H\alpha/H\beta$ , steep optical-ultraviolet continua and large  $L(6 \text{ keV})/H\alpha$ . Ward et al. (1987) argue that such objects (their 'class B' AGN) are all affected by line-of-sight reddening. Analysis of the X-ray spectra of some other reddened sources, such as MCG-6-30-15 (Reynolds et al 1995), has not shown any requirement for a neutral screen associated with the dusty material which is causing the optical reddening. A geometry where the X-ray source is observed through a hole in the reddening material, or anomalous dust-to-gas ratios may result in such a discrepancy. However, EXO 055620-3820.2 is a very highly reddened source compared to other Seyfert galaxies, and the neutral column associated with the optical reddening is proportionately high, making it easier to isolate in the X-ray analysis. This component was therefore included in the modeling as a full-covering absorbing screen of neutral material, with a column density  $N_{H,z}$  at the redshift of the source. We assume this extra neutral absorbing material is located in the host galaxy, given the inclination of the host and the lack of such absorption in the nearby BL Lac object EXO 055625-3838.6 (George & Turner 1996).

Given the optical line and continuum measurements above, we estimate the visual reddening of the continuum source, and the Broad Line Region (BLR), to be about  $A_V = 2$  mag. The *minimum* (conservative) visual extinction consistent with the data is  $A_V = 1$  mag., corresponding to a *minimum* full-covering column of neutral hydrogen of  $N_{H,z} = 1.5 \times 10^{21} \text{ cm}^{-2}$  assuming the Galactic dust-to-gas ratio.

We have considered two geometries for the ionized material: a) a full shell with all emission and scattering components included; and b) a very small covering factor of the ionized gas but a large cloud along the line-of-sight (i.e. the X-ray continuum is completely

obscured, but the amount of ionized gas is very small compared to case a). As the data do not allow us to distinguish between a) and b) we list only option a) here, as Model D in Table 1 (see also Figure 2a).

Thus our first successful model assumes full obscuration by ionized material, with additional attenuation occurring from the full-covering screen of neutral material in the host galaxy, which turns out to be in good agreement with the observed reddening (Table 1, Model D), plus the Galactic column due to material in our own Galaxy.

### 3.2.2. *Partial Covering by Neutral Material*

An alternative model, with the same number of free parameters as Model D is that of partial covering by neutral material. This model consists of an underlying power-law continuum (with a Gaussian line as described above due to iron *K*-shell emission) absorbed by three neutral components: a local (Galactic) screen fixed at the column derived from Galactic 21 cm measurements along the line-of-sight to the source and two components at the redshift of the source ( $z=0.0344$ ) - a screen fully covering the source (associated with the optical reddening, as detailed above), and material partially covering the source. As this is an interactive XSPEC model, we simultaneously fit for the parameters of the absorber and the underlying photon index, in this case.

The results of this analysis are shown in Table 1, Model E. We find an acceptable fit ( $\chi^2_{\nu}=0.969$ ) for an underlying power-law of  $\Gamma = 1.82^{+0.06}_{-0.07}$ , absorbed by a neutral column of  $N_{H,z}^p \simeq 2.6 \times 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-2}$  covering  $C_f^p \simeq 97\%$  of the source, while the full-covering screen goes to zero.

We repeated the fit with the full-covering screen constrained to lie above the minimum column of neutral hydrogen consistent with reddening measurements,  $N_{H,z} = 1.5 \times 10^{21} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ ,

as determined in §3.2.1 above.

The best-fitting parameters of this model are shown in Table 1, Model F, while the model is illustrated in Figure 2 b. It can be seen that this model provides an adequate fit to the data with parameters very similar to those for Model E. However, it should be noted that the column of the additional absorbing screen  $N_{H,z}$  ‘pegs’ at the lowest allowed value, and has a  $1\text{-}\sigma$  upper limit of  $1.7 \times 10^{21} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ . Furthermore, enforcement of the constraint on  $N_{H,z}$  results in a *systematic excess* of counts over the model below  $\simeq 1 \text{ keV}$  (Figure 3). This soft component contributes  $F_{0.4-1} \simeq 1.2 \times 10^{-13} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$  ( $\simeq 50\%$  of the total) in the 0.4–1 keV band, implying an *observed* luminosity<sup>4</sup>  $L_{0.4-1}^{obs} \simeq 3.2 \times 10^{41} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$  in this band. We investigate this soft excess further in §3.2.4., we postpone discussion of the absorber until §4.

