Fermilab

Terrestrial Very-Long-Baseline Atom Interferometry: Summary of the Second Workshop

FERMILAB-CONF-24-0955-AD-ETD

arXiv:2412.14960

This manuscript has been authored by Fermi Research Alliance, LLC under Contract No. DE-AC02-07CH11359 with the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of High Energy Physics.

Terrestrial Very-Long-Baseline Atom Interferometry: Summary of the Second Workshop

Adam Abdalla,¹ Mahiro Abe,² Sven Abend,³ Mouine Abidi,³ Monika Aidelsburger,^{4,5,6,*} Ashkan Alibabaei,³ Baptiste Allard,^{7,*} John Antoniadis,⁸ Gianluigi Arduini,^{9,*} Nadja Augst,¹⁰ Philippos Balamatsias,¹¹ Antun Balaž,^{12,13} Hannah Banks,¹⁴ Rachel L. Barcklay,² Michele Barone,¹⁵ Michele Barsanti,¹⁶ Mark G. Bason,¹⁷ Angelo Bassi,^{18,19} Jean-Baptiste Bayle,^{20,*} Charles F. A. Baynham,^{21,*} Quentin Beaufils,²² Sélyan Beldjoudi,⁷ Aleksandar Belić,¹² Shayne Bennetts,^{23,24,}* Jose Bernabeu,²⁵ Andrea Bertoldi,^{26,*} Clara Bigard,⁷ N. P. Bigelow,²⁷ Robert Bingham, 28 Diego Blas, 29,30 Alexey Bobrick, 31 Samuel Boehringer, 32 Aleksandar Bogojević,¹² Kai Bongs,^{10,*} Daniela Bortoletto,³³ Philippe Bouyer,^{23,34,35,*} Christian Brand,¹⁰ Oliver Buchmueller,^{21,33,*,</sub>[®] Gabriela Buica,³⁶ Sergio Calatroni,^{9,*}} Léo Calmels,⁷ Priscilla Canizares,¹⁴ Benjamin Canuel,^{26,*} Ana Caramete,³⁶ Laurentiu-Ioan Caramete, 36 Matteo Carlesso, $18,19$ John Carlton, 37 Samuel P. Carman, 2 Andrew Carroll, 38 Mateo Casariego, $39,40$ Minoas Chairetis, 11 Vassilis Charmandaris, $8,41,42$ Upasna Chauhan, 43 Jiajun Chen, 44 Maria Luisa (Marilù) Chiofalo, $45,46,*$ Donatella Ciampini,⁴⁵ Alessia Cimbri,²¹ Pierre Cladé,^{47,*} Jonathon Coleman,³⁸ Florin Lucian Constantin,⁴⁸ Carlo R. Contaldi,²¹ Robin Corgier,^{22,*} Bineet Dash,⁴⁹ G.J. Davies, 21 Claudia de Rham, 21 Albert De Roeck, 9,* Daniel Derr, 1 Soumyodeep Dey,⁴³ Fabio Di Pumpo, $32,*$ Goran S. Djordjevic, $50,51$ Babette Döbrich, 52 Peter Dornan,²¹ Michael Doser,^{9,*} Giannis Drougakis,⁵³ Jacob Dunningham,⁵⁴ Alisher Duspayev, ^{49,55} Sajan Easo, ²⁸ Joshua Eby, ^{56,∗} Maxim Efremov, ^{10,32} Gedminas Elertas,³⁸ John Ellis,^{57,*,@} Nicholas Entin,² Stephen Fairhurst,^{58,*} Mattia Fanì,⁵⁹ Farida Fassi,⁶⁰ Pierre Fayet, 61,62 Daniel Felea, 36 Jie Feng, 63 Robert Flack,⁶⁴ Chris Foot,^{33,*} Tim Freegarde,⁶⁵ Elina Fuchs,^{66,67} Naceur Gaaloul,^{3,*} Dongfeng Gao, 68 Susan Gardner, 69 Barry M. Garraway, 54 Carlos L. Garrido Alzar, 22 Alexandre Gauguet,^{7,*} Enno Giese,^{1,*} Patrick Gill,⁷⁰ Gian F. Giudice,⁹ Eric P. Glasbrenner,³² Jonah Glick,^{71,*} Peter W. Graham,² Eduardo Granados,⁹ Paul F. Griffin,⁷² Jordan Gué,^{22,29} Saïda Guellati-Khelifa,^{47,73} Subhadeep Gupta,^{74,∗} Vishu Gupta,³ Lucia Hackermueller,⁷⁵ Martin Haehnelt,⁷⁶ Timo Hakulinen,⁹ Klemens Hammerer,⁶⁶ Ekim T. Hanımeli,⁷⁷ Tiffany Harte,^{44,*} Sabrina Hartmann,³² Leonie Hawkins, $21,*$ Aurelien Hees, 22 Alexander Herbst, $3,*$ Thomas M. Hird, $33,43,*$ Richard Hobson, $21,*$ Jason Hogan, $2,*$ Bodil Holst, 78 Michael Holynski, $43,*$ Onur Hosten,^{79,*} Chung Chuan Hsu,⁴⁴ Wayne Cheng-Wei Huang,⁸⁰

Kenneth M. Hughes, 33 Kamran Hussain, $28,38$ Gert Hütsi, 81 Antonio Iovino, $82,83,84$ Maria-Catalina Isfan, $36,85$ Gregor Janson, 32 Peter Jeglič, 86 Philippe Jetzer, 87 Yijun Jiang,² Gediminas Juzeliūnas,⁸⁸ Wilhelm Kaenders,^{89,*} Matti Kalliokoski,⁹⁰ Alex Kehagias, 91 Eva Kilian, 64 Carsten Klempt, 92,* Peter Knight, 21,* Soumen Koley, 93 Bernd Konrad,¹⁰ Tim Kovachy,^{71,*} Markus Krutzik,^{94,95} Mukesh Kumar,⁹⁶ Pradeep Kumar, 97 Hamza Labiad, $17,38$ Shau-Yu Lan, $24,80,98,*$ Arnaud Landragin, 22 Greg Landsberg, 99 Mehdi Langlois, 100 Bryony Lanigan, 101 Bruno Leone, 102 Christophe Le Poncin-Lafitte, 22 Samuel Lellouch, 43 Marek Lewicki, 103 Yu-Hung Lien, 43 Lucas Lombriser, $104,*$ Elias Lopez Asamar, $105,106,*$ J.Luis Lopez-Gonzalez, 107 Chen Lu, 44 Giuseppe Gaetano Luciano, 108 Nathan Lundblad, 109 Cristian de J. López Monjaraz, 110 Adam Lowe, $33,*$ Mažena Mackoit-Sinkevičienė, 88 Michele Maggiore, $84,104$ Anirban Majumdar, 111 Konstantinos Makris, 11 Azadeh Maleknejad, 57 Anna L. Marchant, ¹⁷ Agnese Mariotti, ⁶⁶ Christos Markou, ¹⁵ Barnaby Matthews, ²⁸ Anupam Mazumdar, 112 Christopher McCabe, 57,* Matthias Meister, 10 Giorgio Mentasti,²¹ Jonathan Menu,¹¹³ Giuseppe Messineo,¹¹⁴ Bernd Meyer-Hoppe,^{92,*} Salvatore Micalizio, 115 Federica Migliaccio, 116 Peter Millington, 117 Milan Milosevic, 50,51 Abhay Mishra,¹ Jeremiah Mitchell,^{44,*} Gavin W. Morley,¹¹⁸ Noam Mouelle,⁴⁴ Jürgen Müller, 119 David Newbold, 28,* Wei-Tou Ni, 68 Christian Niehof, 1 Johannes Noller, 64 Senad Odžak, 120 Daniel K. L. Oi, 72 Andreas Oikonomou, 11 Yasser Omar, $121,122,123$ Chris Overstreet, $124,*$ Vishnupriya Puthiya Veettil, 11 Julia Pahl, 94 Sean Paling,^{125,∗} Zhongyin Pan,^{126,∗} George Pappas,¹²⁷ Vinay Pareek,⁸ Elizabeth Pasatembou,^{21,*} Mauro Paternostro,^{128,129} Vishal K. Pathak,¹¹ Emanuele Pelucchi,¹³⁰ Franck Pereira dos Santos,²² Achim Peters,⁹⁴ Annie Pichery,³ Igor Pikovski, $131,132$ Apostolos Pilaftsis, 117 Florentina-Crenguta Pislan, $36,85$ Robert Plunkett,^{133,*} Rosa Poggiani,⁴⁵ Marco Prevedelli,¹³⁴ Johann Rafelski,¹³⁵ Juhan Raidal, 81 Martti Raidal, 81 Ernst Maria Rasel, $3.*$ Sébastien Renaux-Petel, 136 Andrea Richaud,¹³⁷ Pedro Rivero-Antunez,¹¹ Tangui Rodzinka,⁷ Albert Roura,^{10,*} Jan Rudolph, 2,133 Dylan Sabulsky, 22 Marianna S. Safronova, 138,* Mairi Sakellariadou, 57 Leonardo Salvi,^{139,*} Muhammed Sameed,⁹ Sumit Sarkar,²³ Patrik Schach,¹ Stefan Alaric Schäffer, 140 Jesse Schelfhout, 33 Manuel Schilling, 92 Vladimir Schkolnik, 94 Wolfgang P. Schleich,³² Dennis Schlippert,^{3,*} Ulrich Schneider,^{44,*} Florian Schreck,^{23,34,*} Ariel Schwartzman, 141 Nico Schwersenz, 10 Olga Sergijenko, 142,143 Haifa Rejeb Sfar, 144 Lijing Shao,¹⁴⁵ lan Shipsey,^{33,*} Jing Shu,^{145,146,147} Yeshpal Singh,⁴³ Carlos F. Sopuerta, $148,149$ Marianna Sorba, 150 Fiodor Sorrentino, 151 Alessandro D.A.M Spallicci, 152 Petruta Stefanescu, 36 Nikolaos Stergioulas, 127 Daniel Stoerk,³² Hrudya Thaivalappil Sunilkumar,⁹⁴ Jannik Ströhle,³² Zoie Tam,^{28,*} Dhruv Tandon,² Yijun Tang,⁴⁴ Dorothee Tell,⁴ Jacques Tempere,¹⁵³ Dylan J. Temples, 133 Rohit P Thampy, 8 Ingmari C. Tietje, 94 Guglielmo M. Tino, 139,* Jonathan N. Tinsley, 38 Ovidiu Tintareanu Mircea, 36 Kimberly Tkalčec, 44 Andrew J. Tolley, 21 Vincenza Tornatore, 116 Alejandro Torres-Orjuela, 154 Philipp Treutlein,¹⁵⁵ Andrea Trombettoni,¹⁸ Christian Ufrecht,¹⁵⁶ Juan Urrutia,^{81,157,*}

Tristan Valenzuela,¹⁷ Linda R. Valerio,^{133,*} Maurits van der Grinten,^{28,*} Ville Vaskonen, 81,114,158 Verónica Vázquez-Aceves, 145 Hardi Veermäe, 81 Flavio Vetrano,¹⁵⁹ Nikolay V. Vitanov,¹⁶⁰ Wolf von Klitzing,^{11,*} Sebastian Wald,⁷⁹ Thomas Walker,^{21,*} Reinhold Walser,¹⁶¹ Jin Wang,⁶⁸ Yan Wang,¹⁶² C. A. Weidner,^{163,*} André Wenzlawski,¹⁶⁴ Michael Werner,³ Lisa Wörner,⁹² Mohamed E. Yahia,¹⁶⁵ Efe Yazgan,¹⁶⁶ Emmanuel Zambrini Cruzeiro,¹⁶⁷ M. Zarei,¹⁶⁸ Mingsheng Zhan,^{68,*} Shengnan Zhang, 44 Lin Zhou, 68,* Erik Zupanič 86,169

- 1 Technische Universität Darmstadt, Fachbereich Physik, Institut für Angewandte Physik, Schlossgartenstrasse 7, 64289 Darmstadt, Germany
- ²Department of Physics, Stanford University, Stanford, California 94305, USA
- $3 Leibniz$ Universität Hannover, Institut für Quantenoptik, Welfengarten 1, 30167 Hannover, Germany
- 4 Max-Planck-Institut für Quantenoptik, Hans-Kopfermann-Strasse 1, 85748 Garching, Germany
- $5Fakultät für Physik, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität, Schellingstrasse 4, 80799 München, Germany$
- 6 Munich Center for Quantum Science and Technology (MCQST), Schellingstrasse 4, 80799 München, Germany
- 7 Laboratoire Collisions Agrégats Réactivité UMR5589, University of Toulouse III Paul Sabatier CNRS. 118 Route de Narbonne, Toulouse, FR
- ⁸Foundation for Research and Technology-Hellas (FORTH), Heraklion, 70013, Greece
- ⁹CERN, CH-1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland
- ¹⁰Institute of Quantum Technologies, German Aerospace Center (DLR), Wilhelm-Runge-Straße 10, 89081 Ulm, Germany
- 11 BEC and Matterwave Optics Group, Institute of Electronic Structure and Lasers, Foundation for Research and Technology - Hellas, Nikolaou Plastira 100, Crete, 70013, GR
- ¹² Institute of Physics Belgrade, University of Belgrade, Pregrevica 118, 11080 Belgrade, Serbia
- ¹³Serbian Academy of Sciences and Arts, Kneza Mihaila 35, 11000 Belgrade, Serbia
- 14 Department of Applied Mathematics and Theoretical Physics, University of Cambridge, Wilberforce Road, Cambridge, CB3 0WA, UK
- ¹⁵Institute of Nuclear and Particle Physics, NCSR Demokritos, Neapoleos 27 & Patr. Grigoriou Street, Ag. Paraskevi Attikis, Athens, 15341 Greece
- 16 Department of Civil and Industrial Engineering, University of Pisa, Largo Lucio Lazzarino, Pisa, 56122, Italy
- ¹⁷RAL Space, Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, UKRI-STFC, Fermi Avenue, Didcot, OX11 OQX, UK
- ¹⁸Department of Physics, University of Trieste, Strada Costiera 11, 34151 Trieste, Italy
- ¹⁹Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Trieste Section, Via Valerio 2, 34127 Trieste, Italy
- 20 University of Glasgow, Glasgow G12 8QQ, UK
- ²¹Physics Department, Imperial College London, Prince Consort Road, London, SW7 2AZ, UK
- $^{22}LNE-SYRTE$, Observatoire de Paris, Université PSL, CNRS, Sorbonne Université, Paris, France
- ²³Van der Waals-Zeeman Institute, Institute of Physics, University of Amsterdam, Science Park 904, 1098XH Amsterdam, The Netherlands
- ²⁴Institute of Atomic and Molecular Sciences, Academia Sinica, Taipei 10617, Taiwan
- ²⁵IFIC, Joint Centre CSIC-University of Valencia, E-46100 Burjassot, Valencia, Spain
- 26 Laboratoire Photonique, Numérique et Nanosciences (LP2N), Université de Bordeaux-IOGS-CNRS, F-33400 Talence, France
- ²⁷Department of Physics and Astronomy and Institute of Optics, University of Rochester, Rochester, New York, 14627, USA
- STFC Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Harwell Campus, Didcot, Oxfordshire, OX11 0QX, UK
- 29 Institut de Física d'Altes Energies (IFAE), The Barcelona Institute of Science and Technology, Campus UAB, 08193 Bellaterra (Barcelona), Spain
- Institució Catalana de Recerca i Estudis Avançats (ICREA), Passeig Lluís Companys 23, 08010 Barcelona, Spain
- Physics Department, Technion Israel Institute of Technology, 3200002, Haifa, Israel
- Institut für Quantenphysik and Center for Integrated Quantum Science and Technology (IQST). Universität Ulm, Albert-Einstein-Allee 11, Ulm D-89081, Germany
- Department of Physics, University of Oxford, Parks Road, Oxford OX1 3PU, UK
- QuSoft, Science Park 123, 1098XG Amsterdam, The Netherlands
- Eindhoven University of Technology, P.O. Box 513, 5600MB Eindhoven, The Netherlands
- Institute of Space Science INFLPR Subsidiary, 409 Atomistilor street, Magurele, Ilfov, 077125, Romania
- Physics Department, King's College London, Strand, London, WC2R 2LS, UK
- Department of Physics, University of Liverpool, Merseyside L69 7ZE, UK
- Basque Center for Applied Mathematics (BCAM), Alameda de Mazarredo 14, 48009 Bilbao, Spain
- EHU Quantum Center, University of the Basque Country UPV/EHU, Bilbao, Spain
- Department of Physics, University of Crete, Heraklion, 71003, Greece
- School of Sciences, European University Cyprus, Diogenes Street, Engomi, 1516 Nicosia, Cyprus
- Cold Atoms Group, School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Birmingham, Edgbaston, Birmingham B15 2TT, UK
- Cavendish Laboratory, University of Cambridge, J. J. Thomson Avenue, Cambridge CB3 0HE, UK
- Department of Physics "Enrico Fermi", University of Pisa, Largo Bruno Pontecorvo 3, 56126 Pisa, Italy
- INFN-Pisa, Largo Bruno Pontecorvo 3, 56126 Pisa, Italy
- ⁴⁷Laboratoire Kastler Brossel, Sorbonne Université, CNRS, ENS-Université PSL, Collège de France, 4 place Jussieu, 75005 Paris, France
- Univ. Lille, CNRS, UMR 8523 PhLAM Physique des Lasers Atomes et Molécules, F-59000 Lille, France
- Department of Physics, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA, 48109
- Department of Physics, University of Nis, Serbia
- SEENET-MTP Centre, Nis, Serbia
- Max-Planck-Institut für Physik, Boltzmannstrasse 8, 85748 Garching, Germany
- Institute of Electronic Structure and Laser, Foundation for Research and Technology Hellas, Heraklion, Greece
- Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Sussex, Brighton, BN1 9QH, UK
- Department of Physics and Joint Quantum Institute, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742, USA
- The Oskar Klein Centre, Department of Physics, Stockholm University, 10691 Stockholm, Sweden
- Physics Department, King's College London, Strand, London, WC2R 2LS, UK
- ⁵⁸Gravity Exploration Institute, School of Physics and Astronomy, Cardiff University, Cardiff, CF24 3AA, United Kingdom
- School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN 55455, USA
- Faculty of Science, Mohammed V University, Avenue des Nations Unies, Agdal, B.P. 8007 N.U., 10000 Rabat, Morocco
- Laboratoire de physique de l'ENS, Ecole Normale Supérieure-PSL, CNRS, Sorbonne Université, Université Paris Cité, 24 rue Lhomond, 75231 Paris Cedex 05, France
- Ecole polytechnique, IPP, Palaiseau, France
- School of Science, Shenzhen Campus of Sun Yat-sen University, Shenzhen 518107, China
- Department of Physics & Astronomy, University College London, London WC1E 6BT, United Kingdom
- School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Southampton, Highfeld, Southampton SO17 1BJ, UK
- Institute of Theoretical Physics, Leibniz University Hannover, Appelstrasse 2, 30167 Hannover, Germany
- Physikalisch-Technische Bundesanstalt (PTB), Bundesallee 100, 38116 Braunschweig, Germany
- State Key Laboratory of Magnetic Resonance and Atomic and Molecular Physics, Wuhan Institute of Physics and Mathematics, Innovation Academy for Precision Measurement Science and Technology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Wuhan 430071, China
- Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY 40506-0055, USA
- National Physical Laboratory, Hampton Road, Teddington, TW11 0LW, UK
- Department of Physics and Astronomy and Center for Fundamental Physics, Northwestern University, Evanston, IL, USA
- SUPA and Department of Physics, University of Strathclyde, Glasgow, G4 0NG, United Kingdom
- National Conservatory of Arts and Crafts, 292 Rue Saint-Martin, 75003 Paris
- Department of Physics, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington 98195, USA
- School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Nottingham, University Park, Nottingham, NG7 2RD, UK
- Kavli Institute for Cosmology and Institute of Astronomy, University of Cambridge, Madingley Road, Cambridge, CB3 0HA, UK
- $77ZARM$ Center of Applied Space Technology and Microgravity, Universität Bremen, Bremen, Germany
- Department of Physics and Technology, University of Bergen, Allegaten 55, 5007 Bergen, Norway
- Institute of Science and Technology Austria, Klosterneuburg, Austria
- Department of Physics, National Tsing Hua University, Hsinchu, 30013, Taiwan (R.O.C.)
- Keemilise ja bioloogilise füüsika instituut, Rävala pst. 10, 10143 Tallinn, Estonia
- Dipartimento di Fisica, Sapienza Università di Roma, Piazzale Aldo Moro 5, 00185, Roma, Italy
- INFN, Sezione di Roma, Piazzale Aldo Moro 2, 00185, Roma, Italy
- Gravitational Wave Science Center (GWSC), Université de Genève, CH-1211 Geneva, Switzerland
- Faculty of Physics, University of Bucharest, 405 Atomistilor Street, Magurele, Ilfov, 077125, Romania
- Joˇzef Stefan Institute, Jamova 39, SI-1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia
- ⁸⁷Department of Physics, University of Zurich, Winterthurerstrasse 190, 8057 Zurich, Switzerland
- 88Institute of Theoretical Physics and Astronomy, Vilnius University, Saulėtekio 3, LT-10257, Vilnius, Lithuania
- ⁸⁹TOPTICA Photonics AG, Lochhamer Schlag 19 82166 Graefelfng (Munich), Germany
- 90 Helsinki Institute of Physics, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland
- ⁹¹Physics Division, School of Applied Mathematical and Physical Sciences, NTUA, Hroon Polytechniou 9, 15780, Athens Greece
- 92 Deutsches Zentrum für Luft- und Raumfahrt e.V. (DLR), Institut für Satellitengeodäsie und Inertialsensorik, Callinstraße 30b, 30167 Hannover, Germany
- $93D\acute{e}$ partement d'astrophysique, géophysique et océanographie (AGO), L'Université de Liège, Liège, Belgium
- 94 Institut für Physik and IRIS, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Newtonstrasse 15, 12489 Berlin, Germany
- 95 Ferdinand-Braun-Institut (FBH), Gustav-Kirchoff-Strasse 4, 12489 Berlin, Germany
- ⁹⁶School of Physics and Institute for Collider Particle Physics, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, Wits 2050, South Africa.
- ⁹⁷ Experimental Condensed Matter Physics Group, Ultrafast Coherent Spectroscopy Laboratory, Indian Institute of Science Education and Research, Bhopal, 462066, India
- ⁹⁸Center for Quantum Science and Engineering, National Taiwan University, Taipei 10617, Taiwan ⁹⁹Brown University, Dept. of Physics, 182 Hope St., Providence, RI 02912, USA
- ¹⁰⁰Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California 91109, USA
- ¹⁰¹ Centre for Cold Matter, Blackett Laboratory, Imperial College, Prince Consort Road, London, SW7 2AZ, UK
- 102 European Space Agency (ESA), European Centre for Space Applications and Telecommunications (ECSAT), Fermi Avenue, Harwell Campus, Didcot, OX11 0FD, UK
- ¹⁰³Faculty of Physics, University of Warsaw, ul. Pasteura 5, 02-093 Warsaw, Poland
- $104D$ épartement de Physique Théorique, Université de Genève, 24 quai Ernest Ansermet, 1211 Genève 4, Switzerland
- 105 Departamento de Física Teórica, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, 28049 Madrid, Spain
- ¹⁰⁶Instituto de Fisica Teorica UAM-CSIC, 28049 Madrid, Spain
- ¹⁰⁷Department of Mathematics and Physics, Autonomous University of Aguascalientes, Av. Universidad 940, Aguascalientes 20100, Mexico
- ¹⁰⁸Department of Chemistry, Physics and Environmental and Soil Sciences, Escola Politecninca Superior, Universidad de Lleida, Av. Jaume II, 69, 25001 Lleida, Spain
- ¹⁰⁹Department of Physics and Astronomy, Bates College, Lewiston, Maine, USA
- $110 Centro de Investigación y de Estudios Avanzados del I. P. N., Unidad Querétaro, Libramiento$ Norponiente No. 2000, Fracc. Real de Juriquilla, C. P. 76230, Querétaro, Qro., Mexico
- ¹¹¹ Department of Physics, Indian Institute of Science Education and Research Bhopal, Bhopal Bypass Road, Bhauri, Bhopal 462066, Madhya Pradesh, India
- 112 Van Swinderen Institute, University of Groningen, 9747 AG, Netherlands
- ¹¹³Institute for Theoretical Physics, KU Leuven, Celestijnenlaan 200D, 3001 Leuven, Belgium
- ¹¹⁴Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Padova, Via Marzolo 8, 35131 Padova, Italy
- ¹¹⁵Quantum Metrology and Nanotechnologies Division, INRIM, Strada delle Cacce 91, 10135 Torino, Italy
- ¹¹⁶Politecnico di Milano, DICA, Piazza Leonardo da Vinci, 32, Milan, 20133, IT
- ¹¹⁷Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Manchester, Manchester M13 9PL, UK
- 118 Department of Physics, University of Warwick, Coventry CV4 $7AL$, UK
- ¹¹⁹Leibniz University Hannover, Institute of Geodesy, Schneiderberg 50, 30167 Hannover, Germany
- 1^{120} University of Sarajevo Faculty of Science, Zmaja od Bosne 33-35, 71000 Sarajevo, Bosnia and Herzegovina
- 121 Instituto Superior Técnico, Universidade de Lisboa, Portugal
- 122 Physics of Information and Quantum Technologies Group, Centro de Física e Engenharia de Materiais Avançados (CeFEMA), Portugal
- ¹²³PQI Portuguese Quantum Institute, Portugal
- 124 Department of Physics and Astronomy, The Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD 21218, USA
- 125 Boulby Underground Laboratory, Boulby Mine, Saltburn-by-the-Sea, TS13 4UZ, UK
- 126 Technology, Science and Technology Facilities Council, Harwell Campus, Didcot, OX11 0QX, UK
- 127 Department of Physics, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki 54124, Greece
- 128 Università degli Studi di Palermo, Dipartimento di Fisica e Chimica Emilio Segrè, via Archirafi 36, I-90123 Palermo, Italy
- ¹²⁹ Centre for Quantum Materials and Technologies, School of Mathematics and Physics, Queen's University Belfast, BT7 1NN, United Kingdom
- ¹³⁰Epitaxy and Physics of Nanostructures, Tyndall National Institute, University College Cork, Lee Maltings, Dyke Parade, Cork, T12R5CP, Ireland
- ¹³¹ Department of Physics, Stevens Institute of Technology, One Castle Point Terrace, Hoboken, NJ 07030, USA
- ¹³²Fysikum, Stockholm University, AlbaNova University Center, 106 91 Stockholm, Sweden
- ¹³³Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, POB 500, Batavia, IL 60510, USA
- 134 Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Bologna, Viale Berti-Pichat $6/2$, Bologna, 40126, Italy
- ¹³⁵Department of Physics, The University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ 85721-0081, USA
- 136 Institut d'Astrophysique de Paris, CNRS and Sorbonne Université, 98 bis bd Arago, 75014 Paris, France
- 137 Departament de Física, Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya, Campus Nord B4-B5, E-08034 Barcelona, Spain
- ¹³⁸Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Delaware, Delaware 19716, USA
- 139 Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia and LENS, Università di Firenze, INFN Sezione di Firenze, via Sansone 1, I-50019 Sesto Fiorentino (FI), Italy
- ¹⁴⁰Niels Bohr Institute, University of Copenhagen, Blegdamsvej 17, Copenhagen, 2100, DK
- ¹⁴¹SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, 2575 Sand Hill Road, Menlo Park, California 94025, USA
- ¹⁴²Main Astronomical Observatory of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine, Zabolotnoho str., 27, 03143, Kyiv, Ukraine
- ¹⁴³AGH University of Krakow, Aleja Mickiewicza, 30, 30-059, Krakow, Poland
- ¹⁴⁴Department of Physics, University at Bufalo, State University of New York, Fronczak Hall, 239, Bufalo, NY 14260, USA
- 145 Kavli Institute for Astronomy and Astrophysics, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China
- ¹⁴⁶ School of Physics and State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Technology, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China
- 147 Beijing Laser Acceleration Innovation Center, Huairou, Beijing, 101400, China
- ¹⁴⁸Institut de Ciències de l'Espai (ICE-CSIC), Campus UAB, Carrer de Can Magrans s/n, Cerdanyola del Vallès 08193, Spain
- ¹⁴⁹Institut d'Estudis Espacials de Catalunya (IEEC), Edifci RDIT, C/ Esteve Terradas, 1, desp. 212, Castelldefels 08860, Spain
- ¹⁵⁰SISSA, Via Bonomea 265, 34136 Trieste, Italy
- ¹⁵¹Sezione di Genova, Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Genova, Italy
- 152 Université d'Orléans, Laboratoire de Physique et Chimie de l'Environnement et de l'Espace, 3A Avenue de la Recherche Scientifique, 45071 Orléans, France
- ¹⁵³TQC, Physics Department, Universiteit Antwerpen, Universiteitsplein 1, Antwerpen, B-2610, Belgium
- ¹⁵⁴Beijing Institute of Mathematical Sciences and Applications, Beijing 101408, China
- ¹⁵⁵Department of Physics, University of Basel, Klingelbergstrasse 82, 4056 Basel, Switzerland
- ¹⁵⁶Self-Learning Systems Group, Fraunhofer IIS, Nuremberg, Bavaria, Germany
- ¹⁵⁷Departament of Cybernetics, Tallinn University of Technology, Akadeemia tee 21, 12618 Tallinn, Estonia
- 158 Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia, Università degli Studi di Padova, Via Marzolo 8, 35131 Padova, Italy
- ¹⁵⁹Virgo Group, DiSPeA, Carlo Bo University, Via S.Chiara 27, Urbino, PU 61029, Italy
- ¹⁶⁰Center for Quantum Technologies, Faculty of Physics, Sofa University, 5 James Bourchier blvd., 1164 Sofa, Bulgaria
- 161 Institute for Applied Physics, Technical University of Darmstadt, Darmstadt, Hassia, Germany
- ¹⁶² School of Civil, Aerospace and Design Engineering, University of Bristol, Bristol, BS81TR, UK
- ¹⁶³Quantum Engineering Technology Laboratories, H. H. Wills Physics Laboratory and Department of Electrical and Electronic Engineering, University of Bristol, Bristol BS8 1FD, UK
- ¹⁶⁴Johannes Gutenberg University, Staudingerweg 7, 55128 Mainz, Germany
- ¹⁶⁵Abu Dhabi Polytechnic, Institute of Applied Technology, Abu Dhabi 111499, UAE
- 166 Department of Physics, National Taiwan University, No.1 Sec.4 Roosevelt Road Taipei 10617, Taiwan
- 167 Instituto de Telecomunicações, Av. Rovisco Pais 1, 1049-001, Lisboa, Portugal
- ¹⁶⁸Department of Physics, Isfahan University of Technology, 84156-83111 Isfahan, Iran
- ¹⁶⁹AtomQL, Ajdovscina 1, SI-1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia
- @Contact Person
- [∗]Section Editor/Contributor, and/or Workshop Organiser

E-mail: Oliver.Buchmueller@cern.ch, John.Ellis@cern.ch

ABSTRACT:

This summary of the second Terrestrial Very-Long-Baseline Atom Interferometry (TVLBAI) Workshop provides a comprehensive overview of our meeting held in London in April 2024 [1], building on the initial discussions during the inaugural workshop held at CERN in March 2023 [2]. Like the summary of the frst workshop [3], this document records a critical milestone for the international atom interferometry community. It documents our concerted eforts to evaluate progress, address emerging challenges, and refne strategic directions for future largescale atom interferometry projects. Our commitment to collaboration is manifested by the integration of diverse expertise and the coordination of international resources, all aimed at advancing the frontiers of atom interferometry physics and technology, as set out in a Memorandum of Understanding signed by over 50 institutions [4].

Contents

1 Introduction

This document summarises the discussions and outcomes of the 2nd TVLBAI workshop [1], which gathered international experts to review recent advances in large-scale atom interferometer prototypes and potential future applications of atom interferometry for detecting ultralight dark matter and gravitational waves. The discussions focused on the physical principles and technological advances driving these state-of-the-art systems, and on establishing a structured framework for an international TVLBAI proto-collaboration.

Central to the workshop was the aim to leverage the collective expertise of researchers from various institutions, fostering a dynamic collaborative network to drive strategic discussions and secure funding for future large-scale projects. The sessions were marked by a thorough review of progress since our last meeting, with substantial eforts directed towards formalising the proto-collaboration by defning roles, responsibilities, and strategies for efective communication and coordination.

A primary goal of the TVLBAI activities, under the mandate of the new proto-collaboration, will be the development of a comprehensive roadmap for future kilometre-scale detectors. This roadmap will delineate strategic design choices, technological considerations, and scientifc drivers, setting clear timelines and identifying crucial milestones essential for the successful and timely realisation of these ambitious detectors, anticipated to be operational by the mid-2030s. The TVLBAI workshops serve as critical stepping stones towards achieving this goal, ensuring that each phase of the roadmap aligns with the collective vision and capabilities of the international scientifc community engaged in this pioneering efort.

Moreover, these workshops play a crucial role in cultivating a sense of community among participants, reinforcing the existing network of experts and advocates committed to pushing the boundaries of atom interferometry. This community spirit is fundamental to sustaining the momentum and ensuring the success of our collective eforts to make pioneering groundbreaking scientifc discoveries. Through this summary, we share our vision, highlight the challenges, and explore the exciting potential that lies ahead in the feld of atom interferometry.

1.1 2nd TVLBAI Workshop Participants

Figure 1 illustrates the diverse geographical distribution of the 276 registered participants in the 2nd TVLBAI Workshop. This breakdown showcases the broad geographical distribution of attendees: 77% from Europe, 11% from North America, 7% from Asia, 4% from international organisations and industry, and 1% from Africa. This diversity refects the geographical scope of the new TVLBAI proto-collaboration currently being formed, which is aimed at fostering global cooperation and strategic dialogue among leading experts in the feld.

The participants' diverse geographical distribution aligns with the workshop's objectives to discuss cutting-edge advancements in large-scale atom interferometer prototypes and their applications in detecting ultralight dark matter and gravitational waves. This gathering not

Figure 1. Statistics of the geographical distribution of the home institutions of the 276 participants who registered for the Workshop. From [1].

only facilitates the establishment of an international network but also supports the workshop's goal to develop a comprehensive roadmap for a global network of future kilometer-scale detectors. The involvement of such a broad array of experts is crucial for the successful realisation of these ambitious projects with diverse technological approaches, enabling the Workshop to serve as a cornerstone in advancing the initiative in atom interferometry and setting the stage for fundamental scientifc discoveries.

