

Michigan State University

National Superconducting Cyclotron Laboratory

ENERGY DEPENDENCE OF MULTIFRAGMENTATION IN $84Kr + 197Au$ COLLISIONS

G.F. PEASLEE, M.B. TSANG, C. SCHWARZ, M.J. HUANG, W.S. HUANG, W.C. HSI, C. WILLIAMS, W. BAUER, D.R. BOWMAN, M.A. LISA, W.G. LYNCH, C.M. MADER, L. PHAIR, J. DINIUS, C.K. GELBKE, D.O. HANDZY, M-C. LEMAIRE, S.R. SOUZA, G. VAN BUREN, R.J. CHARITY, L.G. SOBOTKA, G.J. KUNDE, U. LYNEN, J. POCHODZALLA, H. SANN, W. TRAUTMANN, D. FOX, R.T. de SOUZA, G. PEILERT, W.A. FRIEDMAN, and N. CARLIN

MSUCL-918

JANUARY 1994

 $\begin{array}{cc} 15002 & 948 \\ 203408 & \end{array}$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$

Energy Dependence of Multifragmentation in $84Kr + 197Au$ Collisions

48824, USA, and Department of Physics and Astronomy, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI]. Dinius, C.K. Gelbke, D.O. Handzy, National Superconducting Cyclotron Laboratory Williams, W. Bauer, D.R. Bowman^b, M.A. Lisa, W.G. Lynch, C.M. Mader^a, L. Phair^c, G.F. Peasleea, M.B. Tsang, C. Schwarz, M.]. Huang, W.S. Huang, W.C. Hsi, C.

Yvette, France. M-C. Lemaire, S. R. Souza, Laboratoire National SATURNE, CEN Saclay, 91191 Gif·sur

University, St. Louis, MO 63130, USA, G. Van Buren, R.], Charity, and L.G. Sobotka,Department of Chemistry, Washington

Schwerionenforschung, D-6100 Darmstadt 11, Germany, G.J. Kunde, U. Lynen, J. Pochodzalla, H. Sann, W. Trautmann, Gesellschaft für

Bloomington, IN 47405, USA, D. Fox, R.T. de Souza, Department of Chemistry and IUCF, Indiana University,

G. Peilert, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, CA 94550, USA

W.A. Friedman, Department of Physics, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI 53706, USA

and

N. Carlin, Instituto de Fisica, Universidade de São Paulo, CEP 01498, São Paulo, Brazil

Abstract

to $E/A \approx 100$ MeV, and then decreases at higher energies. central or near-central collisions. For these collisions, fragment production increases up energies between E/A= 35 and 400 MeV. Fragment multiplicities are greatest for charged particle multiplicities has been measured for $84\text{Kr} +197\text{Au}$ collisions at The relationship between observed intermediate mass fragment (IMF) and total

a Present Address: Physics Department, Hope College, Holland MI.

Present Address: Chalk River National Laboratories, Chalk River, Ontario, KOJ 110 Canada.

c Present Address: Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, Berkeley CA.

the fragmenting system. maximum at some intermediate temperature, which may depend on the total charge of fragment production is suppressed. Hence, fragment production should exhibit a temperatures, on the other hand, the entropy of the system becomes so high that probability will exhibit a strong initial rise as a function of temperature. At very high penetrability arguments [16-20] lead to the expectation that the multifragment emission transition in nuclear matter [11-15]. Rather general phase space and barrier multifragment decays might provide key information about a liquid-gas phase systems that expand to subnormal densities [5-10], and it has been suggested that emission. There is accumulating evidence that multifragment decays are favored for Highly excited nuclear systems have been observed [1-7] to decay by multifragment

MeV with a low-threshold 4π detector [23]. measurements of $84Kr + 197Au$ collisions over the incident energy range $E/A = 35-400$ multifragmentation with a single system. To address this issue we have performed requires heretofore nonexistent measurements of both the rise and the decline of [22]. To identify the incident energy with the peak fragment multiplicity, however, observed for central Au + Au collisions over a higher energy range $E/A=100-400$ MeV E/A=35-110 MeV[6]. A decline in IMF multiplicity with incident energy has been incident energy has been observed for central Ar+Au collisions over the energy range central collisions, a rise in the intermediate mass fragment [IMF] multiplicity with qualitative features of this "rise and fall" of fragment production [3,21]. Focusing on projectile fragmentation reactions of Au nuclei at E/A=600 MeV have revealed the Measurements of the impact-parameter dependence of fragment multiplicities in

