



# in the Oblate Dipole Bands of  $197,198$ Pb Recoil Distance Lifetime Measurements of States

Schafer<sup>3</sup>, J. Simpson<sup>6</sup>, D. Ward<sup>2</sup>, G. Zwartz<sup>4</sup> R.W. MacLeod<sup>2</sup>, S.M. Mullins<sup>5</sup>, E.S. Paul<sup>3</sup>, D.C. Radford<sup>2</sup>, A. Semple<sup>3</sup>, J.F. Sharpey-G. Hackman<sup>5</sup>, I.M. Hibbert<sup>1</sup>, K. Hauschild<sup>1</sup>, V.P. Janzen<sup>2</sup>, P.M. Jones<sup>3</sup>, S. Clark<sup>3</sup>, E. Dragulescu<sup>1+</sup>, T. Drake<sup>4</sup>, P.J. Dagnall<sup>3</sup>, A. Galindo-Uribarri<sup>2</sup>, R.M. Clark<sup>1</sup>, R. Wadsworth<sup>1</sup>, H.R. Andrews<sup>2</sup>, C.W. Beausang<sup>3</sup>, M. Bergstrom<sup>3</sup>,

6Nuclear Structure Facility, Daresbury Laboratory, Daresbury, Warrington, WA4 4AD, UK Department of Physics and Astronomy, McMaster University, Hamilton, ON L8S 4M1, Canada <sup>4</sup>Department of Physics, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON M5S 1A7, Canada <sup>3</sup>Oliver Lodge Laboratory, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, L69 3BX, UK <sup>2</sup>AECL Research, Chalk River Laboratories, Chalk River, ON K0J 1J0, Canada <sup>1</sup>Department of Physics, University of York, Heslington, York, Y01 5DD, UK

Romania Permanent address: Institute for Atomic Physics, Tandem Laboratory, Bucharest-Magurele R-79600,

Submitted to Phys. Rev. C

#### **NOTICE**

address is TASCC@CRL.AECL.CA. should indicate that the report is unpublished. To request copies our E-Mail This report is not a formal publication; if it is cited as a reference, the citation

70020049

Chalk River, ON K0J 1J0, Canada Chalk River Laboratories Physical Sciences

1993 December

 $\frac{1}{2}$  ,  $\frac{1}{2}$  $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac$  $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\$  $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{O})$  $\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2}$ 

#### Bands of <sup>197,198</sup>Pb Recoil Distance Lifetime Measurements of States in the Oblate Dipole

 $G.Z$ wart $z^4$ E.S.Paul<sup>3</sup>, D.C.Radford<sup>2</sup>, A.Semple<sup>3</sup>, J.F.Sharpey-Schafer<sup>3</sup>, J.Simpson<sup>6</sup>, D.Ward<sup>2</sup>, I.M.Hibbert<sup>1</sup>, K.Hauschild<sup>1</sup>, V.P.Janzen<sup>2</sup>, P.M.Jones<sup>3</sup>, R.W.MacLeod<sup>2</sup>, S.M.Mullins<sup>5</sup>, E.Dragulescu<sup>1†</sup>, T.Drake<sup>4</sup>, P.J.Dagnall<sup>3</sup>, A.Galindo-Uribarri<sup>2</sup>, G.Hackman<sup>5</sup>, R.M.Clark<sup>1</sup>, R.Wadsworth<sup>1</sup>, H.R.Andrews<sup>2</sup>, C.W.Beausang<sup>3</sup>, M.Bergstrom<sup>3</sup>, S.Clark<sup>3</sup>,

<sup>1</sup> Department of Physics, University of York, Heslington, York, Y01 5DD, UK.

AECL Research, Chalk River Laboratories, Chalk River, ON KOJ lJ0, Canada.

Oliver Lodge Laboratory, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, L69 3BX, UK.

Department of Physics, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON M5S lA7, Canada.

Department of Physics and Astronomy, McMaster University,

Hamilton, ON L8S 4Ml, Canada.