1.2 Setting the Scene: Ultralight Dark Matter & Gravitational Waves

The Experimental Context

Participants in this Workshop will be familiar with the basic principle of atom interferometers (summarised here in Section 1.3) (see also $[5]$), which is similar to that of laser interferometers: clouds of cold atoms are split by lasers into populations of ground and excited states that follow diferent space-time trajectories before being brought into superposition, where their interference patterns are measured. These patterns could be modifed by interactions of coherent waves of ultralight bosonic cold dark matter with the atomic constituents $[6, 7]$, or by the passage of gravitational waves $[8, 9]$. Inter alia, the sensitivity to such effects is enhanced in experiments where the atoms propagate freely over larger distances, hence the drive towards longer baselines on Earth or in space.

There are ongoing 10m projects at Stanford [10] and in Hannover [11], and another 10m project has been proposed by the AION Collaboration for Oxford in the UK [12]. Several $\mathcal{O}(100)$ m projects are under construction, including MIGA in France [13], ZAIGA in

China [14] and MAGIS at Fermilab in the US [15], and the AION Collaboration has also proposed a follow-on $\mathcal{O}(100)$ m detector [12]. The focus of this workshop is on a future generation of km-scale detectors, with projects proposed for the Sanford Underground Research facility in the US (see Section 9.2), Wuhan in China (see Section 9.6), ELGAR in Europe [16], the Boulby mine in the UK (see Section 9.3), and the Gotthard rail tunnel in Switzerland (see Section 9.4).

Searches for Ultralight Dark Matter

Figure 2 displays the prospective sensitivities of long-baseline atom interferometers to possible interactions of cold atoms with ultralight bosonic dark matter, compared with the current sensitivities of atomic clocks at low mass, probes of the universality of free fall (UFF) at intermediate masses, and torsion balances at higher masses. We see that, whereas the sensitivities of 10m atom interferometers begin to be comparable to UFF tests, long-baseline experiments ofer orders of magnitude improvements over current sensitivities, e.g., by factors up to $\sim 10^6$ in km-scale experiments and up to $\sim 10^{10}$ in a space-borne experiment such as AEDGE [17].

Figure 2. Sensitivity projections for linear ULDM couplings to electrons (left panel) and photons (right panel), neglecting gravity gradient noise. The green (blue) (purple) and red lines are for AION-10 (100) (km) and AEDGE, respectively. The shaded orange region is excluded by the existing constraints from searches for violations of the equivalence principle by the MICROSCOPE experiment and with torsion balances, atomic clocks, and the AURIGA experiment, as described in [5], where the assumed experimental specifcations can be found. Taken from [5].

The main limiting factor for the sensitivity in Fig. 2 is the atom shot noise, but another potential limiting factor is the Gravity Gradient Noise (GGN) due to seismic vibrations, whose level depends on the site. GGN may be mitigated by locating multiple atomic interferometers in the same vertical shaft, as illustrated in Fig. 7 below, which can be manipulated with the same laser beam, thereby eliminating laser noise and minimising GGN by making diference measurements. Further mitigation of GGN may be achieved with a network of seismometers

Figure 3. Dimensionless strain sensitivities of AION-10, -100 and -km, AEDGE and AEDGE+, compared with those of LIGO, LISA and ET and the signals expected from mergers of equal-mass binaries with combined masses 60, 10^4 and 10^7 solar masses. The assumed redshifts are $z = 0.1, 1$ and 10, as indicated. Also shown are the remaining times during inspiral before the final mergers. From $[19]$.

around the atom interferometer, which would require further site-specific studies.

Searches for Gravitational Waves

Atom interferometers enable searches for GWs in the deci-Hz frequency range between the sensitivities of terrestrial laser interferometers such as LIGO, Virgo and KAGRA (LVK) (see Section 3.2) and space-borne laser interferometers such as LISA, Taiji and TianQin (see Section 3.3). Deci-Hz GW opportunities are discussed in Section 3.4, here some examples are introduced briefly.

As seen in Fig. 3, experiments in this frequency range may be sensitive to the final stages of mergers between intermediate-mass black holes weighing $\sim 10^4$ solar masses, as well as the early inspiral stages of lower-mass black-hole binaries whose mergers can subsequently be observed by LVK detectors such as LIGO. These atom interferometer measurements could be used to predict when and in what direction these mergers will take place $[18]$, facilitating the preparation of multi-messenger measurements. Likewise, LISA could measure the early inspiral measurements of intermediate-mass mergers to be observed later by atom interferometers. These are examples of the prospective synergies of atom interferometer measurements with laser interferometers, as reviewed in Section 3.

Measurements of mergers of intermediate black holes are interesting in their own right, as they may help us understand the mechanisms that have formed the supermassive black holes

weighing $\gtrsim 10^6$ solar masses that infest galactic nuclei. Were they assembled hierarchically from low-mass seeds provided by the collapses of Population III stars? Or assembled from intermediate-mass seeds formed in protogalaxies that subsequently merged along with the black holes they contained? Or were the supermassive black holes formed directly in the mergers of protogalaxies?

These questions have become hot topics following the apparent observation by pulsar timing arrays (PTAs) of a stochastic background of nano-Hz GWs commonly thought to have been emitted by supermassive black hole binaries [20–23], and the discovery of a population of high-redshift supermassive black holes in observations using JWST and other telescopes. These two sets of observations are quite consistent and, taken together, may provide important clues to the formation of active galactic nuclei (AGNs) as well as supermassive black holes [24].

The PTA and JWST data are good news for atom interferometers in at least two ways. They both suggest that the Universe may contain more massive black holes than known previously, and the PTA data suggest that they form binaries relatively easily, a suggestion supported by JWST observations of a population of dual AGNs presumably containing black holes that will subsequently merge. Fig. 4 shows how an extrapolation of the PTA data using the Extended Press-Schechter formalism [25] to predict rates for higher-frequency gravitational waves from black hole mergers suggests that there may be an observable signal in the deci-Hz range.

Whilst black hole binaries are the default astrophysical interpretation of the PTA signal, many cosmological models invoking physics beyond the Standard Model also ft the data. One example shown in Fig. 4 is provided by cosmic (super)strings, which suggest a broad signal spectrum extending across the LISA, atom interferometer and LVK frequency ranges. Comparisons of the signal strengths in these ranges could provide unique information about the expansion history of the Universe [25].

As seen in Fig. 4, there are alternative cosmological scenarios based on phase transitions, domain walls, etc., that can also ft the PTA data but then would not predict an observable signal at higher frequencies. Alternatively, if such phenomena occur at a higher energy scale, they might abandon the PTA signal to massive black holes while providing a stochastic gravitational-wave background at higher frequencies.

Summary

These examples provide clear evidence that atom interferometers not only have unique reaches for ultralight bosonic dark matter, but also have interesting capabilities for measuring gravitational waves in the deci-Hz range. They open up the possibility of observing the mergers of intermediate mass black holes, and thereby providing information on the possible assembly mechanisms for supermassive black holes. The prospects for such measurements have been enhanced by PTA observations of a stochastic gravitational wave background that might be due to precursors to the biggest bangs since the Big Bang, or perhaps cosmological physics beyond the Standard Model. Very long baseline atom interferometers could help us discover the answer.

Figure 4. Extension of fits to current NANOGrav PTA data [20] (grey "violins") to higher frequencies, indicating the prospective sensitivities to the fractional cosmological energy density of gravitational waves of LVK and planned and proposed future detectors including LISA, AION and AEDGE. For clarity, we include only the frst four and the eighth NANOGrav data bins, which have the largest impact on ft quality. The green band extends the green supermassive black hole (SMBH) binary "violins" in the PTA range to higher frequencies, and shows the mean GW energy density spectrum from SMBH binaries heavier than $10^3 M_{\odot}$ for $p_{\text{BH}} = 0.25 - 1$. Individual SMBH binaries are expected to be measurable in this frequency range. From [25].

In addition to the fundamental physics that is central to this Workshop, 1 large-scale atom interferometry also ofers unique prospects for use in various geosciences. By achieving an extreme high accuracy using a completely independent measurement principle, it can provide a new absolute gravity reference for geodesy in future. All classical gravimeters can then be compared (and even calibrated) against such a novel gravity standard [26, 27]. This high accuracy would enable a higher sensitivity to local and regional mass changes, e.g., caused by ground water variations or geophysical processes. Everything that perturbs the measurements for a certain application can be the signal of interest for another one [28]. Thus, networks of such large-scale atom interferometers may also be useful for monitoring geophysical and geodynamic processes in the future, e.g., those associated with climate change [29].

1.3 Setting the Scene: Cold Atom Technology

Atom interferometers are analogous to Mach-Zehnder optical interferometers, splitting and recombining clouds of cold atoms rather than beams of light. They are capable of detecting

¹See the summary of the inaugural Workshop [3] for some other fundamental physics capabilities of longbaseline atom interferometers.

extremely small diferences in the relative phases of atom clouds that follow diferent paths, allowing for the measurement of tiny changes in distance, angular velocity, and other physical quantities. Atom interferometers have been made possible by the development of laser technology and quantum optics that enable the beamsplitters and mirrors of optical interferometers to be replaced by atom-light interactions, as illustrated in Fig. 5, taken from [5].

Figure 5. Left: Conceptual outline of a Mach-Zehnder laser interferometer [30, 31]. Right: Conceptual outline of an analogous atom interferometer. Atoms in the ground state, $|g\rangle$, are represented by solid blue lines, the dashed red lines represent atoms in the excited state, $|e\rangle$, and laser pulses are represented by wavy lines. From [5].

Laser pulses of coherent single-frequency light cause transitions between the atomic ground state and a specific excited state: $|g\rangle \leftrightarrow |e\rangle$, transferring both energy and momentum as illustrated in Fig. 6, taken from [5]. Precise control of the amplitude and duration of the light pulse enables the implementation of a beamsplitter that brings the atom cloud into a superposition of ground and excited states. After this splitting, the two states propagate along diferent paths and may accumulate diferent phase shifts due to, for instance, diferent gravitational fields. After a time T , a second pulse switches the ground and excited states and provides a second momentum kick that acts as a mirror, so that the paths recombine. A fnal pulse then acts as another beamsplitter before the numbers of cold atoms in the ground and excited states are read out by, e.g., fuorescence imaging.

The precision with which the final cold atom phase can be read out is limited by shotnoise, which scales $\propto 1/\sqrt{N}$, where N is the number of atoms. Current atom interferometers operate with $\sim 10^6$ atoms per second, and a key area of development in the coming years will be to increase this by orders of magnitude, as discussed in Section 6. Another area of improvement in the coming years will come from squeezing, as discussed in Section 7. Another objective will be to achieve large momentum transfers (LMTs) by arranging for the atoms to interact many times with counter-propagating interferometer lasers, as illustrated in the left panel of Fig. 7, taken from [32], and thereby acquire a large number n of momentum kicks, as discussed in Section 5. In principle, LMTs can increase the sensitivity of the interferometer n-fold and thereby enable high-precision measurements. LMTs with $n \lesssim 400$ have been demonstrated experimentally, and future developments will aim at increasing n further.

The phase noise of the laser used to implement the beamsplitters is a fundamental lim-

Figure 6. Every photon carries a momentum $p = \hbar k$ and, upon absorption of the photon, its momentum is transferred to the atom. This forms the basis for manipulating atomic momentum with resonant light. From [5].

Figure 7. Schematic representation of a gradiometer with multiple atom interferometers. The left panel illustrates the spacetime diagram of one of the atom interferometers with $n = 4$ LMT kicks. The atoms' excited ($|e\rangle$) and ground ($|g\rangle$) states are shown in dark and light blue, respectively, and $\pi/2$ and π −pulses are displayed as wavy purple and red lines. The right panel shows how a series of such atom interferometers may be spaced within a single vertical vacuum tube of length L, forming multiple atom gradiometers that combine the atom interferometers i, j , labelled as AG− (i, j) . From [32].

itation of atom interferometry. This sensitivity to laser noise is used in atomic clocks to stabilize the laser, enabling high precision for the time-averaged frequency. However, it is a signifcant limitation for atom interferometers, which search for time-dependent efects such as oscillating dark matter felds or gravitational waves. This limitation can be mitigated by employing gradiometer confgurations, where two or more interferometers are interrogated by a common laser, as illustrated in the right panel of Fig. 7 [32]. In such a design the laser noise is a common mode for all interferometers and so does not contribute to the diferences

Figure 8. Schematic illustrations of (a) the vertical atom interferometer, VLBAI, located in Hannover (from $[26]$) and (b) the horizontal geometry of the proposed ELGAR detector (from $[16]$).

between the phases measured by the interferometers. The sensitivity scales linearly with the separation, Δr , between the interferometers. This separation is in practice limited to around the kilometre scale in terrestrial interferometers, which is the longest baseline considered in this Workshop.

Broadly speaking, two classes of geometrical design are being considered for long-baseline atom interferometers: vertical and horizontal, as illustrated in Fig. 8. The vertical design class is illustrated by the VLBAI detector located in Hannover [11], and the horizontal design class by the proposed ELGAR detector [13]. In vertical designs clouds of cold atoms are launched vertically in a long vacuum pipe where they can be interrogated many times by a vertical laser beam, as illustrated in Fig. 7. In horizontal designs a larger number of cold atom sources are launched into horizontal beam pipes where they are interrogated by horizontal laser beams. Several diferent atomic species are used in the various atom interferometer projects, such as ytterbium (VLBAI), rubidium (VLBAI, MIGA, ZAIGA, ELGAR) and strontium (Stanford, ZAIGA, MAGIS, AION).

In addition to the Hannover VLBAI project, there are vertical atom interferometer projects in the United States (Stanford [10], MAGIS [15]), in the UK (AION [12]) and in China (ZAIGA [14]). The ZAIGA programme also includes a horizontal detector, and another (MIGA [13]) is under construction in the the Laboratoire Souterrain `a Bas Bruit (LSBB) in France. Horizontal and vertical detectors are both under consideration for TVLBAI, possibly in combination, operated as a network. We note that, whereas there are coherent plans for developing atom interferometers in the United States (Stanford, MAGIS and potentially SURF) and in China (Wuhan and ZAIGA), long-term plans in Europe are still at the discussion stage.

2 Physics

2.1 Introduction

The Standard Model (SM) of elementary particles and felds, has been extremely successful in predicting and explaining a plethora of physical phenomena. At the same time, the SM fails to explain extensive observational data on galactic and larger scales based on the observed matter content in the framework of "normal gravity" and the accelerated rate of the Universe's expansion. It also fails to resolve the hierarchy problem (why the masses of known particles are so much lighter than the fundamental energy scales at which the unifcation of fundamental forces occurs), the origin of the cosmological matter-antimatter asymmetry, the origin of neutrino masses and other fundamental physics issues.

The prevailing view is that invisible "dark matter" accounts for 84% of all matter in the Universe [33]. Despite much efort and advances in detectors, over two decades of direct searches for a very promising candidate, weakly interactive massive particles (WIMPs), have not yielded a discovery [34]. As a result, the past decade has seen unprecedented eforts in dark matter model building at all mass scales as well as the extensive design of numerous new detector types [35–37]. In particular, there has been a strong interest in discovering particles that interact very weakly with atomic matter and arise in a number of well-motivated theories [37, 38]. Within a broad class of models, dark matter can be composed of bosonic felds associated with ultralight ($\lessapprox 10$ eV) particles that are generally classified by their spin and intrinsic parity (scalar, pseudoscalar, vector) [37, 38]. In this mass range these particles are necessarily bosonic and exhibit a large occupation number, behaving in a "wave-like" manner. Their phenomenology is described by an oscillating classical feld. The coherent oscillations of these ULDM waves would give rise to a diverse range of time-dependent signals that could be detected using atom interferometers [3, 37]:

- oscillations of fundamental constants such as the fine-structure constant α , and ratio of the electron and proton masses,
- time-dependent diferences in accelerations between atoms in theories involving vector candidates, and
- time-dependent precession of nuclear spins in the case of pseudoscalar candidates.

The search for ULDM in the galactic halo using TVLBAI to probe its energy density near Earth has been discussed in detail in the frst workshop and presented in Chapter III.C of [3]; a summary is given in Section 1.2 with plots of expected limits. In this workshop, new ideas on using TVLBAI to detect transient ULDM-induced bursts that provide complementary coverage of the parameter space are presented here in Section 2.3.

Gravitational waves are another example of physics that interact very weakly with atomic matter but may carry information about fundamental physics targets such as black holes and cosmology. The reaches of the TVLBAI signal targets for astrophysics and new physics detection are summarized in Section 1.2. New physics discovery opportunities for TVLBAI gravitational wave detection were described in the frst workshop summary, Chapter III.B [3], and gravitational wave opportunities in the deci-Hertz range are discussed here in Section 2.2.

TVLBAI enables many other new physics searches. Using atom interferometers as freely falling clocks for time-dilation measurements is introduced in Section 2.4. Proposals for using atom interferometers for tests of quantum mechanics and of atom neutrality were described in the frst workshop summary in Chapters III.D and III.F [3], respectively.

2.2 Gravitational wave opportunities in the deci-Hertz range

Gravitational waves (GWs) are generated through the acceleration of massive objects. In General Relativity they are transverse waves propagating at the speed of light with two polarizations, typically denoted $+$ and \times to denote their different quadrupolar effects on space-time. The typical amplitude of a gravitational-wave signal induces a strain of $h \lesssim 10^{-20}$, requiring an instrument capable of measuring a fractional length fuctuation on that scale to observe a signal. Gravitational waves from binary mergers were frst observed in 2015 [39] by the LIGO Collaboration and, to date, observations of close to 100 mergers from binaries composed of black holes and neutron stars [40] have been published by the LIGO-Virgo-KAGRA (LVK) network. These observations have occurred at frequencies from tens of Hz to kilo-Hz. More recently, groups in the International Pulsar Timing Array have announced the observation of a stochastic background in the nano-Hertz band $[41, 42]$, which is consistent with the signal expected from a population of supermassive black hole binary mergers [43].

In the coming decade, ground-based interferometers are expected to increase in sensitivity $[45]$, enabling the observation of binary mergers out to redshifts z of a few, which corresponds to the era of peak star formation. The proposed terrestrial GW observatories Cosmic Explorer [46] and Einstein Telescope [47], will provide sensitivity to binary mergers throughout the universe, at frequencies down to around 5 Hz. The LISA mission is scheduled to fy in the mid-2030s [48, 49], and will have sensitivities in the milli-Hertz range, enabling the observation of individual supermassive black hole binaries. The sensitivities of current and future observatories are summarized in Fig. 9, with several GW sources indicated.

Gravitational waves in the deci-Hz range provide an excellent science target for TVL-BAI. As is clear from Fig. 9, other observatories do not have sensitivity in the $0.1 - 1$ Hz range. The potential sensitivity of future observatories is shown for compact binary mergers in Fig. 3 and for a stochastic background of gravitational waves in Fig. 4. There are several interesting sources of gravitational waves in the deci-Hertz band that will provide unique information that is complementary to the observations from ground-based laser interferometers at higher frequencies and LISA at lower frequencies. More details of the synergies with other observatories are discussed in Section 3.

The inspiral and merger of intermediate mass black hole binaries is a leading GW source for TVLBAI. Fig. 10 shows the sensitivity of the proposed AION 100m and km detectors. Assuming that a signal to noise ratio of 10 is required for confdent detection (as is the case for the LVK observations [40]), then 100 m scale atom interferometers have the potential to ob-

Figure 9. Sensitivity of existing and planned GW observatories, and potential GW sources. The y-axis shows the "characteristic strain" which is normalized so that the relative height of the signal above the detector sensitivity provides an estimate of the contribution to the signal to noise ratio. The figure shows a clear gap in instrumental sensitivity in the deci-Hertz range, from $0.1 - 1$ Hz, where the existing detectors do not have sensitivity. Figure generated by S. Fairhurst using GWPlotter [44].

Figure 10. Sensitivities of proposed TVLBAI observatories (AION 100m and AION km) to intermediate mass black hole binaries. The fgures show the distance at which the observatory would observe the GW signal from a merging black hole binary of a given total mass as a function of the observed signal to noise ratio. The sensitivities are generated assuming optimistic noise curves with fully subtracted gravity gradient noise. From [12].

serve intermediate mass black hole mergers around $10^4 M_{\odot}$ in the relatively nearby Universe, while km-scale observatories will have sensitivity to $z \sim 10$, and will enable high-SNR precision measurements for nearby sources [50]. Any observations, particularly in the $10^3-10^4M_{\odot}$ mass range, will be complementary to LVK or LISA observations which have limited sensitivity to mergers of this mass [51] (see Fig. 12). In addition, even where observations overlap with other detectors, TVLBAI observations will provide complementary information. For example, they will make measurements at lower frequencies, and hence during an earlier stage of the binary's evolution. Moreover, some formation scenarios lead to binaries formed in eccentric orbits that, through gravitational wave emission, gradually circularize [52]. This makes it challenging to observe eccentricity in the LVK frequency range, but observations in the deci-Hertz range, where the eccentricity is larger, provide unique capabilities to diferentiate formation models [53].

Type Ia supernovae occur either when a white dwarf accretes matter from a mainsequence companion (single degenerate progenitor) or when two white dwarfs merge (double degenerate progenitor) [54, 55]. Observation, or lack of, a gravitational wave signal in the deci-Hertz band provides a unique opportunity to distinguish between these scenarios. For a double white dwarf system, the gravitational wave emission would continue until ∼ 0.1 Hz before the objects merge. However, a white dwarf–main sequence binary would merge at a much lower frequency, due to the size of the main sequence star. Thus, observation of a deci-Hz GW signal associated with a Type Ia supernova would therefore provide strong evidence of a double degenerate progenitor [55]. The signals would, ideally, be associated both temporally and spatially and this requires good localization of the GW event. These events will persist for over a year in the deci-Hz band, and can therefore be localized accurately thanks to the motion of the Earth around the Sun during the observation[56].

2.3 Transient Targets for Dark Matter Searches using Quantum Sensors

ULDM is a promising target for TVLBAI, as outlined in Section 1.2. In addition to traditional searches for ULDM that probe its energy density near the Earth, searches for transient ULDM-induced bursts are also a viable method that provides complementary coverage of the parameter space.

One widely-studied example is the relativistic burst of scalars emitted in the collapse of a boson star [57, 58], a process called a bosenova [59, 60]. During collapse, scalars in the non-relativistic boson star infall toward the core, increasing their energy until it approaches their mass. At this point, number-changing annihilation processes [61] of the infalling scalars lead to a rapid conversion of a large fraction (generally between $10 - 50\%$) of the initial mass of the boson star to more energetic scalars that escape the collapsing star [60].

A search for these relativistic bursts can be characterized by the properties of the emitted scalars, which can be derived from numerical simulations of the boson star collapse [60]. Their typical energy, arising from e.g. $3 \rightarrow 1$ or $4 \rightarrow 2$ annihilation processes, is of order a few times their mass. As a result, an experiment searching for oscillations of frequency m_{ϕ} may, without modifcation, be sensitive to this modest shift in frequency, if the amplitude is large enough.

Further, in contrast to cold dark matter (DM), the dispersion in momentum of the emitted scalars is large, implying that a broadband search strategy should be employed.

In general, the burst propagates an astrophysical distance $\mathcal R$ to the Earth before encountering and depositing energy in the detector. Owing to the large mass density of boson stars being converted rapidly into the burst, even after propagating a distance $\mathcal{R} \sim$ pc – kpc, the burst density ρ_{\star} can exceed the local DM density $\rho_{\text{local}} \simeq 0.4 \,\text{GeV/cm}^3$ by many orders of magnitude $[62]$. This can be estimated simply as

$$
\rho_{\star} \simeq \frac{\mathcal{E}}{4\pi \mathcal{R}^2 \delta x},\tag{2.1}
$$

where ϵ is the total emitted energy in the bosenova (which will be of order the mass energy of the progenitor boson star) and δx is the spatial extent of the burst.

The semi-relativistic nature of the emitted scalars implies that the wave spreads signifcantly in flight, leading to several important effects $[62]$. First, clearly the spreading dilutes the energy density as $\sim \mathcal{R}^{-2}$, as in Eq. (2.1). Secondly, for bursts occurring outside the solar system, the length of the burst δx will quickly be dominated by the wave spreading rather than the intrinsic duration of the burst at the source, leading to a length that is of order \mathcal{R} . Thirdly, the propagation of fast momentum modes away from slow momentum modes in the burst will reduce the amount of destructive interference of the waves by the time they reach the detector, leading to a longer efective timescale of coherent oscillations which is of order $\tau_{\star} \sim 10^{-2} R/c$ (see [62] for details).

In summary, one can characterize the sensitivity of an experiment by the ratio of the minimal sensitive coupling in a traditional DM search (g_{dm}) to the minimal sensitive coupling in a transient bosenova search (q_{\star}) [62]:

$$
\frac{g_{\star}}{g_{\rm dm}} \simeq \left(\frac{\rho_{\rm local}}{\rho_{\star}}\right)^n \frac{t_{\rm int}^{1/4} \min(t_{\rm int}^{1/4}, \tau_{\rm dm}^{1/4})}{\min[t_{\rm int}^{1/4}, (\delta x/c)^{1/4}] \min[t_{\rm int}^{1/4}, \tau_{\star}^{1/4}]},\tag{2.2}
$$

where τ_{dm} is the coherent oscillation timescale for cold DM, t_{int} is the integration time in the experiment, and $n = 1/2$ $(n = 1)$ for ULDM with a linear (quadratic) coupling to the Standard Model. When $g_{\star}/g_{dm} < 1$, a transient search appears to be more sensitive to the coupling g than the corresponding traditional search for cold DM.

The sensitivity of current and future quantum sensing searches to a bosenova arising in various particle physics models was characterized in [63, 64] using Eq. (2.2). For bursts occurring within pc (kpc) distances, searches for bosonovae were found to be highly sensitive, exceeding the sensitivity of traditional DM searches in the mass range $10^{-21} \lesssim m_\phi c^2/{\rm eV} \lesssim 10^{-4}$ $(10^{-21} \lesssim m_\phi c^2 / \text{eV} \lesssim 10^{-10})$. Thus a TVLBAI is well-suited for the task of searching for, and discovering, transient signals from bosenovae that occur within our galaxy. Additional work is required to characterize the expected rate of bosenovae, which would allow for constraints to be set also in the absence of a signal; see [65–67] for important recent literature on this subject.

2.4 Atom interferometers as freely falling clocks for time-dilation measurements

As explained above, the two main objectives of TVLBAI facilities such as MAGIS-100 [15] and AION [12] serve as prototypes for future gravitational antennae in the mid-frequency band and searching for ULDM fields. However, their planned sensitivities may be insufficient for the actual detection of gravitational waves, and the outcome of the ULDM search may simply be a moderate improvement of the bounds on the couplings to the Standard Model felds, especially at the early stages. It is therefore worth investigating additional applications of such facilities to fundamental physics that can lead to non-vanishing measurements rather than mere null tests.

A possible application of this kind is the local measurement of relativistic time-dilation efects with freely-falling atoms, beyond the reach for state-of-the-art atomic-fountain clocks. In comparison, experiments in TVLBAI facilities can beneft from the much higher energy difference ΔE for an optical transition between the two clock states (instead of the hyperfine transition in alkali atoms), and from the longer baseline. Nevertheless, conceptual and practical challenges associated with the much larger photon recoil need to be addressed. Following Ref. [68], one can show that a Mach-Zehnder interferometer with laser pulses driving a singlephoton transition between the two clock states can act as a freely-falling clock (involving a quantum superposition of the two internal states) with an intermediate state inversion. As a result of this inversion, the clock runs backwards the second half of the time, leading to a vanishing relative phase between the two clock states in the case of identical times before and after the inversion pulse. When considering equal durations with respect to a time reference in the laboratory frame, time-dilation efects (due to special relativity and the gravitational redshift) imply a slight imbalance in the proper times for such a freely-falling clock. Measuring this time dilation requires a suitable measurement scheme that cancels the larger contribution from retardation efects due to the fnite speed of light and the motion of the atoms, which we will refer to as *Doppler effect*. The essential idea can be easily understood in a freely-falling frame, as outlined in the following paragraph.

In the freely-falling frame, vertically propagating light rays follow straight lines with fxed slope in a spacetime diagram. Both time dilation and the Doppler efect simply shift the light rays, with a diferent shift for each light ray, but keeping the slope unchanged; see Fig. 3 in Ref. [68]. Interestingly, while the shifts caused by time dilation are the same for upward- and downward-propagating light rays, those due to the Doppler efect have opposite sign for upward and downward propagation. This diference can be exploited to suppress the contributions from retardation efects. Indeed, the shifts due to the Doppler efect can be compensated through a suitable frequency chirp of the static source (in the laboratory frame) that emits the laser pulses. In practice, however, one cannot match the gravitational acceleration **g** and the initial velocity \mathbf{v}_0 of the freely-falling atoms exactly. The remaining contributions due to an imperfect matching can be suppressed by adding up the phase shifts measured for a pair of reversed interferometers involving laser pulses propagating in opposite directions.

As shown in Ref. [68], the phase shift for a Mach-Zehnder interferometer with laser pulses driving the single-photon transition between the two clock states is given by

$$
\delta\phi = -2\left(\Delta E/\hbar\right)\left(\bar{\mathbf{v}}_0 \cdot \mathbf{g}T^2 + g^2T^3\right)/c^2 + \delta\phi_{\text{corr}}\,. \tag{2.3}
$$

This coincides with the result for an ideal freely-falling clock with an intermediate inversion pulse following the mid-point trajectory between the two interferometer arms, except for the corrections $\delta\phi_{\text{corr}}$ that arise due to an imperfect matching of the frequency chirp. These can be suppressed efectively by considering a pair of reversed interferometers, as described above. Moreover, adding up the phase shift for the pair of reversed interferometers has the added beneft of suppressing the systematic efects associated with light shifts and laser wave-front curvature as well as gravity gradients and rotations.

On the other hand, in order to overcome the impact of laser phase noise and vibration noise of the retro-refection mirror, which would otherwise overwhelm the interferometric signal for sufficiently long times and sensitive interferometers, one needs to consider a suitable diferential measurement that does not suppress the signal of interest. This can be achieved with a non-standard gradiometric configuration, depicted in Fig. 11, where a pair of atom interferometers launched independently from two atom sources, located at the top (A) and at the bottom (B) of the long baseline, are interrogated by common laser pulses. The key aspect is the diferent velocities of the two simultaneously-interrogated interferometers (requiring two slightly diferent frequency components in each laser pulse in order to resonantly address both interferometers). The resulting diferential phase shift is then given by

$$
\delta\phi_A - \delta\phi_B = -2\left(\Delta E/\hbar\right)\left(\bar{\mathbf{v}}_0^A - \bar{\mathbf{v}}_0^B\right) \cdot \mathbf{g} T^2/c^2, \qquad (2.4)
$$

and can be interpreted as a comparison of the relativistic time-dilation efects for two freelyfalling clocks with diferent initial velocities and where a precise time reference in the laboratory frame no longer plays an important role.

The experimental implementation with MAGIS-100 should be relatively straightforward, with essentially no requirements in addition to those already planned. For initial velocities $\bar{\mathbf{v}}_0^A = (-20 \,\mathrm{m/s}) \,\hat{\mathbf{z}}$ and $\bar{\mathbf{v}}_0^B = (40 \,\mathrm{m/s}) \,\hat{\mathbf{z}}$, a total interferometer time $2T = 2 \,\mathrm{s}$ and $N = 10^5$ detected atoms, a shot-noise-limited fractional sensitivity of 10−⁵ can be reached with just a hundred shots. Moreover, as discussed in ref. [68], bringing the main systematic efects (including pulse timings, magnetic felds, rotations and gravity gradients) down to that level is feasible with capabilities that have already been demonstrated. The main challenge will come from the systematic efects associated with temperature gradients [68]. For example, a temperature gradient of $2 K/m$ (a typical value for this kind of facility, see [69]) implies systematic corrections at the 10⁻² level. By employing an array of temperature sensors along the baseline, it should be possible to post-correct such systematic efects and reduce the associated uncertainties by at least two orders of magnitude. It should also be stressed that in addition to the 100-m facilities, preliminary measurements with limited sensitivity will be possible in the smaller-scale prototypes involving 10-m atomic fountains that will become available very soon.

Figure 11. Spacetime diagrams in the laboratory frame that depict non-standard gradiometric confgurations involving a pair of atom interferometers operated simultaneously with diferent initial velocities. The two atom clouds are independently launched from the top (A) and bottom (B) sources and interrogated by three common laser pulses consisting each of two slightly diferent frequencies so that both interferometers can be resonantly addressed. The mid-point trajectories of the two interferometers are shown as dashed lines, and the central wave fronts of the laser pulses are shown as continuous red lines. The pair of atom interferometers are interrogated by upward propagating pulses in (a), whereas a pair of reversed interferometers are alternatively interrogated by downward propagating ones in (b). From [68].

In conclusion, the proposed scheme will enable the local measurement of gravitational time dilation with freely-falling atoms, beyond the reach of state-of-the-art atomic fountain clocks based on microwave transitions, and will offer an excellent opportunity to test and validate atom interferometry experiments spanning baselines up to 100m with guaranteed nonvanishing measurements of relativistic efects. This measurement scheme difers conceptually from recent proposals to measure gravitational time-dilation efects [70] in quantum-clock interferometry [71–73] (or related variants [74–76]). In those, a single clock is prepared in a delocalized quantum superposition of two wave packets at diferent heights and experiencing a diferent gravitational redshift, which is then refected on the interference signal when they are eventually recombined. In contrast, the interferometric scheme displayed in Fig. 11 corresponds to comparing two independent clocks, and rather than being proportional to the arm separation for a single interferometer, the signal depends on the total baseline available, which can be much longer in TVLBAI facilities.

It should also be emphasized that, contrary to the null test involving a diferential measurement of two diferent isotopes described in Ref. [77], where a non-vanishing result would only be obtained in case of violations of the equivalence principle, the interferometric scheme

presented here gives a non-trivial result even in the absence of such violations. This is particularly relevant because to leading order the energy diference for these optical clock transitions is independent of the nuclear mass, and the efect of any violations would be rather suppressed when comparing two isotopes. Moreover, since the comparison involves at least one bosonic isotope, for which the clock transition is forbidden unless a strong magnetic feld is applied, an implementation based on single-photon transitions is in practice not viable in a TVLBAI facility.