scintillator-CsI(Tl) phoswich detectors, covering polar angles of θ lab = 5.4° detector array. This detector system consisted of 276 low-threshold plastic with the combined MSU Miniball/ Washington University Miniwall 4π phoswich at $E/A = 100$, 200 and 400 MeV. The emitted charged particles were detected 1.3 mg/cm² at E/A=35 and 55 MeV, 4 mg/cm² at E/A=70 MeV and 5 mg/cm² beam intensities of 10^{6} -10⁷ particles per spill. The gold target thicknesses were: were performed at the Laboratoire National SATURNE at Saclay, with typical were lower by a factor of two). Measurements at E/A=100, 200, and 400 MeV beam intensities were $1-2 \times 10^8$ particles per second (intensities at $E/A = 70$ MeV Superconducting Cyclotron Laboratory of Michigan State University. Typical performed with beams from the K1200 cyclotron of the National Measurements with 84 Kr ions at beam energies of E/A=35, 55, and 70 MeV were

estimated 3-4% reduction in the fragment multiplicities for E/ A<1OO MeV. chambers were not included in the present analysis; this omission results in an one Miniball detector in each ring for θ_{lab} > 25° [24]. Data taken with these ion scintillator-CsI(Tl) phoswich detectors were used. An ion chamber substituted For the experiment at lower incident energies, (E/ A<1OO MeV), 268 plastic 160 $^{\circ}$, corresponding to a total geometric efficiency of approximately 90% of 4π .

depending on incident energy. central collisions by an estimated 15-25% and the IMF multiplicity by 1.5-2.5% , single IMF. Typically, multiple hits reduced the charge particle multiplicity in single light particle, and double hits consisting of two IMF's were identified as a single IMF, double hits consisting of two light particles were identified as a particles. Double hits consisting of a light particle and an IMF were identified as were not counted as IMF's because they were not distinguished from light fast plastic scintillator. Lithium ions that punched through the CsI(Tl) crystals resolution up to $Z \approx 10$ was routinely achieved for particles that traversed the thresholds for the Miniwall were higher, typically 20 MeV. Unit charge MeV for the Miniwall. For incident energies with $E/A \ge 100$ MeV, the Z=1 thresholds of 5 MeV were imposed on the Z=1 particles for the Miniball and 10 To avoid contamination from low energy electrons, hardware discriminator thresholds were $E_{th}/A \sim 2 \text{ MeV}$ (4 MeV) for Z=3 (Z=10) particles, respectively. 40 um scintillator foils and 2 cm thick CsI(Tl) crystals; the corresponding MeV) for Z=3 (10) particles. Ball detectors at larger angles, θ_{lab} =25°-160°, used the energy thresholds in the wall were set somewhat higher at about 7 MeV (7.5 $(Z=10)$ particles, respectively. For the higher incident energies $(E/A>100$ MeV), particle identification in these detectors were $E_{th}/A \sim 4$ MeV (6 MeV) for Z=3 foils of 80 um thickness and Csl(Tl) crystals of 3 cm thickness. The thresholds for Wall detectors located at forward angles, $\theta_{lab} = 5.4^{\circ}$ -25°, used plastic scintillator

charged particle multiplicity by means of the geometric formula [26,27]: As in previous work [26], we constructed a "reduced" impact parameter scale from the increases from $N_{\text{C}} \approx 30$ to 65 as the beam energy is increased from E/A = 35 to 400 MeV. highest multiplicities. The multiplicity where one observes the exponential fall-off distributions exhibit a rather structureless plateau and a near-exponential fall-off at the Similar to other measurements [5,6,25], the measured charged particle multiplicity

$$
\hat{\mathbf{b}} = \frac{\mathbf{b}}{\mathbf{b}_{\text{max}}} = \left[\int_{N_C(\mathbf{b})}^{\infty} dN_C \cdot P(N_C) \right]^{1/2}.
$$
\n(1)

values of $\hat{\mathbf{b}}$ =1 for the most peripheral collisions and $\hat{\mathbf{b}}$ =0 for the most central collisions. b_{max} is the impact parameter where NC \approx 4. The reduced impact parameter assumes Here, $P(N_c)$ is the probability distribution for detecting the NC charged particles and

foils of the phoswich detectors and are, hence, not identified. to θ_{lab} < 5.4° and for heavy target-like residues which do not penetrate the scintillator thereafter. Losses in efficiency are most significant for beam velocity particles emitted than 80 at E/ A=100 MeV out of a total of 115, and it remains roughly constant is observed for central collisions and increases from about 60 at E/A=35 MeV to more monotonic function of the charged particle multiplicity; the maximum detected charge particle multiplicity, N_C . At each energy, the measured mean total charge is a Z_{tot} , is shown in Fig. 1 as a function of the incident energy and the detected charged To illustrate the detection capabilities of the experimental setup, the mean total charge ,