### 3.2.3. Partial Covering by Ionized Material

The overall success in fitting the observed spectrum with a model including partial covering of the central source, led us to consider cases where the partially covering screen is close to the central continuum source and is therefore highly ionized. We assumed an underlying continuum slope  $\Gamma = 1.8$  (as justified in §3.1). Model D in §3.2.1 deals with an ionized absorber fully covering the source ( $C_f^p = 100\%$ ). An iterative fitting process was used to determine the minimum covering fraction consistent with the spectral curvature in the 2 keV regime, caused by absorption. These fits showed the minimum covering fraction consistent with the data to be  $C_f^p = 96\%$ . We pursue a detailed treatment of this model, and thus the two ionized absorber models presented in the Table (D and G) encompass the range of parameter space for covering fraction appropriate for this source.

---

<sup>4</sup> $H_0 = 70 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$ ,  $q_0 = 0.5$  assumed throughout

A Galactic screen of neutral material  $N_{H,0}$ , was fixed at  $3.35 \times 10^{20} \text{cm}^{-2}$ , as before. We then fit for the column density of the screen of neutral material at the source ( $N_{H,z}$ ), the column density of the ionized gas covering 96% of the source ( $N_{H,z}^*$ ), and the X-ray ionization parameter ( $U_x^*$ ). The results are given in Table 1, Model G. The model is a good fit to the data, the model parameters are similar to those obtained for the full-covering case. The model is shown in Figure 2c. Despite the inclusion of emission from the ionized cloud, we again see an excess of soft emission, which we investigate further in §3.2.4., we postpone discussion of the absorber until §4.

#### 3.2.4. *The Soft Excess*

We attempted to parameterize the low energy excess (evident in the residuals to the partial-covering models described in §3.2.2 and §3.2.3) by a simple model in order to estimate its intrinsic luminosity. This requires some assumptions about the location and spectral form of the component. We hypothesize that the excess is emission from a hot plasma and parameterize it using standard Raymond and Smith models (leaving all other parameters fixed at the values in Table 1 model F or G). For the following locations our results are as follows:

1. *Hot plasma behind the partial covering absorber:* Here, the hypothetical soft component is located in the innermost part of the AGN, close to the power-law continuum source. The attenuation of the flux of this component is identical to that of the central continuum, i.e. absorption by the partial-covering material and the two complete neutral-gas screens. In the case of the neutral partial-covering model (F) inclusion of this component reduced the fit statistic by  $\Delta\chi^2 = 23$  for a plasma of temperature  $kT=0.14_{-0.06}^{+0.05}$  keV. In this case the unabsorbed (intrinsic) luminosity of this component is  $L_{0.4-1}^{soft} = 3.1 \times 10^{43} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ , which is  $\simeq 57\%$  of the

total unabsorbed luminosity  $L_{0.4-1}^{tot}$ , in the 0.4 – 1 keV band. In the case of the *ionized* partial-covering model (G) its inclusion reduced the fit statistic by  $\Delta\chi^2 = 6$  for a plasma of temperature  $kT=0.09_{-0.06}^{+0.05}$  keV. The implied intrinsic (unabsorbed) luminosity of the soft component in this case is  $L_{0.4-1}^{soft} = 3.5 \times 10^{43}$  erg s<sup>-1</sup> i.e. 60% of  $L_{0.4-1}^{tot}$ .

2. *Hot plasma between the partial covering absorber and the full-covering absorber:*

The location of the soft component is further away from the nucleus, and could correspond to the location of the Narrow Line Region (NLR). The soft component is only attenuated by the two neutral screens (and not by the additional factor of 20 due to the thick partial covering component). In the case of the neutral partial-covering model (F) its inclusion reduced the fit-statistic by  $\Delta\chi^2 = 18$  with a best-fitting plasma temperature of  $kT=0.11_{-0.05}^{+0.04}$  keV. Under this scenario  $L_{0.4-1}^{soft} = 1.3 \times 10^{42}$  erg s<sup>-1</sup> representing  $\simeq 5\%$  of  $L_{0.4-1}^{tot}$ . In the case of the ionized partial-covering model (G) its inclusion reduced the fit statistic by  $\Delta\chi^2 = 17$  for a plasma temperature of  $kT=0.14_{-0.05}^{+0.04}$  keV. This gives an unabsorbed  $L_{0.4-1}^{soft} = 3.61 \times 10^{42}$  erg s<sup>-1</sup> (6% of  $L_{0.4-1}^{tot}$ ).

3. *Hot plasma outside the full covering redshifted neutral screen:* In this case the location of the soft component is far from the nucleus and may correspond to the outskirts of the host galaxy. Inclusion of a soft spectral component in this way reduced the fit statistic by  $\Delta\chi^2 = 19$ . As this case involves an origin outside of the X-ray absorber, the results are the same for the neutral and ionized cases. The best-fitting plasma temperature is  $kT=0.14_{-0.06}^{+0.05}$  keV which suggests an unabsorbed  $L_{0.4-1}^{soft} = 3.9 \times 10^{41}$  erg s<sup>-1</sup>,  $\simeq 2\%$  of  $L_{0.4-1}^{tot}$ .