Daresbury, Warrington, WA4 4AD, UK. <sup>6</sup> Nuclear Structure Facility, Daresbury Laboratory,

#### Abstract

(TAC) model. of the Donau and Frauendorf semi-classical model and the Tilted Axis Cranking tationally aligned neutrons. Comparisons are made to the theoretical estimates oblate collective structures involving high—K proton configurations coupled to ro deduce reduced transition probabilities. The results are consistent with weakly times and branching ratios, and assuming pure magnetic dipole transitions, we measured with the recoil—distance technique. Using all the available data on life Lifetimes of states in four of the oblate dipole bands in  $^{197,198}Pb$  have been

Magurele R-79600, Romania. Permanent address: Institute for Atomic Physics, Tandem Laboratory, Bucharest

### 1 Introduction

of configurations to each band has so far been based on considerations, such as: high-K proton configurations coupled to rotationally aligned neutrons. The assignment These structures have generally been interpreted as weakly oblate ( $\beta_2 \sim -0.05$  to  $-0.15$ ) neutron-deficient Pb  $[1-10]$  and Bi  $[11, 12]$  nuclei has prompted a great deal of interest. The recent observation of cascading sequences of magnetic dipole transitions in the

- Regularity of energy spacing,  $\Delta E_{\gamma}$ , between successive transitions.
- Lower limits to the  $B(M1)/B(E2)$  ratios.
- frequency,  $\omega$ .  $\bullet$  Behaviour of the dynamic moments of inertia,  $\mathfrak{S}^{(2)}$ , as functions of rotational
- nuclei. • Identical transition energies (to within  $\sim$ 3 keV) of different bands in different

configuration for each band. [10]. These support the oblate collective interpretation but do not uniquely specify a been reported for two of the bands in  $^{198}Pb$  [7] and for the strong regular band in  $^{197}Pb$ first Doppler Shift Attenuation Method (DSAM) lifetime measurements have recently E2 transition rate can be used to deduce the quadrupole moments of the structures. The sible configurations. If accurate branching ratios are known for the crossover decays, the theoretical predictions of the magnetic dipole (M1) transition rates for the various pos surements for states within the bands have to be made. These can then be related to In order to provide further evidence for configuration assignments accurate lifetime mea

classical model [13] and the Tilted Axis Cranking (TAC) model [14]. tion rates are compared with theoretical estimates of the Dönau and Frauendorf semihigh-statistics data taken with the EUROGAM spectrometer. The deduced M1 transi to deduce B(M1) and B(E2) transition rates, were found by the analysis of high—fold, complementing the previous DSAM measurements. Accurate branching ratios, required aimed at measuring the lifetimes of states near to the bandheads of each structure, a recoil distance (RDM) experiment with the 8pi spectrometer, which was specifically ferent dipole sequences, two in  $197Pb$  and two in  $198Pb$ . The results were obtained from In this paper we present lifetime measurements of several states in each of four dif

# 2 Experimental Work

197,198Pb was  $176\text{Yb}(^{26}\text{Mg,xn})^{202-x}\text{Pb}$  at a beam energy of 125 MeV. (TASCC] facility at Chalk River. The reaction used to populate high-spin states in An experiment was performed at the Tandem Accelerator Superconducting Cyclotron

posited in each Ge detector, the sum-energy, H, and fold, K, recorded by the  $BGO$ angles,  $\pm$ 79° and  $\pm$ 37° relative to the beam axis. The data comprised the energy desuppressed Ge detectors. A ring of five Ge detectors is situated at each of the polar element bismuth germanate (BGO) ball and an array of 20 high-resolution Compton Gamma-rays were detected with the  $8\pi$ -spectrometer [15] which consists of a 70tape. hardware fold condition of K>4 was imposed before the data was recorded on magnetic ball, and the timing of the Ge—event with respect to the triggering of the BGO ball. A

of  $\gamma$ -ray lines. of the velocity of light from the relative separation of shifted and unshifted components recorded at each distance. The average recoil velocity was determined to be  $1.13\pm0.05\%$ 0.2  $\mu$ m for points in the range 0.0 to 23.0  $\mu$ m. Approximately 10<sup>7</sup>  $\gamma$ - $\gamma$ -BGO events were 15.0, 23.0 and 5000  $\mu$ m. The nominal separation was maintained within an accuracy of periment by a capacitive method [16]. Data were recorded at 0.0, 5.5, 7.0, 8.6, 11.8, maximized the capacitance. The separation was continually monitored during the ex ment was achieved through an computer—controlled tilt adjustment technique which 4.8mgcm'2 gold foil. The two foils formed a parallel—plate capacitor. Initial align support, which degraded the effective beam energy to 121 MeV. The stopper was a The target consisted of an 800  $\mu$ gcm<sup>-2 176</sup>Yb foil mounted on a 1 mgcm<sup>-2</sup> Au frontal 17]. A precision plunger, designed and built at the Chalk River Laboratories, was used. Lifetimes were measured with a standard recoil distance Doppler—shift method [16,

