CERN LIBRARIES, GENEVA



3W3430

Nov. 5, 1994

.N-9412131

Non-Commutative Geometry on Discrete Space

Takesi Saito

Department of Physics, Kyoto Pref. University of Medicine, Taishogun, Kyoto 603

Abstract

A new formulation is given for the non-commutative geometry on $\rm Z_2\textsc{-}discrete$ space. This is useful for deriving the Brans -Dicke theory for gravity on two-sheeted space-time.

§ 1. Introduction

Recently, in order to build the standard model of particle physics, the non-commutative geometry (NCG) 1) has been applied to a two-sheeted space-time M $_4$ x Z_2 . 2) A nice picture of these studies is that the Higgs scalar field is introduced as an extended gauge field related to the Z_2 symmetry.

Besides particle model building there are some works on gravity in terms of NCG on $\mathrm{M_4}\times\mathrm{Z_2},^{3)}$ where a scalar field is coupled to gravity. However, the scalar fields in these works are different with each other. The reason of this is partially owing to different torsion-free conditions used in their papers, but mostly owing to lack of proper formalism of gravity in terms of NCG.

In a previous work⁴⁾ we gave one of such a formalism. We found a proper definition of affine connection and then obtained the precise Brans-Dicke theory on $M_4 \times Z_2$. In this paper we would like to give another formalism, the vielbein formalism.

§ 2. Differential caluculus on discrete group Z₂

1. Derivative

Let A be the algebra of complex-valued function on $M_4 \times Z_2$, where M_4 is a manifold of four-dimensional space-time and Z_2 is a discrete space with two points e and r. Let $x^N = (x^n, x^*)$, n = 1, 2, 3, 4, be coordinates on $M_4 \times Z_2$, where $x^* = x^*(g)$, $g \in Z_2$, is a coordinate on Z_2 . We then define a derivative Z_2 on Z_2 for any element $f(x, x^*(g)) \in A$ by

$$\partial_{Z_2} f(x^*(g)) \equiv \frac{\Delta f(x^*(g))}{\Delta x^*(g)} = \frac{f(x^*(g)) - f(x^*(g))}{x^*(g) - x^*(g)} = \frac{f - f'}{x^* - x^{*'}}, \qquad (2.1)$$

where

$$\Delta x'(g) = x'(g) - x'(g') = x' - x', \quad (g + g' \in \mathbb{Z}_2)$$
 (2.2)

is taken to be an infinitesimally small quantity, but it does not have a zero limit. From this definition we see that the second-order derivative always vanishes $\partial_{z_2}\partial_{z_2}f=\partial_{z_1}\left(\frac{f-f'}{r^2-r^2}\right)=\frac{1}{2r^2}\left(\frac{f-f'}{r^2-r^2}-\frac{f'-f}{r^2-r^2}\right)=0. \tag{2.3}$

Exterior derivative

Let d_{Z_2} be the exterior derivative on Z_2 . By introducing a one-form X dual to ∂_{Z_2} , $X(\partial_{Z_2}) = 1$, the exterior derivative on f is defined by

$$d_{z_2}f = (\partial_{z_2}f)\chi = \frac{f - f'}{\Delta x}\chi. \tag{24}$$

In order that the Leibnitz rule is valid for this exterior derivative, we should add a condition

$$\chi f(g) = f(g') \chi = f' \chi . \tag{2.5}$$

Proof

Let us calculate the exterior derivative for products f_1f_2 :

$$d_{Z_{2}}(f_{1}f_{2}) = \partial_{Z_{2}}(f_{1}f_{2})X = (f_{1}f_{2} - f_{1}'f_{2}')\frac{X}{\Delta X}.$$

$$= (f_{1}f_{2} - f_{1}f_{2}' + f_{1}f_{2}' - f_{1}'f_{2}')\frac{X}{\Delta X}.$$

$$= f_{1}(\partial_{Z_{2}}f_{2})X + (\partial_{Z_{2}}f_{1})f_{2}'X. \qquad (2.6)$$