We stress that the signal-to-noise in this part of the spectrum is rather low and the energy range very small. Thus, the fit results should not be overinterpreted. We postpone

discussion of these findings until §4.

### 3.2.5. *Additional Models*

We have experimented with various other models, trying to cover more of the available parameter space. In particular, we have verified that the spectrum is well described by two different continuum components (e.g. a power-law and a hot plasma), if each component is allowed to pass through independent (different) absorbing material. However, we do not show these fits since the quality of the data warrant no meaningful interpretation of models with such a large number of free parameters. While we cannot rule out such *ad hoc* models, we consider them the least compelling explanation for the data.

## 4. Discussion

### 4.1. The Iron K-shell Regime

EXO 055620-3820.2 shows clear evidence for an iron *K*-shell emission line. The equivalent width of the line is  $\sim 100$  eV or  $\sim 300$  eV, depending on whether a narrow or broad line is assumed (respectively). The former value is easily consistent with predictions based on reflection from an optically-thick accretion disk when observed close to face-on (George & Fabian 1991). The latter value exceeds the maximum obtainable from such a disk, unless the iron abundance exceeds that given in Morrison & McCammon (1983) by a factor  $\sim 2$  (George & Fabian 1991; Reynolds, Fabian, Inoue 1995) and/or the primary continuum source preferentially emits towards the disk. Both of these possibilities are feasible. The maximum amount of iron *K*-shell line emission expected from the line-of-sight absorbing material is  $\sim 25$  eV for Table 1, Model G and somewhat smaller for Models F



& D. Constraints on the line width ( $\sigma_z^l$ ) of EXO 055620-3820.2 suggest that the line may be intrinsically broad as has been found to be the case for several AGN (e.g. Mushotzky et al. 1995; Tanaka et al. 1995). In that case, the line energy is consistent with emission from neutral iron. We find  $\sigma_z^l \sim 0.5$  keV, corresponding to a FWHM for the line of 31,000 – 77,000 km s<sup>-1</sup>. We find the line to be consistent with, but not required to have, the distinctive asymmetric line profile expected from an accretion disk (e.g. Fabian et al. 1989).

However, we caution that unresolved spectral complexity in the iron *K*-shell regime can give rise to misleading results, and even mimic a broad line.

#### 4.2. Full Covering Ionized Absorption

This model (D) seems to be the best from the point of view of a minimal number of components, the low energy fit to the data and the consistency with the neutral screen reddening.

Our spectral analysis suggests that a single absorbed power-law continuum, with a slope of  $\Gamma = 1.8$ , explains both the iron *K*-shell regime as well as the low energy (0.4-1.0 keV) *ASCA* data. The column density is only slightly larger than that deduced from partial covering models but the ionization parameter is larger by a factor 2. This forces the neutral screen to a column density corresponding to  $A_V \simeq 2$  mag., close to that independently determined from optical line and continuum measurements (§3.2.1). The ionized screen is so highly ionized that dust is not likely to form or survive at this location and the gas is completely transparent at energies below 0.3 keV.

As explained in §3, we are unable to distinguish between pure absorption by the ionized gas and a case where emission is also important. This is partly a result of the low signal-to-noise at low energies and partly because the emission features predicted

are relatively weak. However, a visual inspection suggests a similarity between some of the observed features and the calculated emission lines (Figure 4). We have therefore looked for the strongest emission features predicted by the model. These are O VII 568 eV (equivalent width, EW, of 17 eV); O VIII 653 eV (EW=19 eV), Ne IX 911 eV (EW=41 eV) and Mg XI 1.34 keV (EW=6 eV). All numbers refer to the *observed* equivalent width – that is relative to the local observed continuum. The Ne IX 911 eV line is, in fact, intrinsically the weakest but is observed against a highly absorbed part of the continuum, and hence has the largest equivalent width. (For a more complete discussion of these effects and line intensities see Netzer 1996). We also note that the presence of a Ne IX 911 eV line of twice the predicted strength might explain why we do not observe as sharp an absorption feature as predicted by the model (Figure 3). This is not unreasonable in view of the possible complex geometry near such sources.

### 4.3. Partial Covering Models

Our analysis of the X-ray spectrum of EXO 055620-3820.2 indicates that partial covering by small clouds (either neutral or ionized, with a large covering factor) can explain the hard X-ray continuum. However, we find such models reveal evidence for significant excess emission below  $\sim 1$  keV.