3 Synergies of Cold Atom and Laser Interferometry GW Experiments

3.1 Introduction

Following the discovery of GWs in the frequency range of 10 to 100 Hz by the LIGO and Virgo experiments, several pulsar timing array (PTA) collaborations have presented evidence for a stochastic background of GWs with frequencies in the nano-Hz range [20–23]. The spaceborne laser interferometer experiment LISA [78] has recently been approved by ESA, and there are also proposals in China (Taiji [79] and TianQin [80]) for space-borne laser interferometer experiments targetting similar frequency ranges $\sim 10^{-4}$ to 10^{-2} Hz. As discussed during this Workshop, there are interesting opportunities for GW observations in the deci-Hz frequency range intermediate between the ranges where ground- and space-based laser interferometers are optimised: see Figs. 3 and 4.

Decades of experience with electromagnetic detectors have demonstrated the valuable synergies provided by multi-wavelength observations of astrophysical sources. This Session is devoted to the prospective synergies between GW observations in diferent frequency ranges. These include synergies between deci-Hz observations and observations in adjacent frequency bands, e.g., the higher frequencies being explored by the LIGO, Virgo and KAGRA (LVK) laser experiments – and possibly the Einstein Telescope [47, 81] and/or Cosmic Explorer [46, 82] experiments in the future – and the lower frequencies to be explored by space-borne laser interferometers such as LISA. There are also interesting prospects for deci-Hz observations of the mergers of intermediate-mass black holes that may have synergies with the interpretation of the GW signals reported by the PTA collaborations.

This Session comprises three presentations: one on the status and prospects for science with the LVK experiments, another on the prospects for LISA observations, and a third discussing how long-baseline atom interferometer measurements in the deci-Hz range may be motivated by, and related to, the PTA observations.

3.2 LIGO, Virgo and KAGRA science and synergies

The ground-based laser interferometric gravitational wave observatories, LIGO, Virgo and KAGRA (LVK) have completed three observing runs [40] operating as a global network, during which close to one hundred gravitational wave signals from binary mergers have been observed. Indeed, in less than ten years from the frst observation [39], the current rate of observations has increased to several events per week. To date, the progenitors of all events have been the mergers of two compact objects, either black holes or neutron stars. The vast majority of events have been emitted by binary black holes whose orbits shrink with the emission of gravitational waves until the system fnally merges into a single black hole. In addition a handful of neutron star - black hole and binary neutron star mergers have been detected, most notably the frst observation of a binary neutron star merger (GW170817) [83] which was observed also as a Gamma Ray Burst and an electromagnetic transient across a broad range of frequencies [84].

The range of black hole masses observed by the LVK network is determined by the frequency sensitivity of the detectors, with the observing band extending from ≈ 10 Hz to few kHz. The range of black hole mergers to which the detectors are sensitive scales with the frequency as

$$
f \approx 100 \text{Hz} \frac{100 M_{\odot}}{(1+z)M} \tag{3.1}
$$

where M is the total mass of the binary, in units of solar masses, and z is the redshift of the source. Thus, binaries comprised of low-mass black holes or neutron stars sweep across the LVK band while mergers of massive stellar origin black holes (with individual masses $\gtrsim 30 M_{\odot}$) merge shortly after entering the LVK band.

LVK observations are beginning to reveal the properties of the black hole population in the nearby universe [85]. This population shows a preference for approximately equal mass binaries, with the emergence of structure in the mass distribution. In particular, there are peaks in the primary mass distribution at around $10M_{\odot}$ and $30M_{\odot}$. There is an expectation of a mass gap from $70 - 120M_{\odot}$ where pair-instability supernova would lead to the explosion of massive stars and no black hole remnant. Some events, such as GW190521 [86], have masses that appear to lie in the putative pair-instability mass gap, although black holes lying in this gap can be formed in other ways such as through hierarchical mergers.

The proposed next generation of terrestrial gravitational wave observatories, the Einstein Telescope (ET) [47, 81] and Cosmic Explorer (CE) [46, 82], will be approximately an order of magnitude more sensitive than the current detectors across a broad frequency band. This will enable observations of binary mergers throughout the universe, back to the era of the formation of the frst generation of stars. In addition, these detectors will push to lower frequency, with sensitivities down to 5Hz or even 3Hz. This will enable CE and ET to observe a broad range of binary mergers, including black hole binaries with masses as large as $\sim 10^4 M_{\odot}$, and enable the observation of heavy stellar origin black hole mergers $\sim 100 M_{\odot}$ at redshift of $z \approx 20$, which corresponds to the era of first star formation. For these early universe systems, the signal is redshifted to lower frequencies as it travels to the Earth, which leads to the $(1 + z)$ factor in the denominator of Equation (3.1). For even higher-mass or higher-redshift systems, the gravitational wave emission will lie outside the sensitive band of CE and ET.

A major question in astronomy is how supermassive black holes (SMBHs), with masses as high as $10^9 M_{\odot}$ formed by redshift $z \sim 7$, less than 1 billion years after the big bang [51].

Figure 12. Model predictions for the distribution of binary black hole (BBH) coalescence events in the redshift z -m_{BH,T} diagram [51], where $m_{\text{BH,T}}$ is the total BBH mass. The data points describe cosmologically-driven BH mergers. Grey triangles, blue squares and red circles denote the total masses and redshifts of the coalescences from a simulation forming a $\sim 10^9 M_{\odot}$ SMBH at $z_{\rm QSO} = 6.4$, 2 and 0.2 (represented with stars in the plot). Symbols with white edges indicate mergers involving at least one heavy seed. Color-coded areas represent lines of constant signal-to-noise ratios for ET (yellow/red) and LISA (azure/blue) computed for non-spinning binaries assuming a mass ratio $q = 0.5$, which corresponds to the mean value of the merging binaries extracted from our samples. Taken from [51].

Proposed models include both *light seed* and *heavy seed* black holes, or a combination of the two. Light seed black holes are expected to form from the collapse of population III stars with a mass of several $100M_{\odot}$, whereas heavy seeds of mass $\gtrsim 10^4M_{\odot}$ form through the direct collapses of gas clouds. It is expected that through a series of mergers and accretion, these seed black holes will evolve into the supermassive black holes that are observed. Gravitational wave observations provide an ideal venue for unveiling the formation of these SMBHs, as shown in Fig. 12. The mergers of heavy seeds and the fnal mergers of SMBHs will be observable by LISA. However, the initial mergers of light seed black holes, with masses $\approx 100M_{\odot}$ in the early universe will only be visible to the next-generation GW observatories, CE and ET. Nonetheless, there is a range of black hole mergers which will not be observable by either next-generation ground-based laser interferometers or by LISA. These are clearly identifable in Fig. 12 at redshifts above $z = 4$ and masses between a few hundred and a few thousand solar

masses. Observation of these signals is vital to ensure that the *light seed* black holes really do evolve all the way from $\sim 100 M_{\odot}$ remnants of the first stars into $\sim 10^{9} M_{\odot}$ super massive black holes. Future atom interferometers with sensitivities in the deci-Hz range provide a unique capability to probe these mergers and therefore complete the picture of light seed evolution to supermassive black hole.

As an additional synergy, we briefy discuss a case where a non-detection of a signal by atom interferometers may nonetheless provide signifcant astrophysical insight. In [87], a detailed investigation of the observability of high-mass stellar BHs at high redshift was performed. In many cases, only the fnal few cycles of the gravitational waveform from these events, corresponding to the end of the inspiral then merger, will be observable in CE and ET. This makes it challenging to extract accurately the parameters of the signal. One particular challenge is to accurately infer the distance to the signal, as this is largely degenerate with the binary orientation [88]. In many cases, this degeneracy can be broken by identifying multiple modes of the gravitational wave signal. The leading order (2, 2) mode is emitted at twice the orbital frequency while higher modes are typically emitted at higher frequencies with, e.g. the (3, 3) and (4, 4) modes emitted at three and four times the orbital frequency respectively. However, in some cases where only one mode is identifable, it was found that the mode could not be unambiguously identified — the signal could equally well be attributed to the $(2, 2)$ mode of a binary or the (3, 3) mode of a diferent binary with signifcantly higher mass and lower redshift. The former signal would be associated to a merger of light seed black holes in the early universe, while the second would be from intermediate mass black holes (IMBHs). The amplitude of the IMBH signal would be an order of magnitude larger in the deci-Hz band than the light seed black hole signal. Thus, the non-observation of a signal in an atom interferometer, combined with the observation in CE and ET, would provide clear evidence of the early-universe origin of the event.

3.3 LISA science and synergies

The expected strain sensitivity of LISA as a function of GW frequency is illustrated in Fig. 13. The sensitivity is maximised at $f \sim 10^{-2}$ Hz, with an interesting range extending from $\sim 10^{-4}$ to $\sim 10^{-1}$ Hz, as seen in Fig. 13. LISA is optimised for the detection of mergers of supermassive black hole (SMBH) binaries and extreme mass-ratio inspirals (EMRIs) [78]. It will also be able to observe known galactic binaries (blue stars), which will be useful for verifcation and calibration purposes. Many more galactic binaries are expected to exist, and their GWs signals could be resolved in the region of the frequency/strain plane that is shaded violet. GW signals in the region of the plane that is shaded grey could not be resolved, which reduces the total sensitivity of LISA compared to the ideal instrumental limit over a range of frequencies $\sim 10^{-3}$ Hz.

The frequency of the GW signal from any specifc SMBH binary increases during the inspiral phase, with the characteristic strain gradually decreasing until the fnal stages of infall and merger, which generate a peak in the induced strain that is followed by a decrease in the GW amplitude during the ringdown phase. Observations of the GW signal during the inspiral

Figure 13. Illustration of the GW science capabilities of LISA, featuring sources including mergers of SMBH binaries of masses $10^7, 10^6$ and 10^5 solar masses at redshifts $z = 3$ (from left to right), extreme mass-ratio infall (EMRI) events, verifed and resolved galactic binaries, the confusion noise from unresolved binaries, and early stages of LIGO-type stellar-mass binaries. Adapted from [78].

stage of binaries with SMBH masses in the range of 10^7 to 10^5 solar masses will be possible over long periods of time, as indicated in Fig. 13, making possible detailed studies of the evolving GW wave form that can be used to test general relativity, verifying high-precision theoretical predictions. These measurements will also enable detailed properties of the SMBH binary systems to be determined (e.g., masses, redshift, orientation and eccentricity) [78]. They will also enable the time to merger to be predicted, facilitating multi-messenger observations by astronomical observatories.

In addition to the mergers of SMBHs that could be expected on the basis of the observed cosmological population of SMBHs associated with active galactic nuclei (AGNs), one may anticipate that mergers of intermediate-mass black holes (IMBHs) with masses $< 10⁵$ solar masses may also occur at observable rates and distances. Although the GW signals from their early inspiral stages could be observed by LISA, as seen in Fig. 13, the IMBH merger and ringdown stages would emit GWs with frequencies in the range $\geq 10^{-1}$ Hz where LISA is less sensitive. In this case there would be interesting synergies between observations by LISA and in the deci-Hz range of frequencies, as could be provided by atom interferometers [89]: see Section 3.4. Fig. 3 in Section 1.2 shows the example of a merger of a pair of IMBHs with total mass 10^4 solar masses [90]. GWs due to inspiral prior to such an event at a redshift $z = 1$ could be measured by LISA over a period of a month, with a TVLBAI (represented here by AION-km) subsequently observing the merger and ringdown stages. The LISA observations would enable the direction, distance and chirp mass of such an event to be estimated, but they could be measured with much greater precision by a TVLBAI, as seen in Fig. 14 [91]. Such IMBH mergers may play essential roles in the assembly of SMBHs, but the existence and environments in which such events take place are currently unknown.

Another interesting example of possible synergies is provided by the (almost) straight, sloping lines in Fig. 13 showing GWs at frequencies $\geq 10^{-2}$ Hz due to the early inspiral stages of LIGO-type stellar-mass black hole binaries [92, 93]. As shown in Fig. 3, their signals would pass across the TVLBAI frequency range before the fnal merger and ringdown stages were observed by LVK and/or ET/CE. In such a case, TVLBAI observations could sharpen considerably the measurements of the parameters of the binary system made by LISA [94], facilitating multi-messenger observations of the fnal merger.

In addition to the astrophysical GW sources exhibited in Fig. 13, LISA will also have interesting sensitivities to cosmological sources such as frst-order phase transitions [95] and cosmic strings [96]. Their signals extend over a broad frequency range that may extend across the TVLBAI frequency range as well as the LISA range. Joint measurements may help distinguish between possible sources of such stochastic GW backgrounds.

3.4 Deci-Hz synergies

Since the discovery of gravitational waves (GWs) by LIGO [39], GWs have proven useful for uncovering a population of stellar-mass black holes (BHs) [40, 85], testing Einstein's theory of gravity in the strong regime [97], and exploring cosmology [84, 98]. This represents just the frst indication of what future GW observations promise. One of the keys to unlocking the full potential of these observations lies in the exploration of the entire frequency spectrum, ranging from nano- to kilo-Hertz. In this regard, atomic interferometers play a crucial role in bridging the observational gap between LISA [78] and ground detectors [47, 99] that was highlighted in Section 2.2.

In astrophysics, synergies with LISA will emerge in at least two directions. The frst involves detecting the same BH binaries as LISA but in diferent stages of evolution and the second, perhaps more intriguingly, detecting BHs that escape the range of LISA. The frequency range of atomic interferometers is optimal for observing mergers, which are the most violent processes in the evolution of the binary, with chirp masses $m_c = (10^2 - 10^4) M_{\odot}$. In this respect, a 1-km TVLBAI will surpass the sensitivity of LISA during the fnal days of evolution for binaries with $m_c < 10³M_{\odot}$. In the left panels of Fig. 14, we see the errors in recovering the parameters of the binary as a function of redshift for both LISA, a 1-km TVLBAI and a space-borne atom interferometer (AEDGE). We see how the 1-km TVLBAI can recover the parameters of the binary with 10% precision up to $z \sim 2$ for binaries of $\mathcal{O}(10^2 M_{\odot})$ and up to $z \sim 5$ for binaries of $\mathcal{O}(10^4 M_{\odot})$, and how it compares to both LISA and AEDGE. On the right side, we see the precision with which the parameters of the binary can be recovered as a function of the time to merger. We see that for a binary of $\mathcal{O}(10^2 M_{\odot})$ at $z = 1$, a 1-km TVLBAI can still detect the binary in its final stages when it is at less than one day to the merger, whereas LISA can detect it only up to $\tau \sim 1$ day.

BHs in this mass range hold the key to solving the enigma of supermassive black hole (SMBH) formation [100]. It is believed that these BHs evolved from their "seeds" by a hierarchy of mergers and through mass accretion. The hierarchical nature of this evolution implies a continuous mass spectrum, ranging from seed masses that have either evolved very

Figure 14. Prospective accuracies for measurements of binary parameters (distance, redshift and chirp mass): The upper and lower panels correspond to two binaries whose component masses are fxed. In the left panels, the binary is observed for the last 1 year and the errors are shown as functions of redshift. In the right panels, $z = 1$ and the errors are shown as a function of the binary coalescence time at the beginning of a one-year observation. The errors for the masses of the both BHs are almost the same. From [91].

little or not at all [101], to the SMBHs that populate the centers of the largest galaxies [102]. The reason why the origin of SMBHs remains unknown is partly connected to our lack of understanding of the behavior of dark matter on small scales. The lightest halos lack sufficient gas to form stars, making them extremely difcult to detect. These halos and the faintest galaxies, according to cosmological models, host the least evolved BH descendants and hold the key to unravelling the origin of SMBHs [103]. Atomic interferometers are sensitive to these remnants in the "light seed" scenario, where the seeds are $(10^2 - 10^3) M_{\odot}$, and could detect remnants of these SMBH progenitors, and their non-detection would provide evidence in favor of a "heavy seed" (> $10^4 M_{\odot}$) scenario. A 1-km TVLBAI would be sufficient to distinguish between the "heavy seed" and "light seed" scenarios. In the left panel of Fig. 15 we see how well it is possible to recover the seed mass parameter, m_{cut} , from binary observations with a 1-km TVLBAI, AEDGE and LISA. We see how a 1-km TVLBAI could distinguish at the 95% CL a heavy seed scenario with $\mathcal{O}(10^5 M_{\odot})$ from a light seed scenario with $\mathcal{O}(10^2 M_{\odot})$. In the right panel we see that in the case of a mixed formation scenario, where f_1 is the fraction of the SMBHs that come from the light seed, it is possible to recover the contribution of each channel with an interesting uncertainty in the value of f_1 .

The recent evidence from Pulsar Timing Arrays (PTAs) for a stochastic GW background in the nano-Hertz range [20–23] provides insight into predictions for LISA and atom interferometers. If the signal is to be interpreted as originating from SMBH binaries, it implies a

Figure 15. Left panel: The 95% CL accuracy with which LISA, AEDGE and a 1-km TVLBAI could measure the seed mass parameter m_{cut} in the range of $[10^2, 10^6]M_{\odot}$. Right panel: The 95% CL accuracy with which LISA, AEDGE and a 1-km TVLBAI could measure the fraction of light seeds, f_1 , assuming an input mixture of seeds with masses 10^2 and $10^5 M_{\odot}$ and $f_2 = 1 - f_1$. The solid and dashed curves in both panels correspond, respectively, to $w = 1$ and $w = 2$, which is related to the width of the distribution of the seed mass. From [91].

high efficiency in binary formation and rapid orbital evolution $[21, 104-106]$ during the final stages of hierarchical SMBH evolution. If this mechanism extends to lighter binaries that are part of the same hierarchical process, it predicts that a signifcant number of binaries should be observable by both LISA and atom interferometers. As can be seen in the left panel of Fig. 16, focusing on binaries that can be observed within 1 minute of the merger, the number of events per year for LISA is reduced to only ∼ 5 events for the light-seed case, while a 1-km TVLBAI such as AION is expected to detect around 10. In the heavy-seed case, LISA will not see the last 1 minute of the binaries if $m_{\text{cut}} > 10^5 M_{\odot}$. On the other hand, AEDGE would detect most of the binaries until within 1 minute of the merger, except for those lighter than $m_c \sim 100 M_\odot$. A 1-km TVLBAI has a similar range to AEDGE but, because it has less sensitivity, the number of events is decreased to around 10 if $m_{\text{cut}} \sim 10^2 M_{\odot}$ and further reduced to 1 event per year on average for $2 \times 10^4 M_{\odot}$.

An explicit realization of the binary population in a light-seed scenario with $(m_{cut} =$ $10^2 M_{\odot}$) is shown in the middle panel of Fig. 16, and in a heavy-seed scenario with $(m_{\text{cut}} =$ $10^5 M_{\odot}$) in the right panel of Fig. 16. We see that AEDGE and, to a lesser extent, a 1-km TVLBAI will probe BH binaries over a range of masses that are too light for LISA, covering the mass range covered by terrestrial laser interferometers from $m_{\text{cut}} < 100 M_{\odot}$ to $\sim 10^4 M_{\odot}$. Considering the fnal stages within one minute of a merger, AEDGE binaries can explore the range $m_{\text{cut}} \in (10^2 - 10^4)$ M_{\odot} out to redshifts $z \sim 7$. LISA, on the other hand, observes only the last minutes of heavier binaries with $m_{\text{cut}} \in (10^4 - 10^6)$ M_{\odot} and $z < 4$. Finally, a 1-km TVLBAI will detect a handful of events per year for $m_{\text{cut}} < 10^4 M_{\odot}$ at low redshifts, $z < 4$, mainly in the $(10^3 - 10^4)$ M_{\odot} mass range and mostly in the last moments before the merger. We refer the reader to [91] for more details on the computation.

Extrapolating from PTA observations to deci-Hertz frequencies may seem risky, but there

Figure 16. Left panel: The expected numbers of binaries detectable by a 1-km TVLBAI (AION), AEDGE and LISA during a year of observation, as functions of m_{cut} . The solid curves show all detectable binaries whereas the dotted curves for AEDGE and LISA show only those for which the last 1 minute of the merger is seen: the last minutes of all mergers are seen by AION. *Middle and* right panels: Explicit examples of the detectable binaries for a light-seed and a heavy-seed scenario. The sizes of the dots are $\propto \ln[\text{SNR}^{-1}]$ with the minimum size corresponding to $\text{SNR} = 10^4$ and the maximal to $SNR = 10$. The darker dots correspond to binaries for which the last 1 minute of the merger is seen. From [91].

is preliminary evidence that the model for SMBH binaries remains valid for lighter BHs. The new observations from JWST are invaluable, as we are witnessing the formation of a SMBH in its most vigorous stage. The interpretation of these results has not yet reached a unanimous consensus: the BHs observed are heavier than expected [107], and the density of active galactic nuclei (AGNs), specifcally those known as "little red dots" [108], as well as the fraction of dual AGNs [109], is higher than anticipated. If the merger is interpreted as the fnal stage of a process that triggers AGNs, which is a reasonable assumption, it allows us to connect the binary model with the JWST observations. In the upper panels of Fig. 17 we show the dependencies of the numbers of AGNs and fractions of dual AGNs as functions of the parameters of the environmental efects. For these parameters, the lower panel of Fig. 17 illustrates the timescales of the environmental energy loss mechanisms, including GW emission, gas efects, stellar loss-cone scattering and dynamical friction, as functions of the binary separation. The horizontal bands in the upper panel show the 95% CL bands of the JWST "Little red dots" and dual AGN observations calculated assuming the Poisson and binomial distribution, respectively. We can see how, within the 95% CL region of the NANOGrav ft, it is possible to obtain a coherent description of the evolution of binary systems that explains both the NANOGrav GW signal and the JWST dual AGN and "little red dots" observations, providing preliminary evidence that the binary evolution picture can be extrapolated to lower masses. For more details on the computation we refer the reader to [24]. Although the JWST observations are still very recent and their interpretation remains uncertain, there is a synergy between JWST and atom interferometers, as they can probe the same populations of SMBHs at complementary stages in their evolution.

In conclusion, atom interferometers will enable the detection of the same binaries as LISA when they are at $z < 4$ and with masses of $\mathcal{O}(10^2 M_{\odot})$, but at different stages of their

Figure 17. Upper panels: The expected total number of AGNs at $z > 2$ and the expected dual AGN fraction at $z > 3$ as functions of the environmental parameters. Lower panel: Illustration of the timescales of the environmental energy loss mechanisms of SMBH binaries as functions of their separations for the same parameter values as in the upper panels. The vertical bands illustrate the separation ranges probed by the PTAs and by the JWST dual AGN observations. The color coding of the curves matches that shown in the upper panels. From [24].

evolution. Specifcally, they will observe their evolution when less than one day remains before the merger. Heavier binaries with masses $\mathcal{O}(10^4 M_{\odot})$ will be observed by both LISA and atom interferometers, although atom interferometers will provide better precision in the fnal minutes before the merger. The BHs to which they are most sensitive, with masses $\mathcal{O}(10^2 10^4 M_{\odot}$, hold the key to resolving the mystery of SMBH formation. Although these are closer in mass to the binaries observed by LIGO, they could represent the lighter end of a hierarchical process that culminates in SMBHs at the centers of galaxies. Hence, theoretical models that explain the new PTA observations allow us to extrapolate this hierarchical formation process to higher frequencies. Preliminary evidence that this extrapolation may be correct lies in the compatibility with JWST observations. Under these assumptions, the expected number of binaries for a 1-km TVLBAI in the light-seed scenario is $\mathcal{O}(10)$ per year, in the mass range of $\mathcal{O}(10^2 - 10^4)M_\odot$ at $z < 4$. In all these binaries, the merger will also be observed. LISA, however, will only observe the merger of the heavier binaries. In the case of the heavy-seed scenario, a 1-km TVLBAI is not expected to observe any binaries. In any case, the observation or non-observation of binaries will be sufficient to determine the origin of SMBHs. As our understanding of the GW background in the nano-Hertz regime improves, or as our interpretation of JWST observations evolves, the predictions will need to be updated, highlighting the strong synergy between atom interferometers and other observatories.

4 Introduction to cold atom experimental requirements

The long-baseline atom interferometers currently in design and development consist of atoms pre-cooled in an *atom source*, and efficiently transferred to an *interferometry vacuum tube* long

enough to accommodate the baseline between interferometers. The interferometry tube has stringent requirements on vacuum levels and magnetic shielding, with subsequent constraints on optical and mechanical access as discussed in Section 10. This design concept is common across many ultracold atom platforms, where a carefully-designed 'science chamber' or glass cell accommodates the vacuum, optical, geometric and magnetic feld requirements driven by the experimental science goals. Pre-conditioned atoms are then transferred from a spatially separate atom source that may consist of one or more vacuum chambers².

Design and operational requirements on long-baseline interferometers are motivated primarily by their phase sensitivity goals. This places a set of interconnected experimental constraints afecting both the atom source and approach to interferometry. This infuences apparatus design choices as well as the experimental steps in cooling, manipulating and probing the ultracold atoms. Many of these considerations are shared with cold atom platforms used for quantum simulation, quantum information processing and other forms of quantum sensing, as well as atom interferometry. Sections 5 to 8 outline methods and considerations that primarily apply to long-baseline atom interferometry, but share commonalities with portable or tabletop interferometers or broader ultracold atom platforms, and some that directly link techniques employed in quantum simulation experiments with the requirements we seek here.

State-of-the-art atom interferometric sensors are usually limited in their sensitivity by a fundamental limit that arises whenever such sensors are operated with uncorrelated particles. The phase resolution at this so-called Standard Quantum Limit (SQL) is equal to $\Delta\phi_{\mathrm{SQL}} = 1/\sqrt{N}$ for N uncorrelated two-level systems entering the interferometer. The SQL is assumed to be the dominant source of noise in many TVLBAI proposals [12, 15, 110]: as such, minimizing the SQL, or beating it, will be crucial for allowing TVLBAI detectors to achieve their projected sensitivities. Sensitivity below the SQL is possible by introducing quantum correlations between particles, i.e. quantum entanglement, for example by preparing the atoms in a squeezed state. These entangled states can improve signifcantly the scaling with atom number [111], in principle up to the Heisenberg limit where the phase resolution is given by $\Delta\phi_H = 1/N$. These beyond-SQL methods are the topic of Section 7. This also motivates the requirement for a large atom number in each interferometry cycle, hence efficient delivery of a high flux of atoms as discussed in Section 6. A tension exists between these requirements, due to the challenge of achieving the Heisenberg limit in the large ensembles in our target range of $10^3 - 10^6$ atoms [112], and a compromise will need to be reached based on technical challenges and achievements in both atom cloud production and squeezing technologies.

The science goals of long-baseline interferometers (e.g., gravitational wave detection and searches for dark matter) place demanding requirements on the acceleration sensitivity that

 2 It is important to note that there also exist a large number of single-chamber experiments in which the diferent stages of the experiment are separated temporally rather than spatially; if the science goals and other experimental requirements allow for this approach, benefts include experimental simplicity, fast repetition rate and a compact design.

long-baseline interferometers must achieve. Since the phase response to accelerations scales linearly with the momentum splitting between interferometer arms, one promising route to higher sensitivity is to increase the number of photons exchanged during each atom-light interaction—a technique known as large momentum transfer (LMT) interferometry, discussed in Section 5. Previous experiments have demonstrated LMT interferometry with up to $90\hbar k$ beamsplitters based on sequential two-photon Bragg transitions in an atomic fountain [113], $102\hbar k$ utilizing multi-photon Bragg transitions [114], and $40\hbar k$ using Bloch oscillations in an optical lattice $[115]$. Extending the momentum splitting to $1000\hbar k$, a key technical goal, requires a transfer efficiency of 99.9% per photon to avoid excessive atom loss. This high-fidelity LMT requires that the atom cloud experiences an approximately uniform laser intensity across its spatial extent and given technical limitations on laser power (thus reasonable beam size) this motivates a second critical requirement of the atom source: the atom cloud must be as compact as possible while avoiding interaction efects at high densities, and with minimal expansion over the interrogation time of the interferometer. Delivery of atoms from source to interferometry tube must therefore accommodate transport of atoms at temperatures as low as possible, with minimal heating. Methods of minimising the velocity spread of the atom cloud after delivery must also be explored, with options including selection of a narrow velocity class from within an atom cloud, or matter-wave lensing to narrow the overall distribution. Some methods for efficiently transporting and minimising the velocity spread of an atom cloud are discussed in Section 6.

As we explore increasing the scale of atom interferometers we must investigate and understand the variations in our detector systematics and necessary changes to our measurement methods. Metrology is the study of measurement. In our case, this is the determination of the phase shift between precisely controlled quantum states of an ensemble of ultracold atoms. Two components compose this determination: the precision and accuracy with which we can measure the phase of the system, and the detector operation strategy for a given measurement campaign. For these new scales we are presented with two systematic efects as discussed in Section 8: the Coriolis efect, and laser wavefront distortion. These systematics are a subset of new noise sources arising from the increase in detector size and can be severely limiting to our overall instrument sensitivity. Possible mitigation strategies for these efects were discussed. Utilizing the longer baseline of these large-scale detectors raises questions of optimal measurement strategies. A discussion on the best use of the baseline was then presented for targeting maximal phase shift sensitivity confgurations for simultaneous dark matter and gravitational wave searches. These presentations are a preliminary efort showing the change in thought needed for atom interferometry metrology in this new regime. Verylong-baseline atom interferometry metrology is critical for understanding the detector's limits and is a growing area of investigation with many studies to pursue.

5 Atom interferometry: Large momentum transfer techniques

5.1 Introduction

TVLBAI interferometers rely on a very large separation between the two arms of the atom interferometer, requiring the use of large momentum transfer (LMT) beam splitters with momentum transfers greater than $1000\hbar k$. A common approach is to first create a coherent superposition between two momentum states separated by a few photon recoils. This is then followed by an acceleration phase to transfer additional momentum to each interferometer α arm [116]. Efficient momentum transfer is critical to prevent atom loss during the process, and the transfers must be fast to ft within the limited interferometer time of fight. In addition, precise control of the laser phases during acceleration is required. Various methods have been proposed to achieve these goals, including single-photon transitions [117, 118], sequences of Raman pulses [116, 119, 120], and the acceleration of atoms within an optical lattice using sequences of Bragg pulses [114, 121, 122] or Bloch oscillations [123, 124]. Here we discuss a new approach to developing an optimal quantum acceleration technique based on a Floquet state engineering scheme, showing the application of this approach to latticebased acceleration, which can be implemented for arbitrary atomic species. We also discuss multi-photon clock atom interferometry and the use of magic-depth optical lattices to manage Bloch Oscillation (BO) phases for LMT interferometry.

5.2 Optimal Floquet State Engineering for Large Scale Interferometers

The Floquet approach is founded upon the periodic driving of the Hamiltonian in the accelerated frame, which results in dynamics that are well-described by the Floquet formalism [125]. Floquet states are eigenstates of the one-period propagator. Consequently, if the system is initiated in a pure Floquet state, $|w_m(t_0)\rangle$, its temporal evolution is periodic. After each pulse with a duration of τ , the atom returns to the same Floquet state, $|w_m\rangle$, leading to a stroboscopic stabilization of the Floquet state in the accelerated frame (see Fig. 18). This results in an almost lossless, coherent acceleration of the atomic wave packet in the laboratory frame.

In order to exploit the stroboscopic stabilization, two possible approaches may be taken. One approach entails modifying the amplitude and phase of the optical lattice with the objective of aligning the Floquet state with the initial state, which is a pure momentum state. An alternative approach employs the resources of quantum optimal control theory (OCT). This method considers any optical lattice profle and introduces a preparation step to transform the initial state into the Floquet state corresponding to the specifc lattice profle. This state-to-state preparation step is referred to as "OCT" in Fig. 18.

This technique has been implemented with a rubidium Bose-Einstein condensate in the specifc context of resonant square Bragg pulses. The experimental evidence demonstrates an efficiency of 99.95% per $\hbar k$ and a transfer rate of 2.5 μs per $\hbar k$ following a 1200 $\hbar k$ transfer. The primary constraint is spontaneous emission, which can be mitigated by increasing the

Figure 18. The initial momentum state, $|p_0\rangle$, is prepared in a Floquet state, $|w_0\rangle$, associated with the specifc periodic evolution of the optical lattice. The preparation sequence (OC-1) is obtained using optimal control theory (OCT). At the end of the sequence, the state $|w_0\rangle$ is transformed back to the accelerated momentum state (OC-2).

resonant detuning. The use of more powerful laser systems, as in [126], or the incorporation of optical cavities, could help overcome this limitation. Another signifcant limitation is the impact of phase and amplitude fuctuations during the acceleration sequence, which can afect the periodicity of the sequence. The method was fnally implemented to set up a Mach-Zehnder-type atom interferometer (splitter-mirror-splitter) with a maximum separation of $600\hbar k$ between the interferometer arms. The visibility was maintained at 18%, and interference patterns were observed with high confdence, indicating that the method does not introduce uncontrollable parasitic phase shifts. However, the separation is limited by the detection volume in the experiment. This approach efectively integrates previous techniques in LMT atom optics, including independent pulse sequences in quasi-Bragg regimes [114, 121], sequences that exploit destructive interference between diferent loss channels [122], and continuous Bloch acceleration under both adiabatic and non-adiabatic conditions [123, 124, 127]. This scheme enables optimal state-to-state control in large Hilbert spaces, exceeding the capabilities of traditional brute-force numerical methods. By encapsulating the complexity of the problem within the Floquet state, this method enables the engineering of Floquet states or optical lattice shaping to mitigate sensitivities to systematics such as velocity dispersion and lattice amplitude. Moreover, this approach allows for a comprehensive comparison of the relative merits of diferent methods and an evaluation of their fundamental limits within the context of TVLBAI.

5.3 Multi-photon clock atom interferometry

Clock atom interferometry is a new technique for inertial sensing based on optical transitions between long-lived atomic states such as those typically used in atomic clocks. In contrast to traditional atom interferometry based on two-photon atom optics (e.g., Raman or Bragg transitions), clock atom interferometers make use of single-photon transitions. Such an approach is possible in alkaline-earth-like atoms (such as strontium) that possess narrow optical transitions with a sufficiently long-lived excited state. The use of single-photon transitions is an essential ingredient to proposals for atom interferometric gravitational wave detectors

[15] as well as dark matter searches [7]. In these applications, sending light from a single direction at a time for each atom optics pulse allows for better suppression of laser phase noise in long-baseline gradiometers compared to the counter-propagating lasers used for twophoton atom optics [128]. Clock atom interferometers are also promising platforms for large momentum transfer (LMT) atom optics, where the sensitivity is enhanced through the application of multiple laser pulses to increase the relative momentum between the interferometer arms. Given the long lifetime of the excited state, combined with low off-resonant scattering from the nearest transitions many nanometers away, clock atom interferometers enjoy low spontaneous emission losses, allowing in principle for more light pulses than other methods.