loss in detection efficiency in the experimental setup. highest incident energy is not likely a trivial consequence of charge conservation or a observed at the three highest incident energies, this decline in $\langle N_{IMF} \rangle$ for N_{C} >60 at the thereafter. Since comparable values of Z_{tot} for the most central collisions are energies, the data at $E/A=400$ MeV display a maximum at N $C=60$ and decline observed for the most central collisions. ln contrast to the data at lower incident collisions. For measurements at $E/A = 35-200$ MeV, the peak IMF multiplicity is multiplicities at medium to low values of N_C . This problem is less important for central higher two incident energies and leads to an unknown reduction in the fragment because they are emitted to angles smaller than 5.4°. This loss is most important for the $\langle N_{\rm IMF} \rangle$. Some fragments from the statistical decay of projectile-like residues are lost much higher charged particle multiplicities are required to achieve the same value for display a rather similar dependence of $\langle N_{IMF} \rangle$ upon N_C. At the higher two energies, charged particle multiplicity, N_C. For measurements at E/A =35-100 MeV, the data Figure 2 shows the observed mean IMF multiplicity, <NIMF>, as a function of detected

collisions, $0 < \hat{b} < 0.25$ is shown as the solid points in the lower and upper panels, The energy dependence of charged particle and fragment production in central

approximate determination of the energy at which this decrease commences. the wide incident energy range of the present data permits, for the first time, an higher energies is expected from general arguments based upon entropy production; importance of the two quantities for the present data set is unknown. A decrease at systems in which fragment production is excitation energy limited[9,25]. The relative incident energy. Both are expected to cause an increase in fragment multiplicity for to an increase in thermal excitation and in the collective expansion velocity with $E/A \equiv 100 \text{ MeV}$ and decreases thereafter. The increase for $E/A < 100 \text{ MeV}$ is likely due incident energy. The fragment multiplicity is observed to increase to a maximum at respectively, of Figure 3. The charged particle multiplicity increases monotonically with

and the number of intermediate mass fragments produced at E/A<100 MeV. However, the calculations significantly underestimate the number of charged particles E/A=100 MeV and monotonically increasing values for $\langle N_c \rangle$ are reproduced. lines. The general energy dependent trends of the data, i.e. a maximum in $\langle N_{IMF} \rangle$ at experimental acceptance, one obtains the filtered QMD calculations shown by the solid figures. (For this comparison, we assume b_{max} =10 fm.) After correcting for the Dynamics (QMD) model [29] are shown as dashed lines in the left-hand-panels of the such models can describe the present data. Predictions of the Quantum Molecular dynamics models [28] for Nb+Nb collisions. Thus it is interesting to explore whether A similar maximum at $E/A = 100$ MeV has been predicted by microscopic molecular

(solid lines), remain very similar at E/A >100 MeV. The efficiency of detection is these same calculations, when filtered through the experimental acceptance evaporated away in the later SMM stage. The numbers of lMF's produced in data at higher energies because many fragments produced by the QMD stage are contributions from heavy residue decay in SMM stage, but underpredict the significantly overpredict the data at $E/A < 100$ MeV reflecting the additional stage calculations, shown by the dashed lines in the right-hand·panels , calculations at an elapsed reaction time of 200 fm/ c. The results from these two energies and masses for the SMM calculations were taken from the QMD [31], which contains a "cracking" phase transition at low density. Input excitation with A \geq 4 were calculated via the statistical multifragmentation model (SMM) QMD calculations[30]. To remedy this deficiency, the decays of all fragments treatment of the decay of highly excited heavy reaction residues produced in the observed at low incident energies have been attributed to an inadequate The failure of QMD calculations to reproduce the large IMF multiplicities

thresholds. and consequently many of the predicted fragments fall below the experimental energy spectra are peaked at lower kinetic energies than the measured spectra reduced significantly at E/A<100 MeV reflecting the fact that the calculated

fixed impact parameter significantly. shown by the dotted-dashed lines in Fig. 3 do not differ from the calculations at with $N_C > N_{\text{cut}}$, i.e. we analyze the calculations as if they are data. The results, compute the calculated mean charged particle and IMF multiplicities for events section for events with a higher filtered multiplicity equals π (2.5 fm)². We then corresponding value for N_C , which we define as $N_{\rm cut}$, such that the cross multiplicity from the QMD and QMD+SMM simulations to determine a idealization by applying Eq. 1 to the calculated and filtered charged particle constructed from the charged particle multiplicity via Eq. I. We have tested this implicitly assume a high precision for the experimental impact parameter All comparisons of data to calculations performed at fixed impact parameter