Partial covering of the AGN X-ray continuum source was first suggested as an explanation of the soft excess observed in NGC 4151 (Holt *et al* 1980). Partial-covering models have been investigated in some detail for NGC4151 (e.g. Yaqoob *et al.* 1993, Weaver *et al.* 1994) and other sources (Bond *et al* 1993; Maisack *et al.* 1992 and Reynolds *et al* 1995) and may be applicable to many AGN. The most recent analysis of NGC 4151 by Weaver *et al.* (1994) discusses a 'dual absorber', where 40% of the source is covered by a column  $6 \times 10^{22} \text{cm}^{-2}$  and the other 60% by  $2 - 3 \times 10^{23} \text{cm}^{-2}$ . In the case of NGC 4151

feasible. The maximum amount of iron K-shell line emission expected from the line-of-sight absorbing material is  $\sim 25$  eV for Table 1, Model G and somewhat smaller for Models F

however, the columns involved are an order of magnitude larger than those considered here.

#### 4.3.1. *Partial Covering by neutral material*

Considering first partial covering by neutral material (Models E and F), such a component must be far from the source to maintain the very low level of ionization. The large partial-covering column of neutral material ( $2.5 \times 10^{22} \text{cm}^{-2}$ ) implied by our analysis is likely to be associated with dust which, given a Galactic dust-to-gas ratio, is completely opaque at optical and ultraviolet wavelengths. Thus the optical continuum passing through this shell must be attenuated by a factor of approximately 20 (the covering fraction is 95%) compared with the flux above 3 keV, (which is passing through a transparent medium). Thus the intrinsic optical flux must be considerably higher than that observed, and the intrinsic  $\alpha_{ox}$  must be steeper by  $\Delta\alpha_{ox} = 0.5$  than that observed. We used the Rafanelli (1985) optical observations at 5000Å (which are already affected by reddening), to calculate the 2500Å flux, and this, together with our 2 keV flux measurement was used to deduce the intrinsic  $\alpha_{ox}$  for the source. (While the 2 keV flux is not completely free of absorption effects, this is the most useful number to use, for comparison with other objects.) We do not know the exact amount of visual reddening, therefore we considered two possibilities.

Assuming  $A_V=2$  mag. and an unreddened energy slope of 0.7 between 5000Å and 2500Å then correcting for the reddening by the full covering screen we get  $\alpha_{ox} = 1.49$ . As explained earlier, an additional correction to the 2500Å point due to the presence of the partial-covering neutral material results in an implied intrinsic  $\alpha_{ox} = 1.99$ . Repeating the same procedure, but assuming  $A_V=1$  mag., we get  $\alpha_{ox} = 1.33$  with no correction for the neutral partial covering, and an implied intrinsic  $\alpha_{ox}$  of 1.83 with that correction.

The two values of deduced intrinsic  $\alpha_{ox}$  (above) are larger than those observed in most Seyfert 1 galaxies, where a typical range in this property is about 1.1-1.5 (Kriss

& Canizares 1985; Stocke et al 1991). Our values are, in fact, in the range found for radio-quiet quasars. On the other hand, correcting only for the full-covering neutral screen yields  $\alpha_{ox} = 1.33 - 1.49$ , in perfect agreement with that observed for unreddened AGN (the Ward et al. 1987 'class A' AGN). We note that values of  $\alpha_{ox}$  derived here are subject to uncertainties in the shape of the intrinsic optical-ultraviolet continuum (used to estimate the 2500Å flux) and to optical and X-ray variability.

A similar conclusion is obtained from consideration of the optical luminosity of the source. Correcting for up to two magnitudes of visual extinction implies that EXO 055620-3820.2 is intrinsically somewhat more luminous than a typical Seyfert 1 galaxy, such as NGC 5548 (see Table 4 of Ward et al. 1987). The additional 'attenuation' factor of 20 implied by an opaque partial-covering screen, suggests that it is intrinsically almost as luminous as 3C 273. Thus, under the neutral-partial-covering scenario, the implication is that this galaxy hosts one of the most luminous quasars, at a distance corresponding to  $z=0.0344$ . We consider this to be very unlikely, especially as our ionized-absorption models infer more typical intrinsic X-ray and optical luminosities for this source.

#### 4.3.2. *Partial Covering by Ionized Material*

The problem of a large implied optical flux does not exist for the ionized partial-covering model. In this case, the ionization level of the material indicates, for the assumed large gas density, a location in the innermost part of the AGN. Gas clouds in this location are likely to be smaller than the X-ray source size (e.g. the BLR clouds) and conditions are such that dust cannot form (e.g. Laor & Netzer 1993). The possibility of high-ionization, low-density material, much further from the center, is more difficult to analyze but conditions in such gas are also not favorable for dust formation. The ionized-partial-covering model predicts an intrinsic  $\alpha_{ox}$  which is inside the overall Seyfert 1 distribution of this property, as, in

this case the reddening is only by the complete coverage neutral screen, which exists much further from the nucleus. There is thus no need to assume an extreme intrinsic luminosity for this source and we conclude that optical data favor the ionized absorption models for this source.

