$5 \frac{1}{2}$

I. P. N. - 91406 ORSAY CEDEX

 $\begin{array}{c}\n\mathbb{Z}PNO & \mathbb{D}RE & \mathbb{G}4-03 \\
\mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{S} \cup \mathbb{S}4-2\n\end{array}$

THE BEHAVIOUR OF COLLECTIVE MOTION TOWARDS LIMITING TEMPERATURES IN NUCLEI : IPNO DRE 94-03

J.A. Scarpaci and A. Smerzi E. Migneco, G. Russo, J.C. Roynette, D. Santonocito, P. Sapienza, ron, A. Gillibert, M. Lamehi-Rachti, R. Liguori-Neto, C. Majoljno, A. Del Zoppo, P. Finocchiaro, N. Frascaria, J.J. Gaardhøje, J.P. Gar-Alamanos, R. Alba, F. Auger, G. Bellja., Ph. Chomaz, R. Coniglionc, J.H. Le Faou, T. Suomijärvi, Y. Blumenfeld, P, Piattelli, C. Agodi, N.

de physique nucléaire des université paris-sup nstitut

Collective Motion Towards Limiting Temperatures in Nuclei : the Behaviour of

J. H. Le Faou^a, T. Suomijärvi^a, Y. Blumenfeld^{a)}, P. Piattelli^{b)}, C. Agodi^b, N.

Alamanos^{c)}, R. Alba^b, F. Auger^{c)}, G. Bellia^{b)*}, Ph. Chomaz^d, R. Coniglione^b, A. Del

Zoppo^{b)}, P. Finocchiaro^{b)}, N. Frascaria^a, J. J. Gaardhøje^{e)}, J. P. Garron^a, A. Gillibert^c⁾,

M. Lamehi-Rachti^{a)**}, R. Liguori-Neto^{c)***}, C. Maiolino^b), E. Migneco^{b)2}, G. Russo^{b)2}, J. C.

Roynette^a, D. Santonocito^b, P. Sapienza^b, J. A. Scarpaci^a), and A. Smerzi^{b)}

a) Institut de Physique Nucléaire, IN_2P_3 -CNRS, 91406 Orsay, France

b) INFN-Laboratorio Nazionale del Sud, Via A. Daria, Catania, Italy

c} SPhN, DAPNIA, CEN Saclay, 91191 Gif sur Yvette, France

d} GANIL, BP 5027, 14021 Caen, France

e) The Niels Bohr Institute, University of Copenhagen, DK-2100 \varnothing , Denmark

(January 4, 1994)

Abstract

not show entrance channel effects. the resonance above an excitation energy of 250 MeV. The existing data do agreement with the data is obtained by assuming a cut-off of γ -emission from continuous increase with temperature of the width of the resonance. Better range studied. This quenching of the γ multiplicity cannot be explained by a dipole resonance in these nuclei remains constant over the excitation energy 27 MeV/u, have been measured. The γ -ray yield from the decay of the giant energies between 350 and 550 MeV, formed in the ${}^{36}\text{Ar} + {}^{90}\text{Zr}$ reaction at Gamma—rays emitted from hot nuclei with mass around 115 and excitation

24.30.Cz, 25.70.Gh, 25.70.Ef

 \cdot J

Typeset using REVTFX

(pre-equilibrium effects) $[10]$, or a loss of collectivity of very hot nuclei $[7,8]$. of the γ -emission due to the time necessary to couple the GDR to the compound nucleus saturation: a strong increase of the width of the GDR with temperature [4,8,9], hindrance a saturation of the γ -yield from the GDR decay. Several reasons have been invoked for this $E^*=300$ MeV. Above 300 MeV, experimental results [4,7] are more fragmentary, but show from GDR decay is consistent with 100% of the Energy Weighted Sum Rule (EWSR) up to [3,6], or by a width continuously increasing with temperature [4-6]. Finally, the γ -yield ergies experimental results have been reproduced either supposing a saturation of the width value of 5 MeV up to approximately 11 MeV at $E^*=130$ MeV [2]. At higher excitation enconstant at its ground state value (i.e. ≈ 16 MeV). Its width increases from the ground state excitation energy $[1]$. At these moderate energies the position of the GDR remains nearly concerning the properties of the GDR in nuclei of mass $A \approx 110$ up to approximately 300 MeV evolution since they can be measured through gamma decay. A large body of data exists ant dipole resonance (GDR) should provide the best experimental fingerprint of such an chaotic behavior at extreme excitation energies. The properties of the highly collective gi tence allows to study the evolution of the collective motion of nucleons in nuclei towards The possibility to create nuclei heated to temperatures approaching their limit of exis-