Clock atom interferometers may be implemented in several ways, each with diferent advantages and applications. Narrowband clock atom interferometry is based on ultranarrow transitions such as ${}^{1}S_{0} \rightarrow {}^{3}P_{0}$ in ${}^{87}Sr$, which has an excited state lifetime > 100 s [128]. This transition is well-suited to the long-baseline gradiometer applications mentioned above, where the long excited state lifetime allows for light propagation over distances exceeding a kilometre, as required for a TVLBAI detector. However, the narrow linewidth also implies a weak atom-light interaction strength, resulting in a relatively low Rabi frequency with a narrow Doppler acceptance range. In contrast, broadband clock atom interferometry makes used of intermediate linewidth transitions such as ${}^{1}S_{0} \rightarrow {}^{3}P_{1}$ in strontium, which has an excited state lifetime of 22 μ s. The stronger coupling strength enabled by this transition supports MHz-level Rabi frequencies, resulting in higher Doppler acceptance and reduced sensitivity to laser frequency noise. Broadband clock atom interferometry has recently been used to set new records for LMT enhancement using comparatively hot (i.e., laser cooled, not evaporatively cooled) atom ensembles [129, 130].

In atoms like Sr, the ultranarrow ${}^{1}S_{0} \rightarrow {}^{3}P_{0}$ clock transition is typically only allowed in the fermionic isotope. However, bosonic isotopes promise considerable advantages, such as their lack of nuclear spin, typically higher natural abundance, as well as simplifed laser cooling and state preparation. To access these desirable properties, coherent multi-photon processes in bosons have been proposed in the context of atomic clocks [131–134] to circumvent the lack of direct coupling between the clock states. Of particular interest is the three-photon excitation ${}^{1}S_{0} \rightarrow {}^{3}P_{1} \rightarrow {}^{3}S_{1} \rightarrow {}^{3}P_{0}$ [131], using a set of laser frequencies readily available for laser cooling, repumping, and imaging in Sr. This excitation approach has recently been used to implement multi-photon clock atom interferometery in bosonic ⁸⁸Sr [135].

The intermediate states and laser wavelengths (689 nm, 688 nm, 679 nm) for this multiphoton process are shown in Fig. 19 (a). In order to drive the transition coherently, each of the three lasers must have a well-defned relative phase. To achieve this, the three lasers were phase locked to an optical frequency comb [135]. Although such a multi-photon transition requires multiple lasers as opposed to the one laser used for the single-photon transitions described above, the multi-photon clock atom interferometer can still support the same level of laser noise immunity in a long-baseline gradiometer if all laser frequencies are locally phase stabilized and then propagate together collinearly in the same direction to the atoms.

One signifcant complication is that selection rules naively forbid this three-photon pro-

Figure 19. (a) The singlet and triplet states of ⁸⁸Sr and the relevant transition wavelength connecting the atomic states, and the three-photon transition between ${}^{1}S_{0}$ and ${}^{3}P_{0}$ that uses laser light at 689 nm (magenta), 688 nm (purple), and 679 nm (blue). (b) Energy levels involved in the three-photon transition with Zeeman sublevels m in the presence of a magnetic field, causing a relative shift $\delta \omega_B$. The polarizations of the optical fields are linear, with 689 nm (Ω_1) and 688 nm (Ω_2) normal, and 679 nm (Ω_3) parallel to the quantization axis. Cumulative frequency detunings of the lasers from the respective $m = 0$ states are denoted by Δ_1 , Δ_2 , and Δ_3 . (c) Line scan of the three-photon transition showing the fractional excited state population versus the cumulative laser detuning Δ_3 , using $\Delta_1/2\pi = 9.95(1)$ MHz, $\Delta_2/2\pi = -2.54$ GHz, and $\delta\omega_B/2\pi = 21.13(1)$ MHz [135]. (d) Rabi oscillation at the measured three-photon resonance frequency, showing the fractional excited state populations versus the pulse duration [135]. Circles, triangles, and squares indicate the population in the states ${}^{3}P_0$, ${}^{3}P_1$, and ${}^{3}P_2$, respectively. The fit (solid curve) is an exponentially damped sinusoid with a frequency of $29.9(2)$ kHz. From [135].

cess using collinear light, since this requires all three polarization vectors be coplanar. As discussed in [135], using light at 689 nm with $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$ polarization, followed by 688 nm with $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$ polarization, and then 679 nm with \hat{z} polarization results in two competing excitation pathways that interfere destructively, leading to zero coupling strength. To avoid this, a small magnetic feld can be used to break the degeneracy between the two paths, as discussed in [135]. In this case, the three-photon Rabi frequency is

$$
\Omega_{\text{eff}} = \frac{\Omega_1 \Omega_2 \Omega_3}{4\Delta_2} \left(\frac{1}{\Delta_1 - \delta \omega_B} - \frac{1}{\Delta_1 + \delta \omega_B} \right) \tag{5.1}
$$

where Ω_1 , Ω_2 , and Ω_3 are the intermediate single-photon Rabi frequencies and Δ_1 and Δ_2 are the intermediate (cumulative) detunings. The minus sign between the terms exhibits the destructive interference of the two excitation paths (via $m = -1$ and $m = +1$) in the absence of the magnetic field shift $\delta \omega_B$.

Figure 19 (c) and (d) show the frst demonstration of the three-photon excitation of the clock transition in bosonic ⁸⁸Sr. The three-photon resonance frequency was determined using the optical frequency comb to set the absolute frequencies of each of the three lasers. The transition was located experimentally by scanning the frequency of one of the lasers around the predicted resonance (Fig. 19 (c)). The peak was found at the expected location after accounting for the AC Stark shift Ω_{ac} . Figure 19 (d) shows Rabi oscillations in the ³P₀ population observed by scanning the pulse duration at the measured three-photon resonance frequency. The measured Rabi frequency of $29.9(2)$ kHz is in good agreement with the ex-

pected three-photon Rabi frequency predicted by Eq. 5.1. The noticeable damping of the Rabi oscillation stems mostly from intensity inhomogeneity across the cloud, since in this proof-of-concept experiment the laser beam was tightly focused to increase the peak intensity. Nevertheless, this transfer efficiency was sufficient to implement a Mach-Zehnder atom interferometer pulse sequence, resulting in an interferometer visibility of 20% [135].

As a next step, it is straightforward to improve the Rabi oscillation transfer efficiency by using higher-power lasers and bigger beams to reduce inhomogeneous loss. There is also a wide parameter space to explore in order to optimize the Rabi coupling strength and spontaneous emission loss, including the magnetic feld strength and the two intermediate detunings. In the future, multi-photon clock atom interferometry could provide a possible alternative to the ${}^{1}S_{0} \rightarrow {}^{3}P_{0}$ transition in ${}^{87}Sr$ in long-baseline quantum sensors such as MAGIS-100 [15], ofering the potential for improved LMT atom optics performance by engineering an efective transition with strong coupling but long excited-state lifetime.

5.4 Managing Bloch Oscillation Phases for Large Momentum Transfer Interferometry using Magic-Depth Optical Lattices

Bragg difraction and Bloch oscillation (BO) have been two main techniques at the forefront of large-momentum-transfer (LMT) atom optics [114, 121, 123, 124, 127, 136]. A key systematic efect that challenges the use of LMT within an atom interferometer (AI) is the control of AI phase noise from lattice intensity fluctuations. While the efficiency of BO-LMT is greater than that of Bragg-LMT, BOs are more susceptible to phase noise induced by lattice intensity fluctuations $[127, 137-139]$. High-efficiency LMT without compromising phase stability is desirable in order to push AI sensitivity forward for fundamental physics in TVLBAI and inertial sensing. Here we discuss the use of excited-band BOs in magic-depth lattices for this purpose.

A linear sweep of the relative frequency of the lattice laser beams induces BOs of atoms in the lattice frame, which converts to an acceleration in the laboratory frame. LMT can be achieved in this way through a sequence of several BOs. In a 2-path Mach-Zehnder AI, one path is accelerated relative to the other using this technique and used to prepare LMT beamplitters, mirrors and recombiners for BO-enhanced AI. During the BO process, the nonaccelerated arm is in a higher band of the lattice, undergoing Landau-Zener tunneling to the next higher band with each successive BO [127].

The magic depth idea (named in analogy to the magic wavelength for optical clocks) is illustrated in Fig. $20(a)$ and (b), which show the Bloch bands of a sinusoidal lattice and the average band energies $\langle E \rangle$ as a function of lattice depth respectively. Therein E_r is the recoil energy, q is quasi-momentum, U_0 is peak lattice depth, and b is band number ($b = 0$ is ground, $b = 1$ is first-excited etc.). Notably, only for excited bands does $\langle E \rangle$ feature a local extremum at a particular (b-dependent) magic depth U_{md} . $\langle E \rangle / \hbar$ corresponds to the rate at which BOs will impart phases on atoms in a particular band. In the presence of unavoidable laser intensity noise (i.e, noise in U_0), the BO phase accumulation rate will vary in an uncontrolled way over time, leading to phase noise in a BO-enhanced AI. Unlike for the $b = 0$ case, BOs in

Figure 20. (a) Bloch bands (solid lines) for a sinusoidal optical lattice with a representative depth of $U_0 = 10E_r$. Dotted lines represent the quadratic free-space dispersion. (b) Average energy over one Brillouin zone of the ground and frst two excited bands. The magic depth for each excited band is at its respective local extremum. The ground band does not exhibit any magic depth feature. (c) Observed Mach-Zehnder AI signals with single-BO-acceleration applied at the magic depth for $b = 1$ (blue) and for a similar depth for $b = 0$ (d) Calculated time per BO (T_{BO}) in units of the inverse recoil $(272 \,\mu s)$ for Yb) for operation at 99.9% efficiency as a function of depth for ground band (red curve) and at the magic depth for excited bands $b = 1$ to 10 (blue markers joined by lines). (e) Calculated phase noise from 0.5% intensity noise for the ground band (red curve) and at the magic depth for excited bands $b = 1$ to 10 (blue markers joined by lines), evaluated at the parameters of (d).

 $b > 0$ when performed at U_{md} , are immune to intensity fluctuations to first-order. This effect was demonstrated in a small-area horizontal Mach-Zehnder AI in $[138]$ [also see Fig. 20(c)]. As can be seen, the AI signal from using BO in $b = 1$ at U_{md} (where the subscript indicates the magic depth) is far superior to that from BO in $b = 0$, with 7 times greater visibility.

The residual AI phase noise $\delta \phi_L$ at U_{md} operation stems from weak second-order sensitivity to lattice intensity noise:

$$
\delta\phi_L = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 \langle E \rangle}{\partial U_0^2} \bigg|_{U_{\text{md}}} U_{\text{md}}^2 \frac{T_{\text{BO}}}{\hbar} \epsilon^2 \tag{5.2}
$$

for each BO process. For each magic depth value U_{md} for bands 1 to 10, we plot in Fig. 20(d) the calculated value of the period of the Bloch oscillation, T_{BO} , for which the per-BO efficiency is 99.9%. Using these values and the calculated curvature at U_{md} [138] in Eq. (5.2), we can evaluate the phase noise for a standard 0.5% level of intensity stability shown in Fig. 20(e). We see that 150 mrad phase stability is possible at 1000 recoils (500 BOs). The corresponding analysis for ground-band $b = 0$ [solid red lines in Fig. 20(d),(e)], show more than an order of magnitude worse phase noise from lattice intensity fuctuations. We note here that we have taken 99.9% per-BO efficiency as a practical value given other decoherence processes such as spontaneous scattering. We also note that while ground-band BO is clearly faster than excited-band BO $[Fig. 20(d)]$, the phase noise disparity is the more important metric [shown in Fig. $20(e)$, since the interferometer interrogation time for TVLBAI will be much larger than the LMT acceleration and deceleration times. As a practical example, using available laser powers and detuning of 5×10^4 linewidths from the 556nm intercombination transition in Yb, the use of magic-depth BOs in band $b = 5$ at the corresponding magic depth $U_{\text{md}} = 132E_r$ keeps the spontaneous scattering rate below the 0.1% level per BO.

6 Atom sources: Scaling atom number and temperature

6.1 Introduction

Long-baseline atom interferometers require *rapid and repeatable delivery* of ultracold atoms from atom source to interferometry tube so as not to limit the repetition rate of what is a fundamentally discrete, rather than continuous, measurement. Besides quasi-continuous modes of operation, rapid sources are likewise required to support interleaved measurement cycles. In the absence of squeezing to introduce correlations between particles (see Section 7) the standard quantum limit associated with quantum projection noise scales as $\Delta \phi_{\text{SQL}} =$ $1/\sqrt{N}$, with N the atom number, while squeezing in principle makes the Heisenberg limit scaling as $\Delta\phi_H = 1/N$ accessible. A *large atom number* is required to minimise the noise in measuring the interferometer phase. Furthermore, a narrow thermal momentum distribution is necessary in order to maintain high-fdelity atom-optics by minimising the expansion of the atom cloud in a Gaussian laser intensity profle and by undercutting velocity selectivity due to Doppler shifts.

In practice, these requirements have complex interdependencies; for example, the phase resolution gains with atom number are in tension with the increased difficulty in implementing squeezing with an increased atom number. A large atom number in a fermionic species will necessitate greater limits on the atom cloud distribution due to the Pauli exclusion principle, thus making matter-wave collimation more challenging. However, these are the requirements that motivate the atom source design and share certain commonalities with, and key differences from, ultracold atom platforms for quantum simulation or quantum information processing. Techniques vary with atomic species, whose properties determine the handles and limits available, for example collisional properties, ability to tune interactions using a Feshbach resonance, or the contrasting nature of bosons and fermions on reaching quantum degeneracy.

The four contributions in this Section discuss diferent aspects of this scaling challenge, covering a range of novel approaches to the solution that are applicable to a variety of experimental platforms. Section 6.2 describes a continuous, high-fux source of strontium atoms, and Section 6.3 addresses the challenge of efficient delivery of atoms from atom source to science chamber in the context of a quantum simulation experiment. Section 6.4 then considers atom-optics techniques to narrow the velocity distribution of an atom cloud, with control over the shape of the optical potential and taking advantage of the readily-tuneable scattering length of potassium atoms. Finally, Section 6.5 describes rapid cooling of atoms as a precursor to atom interferometry by electromagnetically-induced transparency in an optical lattice.

Figure 21. Schematic drawing of an apparatus demonstrating continuous Bose-Einstein condensation (a) ⁸⁴Sr atoms from a steady-state narrow-line magneto-optical trap (MOT) are continuously out-coupled into a guide and loaded into a crossed-beam dipole trap that forms a large reservoir with a small, deep dimple. Atoms accumulate in the laser-cooled reservoir and densely populate the dimple, where a BEC forms in steady state. (b) By off-resonantly addressing the ${}^{3}P_{1} - {}^{3}S_{1}$ transition using a "transparency" laser beam, we produce a strong spatially-varying light shift on the ${}^{3}P_{1}$ electronic state, rendering atoms locally transparent to laser cooling photons addressing the ${}^{1}S_{0} - {}^{3}P_{1}$ transition. This enables condensation in the protected dimple region. (c) Schematic of the potential landscape from both reservoir and dimple trap, and of the dominant mechanisms leading to BEC atom gain and loss. Figures adapted from [147, 148].

6.2 Continuous high-fux sources of ultracold strontium atoms

While measurements are a fundamentally discrete process, a continuous source ofers arbitrary choice of bandwidth and a detection waveform unconstrained by the repetition rate of the available source. Continuous sources can eliminate sensor dead time and the Dick effect $[140]$ that can alias in noise around the measurement frequency. For these reasons, continuous sources of ultracold atoms can ofer important advantages for quantum sensors like atom interferometry and continuous optical clocks [141–145]. Coherent, high brightness matter wave sources based on quantum gases like Bose-Einstein Condensates (BECs) and atom lasers [146] ofer the further advantages of minimising beam expansion, which reduces wavefront aberrations and improves the accuracy of an atom interferometer's pulse sequences. Finally, at the heart of any quantum sensor is the need for high fux to minimise projection noise. Here, we will briefy describe our recent work that demonstrated continuous Bose-Einstein condensation [147] and review the prospects for demonstrating high-fux continuous atom laser sources in the coming years.

The production of quantum gases has traditionally relied on executing a sequence of cooling steps distributed in time, beginning with laser cooling and concluding with evaporative cooling. This was necessary since the phase-space density achievable by laser cooling alone was limited by efects such as multiple scattering. The result was a practical incompatibility between the laser cooling needed to gather and cool large clouds of atoms and the evaporative cooling needed to reach degeneracy. In recent years, several techniques have been demonstrated (including the electromagnetically-induced transparency (EIT) technique described below) that enable laser cooling to degeneracy [149–151]. When combined with the distribution of cooling stages over space instead of time, continuous cooling to degeneracy and continuous atom lasers become possible.

In the approach illustrated in Fig. 21, we continuously cool strontium using multiple cooling stages distributed in space. We begin with an oven-based thermal beam source, transverse cooling and a Zeeman slower after which 2.6×10^9 ⁸⁸Sr atoms/s are gathered by a 2D magneto-optical trap (MOT). These all operate on the 30 MHz broad linewidth ${}^{1}S_{0} - {}^{1}P_{1}$ transition. The beam of atoms from the 2D MOT is then transversally cooled using the 7.5 kHz narrow linewidth ${}^{1}S_{0} - {}^{3}P_{1}$ transition and falls to a second chamber protected from blue resonant light. Here, 5.1×10^8 ⁸⁸ Sr atoms/s are loaded into a steady-state red MOT using just the narrow 7.5 kHz ${}^{1}S_{0}$ - ${}^{3}P_{1}$ transition [152]. Next, atoms from the red MOT are continuously loaded and pushed along a dipole trap guide to create a high phase-space density guided atomic beam with fluxes of 3×10^{7} ⁸⁸Sr atoms/s [153] and a phase-space density exceeding 10−⁴ . In this way, atoms are continuously transported to a dipole trap where they are collected and further laser cooled. Within this dipole trap a dimple trap accumulates atoms. Here, a light shift of around 4 MHz [149] from a "transparency" beam operating 33 GHz blue detuned from the ${}^{3}P_{1} - {}^{3}S_{1}$ transition creates a region protected from scattered resonant light where atoms can condense.

In order to achieve degeneracy we switch to operate using the ⁸⁴Sr isotope (natural abundance (0.6%) , which has a scattering length of around 124 a_0 that is ideal for thermalisation. Operating at a higher oven temperature, a guided atomic beam flux of 8.6×10^{6} 84 Sr atoms/s can be achieved. A BEC of 7.4×10^3 atoms is then formed within the dimple trap that can be maintained in steady-state indefnitely. This represents a continuous atom laser, albeit one with no out-coupling. By perturbing the system we can estimate the gain and loss from the BEC giving an average flux of around 2.4×10^5 atoms/s being condensed [147]. In steadystate this gain is balanced by losses dominated by three-body loss. If efficiently out-coupled into a continuous atom laser one might expect a flux of around 10^5 atoms/s.

Looking ahead, reducing the temperature of the thermal cloud that provides gain to the BEC from the 1.1 µK achieved in this system could dramatically improve both the steadystate BEC atom number and its purity. A range of options exist for achieving this including EIT, Raman cooling or Sisyphus cooling [154]. Out-coupling a practical continuous atom laser might be achieved using a coherent three-photon transfer of condensed atoms to an untrapped ${}^{3}P_{0}$ state for which the first steps have already been demonstrated [135, 155]. To scale up the fux of not just continuous atom lasers but atom sources in general a number

Figure 22. Schematic drawing of an illustrative quantum gas microscope setup for ¹³³Cs atoms. Atoms are confned in a single node of a vertical lattice and a square optical lattice with the Hubbard parameters tunnel coupling J and on-site interaction U . For fluorescence imaging near-detuned molasses laser beams at 852 nm are employed which simultaneously cool the atoms. The scattered photons are collected with a high numerical aperture (NA) objective. Potential shaping is performed using incoherent light at 525 nm and a digital micromirror device (DMD). Upper left: fuorescence image of 133Cs atoms trapped in a square optical lattice with constant 767 nm. Figure adapted from [158].

of options present themselves. A new highly efficient Zeeman slower design shows potential for signifcant improvement [156] along with bufer-gas based sources [157]. Combining these approaches, not only are continuous atom lasers within reach but also scaling atom lasers to condensed fluxes of 10^7 atoms/s seems possible.

6.3 Quantum simulation – Engineering $\&$ understanding quantum systems atomby-atom

Increasing repetition rates is crucial for state-of-the-art quantum simulators based on neutral atoms in optical lattices, which directly sample from quantum many-body wavefunctions using high-resolution imaging techniques as employed in quantum gas microscopes [159–164], as illustrated in Fig. 22. In these experimental platforms low-entropy initial states are typically generated by employing several diferent cooling and preparation stages. After laser cooling in a magneto-optical trap, quantum degenerate gases are realized via evaporation in optical dipole traps. In order to generate large arrays of homogeneous flling, the atoms are then adiabatically transferred into an optical lattice while gradually increasing the on-site Hubbard interaction to cross the Mott transition [159, 160, 165], where fuctuations of the on-site density are strongly suppressed. Using high-resolution imaging techniques the occupation of particles in the lattice can be detected and addressed [166] after preparing the atoms in a single node of a vertical optical lattice using fuorescence imaging [164]. Moreover, using these imaging optics in reverse allows for high-fdelity shaping of the confning potential on top of the periodic lattice. State-of-the-art quantum gas microscopes are now able to realize high-

quality homogeneous lattices with several thousand atoms by projecting box potentials into the atomic plane and by compensating the residual harmonic confnement introduced by the Gaussian profile of the lattice laser beams [158, 167–169]. By sampling snapshots from quantum many-body wavefunctions higher-order correlation functions [170–173] and full counting statistics [168, 169] can be accessed which provides invaluable information on properties such as entanglement or quantum transport. More recently, these techniques have been extended to access local orbital operators, such as currents or kinetic energy, by projecting the lattice onto isolated dimers [174].

The level of control required for high-fdelity quantum simulation experiments demands excellent optical access and control over the electromagnetic feld environment. This often necessitates a two-chamber design, where the science chamber is spatially separated from the oven and pre-cooling stages. Such a two-chamber design requires efficient transport of the atoms over relatively large distances, as illustrated in Fig. 23a. Moreover, it is absolutely crucial to maintain fast cycle times and to develop robust schemes that do not limit the already considerable complexity of optical-lattice based quantum simulators. Optical transport ofers many advantages over other schemes that rely on magnetic traps [175–177], since it can be realized independent of the atomic species or molecule used in the apparatus. However, optical traps are typically shallow and transporting atoms in a tigthly-focused optical dipole trap, whose focus position can be controlled dynamically either requires mechanicallymovable mounts [178, 179], which are susceptible to noise, or focus-tunable lenses [180, 181]. The transport duration is then fundamentally limited by the longitudinal trap frequency. Running-wave optical lattices can offer a convenient solution [182–184]. In these schemes, two counterpropagating laser beams interfere and form a standing wave. Controlling the relative frequency between the two beams enables programmable motion that does not rely on any mechanical parts and can be extremely fast due to the tight confning frequency in the lattice wells along the direction of motion. In Ref. [184] the running-wave lattice was realized by interfering a Bessel and a Gaussian laser beam (see Fig. 23a) and fast transport of heavy 133Cs atoms was demonstrated over a distance of $43\,\text{cm}$ in less than $30\,\text{ms}$. In this scheme the Bessel beam acts as a waveguide that holds the atoms against gravity and its interference with the weaker Gaussian beam generates the dynamically-controllable lattice. In this work final velocities of up to $26.6 \,\mathrm{m/s}$ have been achieved and the one-way transport efficiency was evaluated to be $\sim 75\%$ (see Fig. 23b). Note, that these efficiencies have been obtained with simple linear frequency ramps leaving room for further improvements by minimizing sudden changes in the acceleration. Moreover, the scheme proved to be extremely robust, which was demonstrated by investigating the stability of a Bose-Einstein condensate over the course of several hours.

6.4 Matter-wave collimation to picokelvin energies with scattering length and potential shape control

The instability of Mach-Zehnder atom interferometers [185, 186] benefts from performing measurements on atomic clouds with large particle numbers at high repetition rates.

Figure 23. (a) Schematic drawing of an illustrative two-chamber vacuum systems: the chamber on the left is used for pre-cooling atoms in a magneto-optical trap (MOT), while the second one (glass cell) is used for quantum simulation experiments, which require large optical access. Atoms are transported between the two sections using a running-wave optical lattice that is generated by interfering a Besseltype and a Gaussian laser beam. The velocity v and the acceleration are controlled by the relative frequency detuning $\Delta\omega$. (b) Round-trip transport efficiency measured as a function of the acceleration, consistent with a one-way transport efficiency of \sim 75% for the full distance of 43 cm. Figure adapted from [184].

Quantum-degenerate gases are ideal candidates for TVLBAI devices, due to their low expansion energy, which minimizes systematic errors related to kinematics and extends pulse separation time without losing contrast [11, 187]. However, their preparation through evaporative cooling is time-consuming and current proposals require further collimation to picokelvin energies to meet experimental constraints and requirements [12, 16, 188, 189]. Delta-kick collimation [190] allows access to this regime, but often relies on extended free-fall times before applying the matter-wave lens to minimize atomic interactions that would otherwise drive the expansion [191–193]. We present an alternative approach to these challenges using timeaveraged optical potentials (TAOPs) [194] to control trap frequencies in combination with Feshbach resonances to tailor the atomic scattering length [195]. Our method significantly reduces the timescale necessary for evaporative cooling while also achieving state-of-the-art matter-wave collimation with minimal initial free-fall time.

TAOPs enable the creation of nearly arbitrary potential shapes by applying an rf-modulation to an acousto-optics element. In a harmonic trap, controlling the potential width allows decoupling trap frequencies and depths, which are linked via the beam waist in standard optical dipole traps (ODTs). We can create a trap with 65 μ K trap depth and an effective beam waist of 1.4 mm, suitable for loading more than 2×10^7 atoms directly from a D₁ grey molasses [196] at 6 μ K. For our crossed ODT, this configuration requires 16 W optical power per ODT beam at a wavelength of 1064 nm. By continuously compressing the trap during evaporative cooling we maintain high trap frequencies, signifcantly enhancing the evaporation rate [194]. Furthermore, controlling the scattering length is particularly benefcial for species like ³⁹K, whose Feshbach resonances can be easily accessed with low magnetic felds [197], and also ofers exciting prospects for species like Sr and Yb with optical resonances using the forbidden intercombination transition $[198, 199]$. For ³⁹K we demonstrated the formation of

a pure condensate of 6×10^4 atoms after only 170 ms of evaporative cooling by maintaining a constant trap frequency of $2\pi \times 300$ Hz, while exponentially decreasing the scattering length from 2000 a_0 to 300 a_0 , to control three-body losses [200]. By optimizing parameters for more efficient evaporation ramps at the expense of the evaporation duration, we achieved BECs of up to 6×10^5 particles within 2 s of evaporative cooling, maintaining the evaporation flux.

To further reduce the expansion energy of the atomic ensemble we use a continuous delta-kick collimation technique directly in the trapping potential. Similar methods have been successfully implemented with magnetic traps [10, 191, 201] and, in conjunction with a pulsed delta-kick after release, have yielded a record value of 38 pK in micro-gravity [192]. In our experiment we induced common mode oscillations of the atomic ensemble's size by lowering the trap frequencies through rapid trap relaxation. A well-timed release from the trap at the oscillations' turning point then allows one to obtain an enlarged ensemble with minimal momentum spread along the lensed directions in free fall. Initially developed with ⁸⁷Rb, this method can easily be applied in any energy regime accessible with the ODT, allowing for short-cutting evaporative cooling without atom loss when only certain energy limits must be met [202]. For a BEC, collimation can be further improved by tailoring the scattering length with respect to trap frequency ratio. While a minimal scattering length reduces the repulsive force upon release, it also diminishes the excitation amplitude in the trap. Therefore, the optimal value is a trade-of between these efects and, for higher trap frequency ratios, the optimal scattering length shifts to higher values. Experimentally, we found the lowest expansion energy in the lensed 2-dimensional plane to be 438 ± 77 pK at $10 a_0$ in the weak interaction regime. This value corresponds to an improvement by more than a factor of two compared to a measurement conducted in the strong interaction regime at $158 a_0$ [203]. For an improved experimental confguration with larger trap frequency ratios and an additional pulsed delta-kick to collimate the vertical axes 25 ms after release, simulations predict a fnal expansion energy of below 20 pK in three dimensions. Finally, we envision energies below 100 pK, when applying our method to 87 Rb without the use of tuneable interactions.

6.5 Fast formation of a quantum gas for atom interferometers

Quantum gases, such as Bose-Einstein condensates (BECs), are essential as coherent matter waves in highly sensitive atom interferometers. The traditional method for preparing quantum gases relies on evaporative cooling, which requires specifc collisional properties of atoms and typically takes several seconds. This method also sufers from signifcant atom loss, limiting its efficiency. Enhancing the speed and efficiency of quantum gas preparation would substantially improve the performance of atom interferometers.

A thermal atomic cloud enters the quantum statistical regime when its phase space density, $n\lambda^3$, approaches unity, where n is the atomic density and λ is the de Broglie wavelength. Achieving this regime can be ideally realized by unit flling of atoms in a three-dimensional (3D) optical lattice, where the atomic wavefunction matches the size of each lattice site. A recent study by Xin et al. [204] demonstrates that combining a 3D optical lattice with

EIT cooling enables the gas to reach the quantum regime within just 10 milliseconds after sub-Doppler cooling.

The process of unit flling in the lattice is achieved by sequentially switching of lattice beams in diferent directions, forming one-dimensional (1D) tube traps or two-dimensional (2D) pancake traps. Atoms oscillate within these traps, and the full 3D lattice is reactivated when the atoms converge towards the trap center. This results in a fivefold increase in atomic density to approximately 10^{13} cm⁻³, matching the density of the lattice sites. Following each aggregation, a 1 ms EIT cooling step is applied, with 62% of the atoms achieving the 3D ground state. The EIT cooling process involves two coherent Raman beams—control and cooling—coupled to a three-level atomic system. The control beam tailors the absorption spectrum of the cooling beam, strongly suppressing heating transitions in the sideband cooling [205, 206].

In the fnal stage, the atomic wave function is expanded by gradually reducing the lattice potential, achieving an efective temperature of 137 nK. With lattice beam waists of 60 um, 60 um, and 140 um, approximately 6×10^5 atoms are in the optical lattice, retaining 95% of the initial atom number that was loaded. This method is versatile, allowing for the production of large atom number condensates or achieving even lower temperatures. For example, with an atomic density of 10^{12} cm⁻³ after sub-Doppler cooling, a BEC containing $10⁹$ atoms can be achieved using lattice beams with 1 mm waists. Larger lattice spacings can yield even lower temperatures. Loading the atoms into a tailored optical potential can also enable the realization of a trapped atomic gyroscope. Additionally, after adiabatic expansion, atoms can be loaded into a single dipole trap and further cooled using delta-kick cooling, producing ultracold temperatures suitable for free-space atom interferometers. Notably, the entire cooling process can take less than 10 ms, allowing for the use of near-detuned lattice beams with reduced power consumption.

7 Squeezing and multipartite entanglement for atom interferometry

7.1 Introduction

Section 4 introduced the science motivations for exploring squeezed states in order to overcome the Standard Quantum Limit (SQL) corresponding to $\Delta\phi_{\mathrm{SQL}} = 1/\sqrt{N}$ for N uncorrelated atoms entering the interferometer and exploiting quantum entanglement to improve this scaling with atom number [111], possibly as far as the Heisenberg limit $\Delta \phi_H = 1/N$. While attaining the Heisenberg limit in ensembles of $10^3 - 10^6$ atoms is technically challenging $[112]$, the so-called spin-squeezed states $[207]$ offer significant metrological gain even for large N while being relatively robust against losses and decoherence. Reduced quantum uncertainties of up to a factor 100 (20 dB) in variance relative to the SQL have been observed and various methods exist for their deterministic or conditional preparation [208, 209]. A gain of 100 in a complete interferometer would be of great practical signifcance since this would mean that either the sensor would reach the required precision 100 times faster or that 100 times fewer atoms (i.e., smaller densities) are needed. The demonstrated possibility of using squeezed states for interrogation times up to 1 second [210] has further strengthened the technological relevance for real-life sensors.

While the preparation of spin-squeezed states has been very successful in recent years, little metrological gain has been attained in complete interferometric sequences. In atomic clocks, for example, local oscillator noise puts stringent limits on the achievable gain. Other efects such as non-uniform entanglement or environmental fuctuations (e.g., magnetic felds) have also been identifed as problematic in this context.

In the following contributions we explore some of the signifcant progress towards addressing these issues in atom interferometers with separated arms. This setting certainly requires the development of special techniques since, for example, inhomogeneities play a more important role here than for, e.g., optically-trapped atoms in an atomic clock.

The following Sections describe diferent methods of squeezing that could be compatible with atom interferometry in a freely-falling cloud.

7.2 Progress towards a squeezed-state atom interferometer in a ring cavity

There has been growing interest in the last few years in translating the achieved spin-squeezing results into interferometers with spatial arm separations to allow for similar improvements for inertial sensing [211], e.g., for navigation, gravimetry, or for bridging the frequency gap between planned and existing gravitational wave detectors. Initial experiments have already begun to yield results [212–214], with reference [214] sensing a gravitational acceleration. Nevertheless, eforts are still at an early phase, with all results showing less than 2 dB of metrological gain. It remains to be seen if technologically exciting levels of entanglement enhancement can also be obtained for inertial atomic sensors.

To this end, we have been developing a squeezed-state atom interferometer inside an optical ring cavity, where the atomic wavepacket splitting and combining take place along the optical axis in a travelling-wave dipole trap established by a cavity mode. This cavity also enables the generation of squeezing and precision sensing of the atomic states. In addition to the established high levels of squeezing that can be achieved with optical cavities, an important motivation for the specifc setup is the intended mitigation of squeezing degradation that follows if a diferent 'atomic mode' than the one that is squeezed is probed during the interferometer sequence [215].