#### 4.3.3. *The nature of the soft excess*

Both partial covering models discussed in the preceding subsections leave a large residual soft excess below 1 keV. (Interestingly, the soft excess contributes about 50% of the observed flux below 1 keV, the same fractional contribution as the extended component in NGC 4151; see Weaver et al. 1994). The implied luminosity in this component is obviously highly dependent upon its location relative to our three absorbing components. Since the luminosities are similar in the neutral and ionized cases we combine the discussion of the two.

1. *Hot plasma behind the partial covering absorber:* Here the soft component originates in the innermost part of the AGN, close to the power-law continuum source. The implied luminosity is  $L_{0.4-1}^{soft} = 3 - 4 \times 10^{43} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$  which is  $\sim 60\%$  of  $L_{0.4-1}^{tot}$  and  $\sim 33\%$  of  $L_{0.4-10}^{tot}$ . A *possible* origin for this soft emission is the inner regions of the putative accretion disk, either arising as a result of X-ray emission from the inner regions of a high temperature disk (e.g. Laor 1990) or from the reflection of the central X-ray flux by the disk atmosphere (e.g. Zycki et al. 1994). We note that in both cases, the luminosity in this soft component is larger than that obtainable by current models.
2. *Hot plasma between the partial covering absorber and the full-covering absorber:* In the second scenario, the soft component originates further away from the nucleus, between the partial covering X-ray absorber, and the full covering (reddening) screen.

This origin may correspond to hot gas in pressure equilibrium with the NLR. Such a component has been discussed by Marshall et al. (1993) in the case of NGC 1068 and by Krolik and Kriss (1995) in the general case. In NGC 1068 the temperature of the gas is  $\sim 10^6\text{K}$  but the integrated X-ray luminosity is much smaller than the measured luminosity in EXO 055620-3820.2 ( $L_{0.4-1}^{soft} \sim 6\%$  of  $L_{0.4-1}^{tot}$  §3.2.2 and §3.2.3). Weaver et al. (1994) proposed that the temperature expected from shock heating of outflowing narrow line clouds is  $kT=0.2$  keV. We suggest that the required X-ray luminosity in EXO 055620-3820.2 is too large to be consistent with these models.

3. *Hot plasma outside the full covering redshifted neutral screen:* As stated earlier, this location may correspond to the outskirts of the host galaxy. Normal galaxies have extended soft X-ray emission which is the sum of the contributions from sources such as accreting binaries, supernova remnants and the hot interstellar medium and halo of the galaxy. *Einstein* observations of spiral galaxies found 0.2–3.5 keV luminosities in the range  $10^{38} \lesssim L_{0.2-3.5}^{soft} \lesssim 10^{41}$  erg s $^{-1}$  (Fabian 1981; Long & Van Speybroeck 1983; Fabbiano 1984, 1986, 1989), although thermal emission from the interstellar medium, heated by supernovae, could give rise to  $L_{0.2-3.5}^{soft} \sim 10^{42}$  erg s $^{-1}$  (see Fabbiano 1989). EXO 055620-3820.2 lies at the high end of this distribution with  $L_{0.2-3.5}^{soft} = 8.8 \times 10^{41}$  erg s $^{-1}$ .

The intrinsic luminosity of the soft excess in EXO 055620-3820.2 is  $L_{0.1-2}^{soft} = 1.2 \times 10^{42}$  erg s $^{-1}$ , a factor of 4 higher than that reported for the soft extended component in NGC 4151 (Morse et al. 1995), and slightly higher (factor of  $\sim 2$ ) than the most luminous extended components noted by Weaver et al.(1995) for other AGN. However, for NGC 4151 the absorption-corrected luminosity ranges from  $L_{2-10} \sim 3 - 20 \times 10^{42}$ ergs $^{-1}$ , while EXO 055620-3820.2 has  $L_{2-10} \sim 6 \times 10^{43}$ ergs $^{-1}$ . As the nucleus of EXO 055620-3820.2 is more than a factor of 4 more luminous than that of NGC 4151, any nuclear-driven excess, might be expected to result in a more

luminous soft emission in EXO 055620-3820.2.

If the soft component originates in the outskirts of the host galaxy then it must be constant in flux on a timescale of years, hence the same component should be observable in previous soft X-ray observations of this source. EXO 055620-3820.2 was observed by the *ROSAT* PSPC on 1993 Sept 25 for  $\sim 13$  ks, and we have examined those data in the light of the *ASCA* results. The PSPC spectrum shows the same strong signature of complex absorption observed in the *ASCA* data, while the source has an integrated 0.1 – 2 keV flux  $\sim 35\%$  of that observed at the *ASCA epoch*. The PSPC data confirm the softening of the spectrum to lower energies, and are consistent with the presence of a soft component at the flux level inferred by the *ASCA* data, although we note that the PSPC data prefer a plasma temperature  $\sim 0.23_{-0.04}^{+0.05}$  keV. As the PSPC and *ASCA* data are from two different epochs, we do not consider joint *ASCA* and *ROSAT* fitting any further.