of strong pre-equilibrium effects. excitation energies must be invoked. Moreover, the data seem inconsistent with the presence spectra, but that other types of mechanisms leading to a quenching of the γ -yield at high increase of the GDR width with temperature does not allow to reproduce the entire γ -115 with excitation energies between 350 and 550 MeV. It will be shown that a continuous In the present work γ -spectra were measured in coincidence with nuclei of mass around

range between 30° and 170°. An unambiguous separation of γ -rays from neutrons and light is a detector ball consisting of 180 barium fluoride (BaF_2) crystals that cover the angular rays and light charged particles were detected with the MEDEA multidetector [11] which target was bombarded with the 27 MeV/u 36 Ar beam from the GANIL facility. Gamma In order to produce hot nuclei through incomplete fusion reactions, a 300μ g/cm^{2 90}Zr

eliminates the cosmic ray contamination from the γ -spectra. counter firing in coincidence with at least one $BaF₂$ detector. This requirement effectively allowed to select fusion-like residues. The trigger requirement was given by one parallel plate side of the beam. These counters yielded energy-loss and time-of-flight information which detected in two parallel plate avalanche counters covering between 6° and 22° on either deduced from the γ calibration using the procedure of ref. [12]. Fusion-like residues were γ -rays from AmBe and PuC sources respectively. The light charged particle calibration was flight measurement. The detectors were calibrated in energy with the 4.4 and 6.1 MeV charged particles was achieved by the combination of a pulse shape analysis and time of

respectively. [13], to excitation energies of 360, 480 and 630 MeV and initial masses of 105, 113 and 122 bin are 0.52, 0.69, and 0.92 v_{CM} , corresponding, according to the massive transfer model of the detected recoil and the velocity of the center of mass. The mean velocities of each data have been sorted into three bins according to the ratio v_R/v_{CM} between the velocity linear momentum transfers and excitation energies, is populated in the reaction. Here, the Through the incomplete fusion mechanism a wide range of residue velocities, and thus of

first to the second velocity bin, and less for the highest bin. The initial temperature of the with the measured residue velocity. The temperature increases strongly when going from the nucleus source velocity, which was a free parameter in the fit, is in reasonable agreement residue velocities allow to focus on hotter and hotter nuclei. Moreover, the compound compound nucleus source increase with increasing residue velocity, confirming that larger table 1. The multiplicity of emitted protons, apparent temperature and velocity of the the angular range studied. The parameters of the compound nucleus source are given in velocity source simulating pre-equilibrium emission, were necessary to fit the data over moving source fit. Only two sources, a compound nucleus-like source and an intermediate extracted for several angles covering between $69^{\circ} < \theta_{lab} < 160^{\circ}$, and analyzed in terms of a the study of the light charged particle spectra. For each velocity bin, proton spectra were A complementary characterization of the hot nuclei produced can be obtained through

with excitation energies well in excess of 300 MeV are populated in the present reaction. increasing residue velocities correspond to increasing excitation energies and that hot nuclei here. The combination of the residue and particle measurements clearly establish that $= 12$ MeV above T = 5 MeV, which corresponds to the excitation energy region studied of the level density parameter for Sn nuclei from $K = 8.5$ MeV at zero temperature to K in reasonable agreement with recent theoretical calculations [15] which predict an increase the following will be 350, 500 and 550 MeV, corresponding to K=11 MeV. This value is than the value given by the massive transfer model. The excitation energies quoted in value an excitation energy of 550 MeV is deduced for the highest velocity bin, clearly lower is obtained by using a level density parameter $a=A/K$ with $K=11$ MeV. By using this deduced from the temperature measurements and those from the velocity measurement Discounting the highest velocity bin, the best agreement between the excitation energies source fit using the relationship obtained in the literature for protons: $T_{init} = 1.3 T_{app}$ [14]. compound nucleus was inferred from the apparent temperature obtained from the moving