The key aspects of our experiment are illustrated in Fig. 24. An ensemble of up to 1 million ⁸⁷Rb atoms are trapped in a 1560 nm mode of a ~100,000 finesse cavity, which is oriented in the horizontal plane in the lab. The cavity can resonantly support two counterpropagating optical tones at 780 nm, thanks to a mm-range tunable cavity length, allowing real-time cavity free-spectral-range tuning. The two optical tones serve as Raman beams to facilitate mapping of the internal spin states to momentum states. Initially, spin-squeezed states will be prepared utilizing the cavity as in reference [208]. Raman- π pulses will then follow to transfer the opposite spins to opposite momentum states separated by $4\hbar k$, mapping the quantum correlations that were established between the spins to the two arms of an interferometer. Four Raman beam operations split the spin states spatially, and then bring

Figure 24. The travelling wave cavity and the simplifed experimental protocol used in [208].

them back, mapping the phase shifts incurred in the two arms to spin degrees of freedom. The phase readout is then performed with established cavity-based spin measurements [208].

Currently we have \sim 10 µK atoms trapped in the cavity mode. An atom interferometric sequence inside this traveling-wave trap and the generation of squeezed states are currently under experimental investigation. Following a successful implementation of each, we aim to demonstrate squeezing-enhanced sensing of an acceleration signal, e.g., due to a tilt of the optical table. Although the specifc experimental system has not been built for direct integration into long-baseline interferometers, lessons learned from this system can inform the design principle for efective utilization of squeezed states in such interferometers. Alternatively, it might be possible to utilize the method of releasing the atoms from the cavity after squeezing [216] for utilization in long-baseline interferometers.

7.3 Progress towards a squeezed-state atom interferometer in a linear cavity

A linear cavity is a relatively simple geometry which has been used in a series of squeezed atomic clocks and atom interferometers, using various atomic species including Rb [208, 217], Sr [218] and Yb [219, 220]. However, the simplicity of the linear cavity comes with a compromise: the probe laser feld forms a standing wave inside the cavity, typically resulting in non-uniform coupling between the cavity feld and the atoms - see Fig. 25. The non-uniform coupling reduces the efective number of atoms participating in the squeezing process, and can introduce excess anti-squeezing of the atomic state—an important obstacle to metrologically useful states [221].

A neat trick, which can achieve near-uniform atom-cavity coupling despite the standingwave cavity feld, is to trap the atoms in a series of lattice sites aligned with antinodes of the probe feld. An example of such a lattice/probe confguration is depicted in Fig. 25b. This trick was frst applied to Rb using a 1560 nm lattice in combination with a 780 nm probe [208], in an experiment that established a long-standing record of 20 dB squeezing on the microwave clock states of ⁸⁷Rb.

Figure 25. Linear cavity configurations for the preparation of squeezed states of Sr. a) In an atomic clock, we used the broad 461 nm transition to carry out quantum nondemolition measurement of Sr trapped in an 813 nm lattice, with the intention to create measurement-based squeezing [222]. Coherence-preserving measurements were achieved [223], but metrologically useful squeezing was impeded by the probe modes being incommensurate with the lattice sites. b) In planned work, we will use the narrow-line cooling transition at 689 nm to probe Sr atoms in a 1379 nm lattice. Importantly, in the new setup, the atoms are trapped at intensity peaks of the probe, providing near-uniform atomcavity coupling. c) Relevant transitions in Sr.

In the work proposed here, we build on our previous work in cavity-enhanced Sr atomic clocks [222, 223], integrating the key innovation of a commensurate 1379 nm lattice and 689 nm probe. In the latest setup, we have so far trapped cold atoms in a 1379 nm lattice, inside a cavity with 2×10^5 finesse at 689 nm, and observed cavity length noise compatible with high-fidelity quantum nondemolition measurements of the atom population in the ${}^{1}S_{0}$ $M_F = 9/2$ state in ⁸⁷Sr. In-vacuum coils are installed to apply state rotations between the M_F states, which should allow the preparation of a squeezed state between a pair of M_F states before mapping to separate arms of the atom interferometer [220, 224].

In previous experiments, the narrow 689 nm transition in Sr has facilitated very precise cavity-based measurements of atom number in a linear cavity [225], an important step towards squeezing. However, it remains an open question whether the technical complications of linear cavities can be overcome sufficiently to deploy squeezing in a TVLBAI. Key challenges include the mitigation of non-unitary evolution during the squeezing sequence [221], the implementation of high-fdelity state rotations, the mapping of squeezing onto momentumsplit states, the realisation of fuorescence readout with noise below the standard quantum limit, and the control of the atom-interferometer phase to within the narrow region in which squeezed states perform better than coherent states [226].

7.4 Quantum-enhanced BEC interferometry

Bose Einstein condensates (BECs) have been pinpointed as ideal candidates to realize entanglementenhanced free-fall atom interferometry measurements [227, 228]. Recently, a frst proof of principle quantum-enhanced gravimeter has been experimentally demonstrated paving the way for future developments [214]. For inertial sensors, the major constraints are the production of entangled states, the delocalisation of the entanglement into a superposition of two

Figure 26. Principle of the delta-kick squeezing protocol proposed in Ref. [228]. Top: step preparation of a spin-squeezed state thanks to the combination of an atomic lens aiming to focus the BEC and a Mach-Zehnder interferometer. Bottom: Evolution of the size of the BEC.

diferent well-defned and well-separated external states at the input port [229] and the detection of the quantum state at the output port of the interferometer. To fulfll these conditions, the idea proposed in [227, 228, 230] involves state preparation based on an interferometer sequence itself to generate a spin-squeezed state through One-Axis Twisting dynamic [207].

On the one hand, in free-fall confgurations the fast BEC expansion drastically limits the atom-atom interaction time and current theoretical studies predict only modest sub-SQL sensitivity gain [227]. On the other hand, even though trapped confgurations allowed for long interaction times and therefore large squeezing strength during the state preparation, the presence of interactions during the interferometer sequence again led to modest sub-SQL sensitivity gain $[230]$. In both cases, the splitting efficiency of the BEC in different external states sufers from atom number fuctuation and therefore density change [231] shot-to-shot, as well as mode mismatch [232] due to BEC shape deformation [233].

Fig. 26 summarizes the concept behind the method called "delta-kick squeezing" [228]. The idea is to take advantage of the control of the atom-atom interactions in free fall thanks to an external trap, briefly switch on after a preliminary expansion of $t_{\rm exp}$ and switch off after a time δt , acting on the overall as a lens for the matter-wave. This method allows one to focus the BEC at a given time after an initial pre-expansion step. Combined with a beam-splitter pulse, it is therefore possible to split a BEC into well-defned and well-separated external states while the BEC is diluted, allowing for optimal splitting efficiency, e.g., without BEC shape deformation and minimizing the impact of shot-to-shot atom number fuctuation.

The squeezing strength depends on the atomic lens parameters at the time when the lens is switched on, t_{exp} , and its duration, δt . The two arms of the interferometer are then defected and the orientation of the quantum state can be manipulated with a third atomlight interaction used to initialize the interferometer sequence (not shown).

While with Raman diffraction the squeezing strength can only be positive or null, Bragg difraction enables one to tune the sign from positive to negative values. As such, Bragg difraction opens the possibility to use a non-linear detection scheme, known to be extremely robust against atom number detection uncertainty, and extend the work of [234, 235] to BEC interferometry.

In practice, absolute measurements are often limited by the onset of systematic efects and/or phase noise inherent to the interferometer itself or to the environment. As a direct consequence, it can be difcult to apply the opportune rotation of the spin-squeezed state at the input port of the interferometer and to operate the interferometer at the optimal working point, e.g., mid-fringe. Experiments aiming at precision measurements often beneft from a diferential confguration where common phase noise can be rejected. This work directly extends to robust diferential measurement schemes where the combination of spin-squeezing with a mode-swapping method allows one to enhance the sensitivity of a differential phase measurement at the expense of the generation of a single two-mode spin-squeezed state [236].

7.5 Experimental atom interferometry with entanglement-enhanced resolution

The improvement of measurement resolution by entanglement was demonstrated for internal degrees of freedom [112] and for laser-cooled ensembles [212, 213], but an inertial signal was not retrieved. In a recent work, we have now enhanced the measurement of gravitational accelaration by squeezing for the frst time [214].

In our experiments entanglement is generated in the internal degrees of freedom of ⁸⁷Rb Bose-Einstein condensates by spin-changing collisions that create pairs of atoms in the $|F = 1, m_F = \pm 1\rangle$ state from a reservoir of atoms in the $|1, 0\rangle$ state (Fig. 27a). This process is activated by microwave dressing that counteracts the quadratic Zeeman shift. In this way, a two-mode squeezed vacuum state can be generated that shows reduced fuctuations in a certain observable. A combination of microwave and circularly-polarized radiofrequency pulses then transforms the two-mode squeezed state into single-mode squeezing in the magnetically insensitive clock states $|F, m_F = 0\rangle$ (Fig. 27b). Subsequently, microwave and Raman-laser pulses form a light-pulse interferometer that enables the measurement of the absolute gravitational acceleration (Fig. 27c). Using this approach, we achieve a sensitivity of $-3.9^{+0.6}_{-0.7}$ dB below a coherent-state reference and $-1.7^{+0.4}_{-0.5}$ dB below the theoretical standard quantum limit [214].

We have demonstrated that entanglement generation is compatible with delta-kick collimation to reduce the expansion of the atomic cloud. Furthermore, quantum density fuctuations can be counteracted by appropriate adjustment of the squeezing angle [237]. The concept of squeezing generation in the internal degrees of freedom and subsequent transfer to inertially-sensitive momentum modes presented here is therefore scalable to large atom num-

Figure 27. Operation of the entanglement-enhanced gravimeter. (a) A two-mode squeezed vacuum is generated in the $|1, \text{sym}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ (|1, +1) + |1, -1)) state by spin-mixing dynamics (dark green). This process is activated by a microwave (mw; orange) dressing feld that counteracts the quadratic Zeeman shift. (b) Employing circularly-polarized radiofrequency (rf; purple) and microwave pulses, single-mode squeezing is transferred to the magnetically-insensitive clock states. Steps (a) and (b) happen in internal states of the atoms. (c) An interferometric sequence is created by microwave $\pi/2$ pulses. Raman-laser (R; red) π pulses transfer $\hbar k_{\text{eff}}$ momentum and render the interferometer sensitive to gravity.

bers and a well-suited improvement for large-scale atom interferometers aiming at the highest precision. The method is in particular convenient for diferential measurements such as gravity gradiometry or gravitational wave detection because in such scenarios common-mode noise contributions like vibrations are cancelled.

A direct extension of this concept would be its demonstration at much longer interferometry times. For this purpose, the application in a large-baseline apparatus such as TVL-BAI [238] could be planned. Another scenario of interest is the evaluation of the entanglementenhancement under microgravity to prepare spaceborne applications. This is envisioned in the INTENTAS project that aims at performing entanglement-enhanced interferometry in the Einstein Elevator facility [239] under micro-gravity conditions, resulting in seconds of free-fall time and therefore an improved sensitivity by multiple orders of magnitude.

8 Atom interferometry: Metrology & Systematics

8.1 Introduction

Large-scale interferometers proposed for future gravitational wave (GW) detectors and dark matter (DM) searches demand unprecedented sensitivity and accuracy, surpassing the current capabilities of atom interferometers. As a result, extensive studies are underway to evaluate the limitations imposed by spurious efects and to determine the optimal measurement strategies for these detectors.

In this Section, we examine two systematic efects relevant to these new, larger scales: laser wavefront distortions and the Coriolis efect. These efects are parts of a broader set of systematics that arise with the increase in baseline length and could signifcantly limit the overall sensitivity of the instrument. Potential mitigation strategies are discussed to address these limitations. Additionally, the extended baseline of these large-scale detectors necessitates a re-evaluation of optimal measurement strategies.

The studies presented here represent preliminary investigations into adapting atom interferometry metrology to this novel regime of very long baseline detectors. It is crucial to understand the limitations of these interferometers in order to refne their design and defne the optimal measurement techniques for these new infrastructures.

8.2 Wave distortion and other systematic efects in high precision atom interferometry

Atomic interferometry is a tool that enables to measure some fundamental constants with the utmost precision. In order to measure precisely the recoil of an atom absorbing a photon, one can use the Bloch oscillation technique to transfer many recoils to atoms, and an atom interferometer to accurately measure this recoil. From this measurement, one can determine the ratio between Planck's constant and the mass of the atom under study, and this ratio provides a determination of the fine structure constant α . Using this technique, it was possible to measure α with a relative precision of 80 ppt [240].

One of the main limitations of this measurement is the need for precise knowledge of the wavefronts. When lasers interact with atoms, the phase of the laser is added to that of the atom, inducing a recoil proportional to the phase gradient. This gradient is well known in the case of a plane wave and is $k = \omega/c$, with ω the laser pulsation, but in the case of a real beam, a correction must be made. This efect is common to most atomic interferometers. For a Gaussian beam, the correction is $\delta k/k = 1/k^2 w^2$ at the center of the beam, where w is the waist of the Gaussian beam [241]. In the case of a beam in the paraxial approximation along the z axis, which can be described by its real amplitude $A(x, y)$ and phase $\phi(x, y)$, one can show that the recoil is given by

$$
\frac{\delta k}{k} = -\frac{1}{2} \left| \left| \frac{\overrightarrow{\nabla}_{\perp} \phi}{k} \right| \right|^2 + \frac{1}{2k^2} \frac{\Delta_{\perp} A}{A} \tag{8.1}
$$

In this formula, the first term in $\overrightarrow{\nabla}_{\perp}\phi$, corresponds to the angle the wavefront makes with the xy plane and therefore to a reduction in the recoil component along the z axis. The second term, involving the Laplacian, corresponds to the Gouy phase term already identifed in a Gaussian beam. This term can be positive or negative. When there are amplitude fuctuations, one might think that the efect averages out to zero. However, there is a systematic negative effect in the measurement of h/m , linked to a survivor bias: atoms are more likely to survive when the amplitude is high, where on average the Laplacian will be negative [242]. This efect can be modelled using Monte Carlo simulation.

The Laplacian efect is all the more important the smaller the characteristic size of the fuctuations. The small-scale quality of the wave is important, and intensity fuctuations must be limited. A simple technique is to let the beam propagate freely. We would like to

emphasize that while the efect of aberrations has long been studied, it is also necessary to control the quality of the beam on a small scale.

In order to better compare simulations with experiment and measure wavefront defects more accurately, one needs to reduce the size of the cloud at the moment of interaction with the lasers, which requires an initially smaller and cooler cloud. To achieve this, one may use a Bose-Einstein condensate. A preliminary study has shown that this method can be used to see spatial fuctuations in recoil as a function of measurement position [243].

In conclusion, it is important to control small-scale fuctuations of the laser beam intensity. A Bose-Einstein condensate can be used to probe these fuctuations locally and characterize. In this way, one may hope to improve the h/m measurement. It should also be noted that a recoil velocity measurement for which many recoils are transferred gives very good precision on the in situ measurement of the laser wave front. This study therefore ofers possibilities beyond the measurement of h/m .

8.3 Coriolis Force Compensation for Long Baseline Atom Interferometry

Terrestrial atom interferometers can be highly sensitive to Coriolis forces, which induce phase shifts that scale with the product of the Earth's rotation rate and the initial velocity of the atom. Schemes for suppressing Coriolis-induced phase shifts in interferometers with baseline lengths ≤ 10 m, including counter-rotating a retro-reflecting mirror against Earth's rotation [10, 244] and operating the interferometer in a multi-loop confguration [245, 246], have been demonstrated. However, these schemes break down in longer baseline interferometers owing to atom-laser misalignment. In extreme cases, the interferometer laser beam can miss the atom cloud entirely, but lesser misalignments are also detrimental in part because they lead to reduced Rabi frequencies for the atom-laser interactions. Larger Rabi frequencies increase the efficiency of atom-optics operations and enable shorter pulse durations, which facilitates performing more LMT enhancement pulses in an interferometer sequence. Here we outline a new method for achieving Coriolis force suppression in long baseline atom interferometers which keeps the interferometer beam aligned with the atom cloud (further details can be found in $[247]$.

Traditional methods for suppressing Coriolis-induced phase shifts, such as counter-rotating a retro-refecting mirror [10], can lead to atom-laser misalignment in long baseline interferometers. In this method, the position of the mirror sets the point about which the refected beam pivots. Atom-laser misalignment increases with the lever arm between this pivot point and the atom cloud launch point. In longer baseline interferometers, this lever arm may need to be large to accommodate magnetic shielding or lattice launching systems. In a gradiometer confguration, this distance can be much greater than engineering constraints require, resulting in even greater atom-laser misalignment.

In an alternate method, the interferometer beam can be delivered such that it is centered on the atom cloud during each atom-optics operation while it undergoes Coriolis-force suppressing counter-rotation, even with a large lever arm between the atom launch point and the retro-refecting mirror. The scheme involves adding a second piezo-actuated mirror before a beam-expanding telescope and rotating both this mirror and the retro-refecting mirror simultaneously during an experiment cycle (see Fig. 28a). This optical confguration allows for the upward and downward propagating components of the interferometer beam to be co-linear, and allows for adjustment of the pivot point of the interferometer beam by adjusting the distance between the pre-telescope mirror and the frst telescope lens. Optical proof-of-concept tests for this system are currently underway. Fig. 28b illustrates the scheme for a Mach-Zehnder sequence in a long baseline interferometer. The two interferometer arms are centered on the interferometer beam for each of the three atom-optics operations as the beam rotates. This scheme also works in a gradiometer confguration, so long as the initial kinematics of the diferent atoms clouds can be adjusted independently. The impact of errors in the counter-rotation rate for this scheme has been studied. In a gradiometer confguration, a cancellation of the Coriolis and centrifugal contributions provides an important suppression of the susceptibility of the diferential phase shift to these errors (see [247] for further details).

Scaling this scheme to km-scale baselines poses additional challenges, including increased cost. The initial angle of the interferometer beam is proportional to the product of Earth's rotation rate and the interferometer duration. Longer baselines allow for longer durations, which require larger initial angles. For a km-scale detector, in an operating mode where interferometer trajectories span the full baseline, and the pivot point is set to be $\approx 1 \text{km}$ from the beam-expanding telescope, the combination of these large initial angles and extended lever arm results in meter-scale beam defections by the telescope. This requires optics and vacuum tubes with correspondingly large diameters, which can be costly.

8.4 Baseline optimization for large-scale detectors

Atom interferometers can be used to detect dark matter (DM) [6, 7] and gravitational waves (GW) [128, 248]. As discussed before in this work, one typically uses gradiometric setups [12, 15, 110, 249] to isolate small signals, such as DM and GWs. Such a gradiometer with two spatially separated atom interferometers with separation L is depicted in Fig. 29a.

The detection of scalar ultralight DM with atom interferometers is possible due to its coupling to the mass-energy of the atom $[251-254]$. As such, it modulates the atomic transition frequency $\Omega(t) = \Omega_0 + \bar{\varepsilon} \delta \Omega \cos(\omega t + \phi)$, where Ω_0 is the unperturbed atomic transition frequency, $\bar{\varepsilon}$ is the mean coupling of the source mass to the DM field, ω is the DM frequency, and we have neglected any spatial dependence of the DM feld. On the contrary, scalar DM does not infuence the laser phase [255] to leading order. As a consequence, the atom's timevarying transition frequency between light pulses delivers the dominant signature of scalar DM in atom interferometers. For a single Mach-Zehnder interferometer (MZI), we fnd [7] the phase

$$
\varphi(t_0) = -\int_{t_0}^{t_0+T} dt \,\Omega(t) + \int_{t_0+T}^{t_0+2T} dt \,\Omega(t),\tag{8.2}
$$

Figure 28. Overview of Coriolis force compensation for long baseline atom interferometry. (a) A schematic (not to scale) of the optical setup associated with the Coriolis force compensation method. A mirror prior to the beam expanding telescope (M1) rotates simultaneously with a mirror at the other end of the interferometer baseline (M2) during an interferometer sequence. The pivot point of the interferometer beam is indicated by a black dot. (b) A Mach-Zehnder interferometer sequence with a $T = 4$ s interrogation time, and 1000 $\hbar k$ momentum separation, where the two arms of the interferometer (red and blue solid lines) span an ≈ 80 m distance. The rotation vector from the rotating earth is taken to be along the y-axis (in and out of the page) and the interferometer axis is taken to be along z. The black arrow indicates the direction of the initial atom launch.

with initial time t_0 , the middle light pulse acting at T, and the final pulse at $2T$, see Fig. 29a for $Q = 1$.

Contrarily to DM, the coupling of GWs is mediated through the difracting light feld [256, 257]. Conversely, GWs have no direct efect on the atoms at a Newtonian gravitational level [258]. As such, a GW with strain h induces an oscillating laser phase $\delta\Psi(t) = -ck_{\ell}h\sin(\omega t + \phi)/(2\omega)$, with GW frequency ω , where k_{ℓ} is the light's wave number, and where we assumed the GW to propagate orthogonal to the atom interferometer's baseline. This oscillating laser phase is imprinted on the atoms during the difracting light pulses. Hence, for a single MZI we obtain [257] the phase

$$
\varphi(t_0) = -\int_{t_0}^{t_0 + 2T} dt \, \delta \Psi(t) \left[\delta_{t_0} - 2\delta_{T+t_0} + \delta_{2T+t_0} \right] \tag{8.3}
$$

with delta functions $\delta_{t'} = \delta (t - t')$.

We now generalize the MZI sequence to Q subsequent butterfly-like schemes, see Fig. 29a. There, two choices for the respective consecutive interferometers arise: Either one interchanges the roles of the arms after each MZI (neglecting the yellow mirror pulses in Fig. 29a) or one retains them (including the yellow mirror pulses). The total signal both for DM as

Figure 29. (a) Spacetime diagram of a gradiometer consisting of two atom interferomters separated by a distance $L = \tau_L c$. Each atom interferomter consists of Q subsequent basic Mach-Zehnder interferomters (MZIs) generated by single-photon pulses (dotted, red), where each subsequent basic MZI is created at multiples of the interrogation time T. The first scheme $(-\varphi)$ is generated by the pulses indicted in red, while the additional π pulses shown in yellow are only present in the second $(+\varphi)$ scheme. The overall interrogation time $T_{\text{tot}} = 2QT$ scales with the number of basic MZIs Q. We indicate the ground state $|g\rangle$ of the atom in blue and its excited state $|e\rangle$ in green. The phase difference from one basic MZI $\varphi(t_0)$ depends on the initial time t_0 and is identical but shifted in time in the $+\varphi$ scheme. On the contrary, in the first $-\varphi$ scheme it alternates its sign in subsequent MZIs, since the roles of both arms are interchanged. (b) The spatial extension h and the midpoint trajectory (dashed) of the two atomic fountains that are used as generalized MZIs $AI₁$ (blue) and $AI₂$ (red) are shown. They are separated by a distance L distributed along the baseline B of the detector, while their start and end is delayed by a time $\tau_L = L/c$, stemming from the finite propagation time of the light between the two atomic ensembles. Their actual fnite spatial extension originating from the atomic recoil and subsequent wave-packet propagation is illustrated by the shaded area surrounding the respective midpoint trajectories. This Figure was taken from Ref. [250]; licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license.

well as GWs is then found $[250]$ to be

$$
\Phi(t_0) = \sum_{q=1}^{Q} (\mp 1)^{q-1} \varphi(t_0 + 2(q-1)T), \qquad (8.4)
$$

where the minus sign represents interchanging roles and the plus sign represents retaining roles. The interchanging scheme would cancel leading-order DC gravitational efects [77, 259] and, thus, it could potentially suppress gravity-gradient [260, 261] noise [262, 263]. From this signal, one finds the differential phase $\delta \Phi = \Phi(t_0 + \tau_L) - \Phi(t_0)$ for two generalized multiloop MZIs separated by the initial time delay $\tau_L = L/c$, see Fig. 29a. Since ϕ is unknown, one measures the amplitude $\Phi_{\rm S}=\left[2\int_0^{2\pi}{\rm d}\phi\,\delta\Phi^2/(2\pi)\right]^{1/2}$, where the subscript S denotes the signal

type, either DM or a GW, instead of the mere diferential phase. Moreover, we replace every light pulse by many large-momentum-transfer (LMT) pulses [128, 129, 252, 264], enhancing the number of interaction points. Thus, we find for $\omega\tau_L \ll 1$ the amplitudes

$$
\Phi_{\rm DM} = \bar{\varepsilon} 4 \delta \Omega \tau_L N \left| \mathcal{Q}_{\mp}(\omega T, Q) \right|,
$$
\n
$$
\Phi_{\rm GW} = \hbar 2k_{\ell} L N \left| \mathcal{Q}_{\mp}(\omega T, Q) \right|,
$$
\n(8.5)

where N is the number of LMT pulses, with $\mathcal{Q}_+(\omega T,Q) = \frac{1}{2} \sin(Q\omega T) \tan \frac{\omega T}{2}$ for retaining roles of arms and

$$
\mathcal{Q}_{-}(\omega T, Q) = \begin{cases} \sin^2 \frac{\omega T}{2} \cos (Q\omega T) / \cos \omega T & \text{for } Q \text{ odd} \\ \sin^2 \frac{\omega T}{2} \sin (Q\omega T) / \cos \omega T & \text{for } Q \text{ even} \end{cases}
$$
(8.6)

for interchanging roles. In a resonant-mode operation, we find [250] $|Q_+(\pi, Q)| = Q$ for $\omega T = \pi$ and $|\mathcal{Q}(\pi/2, Q)| = Q/2$ for $\omega T = \pi/2$, where the latter resonance condition is only approximately valid, in particular for small Q.

If we consider the parameter uncertainties

$$
\Delta \bar{\varepsilon} = \Delta \Phi_{\rm DM} / (4 \delta \Omega \tau_L N \, |\mathcal{Q}_\mp|), \ \Delta \hbar = \Delta \Phi_{\rm GW} / (2 k_\ell L N \, |\mathcal{Q}_\mp|),
$$

and assume a weak time dependence $|Qd\Delta\Phi_S/dT|_{\omega T = \text{res}} \ll 1$, we find [250] with $T_{\text{tot}} = 2QT$ in resonant-mode operation the uncertainties

$$
\Delta \bar{\varepsilon} = \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{\Delta \Phi_{\text{DM}}}{N \delta \Omega \omega \tau_L T_{\text{tot}}},
$$

\n
$$
\Delta \hbar = \pi \frac{\Delta \Phi_{\text{GW}}}{N k_{\ell} \omega L T_{\text{tot}}},
$$
\n(8.7)

where we observe that they are equal for both the interchanging scheme and the retaining scheme. In the following, we consider $\tau_L = (B-h)/c$, where B is the baseline of the experiment and h the height of a single fountain, and take into account a parabola flight of the atoms, see Fig. 29b, with $T_{\text{tot}} \cong \sqrt{8h/g}$. Making the restrictive assumption that the uncertainties $\Delta\bar{\varepsilon}$ and Δh are moreover also independent of T_{tot} , we can minimize the inverse of $(B - h) h^{1/2}$, and find $h = B/3$, i.e. 30% [250] of the baseline, for an optimal baseline exploitation both for GW and DM detection.

In order to generalize our treatment, we make less restrictive assumptions and assume shot-noise limitation $\Delta \Phi_S = \sqrt{2/(v n_{\text{at}})}$, with integration time $T_{\text{int}} = \nu T_{\text{tot}}$, for both uncertainties. In this case, we have to minimize the inverse of $(B-h)h^{1/4}$, obtaining $h = B/5$, which is 20% [250] of the total baseline, for an optimal exploitation both for GW and DM detection.

In summary, we have presented a method [250] for exploiting the baseline optimally both for DM detection as well as for GW detection with atom interferomters. Our treatment could in the future be refned by including recoil efects in the (interrupted) parabola fights and by connecting the number of subsequent MZIs Q with the number of LMT pulses N.

8.5 Quantum sensing with ultracold atoms in phase-modulated optical lattices

The concept of atom inertial sensing using ultracold bosons in phase-modulated (or "shaken") optical lattices came about in 2017 [265], with an experimental demonstration of a 1D shaken lattice accelerometer in 2018 [266]. This so-called shaken lattice interferometry (SLI) has a number of potential advantages in that the system can be tuned to the signal of interest, including AC [265] and DC [266] biases, and the atoms remain trapped throughout the interferometry sequence, which increases robustness. However, like with most new technologies, a number of questions remain, including the ultimate sensitivity of the devices and their practicality as a useful and deployable sensor.

SLI works by loading atoms into the ground state of a shallow lattice, leading to atoms trapped in the lowest Bloch band, as the shallow lattice lends itself to atom delocalization in position space (and thus localization in momentum space). The atoms' momentum population is then modifed via phase modulation, leading to the overall Hamiltonian (when considering the 1D case)

$$
H(x,t) = \frac{p^2}{2m} - \frac{V_0}{2} \cos(2k_x x + \phi(t)) + U_a(x,t)
$$
\n(8.8)

where m is the mass of the atom, V_0 is the lattice depth, usually given in units of the recoil energy $E_R = \hbar^2 k_L^2 / 2m$ for $k_L = 2\pi / \lambda_L$ and λ_L the lattice wavelength. The modulation function is given by $\phi(t)$ and the applied potential $U_a(x, t)$ is the signal that we wish to sense, e.g., $U_a(x,t) = max$ for a DC acceleration a.

The frst implementations of SLI mimicked a typical atom interferometer by implementing a splitting protocol, where the atoms equally populated the $\pm 2n\hbar k$ states for some integer n, then allowing the atoms to propagate in the modulated lattice before the protocol is reversed, efectively refecting the atoms and recombining them into their initial ground state, for the case where $U_a(x,t) = 0$. A diagram of this is shown in Fig. 30. If $U_a(x,t) \neq 0$, then the final momentum state population of the atoms changes as a function of the applied signal.

The desired shaking function is typically found via some learning algorithm, and it has been shown that the underlying physics is, unsuprisingly, driven by transitions between different Bloch bands [268]. Excellent results regarding the generation of momentum state populations of atoms in the lattice have been shown in Ref. [269]. Machine learning methods have also been applied specifically to SLI both theoretically, to obtain a rotation sensor [270], and experimentally [271]. A similar sensor has also recently [272] been used to demonstrate a two-axis accelerometer.

The future of SLI relies on a verifcation of its utility as an inertial sensor, and while its applications to VLBAI are currently unclear, such interferometers could be used, e.g., to measure systematics along the arms of a VLBAI, and/or the optimal control methods used to fnd SLI protocols could be applied in a proposed TVBLAI.

Figure 30. A diagram of a one-dimensional shaken lattice interferometry protocol designed to measure accelerations along the lattice axis. The atoms start in the ground Bloch state of the lattice (as indicated by the momentum state on the right). They then undergo splitting into the $\pm 2\hbar k_L$ state for some time before the protocol is run in reverse, refecting and then recombining the atoms. In the absence of an applied acceleration potential $U_a = max, a = 0$, the atoms will be recombined into their original state, but if $a \neq 0$, the atoms' final state will change, and it is this signal that we detect, e.g., through time-of-fight measurements. Parts of this fgure adapted with permission from Ref. [267].

9 Possible site options for a TVLBAI

9.1 Introduction

The main infrastructure required to deploy a TVLBAI is a tunnel or shaft with length or depth equal to the experiment baseline of $\gtrsim 100$ m, respectively. There are already several facilities around the world that feature tunnels or shafts with those characteristics, and are therefore potential candidates to host TVLBAI experiments. An exhaustive list of site options was discussed in [3]. For completeness, Section 9.2 summarises this information. Then, Sections 9.3, 9.4 and 9.5 provide updates from the Boulby Underground Laboratory, Porta Alpina and Laboratorio Subterráneo de Canfranc, respectively. Finally, Section 9.6 describes the current status and plans of ZAIGA, including details of the Wuhan 10 m and proposed 240 m atom interferometers.

9.2 Summary of site options

Potential site options have been selected based on two types of criteria:

• Infrastructure requirements, i.e., the ability to accommodate a fully operating experiment. These requirements are summarised in Table 1.

Component	Requirements	
Laser laboratory	At least 50 m^2 area	
	35 kW electrical power	
	Air cooling: 30 kV heat load, $1 \degree C$ stability	
	Maximum distance to interferometry region: 50 m	
Interferometry region	Tunnel or shaft with required baseline length	
	Full access to entire tunnel or shaft	
Atom sources	2 to 10 units, equally spaced over the tunnel or shaft	
	$1 \times 1 \times 2$ m ³ volume, 200 kg weight (per unit)	
	10 kW power consumption (per unit)	

Table 1. Infrastructure requirements for TVLBAI experiments.

• Environmental requirements, i.e., the sources of noise that could degrade the sensitivity of such experiment. The most important efect is gravity gradient noise (GGN), namely stochastic matter perturbations in the environment that propagate to the atom beam through gravitational coupling and therefore cannot be shielded. GGN cannot be measured by any existing instrument other than atom interferometers, but correlates with vibrational noise. For this reason, sites with very low vibrational noise levels are required. Besides GGN, sites with low electromagnetic noise are also preferred.

Note that these defnitions are similar, but not identical, to those used in [3].

CERN: A feasibility study of hosting a vertical 100m atom interferometer at CERN was published in 2023 [69]. The proposed infrastructure is the PX46 shaft at Point 4 (143m depth, 10.1m diameter). The top of this shaft is enclosed by a large building that could host the laser laboratory. This study provides a tentative design that includes the atom interferometer and an elevator within the available shaft area, see Fig. 31, and a radiation shield at the bottom corridor that connects to the LHC tunnel. The estimated cost to prepare the site for a TVLBAI experiment is ∼1.5M CHF. The vibrational and electromagnetic noise have been measured, fnding levels within the acceptable ranges. Moreover, magnetic feld variations due to LHC operations (∼50 nT) follow a predictable pattern, and therefore could be subtracted.

The LHC Long Shutdowns in 2026-29 and 2034-35 [273] have been identifed as major opportunities for installation works.

Sanford Underground Research Facility (SURF) [274]: This facility, opened in 2007, is the home of leading experiments in dark matter (LZ) and neutrino physics (Majorana Demonstrator, LBNF/DUNE). It features two experimental areas at 1500m depth (Davis Campus, Ross Campus), each one accessed by a shaft. There is strong community support endorsing more space for science at SURF, with a plan to excavate two new caverns $(100\times20\times24 \text{ m}^3)$

Figure 31. Proposed design for a vertical 100 m TVLBAI experiment in the CERN PX46 shaft. Left: top view showing the free area and the proposed layout for the atom interferometer (blue lines). Right: drawings showing the atom interferometer and the access elevator. From [69].

each) near the Ross Campus for next-generation experiments by ∼2030. In addition, there is interest in developing a vertical facility at SURF, that could host a TVLBAI experiment. An initial evaluation study was completed in 2022, identifying four infrastructures for a mediumsized vertical facility (\sim 100 m) and two infrastructures for a large-sized vertical facility (\sim 1 km), see Table 2.