four matrices were sorted at each distance. entially <sup>197</sup>Pb and <sup>198</sup>Pb contributions to the  $\gamma$ - $\gamma$  matrix, respectively. Thus, a total of addition, software fold conditions of  $5 \leq K < 14$  and  $K \geq 11$  were used to enhance preferfrom detectors at  $\pm 79^{\circ}$  (y-axis) against events in detectors at  $+37^{\circ}$  or  $-37^{\circ}$  (x-axis). In The  $\gamma$ - $\gamma$  coincidence data were sorted off-line into matrices which contained events

resulting matrix contained  $\sim 2 \times 10^9$  events. pressed fold of 4 and higher unpacked into all possible  $\gamma-\gamma$  doubles combinations. The were sorted into a two-dimensional  $\gamma_1-\gamma_2$  matrix which contained events with a sup-Approximately  $4\times10^8$  events with an unsuppressed fold of  $\geq$ 5 were recorded. The data spectrometer [18] which comprised 43 high—volume Compton—suppressed Ge detectors. with a total thickness of  $600\mu\text{gcm}^{-2}$ . Gamma rays were detected with the EUROGAM incident upon a target consisting of three stacked <sup>186</sup>W foils, on thin carbon backings, Van de Graaff accelerator at the Nuclear Structure Facility, Daresbury Laboratory, was at a beam energy of 113 MeV. The beam, which was provided by the 20 MV Tandem performed. High-spin states in  $^{197,198}Pb$  were populated by the  $^{186}W(^{18}O,xn)$  reaction To determine accurately the very weak E2 branching ratios another experiment was

# 3 Recoil Distance Method Data Analysis

positions and widths of the peaks were fixed for all the spectra at each distance. The transition could then be measured in the resultant  $\pm 37^{\circ}$  sum-gated spectra. The relative been made. The intensities of the shifted, I<sub>S</sub>, and unshifted, I<sub>U</sub>, components of a  $\gamma$ -ray there is little or no side—feeding into the states for which lifetime measurements have the bands are known from previous studies [3, 4, 6, 7]. It is clear from these data that set above the state of interest. It should be noted that the population intensities of all Doppler shift is small. Side—feeding corrections were eliminated, where possible, by gates was used to analyse the data. Energy gates were set on the  $\pm 79^{\circ}$  detectors where the found in the literature, e.g. [17]. A software analysis package developed at Chalk River Detailed descriptions of typical RDM analyses and the limitations encountered can be curves. Examples of  $\gamma$ -ray spectra are presented in Fig. 1 while Fig. 2 shows some fitted decay  $(14^+ \rightarrow 12^+)$  transition in <sup>198</sup>Pb or the 1006 keV  $(17/2^+ \rightarrow 13/2^+)$  transition in <sup>197</sup>Pb. spectra formed at each distance were normalized to the intensity of either the 929 keV

was taken into account in assessing the final uncertainties. upon the accuracy of the estimates for the lifetimes of the immediate precursors. This than when determined with the first method. The derived lifetime of a state depends estimated the intrinsic lifetime of the state directly beneath it to be 10 to 20% greater extracted. A comparison of the two approaches indicated that the second method often up to that point. The intrinsic lifetimes of states lower in the cascade could then be which a depopulation time could be extracted was used as a model of the entire cascade had not been measured prior to the present investigation. Instead, the highest state for sequence in <sup>197</sup>Pb this method was not applicable since lifetimes of states in the sequence model of the cascade made little difference to the extracted lifetime. For the irregular above the state of interest. It was found empirically that adding more levels to the results [7, 10]. Three levels with known lifetimes were used to approximate the cascade For the two bands in <sup>198</sup>Pb and the regular band in <sup>197</sup>Pb this was done with the DSAM It is necessary to introduce lifetime estimates of levels in the cascade into the model. the cascade. These equations describe the population of the states as a function of time. numerical solution of the Bateman equations [19] for the sequence of states which form It is necessary to model the cascade above the state of interest. This was done by a