for which the number of nucleon—nucleon collisions is largest. picture in which the highest momentum transfers correspond to the most central collisions γ -yield increases with increasing residue velocity, in agreement with a simple geometrical the known systematics for nucleon—nucleon bremsstrahlung [16]. Moreover, the high energy The slope parameter for all three bins is 9.5 ± 1.0 MeV, which is in good agreement with can be represented by an exponential function, fitted to the spectrum for $E_{\gamma} > 35$ MeV. at the end of its decay chain give rise to a steep exponential decay. The high energy γ yield the γ -decay of the GDR. At low energies statistical γ -rays emitted by the compound nucleus remarkable feature of these spectra is the pronounced bump observed around 15 MeV due to coincidence with fusion events for the three excitation energy bins, normalized over 4π . The Fig. 1 shows gamma spectra measured at 90° , where the Doppler shift is negligible, in

the gamma multiplicity was integrated between 12 and 20 MeV, corresponding approxiexcitation energy, the bremsstrahlung component was subtracted from the spectra and To investigate the evolution of the gamma decay from the GDR as a function of

can even be considered constant within the error bars. increase only very slightly over the excitation energy region populated in the reaction, and $(3.5\pm0.2)10^{-3}$, $(3.8\pm0.2)10^{-3}$, $(4.1\pm0.4)10^{-3}$ for 350, 500 and 550 MeV respectively. They mately to the range of GDR transitions in the spectra. The integrated multiplicities are

 $[19]$. parameter can change the calculated yields slightly but does not affect the above conclusions to the experimental results. It should be noted that the choice of a different level density Moreover, the calculated multiplicity increases strongly with excitation energy, in contrast pared to the data in fig 2. The calculations clearly overshoot the data in the GDR region. were folded with the detector response. As an example, the calculation at 500 MeV is com The temperature dependent level density parameter from [15], was used. The calculations width and 100% EWSR, allowed to reproduce the γ -spectra at lower excitation energies [1]. with temperature, as suggested in ref. [18] was included. Such calculations, with a saturated $100\%EWSR$, for the energy, width and strength of the GDR. A weak decrease of E_{GDR} citation energies assuming $E_{GDR} = 76.5 \times A^{-1/3} MeV$, $\Gamma_{GDR} = 12MeV$, and $S_{GDR} =$ Statistical calculations using the code CASCADE [17] were performed at different ex

rate : at higher energies. This is simply understood from the statistical dipole photon emission saturation of the yield around the GDR centroid is obtained at the expense of an increase the decay chain as $\Gamma_{GDR} = 4.8 + 0.0026 (E^*)^{1.6}$ [2]. The point we wish to stress is that the result of Cascade calculations at three excitation energies, using a width which varies along quenching of the yield between 12 and 20 MeV. This is depicted on fig.3 which displays the the width of the GDR will spread the γ -rays over a larger energy range and thus lead to a to a strong increase of the width of the GDR with excitation energy. Indeed, increasing results of refs. [4,7]. It was proposed [4,9,8] that the observed saturation could be related The saturation of the GDR γ -yield at high excitation energies clearly confirms the earlier

$$
R_{\gamma} dE_{\gamma} = \frac{\rho(E_2)}{\rho(E_1)} f_{GDR}(E_{\gamma}) dE_{\gamma}
$$

ா

where

$$
f_{GDR}(E_\gamma) \propto E_\gamma^2 \frac{\Gamma_{GDR} E_\gamma^2}{(E_\gamma^2-E_{GDR}^2)^2+\Gamma_{GDR}^2 E_\gamma^2}
$$

the error bars above 12 MeV. fact, the three measured γ -spectra, after bremsstrahlung subtraction, are identical within broadening of the GDR strength. These effects are not seen in the experimental data. In due both to the behaviour of the level density ratio with increasing temperature and to the Moreover, the slope of the γ spectrum in this region should decrease with excitation energy the GDR width does not introduce a quenching but rather an increase of the γ multiplicity. energies for increasing values of Γ_{GDR} . Therefore, in the high energy region, the increase of $f_{GDR}(E_\gamma)$ for different values of Γ_{GDR} , clearly shows that the γ -yield is shifted to higher and initial states differing by an energy $E_{\gamma} = E_1 - E_2$. The insert of fig.3, which displays In this equation the factor $\rho(E_2)/\rho(E_1)$ is the ratio of the level densities between the final