Laboratoire Souterrain à Bas Bruit (LSBB) $[275]$: Located in a decommissioned military facility near Rustrel (France), LSBB has ∼4 km of nearly horizontal underground galleries, featuring very low seismic and electromagnetic noise. Two new perpendicular galleries of 150 m each have been excavated at ∼1 km from the laboratory entrance, that currently host the MIGA experiment.

Callio Lab $[276]$: This laboratory is located in the Pyhäsalmi mine (Finland). It started in 2000 as the Centre for Underground Physics in Pyhäsalmi (CUPP), and has continued as the Callio Lab since 2015. Callio Lab features four laboratories at diferent depths, down to 1430 m, and the area of the deepest laboratory is 120 m^2 . All laboratories can be accessed by an elevator or an inclined tunnel (11 km to lowest laboratory), enabling shipments by truck. The estimated cost of developing a 100 m tunnel at Callio Lab is 350k EUR, including excavation, ventilation, electrical installation and water lines.

9.3 Boulby Underground Laboratory

The Boulby Underground Laboratory [277], located in the Boulby Mine in the North East of England, is the deepest underground science facility in the UK. The laboratory features 4000

Infrastructure	Available depth	Comments
$#6$ Winze	140 m	
Milliken Winze	460 m	
Milnarich Shaft	240 m	Need to remove concrete plug
$#31$ Exhaust Raise	230 m	Need to verify dimensions
$#5$ Shaft	$1500 \; \mathrm{m}$	
Ellison Shaft	$1000 \; \mathrm{m}$	Need to remove concrete plug

Table 2. Potential infrastructures to host a vertical facility at SURF. Vertical infrastructures dug from an underground level are referred to as winzes. In all cases, diameters range between 2.4 and 5 m.

 $m³$ of clean space (ISO 6 and 7) at a depth of 1.1 km, and hosts more than ten collaborative projects in three main areas, namely 1) low-background particle physics, 2) Earth and environmental sciences, and 3) astrobiology and planetary exploration. The Boulby Development Project is a plan to expand the facility on a medium-to-long time scale, in two stages. Stage 1, starting in 2024 and fnisingh around 2028, will develop a clean manufacturing and multiscience laboratory at a depth of 1.1 km (\sim 30,000 m³). Among other purposes, this extension aims to become a world-leading facility for the underground study of quantum technologies applied to fundamental science and seismic monitoring, and will be suitable for the installation of an AION-10 or AION-20 experiment. Stage 2, expected to begin around 2030, will build a full science laboratory at a depth of 1.3 km $(\sim 90,000 \text{ m}^2)$.

In addition, the Boulby Underground Laboratory features three shafts that could be considered for TVLBAI experiments. The main mining site has two shafts with a depth of 1.1 km each, for transportation of personnel and rock respectively. A third shaft for tailings is located at ∼500 m from the main mining site, with 180 m depth and 5 m diameter. The top of this shaft is enclosed by a building, equipped with a 3 T crane with a lift cage. This shaft has been considered as an option to deploy a vertical 100 m atom interferometer. A study has provided a tentative design that includes such an atom interferometer and a ladder access within the available shaft area, keeping the existing lift cage, see Fig. 32. In addition, the top building fulflls the requirements for hosting the laser laboratory. Currently, there is ongoing work to assess the seismic, magnetic and thermal conditions of this site option.

9.4 Porta Alpina

The concept underlying this proposal is to use the infrastructures of the Gotthard Base Tunnel under the Swiss Alps to deploy a vertical TVLBAI experiment. Opened in 2016, the Gotthard Base Tunnel is the longest railway tunnel (57 km) and the deepest traffic tunnel in the world.

In order to reduce the construction time, the excavation of the Gotthard Base Tunnel proceeded not only from their ends (Erstfeld, Bodio), but also from three additional intermediate locations (Amsteg, Sedrun, Faido), accessed by auxiliary tunnels and shafts, see Fig. 33. In particular, the access site at Sedrun features two shafts with a depth of 800 m each,

Figure 32. Proposed design for a vertical 100 m TVLBAI experiment in the Boulby Mine tailings shaft. Existing water pipes are indicated in yellow.

currently used for maintenance and ventilation, whose diameters are 8.6 and 7 m diameter respectively. Both shafts can be accessed from Sedrun through a 1 km horizontal tunnel, and one of them is equipped with a hoist. The bottom ends of the shafts are connected to the Gotthard Base Tunnel, and four large halls $(38\times10\times5.5 \text{ m}^3 \text{ each})$ were built in the context of the Porta Alpina project to construct an underground passenger station in the Gotthard Base Tunnel connected to Sedrun via an elevator. However, this project was placed on hold in 2007.

Due to its characteristics, the Sedrun access site has been also proposed as an option to host a vertical TVLBAI experiment. A site visit to assess the feasibility of such a project took place in November 2024, and it is planned to follow this up with exploratory studies of seismological and electromagnetic noise, in collaboration with Swiss Federal Railways and the local authorities.

9.5 Laboratorio Subterráneo de Canfranc

The Laboratorio Subterráneo de Canfranc (LSC) [278] is an underground research facility located on the Southern side of the Pyrenees, in Spain. It is accessed by road through the Somport tunnel. The current laboratory has been operating since June 2010, and features two halls to host experiments $(40\times15\times12 \text{ m}^3 \text{ and } 15\times10\times7 \text{ m}^3 \text{ respectively})$. Services available at LSC include an ISO 7 clean room $(35.5 \text{ m}^2, \text{ that can be partly upgrade to ISO } 6$ upon demand), a mechanical workshop and offices. In addition, an external building near the Somport tunnel entrance hosts the LSC headquarters, and provides another mechanical

Figure 33. Longitudinal section of the Gotthard Base Tunnel, showing the location of the two 800 m shafts at the Sedrun access site.

workshop, laboratories and offices.

The laboratory has two infrastructures that could be used for both vertical and horizontal TVLBAI experiments:

- The Rioseta ventilation shaft $(220 \text{ m depth}, 6.4 \text{ m diameter})$, see Fig. 34, whose cross section is divided into four sectors. One of those four sectors is used for maintenance, and is equipped with an elevator. While the usage of the other three sectors is restricted to ventilation. The maintenance sector is available to deploy a TVLBAI experiment. The top of the shaft is enclosed by a building with a free area of $\sim 50 \text{ m}^2$, accessible by vehicle (500 m from the main road).
- The old railway tunnel (7874 m length, featuring an orthogonal arm of 200 m), that runs parallel to the Somport road tunnel at a nearly constant distance of ∼100 m. It is connected to LSC through a 20 m corridor. This tunnel is currently used as an evacuation route, and could be used to deploy a horizontal TVLBAI experiment.

The vibrational noise in the old railway tunnel has already been measured, and was found to have the lowest vibrational noise (above 2 Hz) among 15 international facilities [279]. The midnight-to-midday noise variations are negligible, due to the low anthropogenic contributions. Similar noise conditions are expected at the Rioseta shaft (50 m distant from the Somport road tunnel).

9.6 ZAIGA

The ZAIGA programme [14] aims to develop a series of atom interferometers in Zhaoshan (Wuhan, China) for fundamental physics and geoscience. It consists of three phases, see Fig. 35:

• Phase I (now to 2027) is already funded, and preliminary design is ongoing. The infrastructure will consist of a 1.4 km tunnel and a 240 m shaft to host a vertical atom interferometer of the same length. This phase will also feature a 20m atomic gyroscope, and a 10m dual Rb/Sr interferometer.

Figure 34. Drawings of the top part of the Rioseta ventilation shaft at LSC. Left: side view. Right: top view. The maintenance sector includes the drawing of the elevator structure. The remaining three sectors are covered by a concrete layer, and provide free space for a potential laser laboratory.

- Phase II (2027 2035) is a plan to develop three atom interferometers of 1 km each, arranged as an equilateral triangle. The science objectives include Weak Equivalence Principle (WEP) tests, measurement of the Lense-Thirring efect, GW detection, and ULDM search.
- Phase III (after 2035) is a possible extension of one atom interferometer from 1 km to 3 km, in order to improve the sensitivity to GWs and ULDM.

Currently, the Wuhan 10m atom interferometer is demonstrating the ability to test the WEP, achieving several improvements in sensitivity over the last years [14].

10 Site & Engineering Challenges for Large-Scale Atom Interferometers

10.1 Introduction

This Section contains reports on the technical challenges that are arising in the design and installation of the present generation of multi-metre atom interferometers on sites outside the confnes of conventional laboratories. These illustrate some of the issues that will also arise in the future construction of km-scale TVLBAI detectors.

Figure 35. Layout of the proposed TVLBAI array at ZAIGA [14].

10.2 Structural Stability and Instrument Installation of AION-10 in the Oxford Beecroft Building

The AION Collaboration [12] plans to install its frst-stage 10 m experiment (AION-10) in the basement of the Beecroft Building of the Oxford Physics Department, which has been designed to provide a stable environment and contains a suitable location for the required laser system. The building is illustrated in Fig. 36, where the basement stairwell to be occupied by AION-10 is clearly visible.

In preparation for the construction of AION-10, engineering studies have been made of issues related to structural stability and the installation of the detector. ³ The design of the support structure has been developed with careful attention to stability requirements, notably the requirement that the two camera assemblies, which are to be located \sim 5 m apart, must be fxed within 100 nm relative to each other during data-taking. With this requirement in mind, various options for the structure design have been considered, and the preferred option is shown in the left panel of Fig. 37.

The subjects of modal and response analysis of the structure have been explored, as well as strategies for vibration control. Options considered for supporting the instrument have included tensioned cables and rigid structures, with the latter being favoured because of its higher lowest-mode frequency ∼ 30 Hz, well separated from the frequency range of interest for physics measurements. Among the challenges in the analysis have been uncertainties associated with materials, manufacturing and assembling, the selection of the most appropriate damping factor, the choice of single vibration input setting for a structure with multiple support points, and the impact of the building structure. An additional vibration survey will be

³There have also been studies of anthropogenic and synanthropic noise sources in such a built environment and their possible mitigation [281].

Figure 36. Illustration of the Beecroft Building of the Oxford Physics Department where AION-10 is to be installed in the basement stairwell [280].

Figure 37. Left: The proposed conceptual design of the support structure for AION-10. Right: 1/3-scale prototype of the frame for one of the main modules, used to validate the modal and response analysis model.

needed before fnalization of the design, including vibration measurements for multiple points in the stairwell with synchronised acquisition of data across the points to preserve the correct relationships between the vibrations at diferent points. These will then be incorporated into a multi-input vibration model to analyse the structure behaviour in a more realistic setting.

For ease of transport and installation, the AION-10 instrument will consist of two 5 m main modules and smaller modules such as a 2 m telescope section. A $1/3$ -scale prototype of the frame for one of the main modules has been constructed: see the right panel of Fig. 37. Its main purposes are to validate the analysis model on a smaller scale, study the damping factor and test its response.

The modules of the AION-10 instrument will be installed in the Beecroft Building encased in their aluminium support frames, which will provide space for attachments such as cold atom sources as well as stability support, and each high-vacuum chamber will have a magnetic shield that needs to be kept as a single unit in order to meet the shielding requirements. The frames will also protect the modules during transport between the module assembly area and the Beecroft Building and during installation. The modules will be lifted into the building and lowered into place using a dedicated crane system.

These engineering studies have established a baseline design for AION-10 that appears able to meet the physics requirements and can be installed in the basement stairwell of the Oxford Beecroft building.

10.3 Progress and Challenges in MAGIS-100 Construction at Fermilab

All large-scale experiments require considerable efort to create a suitable space for installation and operation. Basic infrastructure, experiment equipment delivery, and personnel access are the main logistical considerations. There are also environmental challenges that include addressing vibrations, thermal gradients, magnetic felds, and ground water. Costs are largest when these spaces are purpose-built, so fnding existing spaces that can be modifed to become suitable is often a cost-efective solution.

The MAGIS-100 project at Fermilab [15] is one example of adapting to an existing space; the MINOS access shaft for the underground experiment region will be modifed to house the equipment for MAGIS-100. There are many benefts of being inside a building which already has crane coverage, adequate work space, and other standard infrastructure established. Unique site challenges include working in a narrow vertical space with a curved wall beyond the reach of the existing crane, accommodating other established uses of the shaft, and engineering delivery systems within these restrictions. These delivery systems must allow precise installation and alignment of the experiment components and positioning personnel at critical locations to safely reach the equipment for installation, tuning, and maintenance. An overview of the MAGIS-100 conceptual layout is shown in Fig. 38.

The MAGIS-100 site characteristics have signifcantly infuenced designs for the overall experiment layout and all sub-systems. The interdependence of the atom source, laser, optical, camera, magnetic, vacuum, structural, alignment, network, and control systems mandates close collaboration between these sub-system designs, including coordination with planning

Figure 38. Conceptual sketches depict the overall layout of MAGIS-100 (left), a larger view of the ground-level region (middle), and a modular section of the interferometry region (right) [15].

for installation and access. For example, the interferometry tube region will be split into 17 modular sections to allow intricate assembly and qualifcation prior to installation in the shaft. Each modular section contains a vacuum tube, redundant bake system apparatus, environmental monitoring, magnetic shields and coils, and a mechanical frame for structural stability and alignment features. Connection nodes between these sections will be the main points of access from the shaft and are where the experiment sub-systems have the highest amount of integration. Similarly, atom sources are designed for rigorous qualifcation prior to installation, and component layout is driven by limited access from specifc locations once in the shaft. A photograph of the shaft and a conceptual plan view of the equipment that will be added in the available space in the shaft is shown in Fig. 39.

Each sub-system has signifcant technical challenges set by experimental requirements, and installation and personnel access systems have raised a considerable number of difficult engineering issues to address due to specifc site features. Coordinating all the design requirements entails careful attention to interfaces, and frequent communication across the collaboration is essential.

Figure 39. The MAGIS-100 site: photograph of the shaft viewed from below (left) and conceptual plan view of equipment which will be added in that space (right).

11 Additional Topics

11.1 A single-photon large-momentum-transfer atom interferometry scheme for strontium with application to determining the fne-structure constant

It has been known for many years that large-momentum-transfer (LMT) techniques in atom interferometry can enhance the recoil-phase sensitivity quadratically with the number of LMT pulses [282]. Following the results in Ref. [283] we present 1) the calculation of diferential phase between a pair of single-photon Ramsey-Bord´e atom interferometers using neutral optical clock atoms and 2) the possible precision to which the fne structure constant could be measured using this scheme with current atom interferometry technology.

The fine structure constant (α) is a empirical parameter of the standard model characterizing the strength of the electromagnetic interaction between elementary charged particles. It arises in the definition of the Rydberg constant $R_{\infty} = \frac{1}{2hc} \alpha^2 m_e c^2$ where h is the Planck constant, c is the speed of light in vacuum, and m_e is the electron rest mass. The leading experimental determinations of α , currently rely on atomic photon-recoil measurements from Ramsey-Bordé atom interferometry with LMT used to provide an increase in sensitivity.

The simplest form of a Ramsey-Bordé atom interferometer consists of four $\pi/2$ pulses at times t_i , where pulses 3 and 4 counterpropagate relative to the first two [284, 285]. Regardless of the duration between the second and third pulses, provided that the duration T between the first two pulses $T = (t_2 - t_1)$ is equal to the duration between the last two pulses $(t_4 - t_3)$, the two interferometers will close, as demonstrated in Fig. 40 (a).

The diferential phase between these two interferometers, accounting for the time taken for light to propagate between them to order $\mathcal{O}(1/c)$, is given by

$$
\Delta \Phi = \Delta \phi_{\text{top}} - \Delta \phi_{\text{bottom}} = \frac{2\hbar k^2 T}{m} \left[1 - \frac{1}{c} \left(\frac{2\hbar k}{m} - g(t_4 + t_3 - t_2) \right) \right],\tag{11.1}
$$

where q is the gravitational field.

To leading order, this phase diference is proportional to the atom's photon-recoil frequency $\omega_{rec} = \frac{\hbar k^2}{2m}$ where LMT pulses can be used to enhance the sensitivity. This has been shown with Bragg difraction and Bloch oscillations schemes used to improve the fne structure determination as in [286]. Here we consider the single-photon analogy whereby we apply π pulses in alternating directions to increase (and then close) the wavepacket separation. The LMT pulses within a Ramsey-Bordé interferometer can take two types which differ in their efect depending on the zone in which they are applied. The pulses can occur between pulses $1-2 \& 3-4$, or they can occur between pulses 2 and 3, as shown in Fig. 40 (b). The first set (N pulses) acts to increase (and then cancel) the recoil frequency separation. The cancellation is required for the interferometer to close. The second set $(M$ pulses), acting between pulses 2 and 3 allows additional enhancement of the recoil-frequency measurement whilst also being able to nullify the phase arising from frst-order spatial variation in gravitational acceleration. From Ref. [283], the diferential phase is given by

$$
\Delta \Phi = \frac{(N+1)(N+2M+2)\hbar k^2 (T - N\Delta t_{\text{LMT}})}{m} - \frac{N(N+1)(N+2)\hbar k^2 \Delta t_{\text{LMT}}}{3m} + O\left(\frac{1}{c}\right),\tag{11.2}
$$

where $\Delta t_{\rm LMT}$ is the time separation between LMT pulses. This ultimately results in a quadratic scaling of the Ramsey-Bordé phase with LMT order and offers an advantage compared to the linear scaling with Bloch oscillation order in Rb and Cs experiments.

Gravity gradients are an important systematic efect to consider in ground-based atom interferometry experiments [260, 261] and are a limiting factor in photon recoil measurements. Controlling the launch from two separate sources allows the gravity gradient phase to be exactly cancelled when using offset simultaneous conjugate Ramsey-Bordé atom interferometry [287]. This cancellation can be achieved in either an "X" confguration or a fountain confguration as shown in Fig. 40 with the fountain confguration ofering higher sensitivity but with the trajectories crossing the position of the higher atomic source.

We can consider these (optimal) trajectories in an "X" configuration that maximise sensitivity whilst cancelling gravity gradient noise for an instrument of length $L = 3$ m using Sr and Yb, and assume an experimental resolution of 1 mrad. This theorised interferometer ofers a twofold improvement in the precision of measuring the fne-structure constant compared to current standards. Additionally, it represents the highest precision in absolute atomic mass measurement, capable of resolving the mass diference between strontium's ground and excited states. Further precision improvements to α could be possible with better relative mass

Figure 40. a) Schematic spacetime diagram of a Ramsey-Bordé scheme. The ground (excited) state is shown with a solid (dashed) lines. b) Schematic spacetime diagram of an enhanced Ramsey-Bordé atom interferometer with $N = 3$ and $M = 2$ pulses shown. c) Optimal trajectories for the 'X' configuration for a 5m atom interferometer. The vertical dotted lines represent the $\pi/2$ -pulses and the horizontal lines bound the interferometry region $[0.2m, 4.8m]$. d) Optimal trajectories for the Fountain configuration with atomic sources at 0 & 5m and vertical dotted lines represent the $\pi/2$ -pulses, with the final pulse at $t = 2s$. Figures from [283].

measurements of the electron and atomic isotopes, necessary for future Standard Model tests involving the electron magnetic moment.

Improvements of an order of magnitude in mass measurement precision are achievable with instruments of $L = 10$ m, particularly in a fountain configuration. The sensitivities for any interferometer size could be improved with shorter LMT pulses, making use of higher Rabi frequencies. Whilst the calculations here are independent of any particular instrument, there are in-progress experiments with Sr or Yb on scales near 10 m, like AION-10 at Oxford [12], the Sr prototype at Stanford [10], and the VLBAI-Teststand [11] in Hannover.

It is important to note that the phase shift contributions from laser pulse propagation

delays between interferometers already exceed 1 mrad for the given trajectories and increase with longer baselines. This is therefore extremely relevant to proposed long-baseline interferometric gravitational-wave observatories such as AION [12], MAGIS [15], MIGA [13], ELGAR [16], and ZAIGA [14]. Detailed analysis of these efects through intermediate-scale prototypes is crucial for advancing toward very long baseline atom interferometry.

11.2 Atom interferometer using a spatially-localized beamsplitter

Atom interferometry using light pulses has made it possible to measure inertial quantities such as rotation, acceleration or gravity gradient, as well as constants such as the gravitational constant or atomic recoil. All these experiments use continuous-wave (cw) laser sources to manipulate atomic wave packets.

We have demonstrated that it is possible to implement coherent atomic beam splitters based on stimulated Raman transitions using pico second lasers [288]. There are two main reasons for exploring this new technique. As with high-resolution spectroscopy, a frst motivation for using a pulsed laser (or frequency comb) rather than a cw laser is to extend matter-wave interferometry to a wider spectral range and more atomic species. The second motivation is linked to the fundamental diference between using a continuous-wave laser and a pulsed laser. In the former case, laser-atom interaction takes place at the location of the atoms, whereas in the latter it is determined by the overlap area of counter-propagating ultrashort pulse pairs.

Our experimental setup uses a cloud of cold rubidium atoms. Fig. 41 shows the device used to control precisely the delay and phase between the pulse trains that will overlap at the atom's position. Thanks to a moving mirror, we can now modify this zone in real time to individually address each arm of the interferometer, while controlling the phase using acoustooptic modulators. In this way, we've been able to follow the trajectory of the atoms for around 50 ms [289]. With such a duration, the separation of the two arms of the interferometer is such that two π pulses at the middle of the interferometer have to be made individually

Figure 41. Schematic of the experimental setup: the pico-second laser is split in two, with one part passing through an acousto-optic modulator and a delay line. This device controls both the delay and the phase between the two pulse trains. The diagram on the right shows the trajectory of the overlap zone in an interferometer in a gravimeter confguration. Figure taken from [289].

by moving the stage. This technique therefore allows each arm of the interferometer to be individually interrogated. As the interaction between laser pulses and atoms is localized, laser beams induce two-photon light shifts only on the atoms being interrogated. This is not the case when using a continuous laser, where light shifts inevitably afect both interfering wave packets, leading to spurious phase shifts, loss of contrast and systematic biases in the measured quantity.

12 Towards a Proto-Collaboration

12.1 Experience with Proto-Collaborations

The assembly and constitution of a large international collaboration of scientists and engineers with diverse areas of expertise typically passes through several stages. Workshops such as this one are extremely useful to develop and exchange ideas and consider options for large scientifc projects such as one or more atom interferometers with lengths in the range 100m to 1km. In order to move towards a possible realization of such an ambitious programme, it will be important to bring the vision to the next level of organization. The formation of a proto-collaboration is proposed as the next step in the evolution of international Terrestrial Very Long Baseline Atom Interferometer (TVLBAI) studies.

The concept of proto-collaboration is frequently adopted in big sciences such as highenergy physics (HEP) as a step in the evolution of a project, as a tool to help the participating groups focus and organize coherently towards achieving an agreed scientifc goal. It is based on a Memorandum of Understanding (MoU), which is not a legally binding agreement, that interested participants can sign in order to join the proto-collaboration. The MoU defnes the common scientifc goals of the project and includes an organigram with a structure that is agreed between the participants. TVLBAI studies are now entering a phase where such an MoU will potentially be very beneficial.

Recent examples of proto-collaborations in HEP include that for T2HK, the Tokai to HyperKamiokande Neutrino Experiment. This was formed in 2015 with the signatures of 73 institutes from 15 countries with about 200 members. Its formation sent the clear message to the Japanese authorities and national funding agencies that a substantial international community was interested in this experiment. In addition to fostering coordinated eforts towards a common goal, it served to attract other potential collaborators, and by 2019 this proto-collaboration had doubled in size and turned into a full collaboration, and is now a fully-fedged and -funded experiment.

Another neutrino project that seeks to follow a similar trajectory is THEIA, which aims to construct a hybrid water Cerenkov/liquid scintillator detector. It was established as a protocollaboration in 2022, with 31 participating institutes and about 100 scientists and engineers. Currently an R&D project, THEIA might become a stand-alone project or become part of the DUNE neutrino experiment.

CORE (COmpact detectoR for the EIC - an electron–ion collider under construction at BNL) is a proto-collaboration that was formed in 2022 with 25 institutes and about 60 scientists and engineers. The EIC is a fagship nuclear physics project in the US, and it is confdently expected that CORE will become a fully-fedged collaboration and be approved as an experiment at BNL.

The following are earlier examples of HEP proto-collaborations. Mu3e is an experiment searching for favour-violating muon decays that made a successful transition to an approved experiment. Likewise, the long-baseline neutrino facility (LBNF) made a successful transition to an approved accelerator project. On the other hand, the ILD project is a proto-collaboration that is awaiting approval of the ILC where it would be a detector. There is also a proto-collaboration for R&D for a calorimeter detector that has started recently.

The ongoing TVLBAI studies already bring together a healthy and much-needed combination of expertise from diferent felds. A proto-collaboration will provide a framework for interested parties to focus on a common future vision and roadmap, with goals such as preparing a Conceptual Design Report. The proto-collaboration will allow us to speak with a common voice to the outside world and provide a framework for organizing workshops and meetings. It should have a minimal formal structure including an institutional board (IB) with representatives of all participating institutes, able to make project decisions and guided by an elected chairperson. This will facilitate preparing coherent requests for resources in the future. Once signifcant funding is secured or approval obtained at some level, we may proceed to a full collaboration agreement.

12.2 The TVLBAI Proto-Collaboration

The development of future atom interferometers beyond the 10m scale will require the collaboration of several Laboratories and Institutions. Furthermore, there would be a clear advantage if several interferometers are eventually built to operate them in a network. To facilitate working together and defning clear collective goals, which could also help addressing funding agencies for support in these activities, it was proposed to invite all interested parties (Institutes, Laboratories, Universities) to sign a Memorandum of Understanding (MoU). This expresses the wish of the scientifc community to draft a framework for the development and realization of Terrestrial Very-Long-Baseline Atom Interferometry (TVLBAI) experiments, with a view to executing a Conceptual Design Study for a TVLBAI (the "TVLBAI Study"). The MoU establishes a common basis among the Participants for the collaborative efort required for the TVLBAI Study: see $[4]$ for the full text of the MoU, which has been signed by over 50 institutions.

We summarise here the scope of the MoU:

• The main focus of the TVLBAI Study Group shall be to prepare a full science and technology Roadmap accompanied by a Conceptual Design Report for a potential TVLBAI project that may consist of one or more demonstrators in various locations using a combination of design options, e.g., vertical and horizontal geometries and diferent cold atom species, operated as a network.

- As part of the Roadmap, the TVLBAI Study shall provide a baseline concept for such a TVLBAI project, performance expectations, and assess the associated key risks, as well as the cost drivers. It shall also identify an R&D path to demonstrate the feasibility of a TVLBAI project and support its performance claims.
- Depending on the results of the Roadmap, there could be one or several conceptual design studies for specifc experiments that may be undertaken, under the aegis of the TVLBAI, and that may be developed independently by the participants possibly together with other external partners. In addition to scientifc and technical aspects, these should take into account environmental impacts, particularly in cases where extensive civil engineering would be required.
- The TVLBAI Study supports sharing of ideas and encourages free and open exchange of scientifc and technical knowledge, expertise, engineering designs, and equipment, within provisions further detailed in the MoU.
- Potential synergies with other projects shall be explored and used where beneficial to the TVLBAI Study.

To execute these activities, an International Collaboration Board (ICB) is to be formed, whose mandate is to oversee the TVLBAI Study, defne the strategies and channel contributions from the Participants. The Board elects a Study Chair who may be supported by a Coordination Group in executing its mandate. This MoU is an agreement *inter pares* and is not centred nor focused on any specifc laboratory or project. Nevertheless, CERN has ofered its support in establishing the MoU through its legal service, and will act as central repository for collecting all documents signed by the individual parties and the Study Chair as representative of the ICB.

As stated in the MoU, participants in the TVLBAI Study intend to organise, conduct and disseminate their collaborative research work with due regard for equity, diversity and inclusion.

Appendix: Poster Session

A.1 Introduction

A 3-hour poster session during the workshop provided 23 participants with an opportunity to showcase their research. Presenters consisted of PhD students and other early career researchers (ECRs), ofering them a platform to discuss their work and build connections with fellow academics. The session featured representation from 12 institutes across the globe, including the US, China, Europe and the UK, as shown in Fig. 42. As seen there, industry was also represented, with participation and sponsorship from TOPTICA Photonics, a manufacturer of lasers for quantum technologies, biophotonics and material inspection.

Although the talks centered on long-baseline atom interferometry, the poster session encompassed a wide range of topics, facilitating discussions across diverse subject areas. Additional contributions covered felds such as shorter baseline experiments, nuclear interferometry and optical clocks, promoting the exchange of ideas and techniques that are relevant across diferent applications. The variety of posters, representing both theoretical and experimental approaches, enabled valuable interdisciplinary discussions. The session successfully encouraged networking and collaboration, fostering the development of connections among ECRs.

A.2 Abstract Titles

Below is a list of the titles of the abstracts for the posters presented. The full abstracts can be found on the workshop Indico page.⁴

- Alice Josset: "The Atom Interferometer Observatory and Network (AION) detector"
- Ashkan Alibabaei: "Investigating the fundamental limits of Large Momentum Transfer (LMT) Atom Interferometry"
- Chung Chuan Hsu: "Atom Interferometry Observatory and Network (AION) for dark matter and gravitational waves detection"
- Daniel Derr, Enno Giese: "Internal structure of atoms for dark matter detection and tests of the Einstein equivalence principle"
- Elizabeth Pasatembou: "Testing the boundaries of fundamental physics with atomic clocks"

⁴ <https://indico.cern.ch/event/1369392/timetable/>

- Florentina Pislan: "Catalogues of potential gravitational wave sources for low to midfrequency detectors"
- Gedminas Elertas: "Developing a phase-shear detection platform for MAGIS and AION projects"
- Hannah Banks: "The nuclear interferometer as a detector for ultra-light dark matter"
- Jiajun Chen, Yijun Tang: "Efficient cooling and transport of strontium atoms for the AION detector"
- John Carlton: "Characterising noise in long-baseline terrestrial atom interferometer experiments"
- Jonathan Ramwell (Toptica): "Small table-top display for Toptica"
- Jordan Gué: "Expected experimental signals in atom interferometers from scalar dark matter non universally coupled to standard matter"
- Junjie Jiang: "Testing the equivalence principle with atom interferometry"
- Kamran Hussain: "AION and MAGIS: Probing gravitational waves and searching for ultra-light dark matter"
- Leonardo Badurina: "Extending the physics case of atom gradiometers to ultra-heavy dark matter"
- Leonie Hawkins: "Upgrading a frequency standard fountain for atom interferometry"
- Ludovico Iannizzotto Venezze: "Using continuously-operating optical clocks for ultralight dark matter search"
- Maria Isfan: "Developing a quantum neural network based low latency pipeline for gravitational wave data analysis"
- Michael Werner: "A novel interferometer scheme for measuring spacetime curvature and observing the gravitational Aharonov-Bohm efect"
- Oliver Ennis: "Enhancing large momentum transfer in the AION project"
- Sebastian Wald: "Entangled Mach-Zehnder type Atom Interferometer in an optical, propagating-wave cavity"
- Selyan Beldjoudi: "Achieving Large Momentum Transfer through stroboscopic stabilization of a Floquet state"
- Simon Hack: "Setting up a lattice atom interferometer for precision measurements and searches for new physics"
- Thomas Walker: "Designs for an ultracold strontium source for atom interferometry and optical atomic clock experiments"
- Vishu Gupta: "The Very Long Baseline Atom Interferometry (VLBAI) facility for highly precise inertial measurements"

A.3 ECR Engagement and Fostering Collaboration

The poster session provided an excellent platform for all participants to engage with the research of PhD students and other ECRs. It ofered an opportunity for in-depth discussions on specifc research topics related to the development of atom interferometers and the exploration of fundamental physics using quantum technology.

The diversity in university representation and research topics demonstrated the keen interest of ECRs in joining the planned long-baseline atom interferometry proto-collaboration. The formation of this proto-collaboration can signifcantly beneft PhD students and ECRs by providing an organizational framework for easier exchange of ideas and fostering collaboration. Additionally, it will enhance their learning opportunities through interactions with more experienced researchers and help them diversify their skills. This, in turn, will accelerate the research and development of technology and increase research output. It will also foster innovation and creativity as PhDs and ECRs from diverse backgrounds and with various skills collaborate.

The proto-collaboration will facilitate the establishment of a database of PhDs and ECRs trained in quantum technology-related skills, providing easier access to talent by academic institutions within the collaboration, the broader academic community, and industry.

Involving PhD students and ECRs in the proto-collaboration can enhance its impact both within the academic setting and beyond. This was evidenced already by the poster session at this workshop, which facilitated a signifcant exchange of ideas and knowledge between the presenters and participants.