### 4 Results

showing each of the structures are presented in Figs. 3 and 4. lifetime measurements were possible are those described in [3, 4]. Partial level schemes given in [6] will be used for the structures in <sup>198</sup>Pb. The two bands in <sup>197</sup>Pb for which the bands in <sup>198</sup>Pb have been made. In order to aid the discussion, the labelling scheme been measured. In addition, estimates of the lifetimes of several states beneath both The lifetimes of sixteen levels from four bands (two in <sup>197</sup>Pb and two in <sup>198</sup>Pb) have

[20]: contains the corresponding B(M1) values which have been deduced from the formula results of the previous DSAM measurements for the bands in <sup>198</sup>Pb [7]. Table 1 also Tables 1 and 2 summarize the results obtained in the present work together with the

$$
B(M1) = \frac{0.03183B_{\gamma}}{E_{\gamma}^{3}\tau (1 + \alpha_{TOT})}
$$
 [Wu] (1)

this type of structure. The  $B(M1)/B(E2)$  ratios measured are presented in Table 2. time that several associated E2—quadrupole transitions have been firmly identified in B.,, were taken from the EUROGAM data and are given in Table 1. This is the first Values of the internal conversion coefficients were taken from [21]. The branching ratios, the results of previous studies which generally found very small negative mixing ratios. The transitions were assumed to be of pure M1 character. This assumption is based on  $E_{\gamma}$  is the transition energy in MeV and  $\tau$  is the mean intrinsic lifetime of the state in ps.

from the ordering given in  $[6, 7]$  (see Fig. 3). From the measured decay curves we find A few general points need to be made. Firstly, structure A in <sup>198</sup>Pb needs alteration path (see Fig. 3) is too weak to extract any lifetimes. is correct then the extracted intrinsic lifetimes are those quoted in Table 1. The parallel  $\gamma$ -ray, which in turn must be above the 228 keV transition. Assuming that this ordering respectively). This suggests that the 336 keV transition must be above the 429 keV the depopulation times, t, to be such that  $t_{336} < t_{429} < t_{228}$  (5.4(16), 6.2(19), 7.8(19) ps,

support the ordering given in [6].  $\mu$ m. For the 322 keV transition a shift was only seen for d>23  $\mu$ m. These observations began to shift. For the 264 and 532 keV  $\gamma$ -rays a noticable shift occurred for d>11.8 given in Table 1. We found them by observing at which distance the transitions first The lower limits of the lifetimes of three transitions in structure B in <sup>198</sup>Pb are also

but lie somewhere beneath it. lifetime. Therefore, we conclude that these two transitions are not members of the band  $d>23\mu$ m), while the lowest transition (152 keV) of the band did have an extractable than the measurable range covered by the experiment (i.e., the  $\gamma$ -rays did not shift until reported members of the cascade were found to have depopulation times much longer in [4] with the exception of the 433 and 127 keV transitions. These two previously estimated. The decay curves confirmed the ordering of states in this sequence as given depopulation time was measured (see Fig. 4). Lifetimes of states below this were The state that decays via the 228 keV  $\gamma$ -ray was the highest state for which a

#### 5 Discussion

the configuration assignments show in Table 3. different configurations must be involved in these structures. This is in accordance with illustrated in Fig. 5. It may be concluded from these observations that at least three are greater than the  $B(M1)$  values for the irregular sequence in  $^{197}Pb$ . The situation is similar. They are greater on average than the values for band 3 in <sup>198</sup>Pb which in turn is clear that the B(M1) rates for band 1 in  $^{198}Pb$  and the regular band of  $^{197}Pb$  are different B(M1) values associated with them. By comparing the values in Table 1 it structures [6] are presented in Table 3. Different configurations will generally have subsheH closure. The configurations that have been previously proposed for the four [6] whereby the (unpaired) neutron occupations are given relative to the oblate  $N=120$ In the following discussion it is convenient to use the labelling convention developed in