2.

e second term violates the Leibnitz rule. However, if we set the condition 1.5), then the Leibnitz rule will be recovered

$$d_{Z_2}(f_1f_2) = f_1 d_{Z_2}f_2 + d_{Z_2}f_1 f_2.$$

The nilpotency $d_{Z_2}^2 = 0$ will be realized if

$$d_{z_{1}} \chi = 0. \tag{2.7}$$

this can be seen as follows:

$$d_{Z_2}^2 f = d_{Z_2} (\partial_{Z_2} f \chi) = \partial_{Z_2} \partial_{Z_2} f \chi \chi + \partial_{Z_2} f d_{Z_2} \chi. \tag{2.8}$$

Since the second derivative vanishes by (2.3), then we have $d_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \propto 0$. The equation $d_{Z_2}^{\chi} = 0$ is different from the usual condition $d_{Z_2}^{\chi} = -2 \chi \chi$ in NCG. In (2.8) the product $\chi\chi$ does not always vanish. In the following we assume

$$\chi\chi \neq 0. \tag{2.9}$$

Both equations (2.5) and (2.9) are characteristic in NCG.

3. Exterior derivative on $^{\rm M}_4$ × $^{\rm Z}_2$

Let d_4 be the exterior derivative on $\operatorname{M}_4.$ Then the exterior derivative on A is given by

$$af = d_{4}f + d_{z_{2}}f$$

$$= \partial_{m}f dx^{m} + \partial_{z_{2}}f X. \qquad (m=1,2,3,4)$$
(2.10)

In the following sometimes we use the notation as

$$df = \partial_N f dx^N, \qquad N = (m, \bullet), \ dx^\bullet = \chi.$$
 (2.11)

From the requirement of nilpotency of d^2 = 0, d_4^2 = 0, $d_{Z_2}^2$ = 0 and hence $d_4 d_{Z_2}^2$ + $d_{Z_2}d_4 = 0$, we get

$$dx^{m} \wedge dx^{m} = -dx^{m} \wedge dx^{m}$$
$$dx^{m} \wedge X = -X \wedge dx^{m}.$$

However, the one-form χ on z_2 with itself is not anticommutative by (2.9).

§ 3. A vielbein formalism

1. Bases

Let us consider the tangent space T_p at a point $p = (x^n, x^*) \in M_A \times Z_2$. We denote by $\{{\bf C}_{\rm A}({\rm p}), {\rm A=1,2,3,4,5}\}$ a set of orthonormal bases of ${\rm T_p}$ and by $\{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{N}}(p), \mathbb{N} = (n, \bullet), n = 1, 2, 3, 4\}$ a set of general coordinate bases of $T_{\mathbb{N}}$. The vielbein $e^{A}_{\ \ N}(\mathbf{p})$ is a transformation between them

$$\mathcal{C}_{N} = \mathcal{C}_{A} \in \mathcal{C}_{N}^{A}, \quad \mathcal{C}_{A} = \mathcal{C}_{N} \in \mathcal{C}_{A}^{N}, \quad \langle \mathcal{C}_{A}, \mathcal{C}_{B} \rangle = \eta_{AB}.$$
 (3.1)

A metric G_{MN} on M_A x Z_2 is then given by the inner product

$$G_{MN} = \langle \mathcal{C}_{M}, \mathcal{C}_{N} \rangle = \eta_{AB} \, \mathcal{C}_{M}^{A} \, \mathcal{C}_{N}^{B}. \tag{3.2}$$