References

- [1] "Second Terrestrial Very-Long-Baseline Atom Interferometry Workshop, Imperial College, April 2024." <https://indico.cern.ch/event/1369392/>, 2024.
- [2] "First Terrestrial Very-Long-Baseline Atom Interferometry Workshop, CERN, March 2023." <https://indico.cern.ch/event/1208783/>, 2023.
- [3] S. Abend et al., Terrestrial Very-Long-Baseline Atom Interferometry: Workshop Summary, [AVS Quantum Science](https://doi.org/10.1116/5.0185291) 6 (2024) 024701 [[2310.08183](https://arxiv.org/abs/2310.08183)].
- [4] "Memorandum of Understanding for the Terrestrial Very Long Baseline Atom Interferometer Study." [https://indico.cern.ch/event/1369392/attachments/2789312/5096609/TVLBAI%](https://indico.cern.ch/event/1369392/attachments/2789312/5096609/TVLBAI%20Study%20MOU%20%20Final%20.pdf) [20Study%20MOU%20%20Final%20.pdf](https://indico.cern.ch/event/1369392/attachments/2789312/5096609/TVLBAI%20Study%20MOU%20%20Final%20.pdf).
- [5] O. Buchmueller, J. Ellis and U. Schneider, Large-scale atom interferometry for fundamental physics, [Contemp. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1080/00107514.2023.2239008) 64 (2023) 93 [[2306.17726](https://arxiv.org/abs/2306.17726)].
- [6] A.A. Geraci and A. Derevianko, Sensitivity of atom interferometry to ultralight scalar feld dark matter, *[Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.117.261301)* **117** (2016) 261301 [**[1605.04048](https://arxiv.org/abs/1605.04048)**].
- [7] A. Arvanitaki, P.W. Graham, J.M. Hogan, S. Rajendran and K. Van Tilburg, Search for light scalar dark matter with atomic gravitational wave detectors, Phys. Rev. D 97 [\(2018\) 075020.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.97.075020)
- [8] S. Dimopoulos, P.W. Graham, J.M. Hogan, M.A. Kasevich and S. Rajendran, Gravitational Wave Detection with Atom Interferometry, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2009.06.011) 678 (2009) 37 [[0712.1250](https://arxiv.org/abs/0712.1250)].
- [9] S. Dimopoulos, P.W. Graham, J.M. Hogan, M.A. Kasevich and S. Rajendran, Atomic gravitational wave interferometric sensor, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.78.122002) 78 (2008) 1753.
- [10] S.M. Dickerson, J.M. Hogan, A. Sugarbaker, D.M.S. Johnson and M.A. Kasevich, Multiaxis inertial sensing with long-time point source atom interferometry, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.083001) 111 (2013) [083001.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.083001)
- [11] D. Schlippert, C. Meiners, R. Rengelink, C. Schubert, D. Tell, É. Wodey et al., *Matter-wave* interferometry for inertial sensing and tests of fundamental physics, in Proceedings of the Eighth Meeting on CPT and Lorentz Symmetry, pp. 37–40, World Scientifc, 2020, [DOI.](https://doi.org/10.1142/9789811213984_0010)
- [12] L. Badurina, E. Bentine, D. Blas, K. Bongs, D. Bortoletto, T. Bowcock et al., AION: an Atom Interferometer Observatory and Network, [J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2020/05/011) 2020 (2020) 011.
- [13] B. Canuel et al., Exploring gravity with the MIGA large scale atom interferometer, [Sci. Rep.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-32165-z) 8 [\(2018\) 14064](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-32165-z) [[1703.02490](https://arxiv.org/abs/1703.02490)].
- [14] M.-S. Zhan, J. Wang, W.-T. Ni, D.-F. Gao, G. Wang, L.-X. He et al., ZAIGA: Zhaoshan long-baseline Atom Interferometer Gravitation Antenna, [Int. J. Mod. Phys. D](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0218271819400054) 29 (2020) [1940005.](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0218271819400054)
- [15] M. Abe, P. Adamson, M. Borcean, D. Bortoletto, K. Bridges, S.P. Carman et al., Matter-wave Atomic Gradiometer Interferometric Sensor (MAGIS-100), [Quantum Sci. Technol.](https://doi.org/10.1088/2058-9565/abf719) 6 (2021) [044003.](https://doi.org/10.1088/2058-9565/abf719)
- [16] B. Canuel, S. Abend, P. Amaro-Seoane, F. Badaracco, Q. Beaufls, A. Bertoldi et al., ELGAR—a European Laboratory for Gravitation and Atom-interferometric Research, [Class.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6382/aba80e) [Quantum Grav.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6382/aba80e) 37 (2020) 225017.
- [17] Y.A. El-Neaj, C. Alpigiani, S. Amairi-Pyka, H. Araújo, A. Balaž, A. Bassi et al., AEDGE: Atomic Experiment for Dark matter and Gravity Exploration in space, [EPJ Quantum Technol.](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjqt/s40507-020-0080-0) 7 [\(2020\) 127.](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjqt/s40507-020-0080-0)
- [18] J. Ellis and V. Vaskonen, Probes of gravitational waves with atom interferometers, [Phys. Rev.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.101.124013) D 101 [\(2020\) 124013](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.101.124013) [[2003.13480](https://arxiv.org/abs/2003.13480)].
- [19] L. Badurina, O. Buchmueller, J. Ellis, M. Lewicki, C. McCabe and V. Vaskonen, Prospective sensitivities of atom interferometers to gravitational waves and ultralight dark matter, [Phil.](https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2021.0060) [Trans. A. Math. Phys. Eng. Sci.](https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2021.0060) 380 (2021) 20210060 [[2108.02468](https://arxiv.org/abs/2108.02468)].
- [20] NANOGrav collaboration, The NANOGrav 15 yr Data Set: Observations and Timing of 68 Millisecond Pulsars, [Astrophys. J. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/acda9a) 951 (2023) L9 [[2306.16217](https://arxiv.org/abs/2306.16217)].
- [21] EPTA & InPTA collaboration, The second data release from the European Pulsar Timing Array - IV. Implications for massive black holes, dark matter, and the early Universe, [Astron.](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202347433) Astrophys. 685 [\(2024\) A94](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202347433) [[2306.16227](https://arxiv.org/abs/2306.16227)].
- [22] Parkes Pulsar Timing Array collaboration, The Parkes Pulsar Timing Array Third Data Release, [2306.16230](https://arxiv.org/abs/2306.16230).
- [23] Chinese Pulsar Timing Array collaboration, Searching for the nano-Hertz stochastic gravitational wave background with the Chinese Pulsar Timing Array Data Release I, [2306.16216](https://arxiv.org/abs/2306.16216).
- [24] J. Ellis, M. Fairbairn, G. Hütsi, J. Urrutia, V. Vaskonen and H. Veermäe, Consistency of JWST Black Hole Observations with NANOGrav Gravitational Wave Measurements, [2403.19650](https://arxiv.org/abs/2403.19650).
- [25] J. Ellis, M. Fairbairn, G. Franciolini, G. Hütsi, A. Iovino, M. Lewicki et al., What is the source of the PTA GW signal?, [[arXiv:2308.08546](https://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:2308.08546)].
- [26] M. Schilling, E. Wodey, L. Timmen, D. Tell, K.H. Zipfel, D. Schlippert et al., Gravity feld modelling for the Hannover 10 m atom interferometer, J. Geod. **94** [\(2020\) 203003.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00190-020-01451-y)
- [27] E. Wodey, M. Schilling, D. Tell, C. Schubert, D. Schlippert, W. Ertmer et al., *Towards gravity* reference stations with very long baseline atom interferometry., in Geophysical Research Abstracts, vol. 21, 2019.
- [28] Q. Beaufls, L.A. Sidorenkov, P. Lebegue, B. Venon, D. Holleville, L. Volodimer et al., Cold-atom sources for the Matter-wave laser Interferometric Gravitation Antenna (MIGA), Sci. Rep. 12 [\(2022\) 231102.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-23468-3)
- [29] "Cold Atoms and Climate Change (Inter-disciplinary Workshop on Environmental Applications of Quantum Sensors), March 2022." <https://indico.kcl.ac.uk/event/268/>, (2022).
- [30] L. Zehnder, Ein neuer Interferenzrefraktor, Zeitschrift für Instrumentenkunde 11 (1891) 275.
- [31] L. Mach, Ueber einen Interferenzrefraktor, Zeitschrift für Instrumentenkunde 12 (1892) 89.
- [32] L. Badurina, V. Gibson, C. McCabe and J. Mitchell, Ultralight dark matter searches at the $sub-Hz$ frontier with atom multigradiometry, Phys. Rev. D 107 [\(2023\) 055002](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.107.055002) [[2211.01854](https://arxiv.org/abs/2211.01854)].
- [33] R.L. Workman et al., Review of Particle Physics, [Progress of Theoretical and Experimental](https://doi.org/10.1093/ptep/ptac097) Physics 2022 [\(2022\) 083C01.](https://doi.org/10.1093/ptep/ptac097)
- [34] G. Arcadi, D. Cabo-Almeida, M. Dutra, P. Ghosh, M. Lindner, Y. Mambrini et al., The Waning of the WIMP: Endgame?, arXiv e-prints [\(2024\) arXiv:2403.15860](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2403.15860) [[2403.15860](https://arxiv.org/abs/2403.15860)].
- [35] M. Battaglieri et al., US Cosmic Visions: New Ideas in Dark Matter 2017: Community Report, arXiv e-prints [\(2017\) arXiv:1707.04591](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1707.04591) [[1707.04591](https://arxiv.org/abs/1707.04591)].
- [36] A. Chou, K. Irwin, R.H. Maruyama, O.K. Baker, C. Bartram, K.K. Berggren et al., Quantum sensors for high energy physics, arXiv:2311.01930, 2023.
- [37] D. Antypas et al., New horizons: Scalar and vector ultralight dark matter, arXiv:2203.14915, 2022.
- [38] M.S. Safronova, D. Budker, D. DeMille, D.F.J. Kimball, A. Derevianko and C.W. Clark, Search for New Physics with Atoms and Molecules, Rev. Mod. Phys 90 (2018) 025008.
- [39] LIGO SCIENTIFIC, VIRGO collaboration, Observation of Gravitational Waves from a Binary Black Hole Merger, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.061102) 116 (2016) 061102 [[1602.03837](https://arxiv.org/abs/1602.03837)].
- [40] KAGRA, VIRGO, LIGO Scientific collaboration, GWTC-3: Compact Binary Coalescences Observed by LIGO and Virgo during the Second Part of the Third Observing Run, Phys. Rev. X 13 [\(2023\) 041039](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.13.041039) [[2111.03606](https://arxiv.org/abs/2111.03606)].
- [41] NANOGrav collaboration, The NANOGrav 15 yr data set: Evidence for a gravitational-wave background, $ApJL$ 951 [\(2023\) L8.](https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/acdac6)
- [42] EPTA collaboration, The second data release from the European Pulsar Timing Array IV. Search for continuous gravitational wave signals, $[\text{arXiv:}2306.16226]$.
- [43] NANOGrav collaboration, The NANOGrav 15 yr data set: Constraints on supermassive black hole binaries from the gravitational-wave background, $ApJL$ 952 [\(2023\) L37.](https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/ace18b)
- [44] C.J. Moore, R.H. Cole and C.P.L. Berry, Gravitational-wave sensitivity curves, [Class. Quant.](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/32/1/015014) Grav. 32 [\(2015\) 015014](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/32/1/015014) [[1408.0740](https://arxiv.org/abs/1408.0740)].
- [45] "LIGO, Virgo and KAGRA Observing Run Plans." <https://observing.docs.ligo.org/plan/#>, 2024.
- [46] M. Evans et al., Cosmic Explorer: A Submission to the NSF MPSAC ngGW Subcommittee, [2306.13745](https://arxiv.org/abs/2306.13745).
- [47] M. Maggiore et al., Science Case for the Einstein Telescope, JCAP 03 [\(2020\) 050](https://doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2020/03/050) [[1912.02622](https://arxiv.org/abs/1912.02622)].
- [48] LISA collaboration, Laser Interferometer Space Antenna, [arXiv:1702.00786](https://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:1702.00786).
- [49] M. Colpi et al., *LISA Definition Study Report*, $arXiv:2402.07571$.
- [50] A. Torres-Orjuela, Detecting intermediate-mass black hole binaries with atom interferometer observatories: Using the resonant mode for the merger phase, [AVS Quantum Sci.](https://doi.org/10.1116/5.0162505) 5 (2023) [045002](https://doi.org/10.1116/5.0162505) [[2306.08898](https://arxiv.org/abs/2306.08898)].
- [51] R. Valiante, M. Colpi, R. Schneider, A. Mangiagli, M. Bonetti, G. Cerini et al., Unveiling early black hole growth with multifrequency gravitational wave observations, [Mon. Not. Roy. Astron.](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/staa3395) Soc. 500 [\(2020\) 4095](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/staa3395) [[2010.15096](https://arxiv.org/abs/2010.15096)].
- [52] P.C. Peters and J. Mathews, Gravitational radiation from point masses in a Keplerian orbit, Phys. Rev. 131 [\(1963\) 435.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.131.435)
- [53] M.A. Sedda, C.P.L. Berry, K. Jani, P. Amaro-Seoane, P. Auclair, J. Baird et al., The missing link in gravitational-wave astronomy: discoveries waiting in the decihertz range, [Class.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6382/abb5c1) [Quantum Grav.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6382/abb5c1) 37 (2020) 215011.
- [54] M. Livio, The Progenitors of Type Ia Supernovae, in Type Ia Supernovae, Theory and Cosmology, J.C. Niemeyer and J.W. Truran, eds., p. 33, Jan., 2000, [DOI](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.astro-ph/9903264) [[astro-ph/9903264](https://arxiv.org/abs/astro-ph/9903264)].
- [55] I. Mandel, A. Sesana and A. Vecchio, The astrophysical science case for a decihertz gravitational-wave detector, [Class. Quant. Grav.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6382/aaa7e0) 35 (2018) 054004 [[1710.11187](https://arxiv.org/abs/1710.11187)].
- [56] P.W. Graham and S. Jung, Localizing gravitational wave sources with single-baseline atom interferometers, Phys. Rev. D 97 [\(2018\) 024052.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.97.024052)
- [57] D.J. Kaup, *Klein-Gordon Geon, Phys. Rev.* **172** [\(1968\) 1331.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.172.1331)
- [58] R. Ruffini and S. Bonazzola, Systems of selfgravitating particles in general relativity and the concept of an equation of state, Phys. Rev. 187 [\(1969\) 1767.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.187.1767)
- [59] J. Eby, M. Leembruggen, P. Suranyi and L.C.R. Wijewardhana, Collapse of Axion Stars, JHEP 12 [\(2016\) 066](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12(2016)066) [[1608.06911](https://arxiv.org/abs/1608.06911)].
- [60] D.G. Levkov, A.G. Panin and I.I. Tkachev, Relativistic axions from collapsing Bose stars, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.118.011301) 118 (2017) 011301 [[1609.03611](https://arxiv.org/abs/1609.03611)].
- [61] J. Eby, P. Suranyi and L.C.R. Wijewardhana, The Lifetime of Axion Stars, [Mod. Phys. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0217732316500905) A 31 [\(2016\) 1650090](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0217732316500905) [[1512.01709](https://arxiv.org/abs/1512.01709)].
- [62] J. Eby, S. Shirai, Y.V. Stadnik and V. Takhistov, Probing relativistic axions from transient astrophysical sources, Phys. Lett. B 825 [\(2022\) 136858](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2021.136858) [[2106.14893](https://arxiv.org/abs/2106.14893)].
- [63] J. Arakawa, J. Eby, M.S. Safronova, V. Takhistov and M.H. Zaheer, Detection of Bosenovae with Quantum Sensors on Earth and in Space, [2306.16468](https://arxiv.org/abs/2306.16468).
- [64] J. Arakawa, M.H. Zaheer, J. Eby, V. Takhistov and M.S. Safronova, Bosenovae with Quadratically-Coupled Scalars in Quantum Sensing Experiments, [2402.06736](https://arxiv.org/abs/2402.06736).
- [65] D. Maseizik and G. Sigl, Distributions and Collision Rates of ALP Stars in the Milky Way, [2404.07908](https://arxiv.org/abs/2404.07908).
- [66] M. Gorghetto, E. Hardy and G. Villadoro, More Axion Stars from Strings, [2405.19389](https://arxiv.org/abs/2405.19389).
- [67] J.H. Chang, P.J. Fox and H. Xiao, Axion Stars: Mass Functions and Constraints, [2406.09499](https://arxiv.org/abs/2406.09499).
- [68] A. Roura, Atom interferometer as a freely falling clock for time-dilation measurements, [Quantum Sci. Technol.](https://doi.org/10.1088/2058-9565/ad9e2e) (2025) in press [[2402.11065](https://arxiv.org/abs/2402.11065)].
- [69] G. Arduini et al., A Long-Baseline Atom Interferometer at CERN: Conceptual Feasibility $Study, [\texttt{arXiv:} 2304.00614].$
- [70] A. Roura, Gravitational redshift in quantum-clock interferometry, [Phys. Rev. X](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.10.021014) 10 (2020) [021014.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.10.021014)
- [71] S. Sinha and J. Samuel, Atom interferometry and the gravitational redshift, [Class. Quantum](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/28/14/145018) Grav. 28 [\(2011\) 145018.](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/28/14/145018)
- [72] M. Zych, F. Costa, I. Pikovski and u. Brukner, Quantum interferometric visibility as a witness of general relativistic proper time, [Nat. Commun.](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms1498) 2 (2011) 166.
- [73] S. Loriani, D. Schlippert, C. Schubert, S. Abend, H. Ahlers, W. Ertmer et al., Atomic source selection in space-borne gravitational wave detection, New J. Phys. 21 [\(2019\) 063030.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/ab22d0)
- [74] A. Roura, C. Schubert, D. Schlippert and E.M. Rasel, Measuring gravitational time dilation with delocalized quantum superpositions, Phys. Rev. D 104 [\(2021\) 084001.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.104.084001)
- [75] C. Ufrecht, F. Di Pumpo, A. Friedrich, A. Roura, C. Schubert, D. Schlippert et al., Atom-interferometric test of the universality of gravitational redshift and free fall, [Phys. Rev.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevResearch.2.043240) Research 2 [\(2020\) 043240.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevResearch.2.043240)
- [76] F. Di Pumpo, C. Ufrecht, A. Friedrich, E. Giese, W.P. Schleich and W.G. Unruh, Gravitational redshift tests with atomic clocks and atom interferometers, [PRX Quantum](https://doi.org/10.1103/PRXQuantum.2.040333) 2 (2021) 411.
- [77] F. Di Pumpo, A. Friedrich, C. Ufrecht and E. Giese, Universality-of-clock-rates test using atom interferometry with T^3 scaling, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.107.064007) 107 (2023) 411.
- [78] LISA Collaboration, Laser Interferometer Space Antenna, [[arXiv:1702.00786](https://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:1702.00786)].
- [79] W.-H. Ruan, Z.-K. Guo, R.-G. Cai and Y.-Z. Zhang, Taiji program: Gravitational-wave sources, [Int. J. Mod. Phys. A](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0217751X2050075X) 35 (2020) 2050075.
- [80] TianQin collaboration, TianQin: a space-borne gravitational wave detector, [Class. Quant.](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/33/3/035010) Grav. 33 [\(2016\) 035010](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/33/3/035010) [[1512.02076](https://arxiv.org/abs/1512.02076)].
- [81] B. Sathyaprakash et al., Scientific Objectives of Einstein Telescope, [Class. Quant. Grav.](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/29/12/124013) 29 [\(2012\) 124013](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/29/12/124013) [[1206.0331](https://arxiv.org/abs/1206.0331)].
- [82] D. Reitze, R.X. Adhikari, S. Ballmer, B. Barish, L. Barsotti, G. Billingsley et al., Cosmic Explorer: The U.S. Contribution to Gravitational-Wave Astronomy beyond LIGO, Bull. Am. Astron. Soc. **51** (2019) 1.
- [83] LIGO SCIENTIFIC, VIRGO collaboration, GW170817: Observation of Gravitational Waves from a Binary Neutron Star Inspiral, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.119.161101) 119 (2017) 161101 [[1710.05832](https://arxiv.org/abs/1710.05832)].
- [84] LIGO Scientific, Virgo, Fermi GBM, INTEGRAL, IceCube, AstroSat Cadmium Zinc Telluride Imager Team, IPN, Insight-Hxmt, ANTARES, Swift, AGILE Team, 1M2H Team, Dark Energy Camera GW-EM, DES, DLT40, GRAWITA, Fermi-LAT, ATCA, ASKAP, Las Cumbres Observatory Group, OzGrav, DWF (Deeper Wider Faster Program), AST3, CAASTRO, VINROUGE, MASTER, J-GEM, GROWTH, JAGWAR, CaltechNRAO, TTU-NRAO, NuSTAR, Pan-STARRS, MAXI Team, TZAC Consortium, KU, Nordic Optical Telescope, ePESSTO, GROND, Texas Tech University, SALT Group, TOROS, BOOTES, MWA, CALET, IKI-GW Follow-up, H.E.S.S., LOFAR, LWA, HAWC, Pierre Auger, ALMA, Euro VLBI Team, Pi of Sky, Chandra Team at McGill University, DFN, ATLAS Telescopes, High Time Resolution Universe Survey, RIMAS, RATIR, SKA South Africa/MeerKAT collaboration, Multi-messenger Observations of a Binary Neutron Star Merger, [Astrophys. J. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/aa91c9) 848 (2017) L12 [[1710.05833](https://arxiv.org/abs/1710.05833)].
- [85] KAGRA, VIRGO, LIGO Scientific collaboration, Population of Merging Compact Binaries Inferred Using Gravitational Waves through GWTC-3, [Phys. Rev. X](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.13.011048) 13 (2023) [011048](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.13.011048) [[2111.03634](https://arxiv.org/abs/2111.03634)].
- [86] LIGO SCIENTIFIC, VIRGO collaboration, GW190521: A Binary Black Hole Merger with a Total Mass of $150M_{\odot}$, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.125.101102) 125 (2020) 101102 [[2009.01075](https://arxiv.org/abs/2009.01075)].
- [87] S. Fairhurst, C. Mills, M. Colpi, R. Schneider, A. Sesana, A. Trinca et al., Identifying heavy stellar black holes at cosmological distances with next-generation gravitational-wave observatories, [Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stae443) 529 (2024) 2116 [[2310.18158](https://arxiv.org/abs/2310.18158)].
- [88] C. Mills and S. Fairhurst, Measuring gravitational-wave higher-order multipoles, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.103.024042) 103 [\(2021\) 024042](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.103.024042) [[2007.04313](https://arxiv.org/abs/2007.04313)].
- [89] A. Torres-Orjuela, *Black hole spectroscopy with ground-based atom interferometer and* space-based laser interferometer gravitational wave detectors, [arXiv:2405.10551](https://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:2405.10551).
- [90] L. Badurina, O. Buchmueller, J. Ellis, M. Lewicki, C. McCabe and V. Vaskonen, Prospective sensitivities of atom interferometers to gravitational waves and ultralight dark matter, [Phil.](https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2021.0060) [Trans. R. Soc. A.](https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2021.0060) 380 (2022) 035.
- [91] J. Ellis, M. Fairbairn, J. Urrutia and V. Vaskonen, Probing Supermassive Black Hole Seed Scenarios with Gravitational-wave Measurements, [Astrophys. J.](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ad27d5) **964** (2024) 11 [[2312.02983](https://arxiv.org/abs/2312.02983)].
- [92] A. Toubiana, L. Sberna, A. Caputo, G. Cusin, S. Marsat, K. Jani et al., Detectable environmental effects in $GW190521$ -like black-hole binaries with LISA, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.126.101105) 126 [\(2021\) 101105.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.126.101105)
- [93] L. Sberna, S. Babak, S. Marsat, A. Caputo, G. Cusin, A. Toubiana et al., Observing GW190521-like binary black holes and their environment with LISA, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.106.064056) 106 (2022) [064056.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.106.064056)
- [94] J. Ellis and V. Vaskonen, Probes of gravitational waves with atom interferometers, [Phys. Rev.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.101.124013) D 101 [\(2020\) 124013.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.101.124013)
- [95] C. Caprini, M. Chala, G.C. Dorsch, M. Hindmarsh, S.J. Huber, T. Konstandin et al., Detecting gravitational waves from cosmological phase transitions with LISA: an update, [J.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2020/03/024) [Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2020/03/024) 2020 (2020) 024.
- [96] P. Auclair, J.J. Blanco-Pillado, D.G. Figueroa, A.C. Jenkins, M. Lewicki, M. Sakellariadou et al., Probing the gravitational wave background from cosmic strings with LISA, [J. Cosmol.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2020/04/034) [Astropart. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2020/04/034) 2020 (2020) 034.
- [97] LIGO SCIENTIFIC, VIRGO, KAGRA collaboration, Tests of General Relativity with GWTC-3, [2112.06861](https://arxiv.org/abs/2112.06861).
- [98] LIGO Scientific, VIRGO collaboration, Search for Lensing Signatures in the Gravitational-Wave Observations from the First Half of LIGO–Virgo's Third Observing Run, [Astrophys. J.](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ac23db) 923 (2021) 14 [[2105.06384](https://arxiv.org/abs/2105.06384)].
- [99] LIGO Scientific collaboration, Advanced LIGO, [Class. Quant. Grav.](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/32/7/074001) 32 (2015) 074001 [[1411.4547](https://arxiv.org/abs/1411.4547)].
- [100] M. Volonteri, Formation of Supermassive Black Holes, [Astron. Astrophys. Rev.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00159-010-0029-x) 18 (2010) 279 [[1003.4404](https://arxiv.org/abs/1003.4404)].
- [101] M. Volonteri and P. Natarajan, Journey to the $M_{\rm BH} \sigma$ relation: the fate of low mass black holes in the Universe, [Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2009.15577.x) 400 (2009) 1911 [[0903.2262](https://arxiv.org/abs/0903.2262)].
- [102] J. Kormendy and L.C. Ho, Coevolution (Or Not) of Supermassive Black Holes and Host Galaxies, [Ann. Rev. Astron. Astrophys.](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-astro-082708-101811) 51 (2013) 511 [[1304.7762](https://arxiv.org/abs/1304.7762)].
- [103] U. Chadayammuri, A. Bogdan, A. Ricarte and P. Natarajan, Constraints From Dwarf Galaxies on Black Hole Seeding and Growth Models With Current and Future Surveys, [Astrophys. J.](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/acbea6) 946 (2023) 51 [[2212.04693](https://arxiv.org/abs/2212.04693)].
- [104] NANOGrav collaboration, The NANOGrav 15 yr Data Set: Constraints on Supermassive Black Hole Binaries from the Gravitational-wave Background, [Astrophys. J. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/ace18b) 952 (2023) [L37](https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/ace18b) [[2306.16220](https://arxiv.org/abs/2306.16220)].
- [105] J. Ellis, M. Fairbairn, G. Hütsi, J. Raidal, J. Urrutia, V. Vaskonen et al., *Gravitational waves* from supermassive black hole binaries in light of the NANOGrav 15-year data, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.109.L021302) 109 [\(2024\) L021302](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.109.L021302) [[2306.17021](https://arxiv.org/abs/2306.17021)].
- [106] J. Raidal, J. Urrutia, V. Vaskonen and H. Veermäe, *Eccentricity effects on the SMBH GW* background, [2406.05125](https://arxiv.org/abs/2406.05125).
- [107] F. Pacucci, B. Nguyen, S. Carniani, R. Maiolino and X. Fan, JWST CEERS and JADES Active Galaxies at $z = 4 - 7$ Violate the Local $M_{\bullet} - M_{\star}$ Relation at $> 3\sigma$: Implications for Low-mass Black Holes and Seeding Models, [Astrophys. J. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/ad0158) 957 (2023) L3 [[2308.12331](https://arxiv.org/abs/2308.12331)].
- [108] J. Matthee et al., Little Red Dots: An Abundant Population of Faint Active Galactic Nuclei at $z \sim 5$ Revealed by the EIGER and FRESCO JWST Surveys, [Astrophys. J.](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ad2345) 963 (2024) 129 [[2306.05448](https://arxiv.org/abs/2306.05448)].
- [109] M. Perna, S. Arribas, I. Lamperti, C. Circosta, E. Bertola, P.G. Pérez-González et al., A surprisingly high number of dual active galactic nuclei in the early Universe, [arXiv:2310.03067](https://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:2310.03067).
- [110] B. Canuel, A. Bertoldi, L. Amand, E. Pozzo di Borgo, T. Chantrait, C. Danquigny et al., Exploring gravity with the MIGA large scale atom interferometer, Sci. Rep. 8 [\(2018\) 2689.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-32165-z)
- [111] V. Giovannetti, S. Lloyd and L. Maccone, Quantum metrology, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.010401) 96 (2006) [010401.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.010401)
- [112] L. Pezzè, A. Smerzi, M.K. Oberthaler, R. Schmied and P. Treutlein, *Quantum metrology with* nonclassical states of atomic ensembles, [Rev. Mod. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.90.035005) **90** (2018) 035005.
- [113] T. Kovachy, P. Asenbaum, C. Overstreet, C.A. Donnelly, S.M. Dickerson, A. Sugarbaker et al., Quantum superposition at the half-metre scale, Nature 528 [\(2015\) 530.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature16155)
- [114] S. Chiow, T. Kovachy, H. Chien and M.A. Kasevich, 102 $\hbar k$ large area atom interferometers, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.130403) 107 (2011) 130403.
- [115] K.E. McAlpine, D. Gochnauer and S. Gupta, Excited-band Bloch oscillations for precision atom interferometry, [Physical Review A](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.101.023614) 101 (2020) 023614.
- [116] J.M. McGuirk, M.J. Snadden and M.A. Kasevich, Large area light-pulse atom interferometry, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.85.4498) 85 (2000) 4498.
- [117] T. Wilkason, M. Nantel, J. Rudolph, Y. Jiang, B.E. Garber, H. Swan et al., Atom interferometry with Floquet atom optics, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.129.183202) 129 (2022) 183202.
- [118] A. Bott, F. Di Pumpo and E. Giese, Atomic difraction from single-photon transitions in gravity and Standard-Model extensions, [AVS Quantum Sci.](https://doi.org/10.1116/5.0174258) 5 (2023) 044402.
- [119] K. Kotru, D.L. Butts, J.M. Kinast and R.E. Stoner, Large-area atom interferometry with frequency-swept Raman adiabatic passage, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.103001) 115 (2015) 103001.
- [120] P. Berg, S. Abend, G. Tackmann, C. Schubert, E. Giese, W. Schleich et al., Composite-light-pulse technique for high-precision atom interferometry, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.114.063002) 114 [\(2015\) 063002.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.114.063002)
- [121] B. Plotkin-Swing, D. Gochnauer, K. McAlpine, E. Cooper, A. Jamison and S. Gupta, Three-Path Atom Interferometry with Large Momentum Separation, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.121.133201) 121 [\(2018\) 133201.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.121.133201)
- [122] A. Béguin, T. Rodzinka, L. Calmels, B. Allard and A. Gauguet, Atom interferometry with coherent enhancement of bragg pulse sequences, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.131.143401) 131 (2023) 143401.
- [123] Z. Pagel, W. Zhong, R.H. Parker, C.T. Olund, N.Y. Yao, C. Yu et al., Symmetric Bloch oscillations of matter waves, $Phys. Rev. A 102 (2020) 053312.$ $Phys. Rev. A 102 (2020) 053312.$
- [124] M. Gebbe, S. Abend, S. Jan-Niclas, M. Gersemann, H. Ahlers, H. Müntinga et al., Twin-lattice atom interferometry, [Nature Communications](https://doi.org/doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-22823-8) 12 (2021) 2544.
- [125] M. Bukov, L. D'Alessio and A. Polkovnikov, Universal high-frequency behavior of periodically driven systems: from dynamical stabilization to Floquet engineering, [Advances in Physics](https://doi.org/10.1080/00018732.2015.1055918) 64 [\(2015\) 139.](https://doi.org/10.1080/00018732.2015.1055918)
- [126] M. Kim, R. Notermans, C. Overstreet, J. Curti, P. Asenbaum and M.A. Kasevich, 40 W, 780 nm laser system with compensated dual beam splitters for atom interferometry, Opt . Lett. 45 [\(2020\) 6555.](https://doi.org/10.1364/OL.404430)
- [127] T. Rahman, A. Wirth-Singh, A. Ivanov, D. Gochnauer, E. Hough and S. Gupta, Bloch Oscillation Phases investigated by Multi-path Stuckelberg Atom Interferometry, [Phys. Rev.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevResearch.6.L022012) Res. 6 [\(2024\) L022012.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevResearch.6.L022012)
- [128] P.W. Graham, J.M. Hogan, M.A. Kasevich and S. Rajendran, New Method for Gravitational Wave Detection with Atomic Sensors, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.171102) 110 (2013) 171102.
- [129] J. Rudolph, T. Wilkason, M. Nantel, H. Swan, C.M. Holland, Y. Jiang et al., Large Momentum Transfer Clock Atom Interferometry on the 689 nm Intercombination Line of Strontium, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.124.083604) 124 (2020) 083604.
- [130] T. Wilkason, M. Nantel, J. Rudolph, Y. Jiang, B.E. Garber, H. Swan et al., Atom Interferometry with Floquet Atom Optics, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.129.183202) 129 (2022) 183202.
- [131] T. Hong, C. Cramer, W. Nagourney and E.N. Fortson, Optical Clocks Based on Ultranarrow Three-Photon Resonances in Alkaline Earth Atoms, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.94.050801) 94 (2005) 050801.
- [132] R. Santra, E. Arimondo, T. Ido, C.H. Greene and J. Ye, High-Accuracy Optical Clock via Three-Level Coherence in Neutral Bosonic ⁸⁸Sr, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.94.173002) 94 (2005) 173002.
- [133] V.D. Ovsiannikov, V.G. Pal'chikov, A.V. Taichenachev, V.I. Yudin, H. Katori and M. Takamoto, Magic-wave-induced ${}^{1}S_{0} - {}^{3}P_{0}$ transition in even isotopes of alkaline-earth-metal-like atoms, Phys. Rev. A 75 [\(2007\) 020501\(R\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.75.020501)
- [134] E.A. Alden, K.R. Moore and A.E. Leanhardt, Two-photon E1-M1 optical clock, [Phys. Rev. A](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.90.012523) 90 [\(2014\) 012523.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.90.012523)
- [135] S.P. Carman, J. Rudolph, B.E. Garber, M.J. Van de Graaf, H. Swan, Y. Jiang et al., Collinear three-photon excitation of a strongly forbidden optical clock transition, arXiv:2406.07902 (2024) .
- [136] L. Morel, Y. Zhao, P. Clade and S. Guellati-Khelifa, Determination of the fne-structure constant with an accuracy of 81 parts per trillion, Nature 588 [\(2020\) 61.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2964-7)
- [137] D. Gochnauer, K. McAlpine, B. Plotkin-Swing, A. Jamison and S. Gupta, *Bloch-band picture* for light-pulse atom difraction and interferometry, Phys. Rev. A. 100 [\(2019\) 043611.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.100.04361)
- [138] K. McAlpine, D. Gochnauer and S. Gupta, Excited-band Bloch oscillations for precision atom interferometry, Phys. Rev. A. 101 [\(2020\) 023614.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.101.023614)
- [139] F. Fitzek, J.-N. Kirsten-Siemß, E. Rasel, N. Gaaloul and K. Hammerer, Accurate and efficient bloch-oscillation-enhanced atom interferometry, $a_rXiv:2306.09399$ (2023).
- [140] R. Sydnow, ed., Local oscillator induced instabilities in trapped ion frequency standards, U.S. Naval Observatory, Washington, DC, 1987.
- [141] S. Okaba, R. Takeuchi, S. Tsuji and H. Katori, Continuous generation of an ultracold atomic beam using crossed moving optical lattices, [Phys. Rev. Appl.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevApplied.21.034006) 21 (2024) 034006.