the GDR γ emission must be hindered by another mechanism than the increase of the width. systematics, could lead to agreement between the data and the calculations. In conclusion. absence of the bremsstrahlung component, which would be in contradiction with all known include uncertainties on the bremsstrahlung subtraction. Only the assumption of a complete subtracted bremsstrahlung component, as shown by the error bars of the spectrum which MeV. This conclusion cannot be modified by changing the slope or normalization of the width leads to an overprediction of the γ multiplicity in the high energy region above 20 yield between 12 and 20 MeV. However, in all cases, the assumption of an increase of the excitation energy in fig.2. Two of the calculations give a reasonable account of the γ - $[2,9,8]$ for a continuous increase of the GDR width are compared to the data at 500 MeV Cascade calculations performed following three prescriptions proposed in the literature

allows to reproduce the γ spectra above 12 MeV measured for the three excitation energy using a constant width of 12 MeV for the GDR, and a cut-off excitation energy of 250 MeV a sharp suppression of the γ emission above a given excitation energy. Such a calculation The simplest way to simulate the complete γ -spectrum above 12 MeV is to introduce of the cut-off cannot be inferred from the present data. cut-off as a function of the temperature gives similar results, showing that the precise shape bins. An example is shown for 500 MeV excitation energy on fig.2. The use of a smooth

 γ -yield by pre-equilibrium effects. casts some doubt on the possibility of consistently explaining the saturation of the GDR ratios, contrarily to the present experiment. This absence of entrance channel dependence MeV/A reported in ref. [4]. In this reaction projectile and target have almost identical N/Z between 12 and 20 MeV is close to the value measured for the ⁴⁰Ar +⁹² Mo reaction at 26 can contribute to the measured γ spectra. In the present experiment the integrated γ -yield induces dipole oscillations in the entrance channel and thus pre-equilibrium GDR γ -decay to a symmetric N/Z system. Indeed, in the former case the asymmetry in N/Z ratios for the projectile and target would lead to an enhancement of the GDR γ -yield compared shown [20], that in the framework of this model, the use of a system with different N/Z ratios [19] with a result similar to that using a sharp cut-off above 250 MeV. However, it has been the Sn nuclei and, indeed, applying such a theory gives a reasonable account of the data is expected to induce a decrease of the GDR γ -yield above 200 MeV excitation energy in certain time to be equilibrated during which the GDR γ -emission will be hindered. This In ref. [10] it was proposed that in a compound nucleus the dipole excitations need a

results of ref. [7]. However, before any definite conclusion about the origin of this low lying lying component. It should be noticed that an analogous phenomenon can be found in the of the cascade simulations and which might be an indication of the presence of a new low perimental data present some strength at low excitation which is not accounted for in any in Random Phase Approximation calculations at high temperatures [21]. Moreover, the ex the highest excitation energies that the nucleus can sustain. This tendency can be found sibility could be that the GDR is replaced by some low-lying strength as one approaches collective to chaotic motion should occur around 300 MeV excitation energy. Another pos lectivity at high temperature. In a recent paper [8] it was discussed that a transition from In ref. [7] it was suggested that the observed saturation could be due to a loss of colpredictions are called for. component in the γ -spectrum can be drawn more experimental work and new theoretical

of the GDR strength at high temperatures, calls for new theoretical developments. quenching, such as a loss of collectivity of very hot nuclei or a shift towards lower energies that pre-equilibrium effects cannot be invoked. The investigation of possible reasons for this that the N/Z asymmetry in the entrance channel has no effect on the GDR γ -yield suggests γ -emission at excitation energies above approximately 250 MeV must be supposed. The fact is unable to reproduce the spectra above 20 MeV. To reproduce the data a quenching of the the width of the GDR could account for the integrated γ -yield between 12 and 20 MeV but stant as a function of excitation energy. We have shown that an increase with temperature of excitation energies above 300 MeV. The γ -yield above 12 MeV from the GDR decay is con-In summary, γ -rays were measured in coincidence with well characterized hot nuclei at

therin for his support of this work. We warmly thank P. F. Bortignon and M. Di Toro for fruitful discussions and D. Vau