## 5. Summary and Conclusions

The first uncontaminated hard X-ray spectrum of the Seyfert 1 galaxy EXO 055620–3820.2 shows complex structure below  $\sim 2$  keV, indicative of attenuation either by an ionized absorber fully or partially covering the X-ray source, or a neutral absorber partially-covering the source. While the X-ray data alone do not allow us to distinguish between these models, consideration of the optical properties of the source suggests that partial-covering by neutral material is unlikely, as the implied intrinsic optical flux would be unusually large (§4.3.1). We find a good-fit to the X-ray data, and consistency with the observed optical properties of the source, with either 96% of the source covered by a column of  $3.1 \times 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-2}$  of ionization state  $\log_{10} U_x^{p*} = -1.36$  or full covering by a column of  $3.6 \times 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-2}$  with  $\log_{10} U_x^* = -1.07$ . In the case of the partial-covering model, we find

a residual soft excess whose origin seems most likely to be either from the outskirts of the host galaxy, or from hot gas in pressure equilibrium with the NLR.

The full-covering ionized absorber model is the preferred explanation for EXO 055620–3820.2, from the point of view of its simplicity.

In addition to the complex absorber, we find evidence for a full-covering screen of neutral material, which is consistent with the column implied by optical reddening.

We detect an iron *K*-shell emission line, which appears to be significantly broad and whose equivalent width of  $\sim 300$  eV exceeds that predicted to arise in the absorbing material, as for many other Seyfert spectra recently reported. We find a FWHM for the line of  $31,000 - 77,000$  km s<sup>-1</sup>.

**Acknowledgements:** We thank the anonymous referee for comments which greatly improved the final paper. We also thank Paul Nandra, Richard Mushotzky, Tim Kallman & Tahir Yaqoob for useful discussions. We acknowledge the financial support of the Universities Space Research Association (IMG,TJT) and NASA Grant NAS5-32490 (HN). The analysis was performed using XSELECT (version 1.3) and XSPEC (version 8.5). This research made use of the Simbad database, operated at CDS, Strasbourg, France; the NASA/IPAC Extragalactic Database (NED) operated by the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, Caltech, under contract with NASA; and of data obtained through the HEASARC on-line service, provided by NASA/GSFC.



## 6. References

- Bond, I.A. & Matsuoka, M. 1993, MNRAS, 265, 619
- Burke et al. 1991, IEEE transactions on electron devices, 38, 1069
- Elvis, M., Lockman, F.J., Wilkes, B.J. 1989, AJ, 97, 777.
- Fabbiano, G. 1984, X-ray Astronomy '84, ed. M. Oda, R. Giacconi, 333 Tokyo: Institute of Space Astronautical Sciences
- Fabbiano, G. 1986, PASP, 98, 525
- Fabbiano, G. 1989, ARA&A, 27, 87
- Fabian, A.C. 1981, The Structure and Evolution of Normal Galaxies, ed. S.M. Fall, D. Lynden-Bell, 181. Cambridge University Press
- Fabian, A.C., Rees, M.J., Stella, L., White, N.E. 1989, MNRAS 238, 729
- Fabian, A.C., Kunieda, H., Inoue, S., Matsuoka, M., Mihara, T., Miyamoto, S., Otani, C., Ricker, G., Tanaka, Y., Yamauchi, M., Yaqoob, T. 1994, PASJ, 46, L59
- Fairall, A.P., McHardy, I.M., Pye, J.P. 1982, MNRAS, 198, 13P
- Ferland, G.J., Rees, M.J. 1988. ApJ, 332, 141
- Fiore, F., Perola, G.C., Ramano, M. 1990, MNRAS, 243, 522
- Fiore, F., Elvis, M., Mathur, S., Wilkes, B., McDowell, J.C. 1993 ApJ., 415, 129
- George, I.M., Turner, T.J. 1996, ApJ, in press, scheduled for April 10 issue.
- George, I.M., Fabian, A.C. 1991, MNRAS, 249, 352
- George, I.M., Nandra, K., Fabian, A.C. 1990, MNRAS, 242, 352

