# EUROPEAN ORGANIZATION FOR NUCLEAR RESEARCH

 $C<sub>1</sub>$ 

 $L$ 

 $54/3$ CERN AT/94-02  $(CR)$ 

# First Operational Experience in running a new 6 kW Cryoplant<br>Superconducting Cavities in LEP at 4.5 K

M. Barranco-Luque, W.K. Erdt, P.K. Frandsen, Ph. Gayet,<br>D. Güsewell, N.O. Solheim and G. Winkler



Sixth Workshop on RF Superconductivity at CEBAF<br>Newport News, USA, October 4-8, 1993

2 February, 1994 Geneva, Switzerland

 $\mathbb{I}$  III

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1$ 

 $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right) =0$ 

. The maximum constraints are also the set of  $\alpha$ 

## Cooling Superconducting Cavities in LEP at 4.5 K First Operational Experience in Running a New 6 kW Cryoplant

N.O. Solheim, G. Winkler Ph. Gayet, M. Barranco-Luque, W.K. Erdt, P.K. Frandsen, D. Güsewell,

## Geneva - Switzerland CERN-AT Division

#### ABSTRACT

stability and interaction with RF fields is reported. improved. In this paper the experience during the LEP run of 1993 concerning plant reliability, During the 1992 LEP run the cryosystem with 3 modules and its controls has been considerably of 1992 a 6 kW refrigerator is in operation at LEP Point 2 for cooling of the first cavity modules. cavity modules and are bath cooled by 4.5 K LHe from one cryoplant at each point. Since beginning cavities, working at 352 MHz, will be installed at the 4 interaction points. They are mounted in 4 electron/positron collider up to 90 GeV, thus doubling the energy of the machine. Some 200 sc The LEPZOO upgrade project under way at CERN will bring the particle energy in the 27 km long LEP

#### I Cryoplant description

line screens. cool accessories of the cavities (couplers, tuners etc.)  $+$  3 kW below 80 K for cooling the transfer 4.5 K level, which is composed of 5 kW of refrigeration at 4.5 K + 8 g/s of liquefaction flow used to supplied by SULZER/LINDE end 1991 [1]. It provides a total of 6 kW equivalent cooling power at CERN is using for its first station of sc cavities at LEP Point 2 a very efficient 7-turbine cryoplant

near 235 W electric input for 1 W at 4.5 K. on both sides of the LEP interaction point 2. The overall power factor achieved with this plant is Transfer lines with a total length of 570 m connect the coldbox to the cavities in the beam tunnels LEP service tunnel 60 m below ground level with dimensions matched to the available space. screw compressors able to deliver  $390 \text{ g/s}$  of GHe at 20 bar. The compact coldbox is located in the The cryoplant consists of 3 compressors in a surface building : 2 low-pressure and 1 high-pressure

on call. LEP Point 2, but the cryogenics is fully operational and running automatically with operators only cooled with cold helium gas. During the present 1993 run of LEP, only 2 modules are installed at LEP run of 1992 when three 4-cavity modules were sometimes operated with LHe and sometimes The cryoplant was commissioned and the software for the ABB control system improved during the

#### II Cryogenics of the sc cavity modules for LEP

LHe manifold and an upper phase separator and GHe manifold. cavity is surrounded by a separate LHe bath tank and all tanks are connected in parallel to a lower The sc cavity modules for LEP consist of four 4-cell cavities in a common vacuum tank [2,3,6]. Each



Fig 1 Cryogenics circuits of a cavity in the LEP

The LHe level in the bath is controlled by the inlet valve between supply transfer line and LHe manifold, and the bath pressure by the outlet valve between the GHe manifold and the return transfer line. Thus all modules are connected in parallel to the transfer line system and controlled independently by 2 valves each.