and the second company

REFERENCES

- * and Dipartimento di Fisica dell'Università, Catania, Italy
- ** On leave from University of Teheran, Iran.
- *** On leave from University of São Paolo, Brasil.
- [1] J. J. Gaardhøje, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 42, 483 (1992)
- [2] D. R. Chakrabarty et al., Phys. Rev. C36, 1886 (1987)
- [3] A. Bracco et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 62, 2080 (1989)
- [4] K. Yoshida et al., Phys. Lett. 245 B, 7 (1990)
- [5] J. Kasagi et al., Nucl. Phys. A538, 585c (1992)
- published [6] D. Pierroutsakou, PhD thesis, Orsay, France (1993), and D. Pierroutsakou et al., to be
- [7] J. J. Gaardhøje et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 59, 1409 (1987)
- 17-21, 1993, Gull Lake, Michigan, to be published in Nucl. Phys. A. [8] Ph. Chomaz, Proc. of the Gull Lake Nucl. Phys. Conf. on Giant Resonances, August
- [91 A. Smerzi et al., Phys. Rev. C44, 1713 (1991); A. Bonasera et al., ibid. ref.[6].
- [10] P. F. Bortignon et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 67, 3360 (1991)
- [11] E. Migneco et al., Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A314, 31 (1992)
- [12] A. Del Zoppo et al., Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A327, 363 (1993)
- [13} H. Nifenecker et al., Nucl. Phys. A477, 533c (1985)
- [14] M. Gonin et al., Nucl. Phys. A495, 139c (1989)
- [15] W. E. Ormand et al., Phys. Rev. $C40$, 1510 (1989)
- [16] H. Nifenecker and J.A. Pinston, Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 40, 113 (1990)
- [17] F. Pühlhofer, Nucl. Phys. A280, 267 (1977)
- [18] E. Lipparini and S. Stringari, Nucl. Phys. A482, 205c (1988)
- [19] T. Suomijärvi et al., ibid. ref.[6].

 -1

- [20] Ph. Chomaz, M. Di Toro and A. Smerzi, Nucl. Phys. A563, 509 (1993)
- [21] H. Sagawa and G. F. Bertsch, Phys. Lett. 146B, 138 (1984)

TABLES

procedure. extracted from the moving source fits. The errors indicated are only those due to the fitting TABLE I. Multiplicities, temperatures and velocities of the compound nucleus source (CN)

$ v_R/v_{CM} $	M_{CN}	$ T_{CN}(\rm MeV) $	v_{CN}/v_{CM}
52%	$1.67 \pm .08$	$4.64 \pm .15$	$0.59 \pm .03$
69%	$1.89 \pm .10$	$5.21 \pm .20$	$0.78 \pm .04$
92%	$2.00 \pm .11$	$5.35 \pm .20$	$0.82 \pm .04$

 \sim 10 \pm 10 \pm 10 \pm 10 \pm 10 \pm

 \pm T

 $\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\text{R}}(\mathcal{L}_{\text{R}}(\mathcal{L}_{\text{R}})) = \mathcal{L}_{\text{R}}(\mathcal{L}_{\text{R}}(\mathcal{L}_{\text{R}})) \end{aligned}$

 $\hat{r} = \hat{r} \hat{r}$, $\hat{r} = \hat{r}$ and \hat{r}

FIGURES

spectra $(E_{\gamma} > 35 \text{ MeV}).$ MeV (\times 10) and 550 MeV (\times 100). The solid lines are a fit to the high energy component of the FIG. 1. Normalized gamma spectra measured for three excitation energy bins at 350 MeV, 500

12 MeV. GDR γ -emission above E^{*} = 250 MeV (full line). Both calculations were performed with Γ_{GDR} = with a Cascade calculation with 100%EWSR (dashed line) and a calculation with a cutoff of the For details of the calculations see ref.[16]. Lower part: Same experimental spectrum compared [7] (dashed line), and with a calculation using $\Gamma_{GDR} = 4.8 + 0.0026 \text{ E}^{*1.6} \text{ MeV}$ [2] (dotted line). continuously increasing GDR. width proposed by Chomaz[6] (dash dotted line) and Smerzi et al. bremsstrahlung subtraction (points) with Cascade calculations including two prescriptions for a FIG. 2. Upper part: Comparison of the experimental data for the 500 MeV bin after

 $0.0026\times E^{*1.6}MeV$. Insert: Evolution of $f_{GDR}(E_{\gamma})$ a function of Γ_{GDR} (see text). FIG. 3. Cascade calculations performed at 3 excitation energies using $\Gamma_{GDR} = 4.8 +$

 \sim \sim

 $^{\prime}$ $\,$ $\,$ $\,$

Fig. 1

Fig.

Fig. 3