have estimated B(E2) transition rates via the formula, [20]: seen for three of the four dipole sequences. From the measured branching ratios,  $B_{\gamma}$ , we Furthermore, associated E2—crossover transitions for states with known lifetimes can be are found for the two structures that are associated with this proton configuration. neutrons (or neutron holes), see later). Indeed, the highest measured B(M1) values  $(h_{9/2})_{K=8}^2$ , or  $(h_{9/2} \otimes s_{1/2}^{-1})_{K=5}$  configurations (assuming similar numbers of  $i_{13/2}$  (N=6) the structures and it is expected to have larger B(M1) transition rates than either the The  $(h_{9/2}\otimes i_{13/2})_{K=11}$  proton configuration is predicted to be the most deformed of

$$
B(E2) = \frac{0.08156B_{\gamma}}{E_{\gamma}^{5}\tau(1+\alpha_{TOT})} \qquad [e^{2}b^{2}]
$$
 (2)

ps. The E2 internal conversion was neglected. From the  $B(E2)$  it is possible to find the where  $E_{\gamma}$  is the energy of the E2 transition in MeV and  $\tau$  is the lifetime of the state in intrinsic quadrupole moment since, in a rigid rotor model:

$$
B(E2)=\frac{5}{16\pi}Q_0^2(I+2K20|IK)
$$
\n(3)

these two  $(\beta_2 \sim 0.10)$ . deformation ( $\beta_2 \sim 0.15$ ). The deformation of the  $(h_{9/2})_{K=8}^2$  configuration is between deformation ( $\beta_2 \sim 0.07$ ) while the  $(h_{9/2} \otimes i_{13/2})_{K=11}$  structure should have the largest calculations predict that the  $(h_{9/2} \otimes s_{1/2}^{-1})_{K=5}$  proton configuration should have the lowest is consistency with the configuration assignments presented in Table 3 since the TRS TRS calculations [6], then the quoted  $\beta_2$  values would increase by  $\sim$ 10–20%. There 4). Note, that if the oblate shape becomes slightly triaxial  $(\gamma \sim 70^{\circ})$ , as predicted by quadrupole deformations are somewhat smaller than predicted,  $\beta_2 \sim 0.03-0.08$  (see Table quadrupole moments,  $Q_0$ , and quadrupole deformations,  $\beta_2$ . The absolute values of the the B(E2) estimates for the bands and also gives the deduced values of the intrinsic  $198Pb$  was found to lie at an intermediate value of around 1.3(2) eb. Table 4 summarizes that of band 1 in <sup>198</sup>Pb was  $Q_0=2.0(2)$  eb. The intrinsic quadrupole moment for band 3 in quadrupole moment of the irregular band in <sup>197</sup>Pb was found to be  $Q_0=1.0(1)$  eb while varies only by  $\sim$ 10% over the range of plausible K-values when I>20. The intrinsic where  $Q_0$  is the intrinsic quadrupole moment in eb. The Clebsch-Gordan coefficient

estimates by  $\sim$ 10%. bitals close to the Fermi surface (e.g  $p_{3/2}$ ,  $p_{1/2}$ ,  $f_{5/2}$ ), will raise the calculated B(M1) alignments  $[3-7]$ . The contribution of other alignable neutrons  $(N=5)$ , occupying or $i_{13/2}$  neutrons must be present in some configurations in order to explain the observed of two, especially when one or more  $i_{13/2}$  neutrons are involved. It should be noted that Table 5. The theoretical values overestimate the measured B(M1)'s by at least a factor proton configurations, coupled to various numbers of  $i_{13/2}$  (N=6) neutrons, are given in [23], which indicate that our estimates are reasonable. Results for the different possible in <sup>196</sup>Pb is 0.96(8) [22], and the g-factor of the  $\nu(i_{13/2}^2)_{12^+}$  state in <sup>200</sup>Pb is -0.16(1) deduction of the B(M1) values. However, the measured g-factor for the  $I^{\pi}=11^{-}$  isomer mated from the Schmidt values. Note, the estimates are a possible source of error in the proton configurations were taken as 1.02, 0.78, and 1.04, respectively. These were esti neutrons was taken as -0.18. The g-factors of the  $(h_{9/2}\otimes i_{13/2})$ ,  $(h_{9/2})^2$ , and  $(h_{9/2}\otimes s_{1/2}^{-1})$ deformation-aligned protons and rotationally aligned neutrons. The g-factor of the  $i_{13/2}$ Frauendorf formula  $[13]$  which computes contributions to the  $B(M1)$  from both the theoretical framework. A simple approach is to apply the semi—classical Donau and absolute B(M1) transition probabilities need to be calculated within an appropriate configuration assignments presented in Table 3. For a more quantitative comparison Evidently there is good qualitative agreement between the results and the proposed