2. Spin connection

A spin connection $\omega_{_{\scriptscriptstyle \mathsf{T}}}{}^{\mathrm{A}}{}_{_{\scriptscriptstyle \mathsf{D}}}$ is introduced by mapping

$$\mathcal{C}_{A}(x+\Delta x, x^{\bullet}) \sim \mathcal{C}_{A}(x,x^{\bullet}) + \mathcal{C}_{B}(x,x^{\bullet}) \omega_{mA}^{B} \Delta x^{M}, \quad (m=1,2,3,4)$$
 (3.3)

$$\mathcal{C}_{A}(x, x^{\bullet} + \Delta x^{\bullet}) \sim \mathcal{C}_{A}(x, x^{\bullet}) - \mathcal{C}_{B}(x, x^{\bullet}) \omega_{\bullet A}^{B} \Delta x^{\bullet},$$
 (3.4)

where $\Delta x^* = x^* - x^{**}$ is an infinitesimally small quantity as well as Δx^n . Differential forms of them are given by

$$d_{q} \in_{A} = \mathcal{E}_{B} \omega_{mA}^{B} dx^{m}, \qquad (3.5)$$

$$d_{Z_2} \mathcal{L}_A = \mathcal{L}_B \, \omega_{\bullet A}^B \, \chi \,. \tag{3.6}$$

6.

Sometimes they will be used in a single form

$$d\mathcal{E}_{A} = \mathcal{E}_{B} \, \omega_{NA}^{B} \, dx^{N}, \quad dx^{\bullet} = \chi. \tag{3.7}$$

3. Affine connection

An affine connection \mathcal{L}_{MN}^{L} is also introduced by mapping

$$\mathcal{L}_{M}(x+\Delta x, x^{\bullet}) \sim \mathcal{L}_{M}(x, x^{\bullet}) + \mathcal{L}_{L}(x, x^{\bullet}) \Gamma^{L}_{MM} \Delta x^{m},$$
 (3.8)

$$\mathcal{C}_{M}(x, x^{\bullet} + \Delta x^{\bullet}) \sim \mathcal{C}_{M}(x, x^{\bullet}) - \mathcal{C}_{L}(x, x^{\bullet}) \Gamma^{L}_{\bullet M} \Delta x^{\bullet}. \tag{3.9}$$

Differential forms of them are given by

$$d_{\alpha} \mathcal{L}_{M} = \mathcal{L}_{L} \Gamma_{MM}^{L} dx^{M}, \tag{3.10}$$

$$d_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{M}} = \mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{L}} \Gamma^{\mathsf{L}}_{\mathsf{M}} \chi \tag{3.11}$$

or simply

$$d\mathcal{L}_{M} = \mathcal{L}_{L} \Gamma_{NM}^{L} dx^{N}, \quad dx^{\bullet} = X. \tag{3.12}$$

Substituting (3.1) into (3.12) we get an expression

$$d\mathcal{E}_{M} = \mathcal{E}_{A} \mathcal{E}_{L}^{A} \Gamma_{NM}^{L} dx^{N} = \mathcal{E}_{A} \Gamma_{NM}^{A} dx^{N}, \tag{3.13}$$

where

$$\Gamma_{NM}^{A} = e^{A}_{L} \Gamma_{NM}^{L}. \tag{3.14}$$

4. Covariant-free equation

We derive the covariant-free equation

$$\nabla_{N} e^{A}_{M} = \partial_{N} e^{A}_{M} + \omega_{N}^{A}_{B} e^{B}_{M} - e^{A}_{L} \Gamma^{L}_{NM} = 0. \tag{3.15}$$