- George, I.M., Turner, T.J., Netzer, H. 1995, *ApJL*, 438, L67
- Giommi, P., Neuermann, K., Barr, P., Schwobe, A., Taglaferri, G., Thomas, H.C. 1989  
*MNRAS*, 236, 375
- Halpern, J. 1984, *ApJ.*, 281, 90
- Holt, S.S. et al. 1980, *Ap J*, 241, L13
- Kriss, G., & Canizares, C. 1985, *ApJ*, 297, 177
- Krolik, J. & Kallman, T. 1984, *ApJ*, 286, 355
- Krolik, J. & Kriss, G. 1995, *ApJ*, in press
- Laor, A. & Netzer, A. 1989, *MNRAS*, 238, 897
- Laor, A. 1990, *MNRAS*, 246, 369
- Long, K.S., Van Speybroeck, L.P. 1983, *Accretion Driven X-ray Sources*, ed. W. Lewin,  
E.P.J. van den Heuvel, 117. Cambridge University Press
- Mathur, S., Wilkes, B., Elvis, M., Fiore, F. 1994, *ApJ*, 434, 493
- Maisack, M. et al. 1992, *Astron Astr*, 262, 433
- Marshall, et al. 1993, *ApJ*, 405, 168
- Morrison, R., McCammon, D. 1983, *ApJ*, 270, 119
- Mushotzky, R.F., Fabian, A.C., Iwasawa, K., Kunieda, H., Matsuoka, M., Nandra, K.,  
Tanaka, Y. 1995, *MNRAS*, L9
- Nandra, K., Pounds, K. 1994, *MNRAS*, 268, 405
- Nandra, K., Pounds, K. 1992, *Nature*, 359, 215

- Netzer, H. 1990, in *Active Galactic Nuclei* (Springer-Verlag pub.).
- Netzer, H. 1993, ApJ, 411, 594
- Netzer, H., Turner, T.J., George, I.M. 1994 ApJ, 435, 106
- Netzer, H. 1996, ApJ, (in prep)
- Ohashi, T., Makishima, K., Ishida, M., Tsuru, T., Tashiro, M., Mihara, T., Kohmura, Y.,  
& Inoue, H. 1991, Proc SPIE, 1549, 9.
- Osterbrock, D.E. 1977, Ap J, 215, 733
- Pan, H., Stewart, G.C., Pounds, K.A. 1990, MNRAS, 242, 177
- Piccinotti, G. et al. 1982, Ap. J. 253, 485.
- Pounds, K.A. 1990, MNRAS 242, 20p.
- Pounds, K.A., Nandra, K., Stewart, G.C., George, I.M., Fabian, A.C. 1990, Nature, 344,  
132
- Rafanelli, P. 1985, Astron Astr, 146, 17
- Reynolds, C.S., Fabian, A.C. 1995, MNRAS, 273, 1167
- Reynolds, C.S., Fabian, A.C., Nandra, K., Inoue, H., Kunieda, H., Iwasawa, K. 1995a,  
MNRAS, in press
- Reynolds, C.S., Fabian, A.C., Inoue, H. 1995b, MNRAS, in press
- Stocke, J.T., Morris, S., Gioia, I.M., Maccacaro, T., Schild, R., Wolter, A., Fleming, T. &  
Henry, P. 1991, Ap J Supp, 76, 813.
- Tanaka, Y., Inoue, H., Holt, S.S. 1994, PASJ, 46, L37
- Tanaka, Y., Nandra, K., Fabian, A.C., Inoue, H., Otani, C., Dotani, T., Hayashida, K.,

- Iwasawa, K., Kii, T., Kunieda, H., Makino, F., Matsuoka, M. 1995, *Nature*, 375, 659
- Turner, T.J., Nandra, K., George, I.M., Fabian, A.C., Pounds, K.A. 1993, *ApJ*, 419, 127
- Ward, M., Elvis, M., Fabiano, G., Carleton, N.P., Willner, S.P., & Lawrence, A. 1987, *ApJ* 315, 74
- Weaver et al. 1994, *Ap J*, 423, 621
- Weaver, K., Yaqoob, T., Holt, S.S., Mushotzky, R.F., Matsuoka, M., & Yamauchi, M. 1994, *Ap J*, 436, L27
- Weaver, K., Mushotzky, R.F., Serlemitsos, P.J., Wilson, A.S., Elvis, M., & Briel, U. 1995, *Ap J*, 442, 597
- Yaqoob, T. 1990. *PhD Thesis*, University of Leicester, U.K.
- Yaqoob, T., Warwick, R.S. 1991, *MNRAS*, 248, 773
- Yaqoob, T., Warwick, R.S., Makino, F., Otani, Y., Sokoloski, J. 1993, *MNRAS*, 262, 435
- Zycki, P.T., Krolik, J.H., Zdziarski, A.A. & Kallman, T.R. 1994, *ApJ*, 437, 597

