

 $K_{5}^{EK}$  =  $l$  93-193

 $\mathbf{r}$ 

KEK Preprint 93-193<br>January 1994<br>R

# Skyshine of Synchrotron Radiation

S. BAN, H. HIRAYAMA and Y. NAMITO



Submitted to the 8th International Conference on Radiation Shielding,<br>Arlington, TX, U.S.A., April 24 - 28, 1994.

## National Laboratory for High Energy Physics, 1994

KEK Reports are available from:

Ibaraki-ken, 305<br>JAPAN 1-1 Oho, Tsukuba-shi National Laboratory for High Energy Physics Technical Information & Library



### SKYSHINE OF SYNCHROTRON RADIATION

 $31-(298)-64-5491$   $81-(298)-64-5493$   $81-(298)-64-5497$ Ybaraki. 305 Japan Ibaraki. 305 Japan Ibaraki. 305 Japan l—l\_ Oho. Isi1ki1ba—shi 1-l. Oho. Ts11k11ba—shi l-l. Oho. Tsukuba-shi High Energy Physics High Energy Physics High Energy Physics High Energy Physics Sx uirhi Ban Hidecn Himvama Yoshihim Nzmxito

Yutional Laboratorv for National Laboratorv for National Laboratory for

on the scattering. increased by 30% due to the effect of linear polarization Find the Carlo code EGS4.<sup>2</sup> The calculated dose was tween 10 and 20 mA. The vacuum beam pipes in the vacuum beam pipes in the with the calculated results using the  $G-33<sup>1</sup>$  code and were measured using TL dosimeters and were compared tion of the electron accumulation ring at KEK is loapectra from both directions were similar. The doses north experimental hall where a 17-m-long straight sec-200 times larger than that from the floor. though the spectra. The photon angular flux from above was  $30-$ <br> $\frac{1}{2}$  In this work, measurements were made at the was a broad peak at  $30-70$  keV in the measured photon sured at the 5-GeV electron storage ring at KEK. There The skvshine of synchrotron radiation was mea

out because polarized photon scattering has not been the hall. ?Iowever. such transport calculations are rarely carried cm thick concrete; there was no additional shielding in is enhanced rather than in the horizontal direction.<sup>3</sup> placed parallel to the pipe. The wall was made of 20this effect because scattering in the vertical direction the ceiling was negligible. A 9-m—high shield wall was En the azimuthal angle. The skyshine is affected by ceiling was 22 m high and the photon scattering in and is linearly polarized. The scattering is not uniform The pipe was placed 4.9 m above the floor. The The SR is emitted tangentially to the beam direction. and the aluminum thickness was 5 mm. and difficult to compare with the calculated results. pipe is also shown. The inner diameter was 108 mm SR into air. However, their geometries are complicated parallel to them. In Fig.2 a cross-sectional view of the of synchrotron radiation (SR) is an important problem angle of about 5 mrad. Schematic plane views of the

he scattering geometry was thus simple. Transport type, overestimated the doses by  $40 \pm 20\%$ . They were shielded and were located far from the dipole magnets; were dominant, TL dosimeters. especially the UD-170L KEK). Straight vacuum pipes in the hall were not ing. AE-133). Because photons from 30 to 70 keV of the National Laboratory for High Energy Physics and 200S) and ionization chambers (Applied Engineer ierimental hall of the TRISTAN Accumulation Ring thermoluminescence dosimeters (Matsushita UD-17OL

scattering code  $G-33<sup>1</sup>$  and Monte Carlo code  $EGS4.<sup>2</sup>$ ABSTRACT calculations were also carried out using the gamma-ray

### II. EXPERIMENTAL

1ave been a few measurements of doses by scattered straight sections are shown. and a side shield wall is yecause low—energy photons are very intense. There vacuum pipes are shown in Fig.2. Both the arc and In high-energy electron synchrotrons the shielding inside of a straight cylindrical pipe at a very shallow ization of the SR. was 0.9. The SR. was injected to the I. INTRODUCTION spectrum is shown in Fig.1. The degree of linear polar the critical energy of the SR was 12.0 keV. The photon was not shielded. The bending radius was 23.2 m and arc sections were covered with the sufficiently thick lead cated. A stored current of 5 GeV electrons was be

In this work. measurements were made at the ex-<br>sorbed doses to air in free air were measured using reated in the shielding calculations. Both inside and outside the shield wall the ab ment points are shown in Fig.3, which are 12.5 m dis-<br>The measured photon spectra at point A (in Fig.3) Vertical cross-sectional views of the hall and measure therefore corrected using ionization chamber readings. IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

### III. CALCULATIONS

section. The photon spectrum along the electron beam

$$
\frac{d^2N}{dsdL} = 1.775 \times 10^{-3} E^{-2} \int_r^{\infty} K_{5/3}(\eta) d\eta
$$
\n( photons per eV per meter),