For efficient cooling of the HOM (High Order Mode) coupler with bypass pipes between LHe manifold and GHe manifold (see Fig. 1) a LHe level as high as possible is required, but overfilling of the cryostat must be avoided in the interest of thermodynamic efficiency of cryoplant operation. Since the tunnel is ramping at a slope of 1.4 % at LEP point 2 only the level of the "upper" cavity is a free parameter being used for the level control. In spite of the restricted gas volumes inside the modules very satisfactory stability of levels and pressures are achieved (see Fig. 2).



Fig. 2 : Stability of the Helium bath in a module

per cavity can be used to modulate the gas flow in response to heat loads varying with RF (Fig 1) [5]. make best use of gas enthalpy and simplify instrumentation and operation. 2 warm control valves on main couplers, tuners and beam pipe transition cones. The gas cooling circuits are chosen to 0.8-1.2 g/s of GHe at 4.5 K per module is taken from the manifold and used to cool heat intercepts

#### III Stability of cryogenics with varying heat loads from RF and beam

and the inverse of the quality factor  $Q$  of the cavity. produces a heat load in the cavity walls which is proportional to the square of the field strength E load into the LHe should be compensated as well as possible inside the modules. The RF field mm) in all modules with many interacting control loops in the cryoplant, changes of dynamic heat To achieve satisfactory stability (Fig. 3) of bath pressure (1250  $\pm/2$  mbar) and level (800  $\pm/10$ 



Fig.  $3:$  RF heat load compensation before Q value tuning versus time (hours)

corresponding valve positions (Fig. 3 and 4). and rapid changes of the RF field have almost no influence on bath pressure, bath level and the power correspondingly. lf the right value is chosen for the effective Q\_of each module, even drastic RF levels the control system is calculating the expected RF load and reducing on-line the heater RF a pre-determined heat load is applied (in 50-150 W range). With an analog signal of the varying This dynamic load is compensated by using electric heaters in each cavity He tank, to which at zero

fields. of bunch charge. No reliable prediction is yet available for the spatial distribution of the induced also produce heat, which is expected to be proportional to the number of bunches and to the square The fields induced by the LEP beam bunches (8 bunches each of electrons and positrons in 1993)



Fig 4 : Tuned RF load compensation versus time (hours)



Fig 5 :Beam influence on LEP module versus time (days)

As Fig. 5 shows, the achieved stability of pressure and level control was such that the variation of the beam load during filling and the exponential decay of the currents in the mA range for both positrons and electrons became visible by the regular swing of the control valves during each beam period (10-20h). Since the position of the valves is proportional to the He flow rate through the module, they are reacting as soon as an additional heat load is induced by the beam. The peak load from beams is estimated to be close to 100 W (Fig. 6).



Fig 6 :Beam induced heat load.

#### IV Cryoplant reliability

cryoplants can run reliably over machine periods of at least 8 months. corresponding to the initial value of 5 MV/m and  $Q = 3.10<sup>9</sup>$ . It is thus essential that the new LEP installed, but some 100% spare capacity is available for RF load beyond the SO W per cavity, depend on the full availability of all cryoplants. No redundant cryogenic equipment has been With the installation of more and more sc cavities in LEP, the performance of the machine will

other stops were due to problems in water or electricity supply. reasons for all accidental stops of 1993 are analysed. With the excepdon of one operator error, all available for technical interventions. Unwanted stops occurred only exceptionally. In Fig. 6 the very encouraging. During the first 21 weeks only 2 short programmed technical stops were made Experience with routine operation of the 6 kW cryoplant at LEP Point 2 during the 1993 run was

heat exchangers. Fig. 7 shows the recovery delays achieved in 1993. helium gas flow leads to additional constraints for restart because of thermal stress in the very big completely excluded during start-up of such big and complex machines, and each minute without of the modules after an accidental stop of the cryogenic system,. Considerable delays cannot be Another main objective of our current work of controls optimisation is the fastest possible refilling



Table 1 : Typical duration of normal cool down and warm-up operation using the 6 kW plant.



Fig 6 : Origins of the cryoplant failures in 1993.





 $\hat{J}^{\dagger}$  , and the component of the set of  $\hat{J}$  , and

 $\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)$