Proof

Substituting (3.1) into the left-hand side of (3.13) we get

$$d\mathcal{L}_{M} = d(\mathcal{L}_{A} e^{A}_{M}) = d\mathcal{L}_{A} e^{A}_{M} + \mathcal{L}_{A} de^{A}_{M}$$
$$= \mathcal{L}_{B} \omega_{NA}^{B} dx^{N} e^{A}_{M} + \mathcal{L}_{A} \partial_{N} e^{A}_{M} dx^{N}$$

$$= (\mathcal{L}_{B} \, \omega_{N}^{B}_{A} \in A'_{M} + \mathcal{L}_{A} \, \partial_{N} \mathcal{L}_{M}^{A}) \, dx^{N}$$

$$= \mathcal{L}_{A} \left(\partial_{N} \mathcal{L}_{M}^{A} + \omega_{N}^{A}_{B} \in \mathcal{L}_{M}^{B} \right) dx^{N}, \qquad (3.16)$$

where we have used the non-commutativity

$$dx^N e_M^A(\theta) = e_M^A(\theta') dx^N = e_M^A dx^N \quad \text{for } N = \bullet . \tag{3.17}$$

Comparing (3.16) and (3.13) one obtains

$$\Gamma^{A}_{NM} = e^{A}_{L} \Gamma^{L}_{NM} = \partial_{N} e^{A}_{M} + \omega^{A}_{NB} e^{B}_{M}. \tag{3.18}$$

Note that this equation reduces to the ordinary form

$$\nabla_{N} e^{A}_{M} = \partial_{N} e^{A}_{M} + \omega_{N}^{A}{}_{B} e^{B}_{M} - e^{A}{}_{L} \Gamma^{L}_{NM} , \qquad (3.19)$$

if e_M^B , = e_M^B , i.e., the vielbein is independent of x^* .

5. Proof of $\omega_{N}^{AB} = -\omega_{N}^{BA}$

First we prove $\omega^{AB}_{ullet}=-\omega^{BA}_{ullet}$. Let us assume that the inner product of basis $\mathcal{C}_{A}^{\bullet}\mathcal{C}_{B}$ be invariant under a change $g \Rightarrow g'$. Then one gets

$$0 = \mathcal{C}_{A} \cdot \mathcal{C}_{B} - \mathcal{C}_{A}' \cdot \mathcal{C}_{B}'$$

$$= \mathcal{C}_{A} \cdot \mathcal{C}_{B} - (\mathcal{C}_{A} - \mathcal{C}_{C} \ \omega_{\bullet A}^{C} \ \Delta x^{\bullet}) \cdot (\mathcal{C}_{B} - \mathcal{C}_{C} \ \omega_{\bullet B}^{C} \ \Delta x^{\bullet})$$

$$= (\mathcal{C}_{A} \cdot \mathcal{C}_{C} \ \omega_{\bullet B}^{C} + \mathcal{C}_{B} \cdot \mathcal{C}_{C} \ \omega_{\bullet A}^{C}) \ \Delta x^{\bullet}$$

$$= (\omega_{\bullet AB} + \omega_{\bullet BA}) \ \Delta x^{\bullet} \Rightarrow \omega_{\bullet AB} = -\omega_{\bullet BA}. \tag{3.20}$$

Here we have neglected the second-order term $0(\Delta x^*)^2$. In the same way one gets $\omega_{\rm nAB}$ + $\omega_{\rm nBA}$ = 0. This completes the proof.

6. Covariant-free equation for $\boldsymbol{G}_{\mbox{\footnotesize{MN}}}$

Both equations of $\nabla_M^{} {\rm e}^A_{~N}$ = 0 and $\omega_N^{~AB}$ = - $\omega_N^{~BA}$ are necessary to prove the equation

$$\nabla_{L} G_{MN} = \partial_{L} G_{MN} - \Gamma_{MLN} - \Gamma_{NLM} = 0, \qquad (3.21)$$

8.

where

$$\Gamma_{MLN} = \mathcal{Q}_{MK} \Gamma^{K}_{LN} \,. \tag{3.22}$$

If the affine connection $\Gamma^{\rm L}_{\mbox{\scriptsize MN}}$ is symmetric with respect to M and N, then it is well known that Γ^{L}_{MN} is expressed in terms of the metric G_{MN} as follows:

$$\Gamma_{MN}^{L} = \frac{1}{2} G^{LK} (\partial_{M} G_{KN} + \partial_{N} G_{KM} - \partial_{K} G_{MN}). \tag{3.23}$$

The equation (3.21) is also derived directly by using (3.2), (3.8) and (3.9).