\n(1)

on the straight pipe are as follows: This effect was studied using EGS4. When coherstraight section entrance (Note Fig.2), photons incident scattering is ignored in the former. At a distance of between  $X_0$  and  $X_0 + \Delta X_0$  from the than those by EGS4. This is partly because coherent

$$
Incident\ photons = \frac{d^2N}{d\varepsilon dL} \Delta L \text{ (photons per eV)}, \quad (2)
$$

where  
\n
$$
L = R \sin \theta_0,
$$
\nscattering.  
\n
$$
L + \Delta L = R \sin(\theta_0 + \Delta \theta_0),
$$
\n**REFERE**  
\n
$$
R
$$

scattered photons from the pipe are dominant there. ford University. SLAC-265 (1985). doses inside the shield wall were calculated since single-<br>The EGS4 code system. Stanford. California. Stanand each dose was summed. Then, the absorbed 2. W.R.Nelson. H. Hirayama and D.W.O. Rogers. ing code G33-GP21 was used for each photon source. was calculated using Eq(2). The gamma-ray scatter-<br>110 (1990). tions and the SR source spectrum in each section (1986). Y.Sakamoto and S.Tanaka. JAERI-M 90-The vacuum pipe was divided into 1-m-long sec-<br>1. ORNL, RSIC. G33-GP code package. CCC-494

inside and outside of the shield. cases ware followed. and the doses were calculated both absorption coefficients of air.<sup>4</sup> In each calculation,  $10^9$ the next event surface crossing estimator and energy- $\text{MeV.}^{\text{max}}$ . Int. J.Appl.Radiat.Isot.. 33. 1269 (1982). The absorbed dose to air in free air was calculated using Energy-absorption Coefficients from 1 keV to 20 ear polarization effect was considered in the scattering.  $\qquad 4.$  J.H.Hubbell. "Photon Mass Attenuation and  $(incoherent scattering) was also considered. The lin-  
<sup>283</sup> (1993).$ calculation. The binding effect for Compton scattering the EGS4 Code." Nucl. Instr. Meth., A332, 277from Eq(2). Coherent scattering was included in the tion of Linearly-Polarized Photon Scattering into EGS4 Monte Carlo code and the same source spectra 3. Y.Namito. S.Ban and H.Hirayama, "Implementa-Another calculation was carried out using the

shown in Fig.4. directions; and their shapes were all similar to that from each direction were measured. were also measured at some other points from different tor was placed in front of the scintillator. and photons X-rays escaping from the detector. The photon spectra  $0.15$ -mm-thick Be window. A 20-cm-long lead collima- air. A small peak about 10 keV was due to iodine K sured using a 2-mm-thick NaI(Tl) scintillator with a  $150 \text{ keV}$ . Below 20 keV, photons attenuated rapidly in due to skyshine. The photon energy spectra were mea-<br>was a broad peak at 30-70 keV, and no photon above from the beam pipe. Outside of the wall the doses were larger than the latter, both shapes were similar. There sufficiently thick to attenuate single scattered photons from back are shown. Though the former was 30-times tant from the last dipole magnet. The shield wall was are shown in Fig.4. The spectra from above and those

this caused an error of up to  $60\%$ . angular response of the TL dosimeters is not uniform, orbit for a single radiating electron is given as follows: The photon flux was very anisotropic. Because the above were 30-200 times more than that from the floor. Primary-source photons were produced at the arc at point A is shown in Fig.5. In the hall, photons from The vertical angular distribution of the photon flux

 $E$  : The electron energy in GeV. within a factor 4. The results using G-33 are smaller  $\varepsilon_c$  :Critical energy, Critical energy, Conthe whole, they agree with the measured results  $\epsilon \leq \epsilon_c$ , the calculated doses are also shown in Fig.6. with 1-mm-thick lead, the doses were reduced to about shown in Fig.6. When the dosimeters were covered  $\begin{bmatrix} \text{p} \text{p} & \text{p}$ The measured absorbed doses to air were normal-

> by 30% due to the effect of linear polarization on the the contrary, the doses outside the shield were increased tering were ignored. the doses were reduced to  $60\%$ . On ent scattering and the binding effect for Compton scat

### **REFERENCES**

- 
- 
- 
- 



Fig.1 Primary synchrotron radiation spectrum.



Fig.2 Schematic plane view of the vacuum pipe and a side shield wall. A cross-sectional view of the pipe is also shown.



Fig.3 Vertical cross-sectional view of the hall and measurement points.



NaI(Tl) scintillator with a Pb collimator. The spectra from above and those from back are shown. Fig.4 Measured photon spectra at point A in Fig.3. The photon spectra were measured using a 2-mm-thick



Fig.5 Vertical angular distribution of the photon flux at point A.



Fig.6 Measured and calculated absorbed doses to air in free air. The measurement points are shown in Fig.3.