**Table 1: Spectral Results for the ASCA observation of  
EXO 055620-3820.2 performed 1995 Mar 23-24**

Model Expressions and Best-fitting parameters <sup>†</sup>	Notes <sup>‡</sup>	$\chi^2/dof$
Powerlaw + line fits to the 3-10 keV band		
A) PL + GL $E_z^l = 6.55^{+0.05}_{-0.05}$ keV	1,2	621/631
B) PL + GL $E_z^l = 6.26^{+0.40}_{-0.22}$ keV	2	602/630
	$\sigma_z^l = 0.47^{+0.23}_{-0.19}$ keV	
C) PL + DL $E_z^l = 6.40 < 6.58$ keV	2	602/630
	$i = 18^{+8}_{-18}$ °	
Full Covering by ionized material		
D) $A_0 \times A_z \times A_z^* \times (\text{PL} + \text{GL})$ $N_{H,z} = 3.69^{+0.51}_{-0.37} \times 10^{21}$ cm <sup>-2</sup> $N_{H,z}^* = 3.61^{+2.73}_{-2.18} \times 10^{22}$ cm <sup>-2</sup>	2,3,4	1228/1216
	$\log_{10} U_x^* = -1.07^{+0.05}_{-0.05}$	
Partial Covering by neutral material		
E) $A_0 \times A_z \times \text{PC}_z \times (\text{PL} + \text{GL})$ $N_{H,z} = 0.0 (< 6.20) \times 10^{20}$ cm <sup>-2</sup> $N_{H,z}^p = 2.57^{+0.14}_{-0.14} \times 10^{22}$ cm <sup>-2</sup> $\Gamma = 1.82^{+0.06}_{-0.07}$	3,4	1177/1215
	$C_f^p = 96.6^{+0.5}_{-0.5}$ %	
F) $A_0 \times A_z \times \text{PC}_z \times (\text{PL} + \text{GL})$ $N_{H,z} = 1.50 (< 1.7) \times 10^{21}$ cm <sup>-2</sup> $N_{H,z}^p = 2.51^{+0.14}_{-0.14} \times 10^{22}$ cm <sup>-2</sup> $\Gamma = 1.82^{+0.06}_{-0.07}$	3,4	1208/1215
	$C_f^p = 95.0^{+0.5}_{-0.5}$ %	
Partial Covering by ionized material		
G) $A_0 \times A_z \times \text{PC}_z^* \times (\text{PL} + \text{GL})$ $N_{H,z} = 3.04^{+0.09}_{-0.07} \times 10^{21}$ cm <sup>-2</sup> $N_{H,z}^{p*} = 3.11^{+1.33}_{-1.42} \times 10^{22}$ cm <sup>-2</sup>	2,3,4,5	1235/1216
	$C_f^p = 96.0$ % (fixed)	
	$\log_{10} U_x^{p*} = -1.36^{+0.13}_{-0.16}$	

**Notes to Table 1:**

† The model functions and parameters are defined as follows: PL - powerlaw continuum; GL - Gaussian emission line; DL - line emission from a relativistic disk, parameterized by its energy  $E_z^l$  and the angle  $i$  between the normal of the disk and the line-of-sight (see text); A - full covering absorbing material, parameterized by an effective hydrogen column density  $N_H$ ; PC - partial covering by absorbing material, parameterized by the covering fraction  $C_f^p$  of the absorption clouds, each with an effective hydrogen column density  $N_H$ . Quantities marked with a subscript  $z$  are either positioned at, or as measured in the rest-frame of the source (with  $z = 0.0344$ ); those marked with a subscript  $0$  are local ( $z = 0$ ). Quantities marked with a subscript  $p$  refer to the component responsible for the partial covering, and those marked with a  $*$  refer to the ionized material, with an ionization parameter  $U_x^*$  (as defined in the text).

‡ The following parameters were fixed in the lines indicated: 1)  $\sigma_z^l$  fixed at 0.0; 2)  $\Gamma$  fixed at 1.8; 3)  $N_{H,0}$  fixed at  $3.35 \times 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ ; 4)  $E_z^l$  fixed at 6.26 keV, and  $\sigma_z^l$  at 0.47 keV; 5)  $C_f^{p*}$  fixed at 96%.

## Figure Captions

**Figure 1.** The data-minus-model residuals to a simple absorbed power-law model. All four *ASCA* instruments were fit, but only SIS0 data are shown here for clarity.  $1\sigma$  error bars are shown.

**Figure 2.** The best-fitting models to the EXO 055620-3820.2 data, as detailed in the text (§3.2) and tabulated in Table 1.

- a) Absorption by full-covering ionized material (Model D)
- b) Absorption by neutral partial-covering material (Model F)
- c) Absorption by ionized partial-covering material (Model G)

The attenuation by the Galactic column and the best-fitting neutral full-covering screen is also included in each case.

**Figure 3.** The ratio of the data to the neutral partial-covering model (F). This illustrates the systematic excess of counts below  $\sim 1$  keV, which is discussed extensively in §4.3.3.

**Figure 4.** Top Panel: The best-fit model of a power-law attenuated by full-covering ionized absorption (D). The model includes self-consistent line emission and is shown with the unfolded *ASCA* data. Bottom Panel: The ionized-absorber model (D) at the resolution calculated for the model-fitting tables.

Fig 1






Fig 2.a




Fig 2b




Fig 2c




Fig 3




Fig 4