7. Torsion

The torsions TA and TL are given by

$$T = d(\mathcal{C}_{N} dx^{N}) = d(\mathcal{C}_{A} \mathcal{C}_{N}^{A} dx^{N}) \equiv d(\mathcal{C}_{A} E^{A})$$

$$= d\mathcal{C}_{A}^{A} E^{A} + \mathcal{C}_{A} dE^{A}$$

$$= \mathcal{C}_{B} \mathcal{W}_{A}^{B} \wedge E^{A} + \mathcal{C}_{A} dE^{A}$$

$$= \mathcal{C}_{A} (dE^{A} + \mathcal{W}_{B}^{A} \wedge E^{B}) = \mathcal{C}_{A} T^{A}$$

$$T^{A} = dF^{A} + \mathcal{W}_{B}^{A} \wedge E^{B}, \quad \mathcal{W}_{B}^{A} \equiv \mathcal{W}_{NB}^{A} dx^{N}, \quad E^{A} \equiv \mathcal{C}_{N}^{A} dx^{N} \qquad (3.24)$$

$$T^{A} = dE^{A} + \omega^{A}_{B} \wedge E^{B}, \quad \omega^{A}_{B} = \omega^{A}_{NB} dx^{N}, \quad E^{A} = C^{A}_{N} dx^{N} \qquad (3.24)$$

$$T = d(\mathcal{C}_N dx^N) = d\mathcal{C}_{N^N} dx^N$$
$$= \mathcal{C}_L \Gamma^L_{MN} dx^M dx^N = \mathcal{C}_L \Gamma^L$$

i.e..

$$T^{L} = \Gamma^{L}_{MN} dx^{M}_{\Lambda} dx^{N}$$

$$= \Gamma^{L}_{MM} dx^{M}_{\Lambda} dx^{M} + (\Gamma^{L}_{M\bullet} - \Gamma^{L}_{\bullet M}) dx^{M}_{\Lambda} \chi + \Gamma^{L}_{\bullet \bullet} \chi \chi. \tag{3.25}$$

Here we have used d^2x^n = 0 and $d_{Z_2}x = 0$. Since $rac{L}{MN} = rac{L}{NM}$ and $x \neq 0$, we finally obtain

$$T^{L} = \Gamma^{L} \cdot \chi \chi . \tag{3.26}$$

In the same way we have

$$T^{A} = \Gamma^{A} \cdot \chi \chi. \tag{3.27}$$

8. Curvature formulas

By using (3.7) and (3.12) we have the curvature formulas

$$dd \mathcal{C}_{B} = \mathcal{C}_{A} R^{A}_{B} , \qquad (3.28)$$

$$dd\mathcal{C}_{N} = \mathcal{C}_{M} \mathcal{R}^{M}_{N}, \qquad (3.29)$$

$$\mathcal{D}^{A}_{0} = d\mathcal{U}^{A}_{B} + \mathcal{U}^{A}_{C} \wedge \mathcal{U}^{C}_{B} , \quad \mathcal{U}^{A}_{B} = \mathcal{U}^{A}_{B} dx^{L}$$
 (3.30)

$$\mathcal{R}_{N}^{M} = \alpha \mathcal{I}_{N}^{M} + \mathcal{I}_{N}^{M} \mathcal{I}_{N}^{L}, \quad \mathcal{I}_{N}^{M} = \mathcal{I}_{LN}^{M} dx^{L} \tag{3.31}$$

and
$$R^M_N = e^M_A R^A_B e^B_N$$
. (3.32)