- [142] R. Takeuchi, H. Chiba, S. Okaba, M. Takamoto, S. Tsuji and H. Katori, Continuous outcoupling of ultracold strontium atoms combining three diferent traps, [Applied Physics](https://doi.org/10.35848/1882-0786/accb3c) Express 16 [\(2023\) 042003.](https://doi.org/10.35848/1882-0786/accb3c)
- [143] J. Chen, Active optical clock, [Chinese Science Bulletin](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11434-009-0073-y) 54 (2009) 348.
- [144] D. Meiser, J. Ye, D.R. Carlson and M.J. Holland, Prospects for a millihertz-linewidth laser, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.102.163601) 102 (2009) 163601.
- [145] J.R.K. Cline, V.M. Schäfer, Z. Niu, D.J. Young, T.H. Yoon and J.K. Thompson, Continuous collective strong coupling between atoms and a high fnesse optical cavity, 2022, [DOI](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2211.00158) [[2211.00158](https://arxiv.org/abs/2211.00158)].
- [146] N. Robins, P. Altin, J. Debs and J. Close, Atom lasers: Production, properties and prospects for precision inertial measurement, *[Physics Reports](https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2013.03.006)* 529 (2013) 265.
- [147] C.-C. Chen, R. González Escudero, J. Minář, B. Pasquiou, S. Bennetts and F. Schreck, Continuous Bose–Einstein condensation, Nature 606 [\(2022\) 683.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-022-04731-z)
- [148] C.-C. Chen, S. Bennetts and F. Schreck, Chapter six the path to continuous bose-einstein condensation, in Advances in Atomic, Molecular, and Optical Physics, L.F. DiMauro, H. Perrin and S.F. Yelin, eds., vol. 72 of Advances In Atomic, Molecular, and Optical Physics, pp. 361–430, Academic Press (2023), [DOI.](https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.aamop.2023.04.004)
- [149] S. Stellmer, B. Pasquiou, R. Grimm and F. Schreck, *Laser cooling to quantum degeneracy*, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.263003) 110 (2013) 263003.
- [150] J. Hu, A. Urvoy, Z. Vendeiro, V. Crépel, W. Chen and V. Vuletiü, Creation of a Bose-condensed gas of ${}^{87}Rb$ by laser cooling, Science 358 [\(2017\) 1078](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aan5614) [[https://www.science.org/doi/pdf/10.1126/science.aan5614](https://arxiv.org/abs/https://www.science.org/doi/pdf/10.1126/science.aan5614)].
- [151] A. Urvoy, Z. Vendeiro, J. Ramette, A. Adiyatullin and V. Vuletić, *Direct laser cooling to* Bose-Einstein Condensation in a dipole trap, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.122.203202) 122 (2019) 203202.
- [152] S. Bennetts, C.-C. Chen, B. Pasquiou and F. Schreck, Steady-state magneto-optical trap with 100-fold improved phase-space density, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.119.223202) 119 (2017) 223202.
- [153] C.-C. Chen, S. Bennetts, R.G. Escudero, B. Pasquiou and F. Schreck, Continuous guided strontium beam with high phase-space density, [Phys. Rev. Appl.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevApplied.12.044014) 12 (2019) 044014.
- [154] C.-C. Chen, J.L. Siegel, B.D. Hunt, T. Grogan, Y.S. Hassan, K. Beloy et al., Clock-line-mediated sisyphus cooling, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.133.053401) 133 (2024) 053401.
- [155] J. He, B. Pasquiou, R.G. Escudero, S. Zhou, M. Borkowski and F. Schreck, Coherent Three-Photon Excitation of the Strontium Clock Transition, 2024, [DOI](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2406.07530) [[2406.07530](https://arxiv.org/abs/2406.07530)].
- [156] C.-H. Feng, P. Robert, P. Bouyer, B. Canuel, J. Li, S. Das et al., High fux strontium atom source, [Quantum Science and Technology](https://doi.org/10.1088/2058-9565/ad310b) 9 (2024) 025017.
- [157] N.R. Hutzler, H.-I. Lu and J.M. Doyle, *The buffer gas beam: An intense, cold, and slow source* for atoms and molecules, [Chemical Reviews](https://doi.org/10.1021/cr200362u) 112 (2012) 4803 [[https://doi.org/10.1021/cr200362u](https://arxiv.org/abs/https://doi.org/10.1021/cr200362u)].
- [158] A. Impertro, J.F. Wienand, S. Häfele, H. von Raven, S. Hubele, T. Klostermann et al., An unsupervised deep learning algorithm for single-site reconstruction in quantum gas microscopes, [Communications Physics](https://doi.org/10.1038/s42005-023-01287-w) 6 (2023) 1.
- [159] W.S. Bakr, A. Peng, M.E. Tai, R. Ma, J. Simon, J.I. Gillen et al., Probing the Superfuid–to–Mott Insulator Transition at the Single-Atom Level, Science 329 [\(2010\) 547.](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1192368)
- [160] J.F. Sherson, C. Weitenberg, M. Endres, M. Cheneau, I. Bloch and S. Kuhr, Single-atom-resolved fuorescence imaging of an atomic Mott insulator, Nature 467 [\(2010\) 68.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature09378)
- [161] L.W. Cheuk, M.A. Nichols, M. Okan, T. Gersdorf, V.V. Ramasesh, W.S. Bakr et al., Quantum-Gas Microscope for Fermionic Atoms, [Physical Review Letters](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.114.193001) 114 (2015) 193001.
- [162] E. Haller, J. Hudson, A. Kelly, D.A. Cotta, B. Peaudecerf, G.D. Bruce et al., Single-atom imaging of fermions in a quantum-gas microscope, [Nature Physics](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys3403) 11 (2015) 738.
- [163] M.F. Parsons, F. Huber, A. Mazurenko, C.S. Chiu, W. Setiawan, K. Wooley-Brown et al., $Site-Resolved Imaging of Fermionic 6Li in an Optical Lattice, Physical Review Letters 114$ $Site-Resolved Imaging of Fermionic 6Li in an Optical Lattice, Physical Review Letters 114$ $Site-Resolved Imaging of Fermionic 6Li in an Optical Lattice, Physical Review Letters 114$ [\(2015\) 213002.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.114.213002)
- [164] C. Gross and W.S. Bakr, Quantum gas microscopy for single atom and spin detection, [Nature](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41567-021-01370-5) Physics 17 [\(2021\) 1316.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41567-021-01370-5)
- [165] M. Greiner, O. Mandel, T. Esslinger, T.W. Hänsch and I. Bloch, Quantum phase transition from a superfuid to a Mott insulator in a gas of ultracold atoms, Nature 415 [\(2002\) 39.](https://doi.org/10.1038/415039a)
- [166] C. Weitenberg, M. Endres, J.F. Sherson, M. Cheneau, P. Schauß, T. Fukuhara et al., Single-spin addressing in an atomic Mott insulator, Nature 471 [\(2011\) 319.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature09827)
- [167] B. Yang, H. Sun, C.-J. Huang, H.-Y. Wang, Y. Deng, H.-N. Dai et al., Cooling and entangling ultracold atoms in optical lattices, Science 369 [\(2020\) 550.](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaz6801)
- [168] D. Wei, A. Rubio-Abadal, B. Ye, F. Machado, J. Kemp, K. Srakaew et al., Quantum gas microscopy of Kardar-Parisi-Zhang superdiffusion, Science 376 [\(2022\) 716.](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abk2397)
- [169] J.F. Wienand, S. Karch, A. Impertro, C. Schweizer, E. McCulloch, R. Vasseur et al., Emergence of fuctuating hydrodynamics in chaotic quantum systems, [Nature Physics](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41567-024-02611-z) (2024) .
- [170] A.M. Kaufman, M.E. Tai, A. Lukin, M. Rispoli, R. Schittko, P.M. Preiss et al., Quantum thermalization through entanglement in an isolated many-body system, Science 353 [\(2016\) 794.](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaf6725)
- [171] A. Lukin, M. Rispoli, R. Schittko, M.E. Tai, A.M. Kaufman, S. Choi et al., Probing entanglement in a many-body-localized system, Science 364 [\(2019\) 256.](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aau0818)
- [172] M. Cheneau, P. Barmettler, D. Poletti, M. Endres, P. Schauß, T. Fukuhara et al., Light-cone-like spreading of correlations in a quantum many-body system, [Nature](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10748) 481 (2012) [484.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10748)
- [173] Y.-G. Zheng, W.-Y. Zhang, Y.-C. Shen, A. Luo, Y. Liu, M.-G. He et al., *Efficiently Extracting* Multi-Point Correlations of a Floquet Thermalized System, $arXiv:2210.08556$ (2022).
- [174] A. Impertro, S. Karch, J.F. Wienand, S. Huh, C. Schweizer, I. Bloch et al., Local Readout and Control of Current and Kinetic Energy Operators in Optical Lattices, [Physical Review Letters](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.133.063401) 133 [\(2024\) 063401.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.133.063401)
- [175] M. Greiner, I. Bloch, T.W. Hänsch and T. Esslinger, *Magnetic transport of trapped cold atoms* over a large distance, Physical Review A 63 (2001) 031401.
- [176] H.J. Lewandowski, D.M. Harber, D.L. Whitaker and E.A. Cornell, Observation of Anomalous Spin-State Segregation in a Trapped Ultracold Vapor, [Physical Review Letters](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.88.070403) 88 (2002) [070403.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.88.070403)
- [177] D. Pertot, D. Greif, S. Albert, B. Gadway and D. Schneble, Versatile transporter apparatus for experiments with optically trapped Bose–Einstein condensates, [Journal of Physics B: Atomic,](https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-4075/42/21/215305) [Molecular and Optical Physics](https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-4075/42/21/215305) 42 (2009) 215305.
- [178] C. Gross, H.C.J. Gan and K. Dieckmann, All-optical production and transport of a large ⁶Li quantum gas in a crossed optical dipole trap, [Physical Review A](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.93.053424) 93 (2016) 053424.
- [179] A. Couvert, T. Kawalec, G. Reinaudi and D. Guéry-Odelin, *Optimal transport of ultracold* atoms in the non-adiabatic regime, EPL 83 [\(2008\) 13001.](https://doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/83/13001)
- [180] J. Léonard, M. Lee, A. Morales, T.M. Karg, T. Esslinger and T. Donner, *Optical transport and* manipulation of an ultracold atomic cloud using focus-tunable lenses, [New Journal of Physics](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/16/9/093028) 16 [\(2014\) 093028.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/16/9/093028)
- [181] G. Unnikrishnan, C. Beulenkamp, D. Zhang, K.P. Zamarski, M. Landini and H.-C. Nägerl, Long distance optical transport of ultracold atoms: A compact setup using a Moiré lens, [Review of Scientifc Instruments](https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0049320) 92 (2021) 063205.
- [182] D. Schrader, S. Kuhr, W. Alt, M. Müller, V. Gomer and D. Meschede, An optical conveyor belt for single neutral atoms, [Applied Physics B](https://doi.org/10.1007/s003400100722) 73 (2001) 819.
- [183] S. Schmid, G. Thalhammer, K. Winkler, F. Lang and J.H. Denschlag, Long distance transport of ultracold atoms using a 1D optical lattice, [New Journal of Physics](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/8/8/159) 8 (2006) 159.
- [184] T. Klostermann, C.R. Cabrera, H. von Raven, J.F. Wienand, C. Schweizer, I. Bloch et al., Fast long-distance transport of cold cesium atoms, [Physical Review A](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.105.043319) 105 (2022) 043319.
- [185] M. Kasevich and S. Chu, Atomic interferometry using stimulated Raman transitions, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.67.181) Rev. Lett. 67 [\(1991\) 181.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.67.181)
- [186] A. Peters, K.Y. Chung and S. Chu, High-precision gravity measurements using atom interferometry, [Metrologia](https://doi.org/10.1088/0026-1394/38/1/4) 38 (2001) 25.
- [187] T. Hensel, S. Loriani, C. Schubert, F. Fitzek, S. Abend, H. Ahlers et al., Inertial sensing with quantum gases: a comparative performance study of condensed versus thermal sources for atom interferometry, [The European Physical Journal D](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjd/s10053-021-00069-9) 75 (2021) .
- [188] J. Hartwig, S. Abend, C. Schubert, D. Schlippert, H. Ahlers, K. Posso-Trujillo et al., Testing the universality of free fall with rubidium and ytterbium in a very large baseline atom interferometer, New J. Phys. 17 [\(2015\) 035011.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/17/3/035011)
- [189] STE-QUEST collaboration, STE-QUEST: Space Time Explorer and QUantum Equivalence principle Space Test, [arXiv:2211.15412](https://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:2211.15412).
- [190] H. Ammann and N. Christensen, Delta kick cooling: A new method for cooling atoms, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.78.2088) Rev. Lett. 78 [\(1997\) 2088.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.78.2088)
- [191] T. Kovachy, J.M. Hogan, A. Sugarbaker, S.M. Dickerson, C.A. Donnelly, C. Overstreet et al., Matter wave lensing to picokelvin temperatures, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.114.143004) 114 (2015) 143004.
- [192] C. Deppner, W. Herr, M. Cornelius, P. Stromberger, T. Sternke, C. Grzeschik et al., Collective-mode enhanced matter-wave optics, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.127.100401) 127 (2021) 100401.
- [193] N. Gaaloul, M. Meister, R. Corgier, A. Pichery, P. Boegel, W. Herr et al., A space-based quantum gas laboratory at picokelvin energy scales, [Nat. Commun.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-35274-6) 13 (2022) 875.
- [194] R. Roy, A. Green, R. Bowler and S. Gupta, Rapid cooling to quantum degeneracy in dynamically shaped atom traps, Phys. Rev. A 93 [\(2016\) 043403.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.93.043403)
- [195] S. Inouye, M.R. Andrews, J. Stenger, H.-J. Miesner, D.M. Stamper-Kurn and W. Ketterle, Observation of feshbach resonances in a bose-einstein condensate, Nature 392 [\(1998\) 151.](https://doi.org/10.1038/32354)
- [196] G. Salomon, L. Fouché, P. Wang, A. Aspect, P. Bouyer and T. Bourdel, *Gray-molasses cooling* of 39 k to a high phase-space density, [EPL \(Europhysics Letters\)](https://doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/104/63002) 104 (2013) 63002.
- [197] C. D'Errico, M. Zaccanti, M. Fattori, G. Roati, M. Inguscio, G. Modugno et al., Feshbach resonances in ultracold ${}^{39}K$, [New Journal of Physics](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/9/7/223) 9 (2007) 223.
- [198] K. Enomoto, K. Kasa, M. Kitagawa and Y. Takahashi, Optical feshbach resonance using the intercombination transition, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.101.203201) 101 (2008) 203201.
- [199] M. Yan, B.J. DeSalvo, B. Ramachandhran, H. Pu and T.C. Killian, Controlling condensate collapse and expansion with an optical feshbach resonance, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.123201) 110 (2013) 123201.
- [200] A. Herbst, T. Estrampes, H. Albers, V. Vollenkemper, K. Stolzenberg, S. Bode et al., High-fux source system for matter-wave interferometry exploiting tunable interactions, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevResearch.6.013139) Rev. Res. 6 [\(2024\) 013139.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevResearch.6.013139)
- [201] S. Pandey, H. Mas, G. Vasilakis and W. von Klitzing, Atomtronic matter-wave lensing, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.126.170402) Rev. Lett. 126 [\(2021\) 170402.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.126.170402)
- [202] H. Albers, R. Corgier, A. Herbst, A. Rajagopalan, C. Schubert, C. Vogt et al., All-optical matter-wave lens using time-averaged potentials, [Commun. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s42005-022-00825-2) 5 (2022) 181.
- [203] A. Herbst, T. Estrampes, H. Albers, R. Corgier, K. Stolzenberg, S. Bode et al., Matter-wave collimation to picokelvin energies with scattering length and potential shape control, [Communications Physics](https://doi.org/10.1038/s42005-024-01621-w) 7 (2024) .
- [204] M. Xin, W.S. Leong, Z. Chen, Y. Wang and S.-Y. Lan, Fast quantum gas formation via electromagnetically induced transparency cooling, [Nature Physics](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41567-024-02677-9) 20 (2024) 1.
- [205] G. Morigi, J. Eschner and C.H. Keitel, Ground state laser cooling using electromagnetically induced transparency, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.85.4458) 85 (2000) 4458.
- [206] C. Huang, S. Chai and S.-Y. Lan, *Dark-state sideband cooling in an atomic ensemble, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.103.013305)* Rev. A 103 [\(2021\) 013305.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.103.013305)
- [207] M. Kitagawa and M. Ueda, *Squeezed spin states, [Phys. Rev. A](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.47.5138)* 47 (1993) 5138.
- [208] O. Hosten, N.J. Engelsen, R. Krishnakumar and M.A. Kasevich, Measurement noise 100 times lower than the quantum-projection limit using entangled atoms, Nature 529 [\(2016\) 505.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature16176)
- [209] K.C. Cox, G.P. Greve, J.M. Weiner and J.K. Thompson, Deterministic squeezed states with collective measurements and feedback, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.093602) 116 (2016) 093602.
- [210] M.-Z. Huang, J.A. de la Paz, T. Mazzoni, K. Ott, P. Rosenbusch, A. Sinatra et al., Observing spin-squeezed states under spin-exchange collisions for a second, [PRX Quantum](https://doi.org/10.1103/PRXQuantum.4.020322) 4 (2023) [020322.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PRXQuantum.4.020322)
- [211] S.S. Szigeti, O. Hosten and S.A. Haine, Improving cold-atom sensors with quantum entanglement: Prospects and challenges, [Applied Physics Letters](https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0050235) 118 (2021) .
- [212] B.K. Malia, Y. Wu, J. Martínez-Rincón and M.A. Kasevich, *Distributed quantum sensing with* mode-entangled spin-squeezed atomic states, Nature 612 [\(2022\) 661.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-022-05363-z)
- [213] G.P. Greve, C. Luo, B. Wu and J.K. Thompson, Entanglement-enhanced matter-wave interferometry in a high-finesse cavity, Nature 610 [\(2022\) 472.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-022-05197-9)
- [214] C. Cassens, B. Meyer-Hoppe, E. Rasel and C. Klempt, An entanglement-enhanced atomic gravimeter, (2024) [arXiv: 2404.18668].
- [215] Y. Wu, R. Krishnakumar, J. Martínez-Rincón, B.K. Malia, O. Hosten and M.A. Kasevich, Retrieval of cavity-generated atomic spin squeezing after free-space release, [Physical Review A](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.102.012224) 102 [\(2020\) 012224.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.102.012224)
- [216] B.K. Malia, J. Martínez-Rincón, Y. Wu, O. Hosten and M.A. Kasevich, *Free space Ramsey* spectroscopy in rubidium with noise below the Quantum Projection Limit, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.125.043202) 125 [\(2020\) 043202.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.125.043202)
- [217] I.D. Leroux, M.H. Schleier-Smith and V. Vuletić, Implementation of Cavity Squeezing of a Collective Atomic Spin, [Physical Review Letters](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.104.073602) 104 (2010) 073602.
- [218] J.M. Robinson, M. Miklos, Y.M. Tso, C.J. Kennedy, T. Bothwell, D. Kedar et al., Direct comparison of two spin squeezed optical clocks below the quantum projection noise limit, Nov., arXiv:2211.08621, 2022.
- [219] B. Braverman, A. Kawasaki, E. Pedrozo-Pe˜nafel, S. Colombo, C. Shu, Z. Li et al., Near-Unitary Spin Squeezing in Yb 171, [Physical Review Letters](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.122.223203) 122 (2019) 1.
- [220] E. Pedrozo-Peñafiel, S. Colombo, C. Shu, A.F. Adiyatullin, Z. Li, E. Mendez et al., Entanglement on an optical atomic-clock transition, Nature 588 [\(2020\) 414.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-3006-1)
- [221] B. Braverman, A. Kawasaki and V. Vuletić, Impact of non-unitary spin squeezing on atomic clock performance, [New Journal of Physics](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/aae563) (2018) 103019.
- [222] R. Hobson, W. Bowden, A. Vianello, I.R. Hill and P. Gill, Cavity-enhanced non-destructive detection of atoms for an optical lattice clock, [Optics Express](https://doi.org/10.1364/OE.27.037099) 27 (2019) 37099.
- [223] W. Bowden, A. Vianello, I.R. Hill, M. Schioppo and R. Hobson, Improving the \$Q\$ Factor of an Optical Atomic Clock Using Quantum Nondemolition Measurement, Physical Review X 10 [\(2020\) 041052.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.10.041052)
- [224] B.K. Malia, Y. Wu, J. Martínez-Rincón and M.A. Kasevich, *Distributed quantum sensing with* mode-entangled spin-squeezed atomic states, Nature 612 [\(2022\) 661.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-022-05363-z)
- [225] M.A. Norcia and J.K. Thompson, Strong coupling on a forbidden transition in strontium and nondestructive atom counting, Phys. Rev. A 93 [\(2016\) 023804.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.93.023804)
- [226] L. Pezzè and A. Smerzi, *Heisenberg-Limited Noisy Atomic Clock Using a Hybrid Coherent and* Squeezed State Protocol, [Physical Review Letters](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.125.210503) 125 (2020) 210503.
- [227] S.S. Szigeti, S.P. Nolan, J.D. Close and S.A. Haine, High-precision quantum-enhanced gravimetry with a bose-einstein condensate, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.125.100402) 125 (2020) 100402.
- [228] R. Corgier, N. Gaaloul, A. Smerzi and L. Pezzè, *Delta-kick squeezing*, *[Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.127.183401)* 127 [\(2021\) 183401.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.127.183401)
- [229] F. Anders, A. Idel, P. Feldmann, D. Bondarenko, S. Loriani, K. Lange et al., Momentum entanglement for atom interferometry, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.127.140402) 127 (2021) 140402.
- [230] R. Corgier, L. Pezzè and A. Smerzi, Nonlinear Bragg interferometer with a trapped bose-einstein condensate, Phys. Rev. A 103 [\(2021\) L061301.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.103.L061301)
- [231] S. Hartmann, J. Jenewein, E. Giese, S. Abend, A. Roura, E.M. Rasel et al., Regimes of atomic diffraction: Raman versus Bragg diffraction in retroreflective geometries, [Phys. Rev. A](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.101.053610) 101 [\(2020\) 053610.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.101.053610)
- [232] U.V. Poulsen and K. Mølmer, Quantum beam splitter for atoms, [Phys. Rev. A](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.65.033613) 65 (2002) [033613.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.65.033613)
- [233] A. Burchianti, C. D'Errico, L. Marconi, F. Minardi, C. Fort and M. Modugno, *Effect of* interactions in the interference pattern of bose-einstein condensates, $Phys. Rev. A 102 (2020)$ $Phys. Rev. A 102 (2020)$ [043314.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.102.043314)
- [234] E. Davis, G. Bentsen and M. Schleier-Smith, Approaching the Heisenberg limit without single-particle detection, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.053601) **116** (2016) 053601.
- [235] O. Hosten, R. Krishnakumar, N.J. Engelsen and M.A. Kasevich, *Quantum phase* magnification, Science 352 [\(2016\) 1552.](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaf3397)
- [236] R. Corgier, M. Malitesta, A. Smerzi and L. Pezzè, *Quantum-enhanced differential atom* interferometers and clocks with spin-squeezing swapping, Quantum 7 [\(2023\) 965.](https://doi.org/10.22331/q-2023-03-30-965)
- [237] P. Feldmann, F. Anders, A. Idel, C. Schubert, D. Schlippert, L. Santos et al., Optimal squeezing for high-precision atom interferometers, arXiv.2311.10241, 2023.
- [238] A. Lezeik, D. Tell, K. Zipfel, V. Gupta, É. Wodey, E. Rasel et al., Understanding the gravitational and magnetic environment of a very long baseline atom interferometer, [[arXiv:2209.08886](https://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:2209.08886)].
- [239] C. Lotz, T. Froböse, A. Wanner, L. Overmeyer and W. Ertmer, *Einstein-Elevator: A New* Facility for Research from μ to 5, [Gravitational and Space Research](https://doi.org/10.2478/gsr-2017-0007) 5 (2017) 11.
- [240] L. Morel, Z. Yao, P. Cladé and S. Guellati-Khélifa, Determination of the fine-structure constant with an accuracy of 81 parts per trillion, Nature 588 [\(2020\) 61.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2964-7)
- [241] D.S. Weiss, B.C. Young and S. Chu, *Precision measurement of* h/m *Cs based on photon recoil* using laser-cooled atoms and atomic interferometry, [Applied Physics B Lasers and Optics](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01081393) 59 [\(1994\) 217.](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01081393)
- [242] S. Bade, L. Djadaojee, M. Andia, P. Cladé and S. Guellati-Khelifa, Observation of Extra Photon Recoil in a Distorted Optical Field, [Physical Review Letters](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.121.073603) 121 (2018) 073603.
- $[243]$ C. Carrez, Étude de l'effet des distorsions du front d'onde dans un interféromètre atomique avec un condensat de Bose-Einstein, Ph.D. thesis, Sorbonne Universitié, 2023.
- [244] S.-Y. Lan, P.-C. Kuan, B. Estey, P. Haslinger and H. Müller, *Influence of the Coriolis force in* atom interferometry, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.090402) 108 (2012) 090402.
- [245] B. Dubetsky and M.A. Kasevich, Atom interferometer as a selective sensor of rotation or gravity, [Phys. Rev. A](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.74.023615) 74 (2006) 531.
- [246] Y. Wang, J. Glick, T. Deshpande, K. DeRose, S. Saraf, N. Sachdeva et al., Robust quantum control via multipath interference for thousandfold phase amplifcation in a resonant atom $interferometer, arXiv:2407.11246 (2024)$.
- [247] J. Glick, Z. Chen, T. Deshpande, Y. Wang and T. Kovachy, Coriolis force compensation and laser beam delivery for 100-m baseline atom interferometry, [AVS Quantum Science](https://doi.org/10.1116/5.0180083) 6 (2024) [014402.](https://doi.org/10.1116/5.0180083)
- [248] M.A. Norcia, J.R.K. Cline and J.K. Thompson, Role of atoms in atomic gravitational-wave detectors, Phys. Rev. A 96 [\(2017\) 042118.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.96.042118)
- [249] W. Chaibi, R. Geiger, B. Canuel, A. Bertoldi, A. Landragin and P. Bouyer, Low frequency gravitational wave detection with ground-based atom interferometer arrays, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.93.021101) 93 [\(2016\) 173.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.93.021101)
- [250] F. Di Pumpo, A. Friedrich and E. Giese, Optimal baseline exploitation in vertical dark-matter detectors based on atom interferometry, [AVS Quantum Sci.](https://doi.org/10.1116/5.0175683) 6 (2024) 014404.
- [251] A. Arvanitaki, J. Huang and K. Van Tilburg, Searching for dilaton dark matter with atomic clocks, Phys. Rev. D 91 [\(2015\) 015015.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.91.015015)
- [252] P.W. Graham, D.E. Kaplan, J. Mardon, S. Rajendran and W.A. Terrano, Dark matter direct detection with accelerometers, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.93.075029) **93** (2016) 252.
- [253] M.S. Safronova, D. Budker, D. DeMille, D.F.J. Kimball, A. Derevianko and C.W. Clark, Search for new physics with atoms and molecules, [Rev. Mod. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.90.025008) **90** (2018) 025008.
- [254] D. Derr and E. Giese, Clock transitions versus Bragg difraction in atom-interferometric dark-matter detection, [AVS Quantum Sci.](https://doi.org/10.1116/5.0176666) 5 (2023) 044404.
- [255] F. Di Pumpo, A. Friedrich, A. Geyer, C. Ufrecht and E. Giese, Light propagation and atom interferometry in gravity and dilaton fields, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.105.084065) 105 (2022) 411.
- [256] S. Dimopoulos, P.W. Graham, J.M. Hogan, M.A. Kasevich and S. Rajendran, Gravitational wave detection with atom interferometry, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2009.06.011) 678 (2009) 37.
- [257] P.W. Graham, J.M. Hogan, M.A. Kasevich and S. Rajendran, Resonant mode for gravitational wave detectors based on atom interferometry, Phys. Rev. D 94 [\(2016\) 104022.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.94.104022)
- [258] C. Misner, K. Thorne and J. Wheeler, *Gravitation*, Princeton University Press (2017).
- [259] S. Kleinert, E. Kajari, A. Roura and W.P. Schleich, Representation-free description of light-pulse atom interferometry including non-inertial effects, [Phys. Rep.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2015.09.004) 605 (2015) 1.
- [260] G. D'Amico, G. Rosi, S. Zhan, L. Cacciapuoti, M. Fattori and G. Tino, Canceling the gravity gradient phase shift in atom interferometry, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.119.253201) 119 (2017) 253201.
- [261] A. Roura, Circumventing Heisenberg's uncertainty principle in atom interferometry tests of the Equivalence Principle, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.118.160401) 118 (2017) 160401.
- [262] J. Junca, A. Bertoldi, D. Sabulsky, G. Lefèvre, X. Zou, J.-B. Decitre et al., *Characterizing* Earth gravity feld fuctuations with the MIGA antenna for future gravitational wave detectors, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.99.104026) 99 (2019) 3.
- [263] J. Mitchell, T. Kovachy, S. Hahn, P. Adamson and S. Chattopadhyay, MAGIS-100 environmental characterization and noise analysis, J. Inst. 17 [\(2022\) P01007.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/17/01/P01007)
- [264] C. Schubert, D. Schlippert, S. Abend, E. Giese, A. Roura, W.P. Schleich et al., Scalable, symmetric atom interferometer for infrasound gravitational wave detection, a rXiv:1909.01951 (2019) .
- [265] C.A. Weidner, H. Yu, R. Koslof and D.Z. Anderson, Atom interferometry using a shaken optical lattice, [Physical Review A](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.95.043624) 95 (2017) 043624.
- [266] C.A. Weidner and D.Z. Anderson, Simplifed landscapes for optimization of shaken lattice interferometry, [New Journal of Physics](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/aad36c) 20 (2018) 075007.
- [267] C.A. Weidner, *Shaken Lattice Interferometry*, Ph.D. thesis, University of Colorado Boulder, 2018.
- [268] C.A. Weidner and D.Z. Anderson, Experimental Demonstration of Shaken-Lattice Interferometry, [Physical Review Letters](https://doi.org/PhysRevLett.120.263201) 120 (2018) 263201.
- [269] N. Dupont, G. Chatelain, L. Gabardos, M. Arnal, J. Billy, B. Peaudecerf et al., Quantum State Control of a Bose-Einstein Condensate in an Optical Lattice, [PRX Quantum](https://doi.org/10.1103/PRXQuantum.2.040303) 2 (2021) 040303.
- [270] L.-Y. Chih and M. Holland, Reinforcement-learning-based matter-wave interferometer in a shaken optical lattice, [Phys. Rev. Res.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevResearch.3.033279) 3 (2021) 033279.
- [271] C. LeDesma, K. Mehling, J. Shao, J.D. Wilson, P. Axelrad, M.M. Nicotra et al., A machine-designed optical lattice atom interferometer, arXiv:2305.17603, 2023.
- [272] C. LeDesma, K. Mehling and M. Holland, Vector atom accelerometry in an optical lattice, arXiv:2407.04874, 2024.
- [273] "Updated schedule for CERN's accelerators." <https://hilumilhc.web.cern.ch/article/updated-schedule-cerns-accelerators>.
- [274] K.T. Lesko, The Sanford Underground Research Facility at Homestake (SURF), [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phpro.2014.12.001) Procedia 61 [\(2015\) 542.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phpro.2014.12.001)
- [275] Interdisciplinary And International Deep Underground Science, Engineering And Technology Laboratories, vol. All Days of ISRM SINOROCK, May, https://onepetro.org/ISRMSINOROCK/proceedings-pdf/SINOROCK09/All-SINOROCK09/ISRM-SINOROCK-2009-172/1780316/isrm-sinorock-2009-172.pdf, 2009.
- [276] J. Joutsenvaara, M. Holma, O. Kotavaara and H.J. Puputti, Callio Lab the deep underground research centre in Finland, Europe, [J. Phys.: Conf. Ser.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/2156/1/012166) 2156 (2021) 012166.
- [277] A. Murphy and S. Paling, The Boulby mine underground science facility: The search for dark matter, and beyond, [Nucl. Phys. News](https://doi.org/10.1080/10619127.2011.629920) $22N1$ (2012) 19.
- [278] A. Ianni, Canfranc Underground Laboratory, [J. Phys. Conf. Ser.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/718/4/042030) 718 (2016) 042030.
- [279] M.G. Beker, J.F.J. van den Brand, E. Hennes and D.S. Rabeling, Newtonian noise and ambient ground motion for gravitational wave detectors, [Journal of Physics: Conference Series](https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/363/1/012004) 363 [\(2012\) 012004.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/363/1/012004)
- [280] "Beecroft building university of oxford." <https://www.hawkinsbrown.com/projects/beecroft-building-university-of-oxford>.
- [281] J. Carlton and C. McCabe, Mitigating anthropogenic and synanthropic noise in atom interferometer searches for ultralight dark matter, vol. 108, p. 123004, 2023, [DOI](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.108.123004) [[2308.10731](https://arxiv.org/abs/2308.10731)].
- [282] C.J. Bordé, M. Weitz and T.W. Hänsch, New optical atomic interferometers for precise measurements of recoil shifts. application to atomic hydrogen, [AIP Conference Proceedings](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.45083) 290 [\(1993\) 76.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.45083)
- [283] J.S. Schelfhout, T.M. Hird, K.M. Hughes and C.J. Foot, A single-photon large-momentum-transfer atom interferometry scheme for Sr or Yb atoms with application to determining the fine-structure constant, [arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.10225](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2403.10225) (2024).
- [284] C.J. Bordé, C. Salomon, S. Avrillier, A. van Lerberghe, C. Bréant, D. Bassi et al., *Optical* Ramsey fringes with traveling waves, [Physical Review A](https://doi.org/10.1103/physreva.30.1836) 30 (1984) 1836.
- [285] C. Bordé, Atomic interferometry with internal state labelling, [Physics Letters A](https://doi.org/10.1016/0375-9601(89)90537-9) 140 (1989) 10.
- [286] R.H. Parker, C. Yu, W. Zhong, B. Estey and H. Müller, *Measurement of the fine-structure* constant as a test of the Standard Model, Science 360 [\(2018\) 191.](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aap7706)
- [287] W. Zhong, R.H. Parker, Z. Pagel, C. Yu and H. Müller, *Offset simultaneous conjugate atom* interferometers, [Physical Review A](https://doi.org/10.1103/physreva.101.053622) 101 (2020) 053622.
- [288] C. Solaro, C. Debavelaere, P. Cladé and S. Guellati-Khelifa, Atom Interferometer Driven by a Picosecond Frequency Comb, [Physical Review Letters](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.129.173204) 129 (2022) 173204.
- [289] C. Debavelaere, C. Solaro, S. Guellati-Khélifa and P. Cladé, Atom interferometer using spatially localized beam splitters, [Physical Review A](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.110.013310) 110 (2024) 013310.