transport theory for the treatment of density fluctuations and fragment formation. rather than at E/A=100 MeV as it is observed. There is a need for an improved incident energies and the peak fragment multiplicity is predicted at $E/A = 55$ MeV, low incident energies but these calculations underpredict the fragment yields at higher residues via the SMM model improves the agreement between data and theory at the underpredict the data at low incident energies. Calculation of the statistical decay of with QMD molecular dynamics calculations, but the calculations significantly collisions, much of the energy dependence of fragment production agrees qualitatively 197 Au system, fragment multiplicities are greatest at $E/A \approx 100$ MeV. For central emission over a broad range of beam energies, $E/A = 35 - 400$ MeV. For the $84Kr +$ In summary, we have presented the first comprehensive study of the multifragment

us during our experiment at the LNS. NSCL, and we wish to express our appreciation for their kind hospitality extended to acknowledge the excellent support from the operations staff of the LNS and of the gratefully acknowledge partial support by the CNPq, Brazil. We gratefully the receipt of U.S. Presidential Young Investigator Awards. N. Carlin and S. R. Souza No. DE-FG02-87ER-40316. W.G. Lynch and L. G. Sobotka are pleased to acknowledge PHY·90-15255 and PHY·92-14992, and the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract This work is supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant numbers

REFERENCES:

- 1.].W. Harris et al., Nucl. Phys. A471, 241 (1987).
- $2.$ R. Bougault et al., Nucl. Phys. A488, 255 (1988).
- $3.$ C.A. Ogilvie et al., Phys. Rev. Lett 67, 1214 (1991).
- $4.$ Y. Blumenfeld et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 66, 576 (1991).
- 5. D.R. Bowman et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 67, 1527 (1991).
- 6. R.T. de Souza et al., Phys. Lett. B268, 6 (1991).
- $\overline{7}$. K. Hagel et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 68, 2141 (1992).
- 8. W.A. Friedman, Phys. Rev. Lett. 60, 2125 (1988).
- 9. W.A. Friedman, Phys. Rev. C42, 667 (1990).
- 10. j. Hubele et al., Phys. Rev. C46, R1577 (1992)
- 11.].E. Finn etal., Phys. Rev. Lett. 49, 1321 (1982).
- 12. G. Bertsch and P.]. Siemens, Phys. Lett. B126, 9 (1983).
- 13. L.P. Csernai and]. Kapusta, Phys. Reports 131, 223 (1986).
- 14. P.]. Siemens, Nature 305, 410 (1983).
- 15. T.]. Schlagel and V.R. Pandharipande, Phys. Rev. C36, 162 (1987).
- 16. L.G. Moretto, Nucl. Phys. A247, 211 (1975).
- 17. W.A. Friedman and W.G. Lynch, Phys. Rev. C28, 16 (1982).
- 18. W.G. Lynch, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 37, 493 (1987).
- 19. D.H.E. Gross, Rep. Prog. Phys. 53, 605 (1990).
- 20. L.G. Sobotka, Phys. Rev. Lett. 51, 2187 (1983).
- 21.]. Hubele et al., Z.Phys. A340, 263 (1991).
- 22. M.B. Tsang et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. **71** (1993) 1502.
- 23. R.T. de Souza et al., Nucl. Instr. Meth. A295, 109 (1990).
- 24. R. deSouza et al, to be published
- 25. D.R. Bowman et al., Phys. Rev. C46, 1834 (1992).
- 26. L. Phair et al., Nucl. Phys. A548, 489 (1992).
- 27. C. Cavata et al., Phys. Rev. C42, 1760 (1990).
- 28. G. Peilert et al, Phys. Rev. C39 (1989) 1402.
- 29. G. Peilert et al, Phys. Rev. C46, 1457(1992), and refs. therein.
- 30. T.C. Sangster et al, Phys. Rev. C46, 1404 (1992).
- Phys. A475, 663 (1987). 31. J.P. Bondorf et al, Nucl. Phys. A444, 460 (1985); A.S. Botvina et al, Nucl.

Figure Captions:

10% to coincidence summing effects, the systematic uncertainty in $<\mathbb{Z}_{\text{tot}}>$ can be of order measured charged particle multiplicity, NQ, is shown for the six incident energies. Due Figure 1. The correlation between the measured mean total charge, $<\mathbb{Z}_{\text{tot}}$, and the

and detected charged particle multiplicity, N_C , is shown for the six incident energies. Figure 2. The correlation between average detected IMF (Z=3-20) multiplicity, <N[Mp>,

presentation of the QMD+SMM model calculations. assess impact parameter fluctuations. The right-hand panels show a similar The dotted-dashed lines depict the filtered calculations that were analyzed as data to solid lines after the model calculations filtering through the experimental acceptance. panels). Predictions of the QMD model are shown as dashed lines (left panels) and <N[MF> (upper panels), and the detected charged particle multiplicity, NQ (lower Figure 3. The incident energy dependences of the detected IMF ($Z=3-20$) multiplicity,

 $H \rightarrow$