§ 4. Concluding remarks

We have given a new formulation of NCG on $M_4 \times Z_2$. This will be useful for driving the Brans-Dicke theory for gravity on the two-sheeted space-time. Namely, if we take the vielbein of the type

$$e^{A}_{M} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{A}_{m} & e^{5}_{m} \\ e^{A}_{n} & e^{5}_{n} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{A}_{m} & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}, \tag{4.1}$$

then we get the gravity action

$$I = \int_{M_{4}} \int_{Z_{2}} \sqrt{-dat(Q_{MN})} R$$

$$= \int_{M_{4}} \sqrt{-g_{4}} \left[\lambda R_{4} - \frac{\partial_{m} \lambda \partial_{m} \lambda}{\lambda} g^{mn} \right], \qquad (4.2)$$

which describes exactly the Brans-Dicke scalar field λ coupled to Einstein gravity. In fact this has been already given in another previous work⁵⁾ by using the vielbein formalism, but without its proof. In this paper, therefore, we have given a foundation of the vielbein formalism of NCG.

Acknowledgement

The author thanks Gaku Konisi for many useful discussions and comments.

References

- A. Connes, The Interface of Math. & Particle Phys., edited by D. Quillen,
 G. B. Segal & S. T. Tsou, Clarendon Press, Oxford (1990).
- 2) A. Connes and J. Lott, Nucl. Phys. (Proc. Suppl.) B18(1990), 29.

D.Kaslter, Introduction to non-commutative geometry and Yang-Mills model-building, XIXth International Conference on Differential Geometric Methods in Theoretical Physics. Rapallo (Italy) June 1990. Springer Lect. Notes in Phys. 375(1990), 25.

R.Coquereaux, G.Esposito-Farese and G.Vaillant, Nucl. Phys. B353 (1991), 689.

A.Sitarz, Non-commutative Geometry and Gauge Theory on Discrete Groups, preprint TPJU-7/1992.

A. H. Chamseddine, G. Feldler and J. Frohlich, Phys. Lett. 296B(1992), 109; Nucl. Phys. B395(1993), 672.

II.G.Ding, II.Y.Guo, J.M.Li and K.Wu, Higgs as Gauge Fields on Discrete Groups and Standard Models for Electroweak and Electroweak-Strong Interactions, to appear in Z.Phys.

K.Morita, Prog. Theor. Phys. 90(1993), 219.

K.Morita and Y.Okumura, Weinberg-Salam theory in Non-commutative Geometry, Nagoya Univ. preprint, DPNU-93-25.

K.Morita and Y.Okumura, Reconstruction of standard model in non-commutative geometry on $M_4 \otimes Z_2$, Nagoya Univ. preprint, DPNU-93-38.

Y.Okumura, Gauge theory and Higgs mechanism based on differential geometry on discrete space $M_4 \otimes Z_N$, Chubu Univ. preprint, Jan. 8, 1994.

Y.Okumura, Standard Model in Differential Geometry on Discrete Space $M_4 \otimes Z_3$, Chubu Univ. preprint, Jan. 31, 1994.

- S.Naka and E.Umezawa, An approach to Electroweak Interactions based on Non-commutative Geometry, preprint NUP-A-94-5.
- 3) A.H.Chamseddine, G.Felder, J.Frohlich, Gravity in Non-Commutative Geometry, Commun. Math. Phys. 155 (1993), 205.

W.Kalau and M.Waltze, Gravity, Non-Commutative Geometry and the Wodzicki Residue, preprint MZ-TH/93-38.

- D. Kastler, The Dirac operator and gravitation, CPT-93/P2970.
- G. Landi, N. A. Viet and K. C. Wali, Phys. Lett. B326(1994), 45.
- 6) T. Saito and K. Wu, "Brans-Dicke Theory in Non-Commutative Geometry",
 Kyoto Pref. Univ. Preprint, Nov., 1994, submitted to Prog. Theor. Phys.
- 5) B. Chen, T. Saito and K. Wu, "Gravity and Discrete Symmetry", to appear in Prog. Theor. Phys. 92(1994), No.4.