are qualitatively consistent with the measured  $B(M1)/B(E2)$  ratios as given in Table 2.  $i_{13/2}$  quasineutrons, the ratios were found to be  $\sim 10(\mu_N/eb)^2$  for I $\sim 20\hbar$ . These values a wide spin range. For the  $\pi(\text{h}_{9/2})^2$  and  $\pi(\text{h}_{9/2} \otimes s_{1/2}^{-1})$  configurations, coupled to three three i<sub>13/2</sub> quasineutrons the B(M1)/B(E2) ratios were found to be  $\geq 30(\mu_N/eb)^2$  over the Dönau and Frauendorf formalism. For the  $\pi(h_{9/2}\otimes i_{13/2})$  configuration coupled to Theoretical estimates of the  $B(M1;I\rightarrow I-1)/B(E2;I\rightarrow I-2)$  ratios were also found with

oblate dipole bands presents an unusual circumstance, possibly not described by the The combination of high—spin protons and high—spin neutrons associated with these consequence of the breaking of signature symmetry. quasiparticle  $\Delta I=1$  bands at high-spin. Enhanced M1 transitions arise naturally as a Tilted Axis Cranking (TAC) [14]. This provides a semi—classical description of many familiar coupling schemes of angular momentum. A new approach has been provided by

this situation. proton,  $i_x$ , and neutron,  $i_\nu$ , spins gradually tilt towards I. Fig. 6 schematically illustrates symmetry axis. The total angular momentum vector is increased when the individual (which is parallel to the total angular momentum vector, I) lying at  $\sim45^{\circ}$  relative to the of the protons with the  $i_{13/2}$  neutrons gives rise to an equilibrium with the rotation axis  $\gamma = -60^{\circ}$  (i.e. weakly oblate). A simple geometric picture arises whereby combinations state E (in Cranked Shell Model notation). The deformation was fixed at  $\beta_2=0.12$  and generated by excitations of the  $i_{13/2}$  ABC quasineutron states and the negative parity urations in <sup>198</sup>Pb has recently been reported [14]. The neutron configurations were A calculation of the B(M1) transition rates for the  $\pi(h_{9/2} \otimes i_{13/2}) \otimes \nu(6^{-2}5^{y})$  config-

of the 3D—cranking problem is much more complex than the TAC procedure. rotation (wobbling) may possibly account for some of the observed features. Full solution cranking, e.g. [24, 25, 26]. This method does not assume uniform rotation. Non—uniform deficient Pb nuclei is still required. One possible technique is full three-dimensional approach that gives accurate  $B(M1)$  values for the oblate dipole bands in the neutron the angular momentum increases [14] . This feature is not observed. Thus, a theoretical and neutron spins along the tilted rotation axis should push the B(M1) values down as and ABCE quasineutron configurations. ln addition, the gradual alignment of the proton overestimate the experimental values by approximately a factor of two for both the AB Large B(M1) values are predicted to be  $\simeq$ 1 Wu [14]. However, the calculations

trons. in this lighter-mass region high-K  $h_{11/2}$  quasiprotons couple to alignable  $h_{11/2}$  quasineuquences are generated in an analogous manner to the  $A \sim 190$  oblate dipole bands, but sured B(M1) transition rates of  $\Delta I=1$  bands in the A $\sim$ 130 region [27-30]. These se-It would be interesting to compare the predictions of the TAC model against mea

#### 6 Summary

were found to be at least a factor of two too large. either with the model of Dönau and Frauendorf or with the TAC semi-classical model, structures as given in  $[6]$ . For each structure, the absolute  $B(M1)$  values, calculated were shown to be qualitatively consistent with previous configuration assignments of the available lifetime data  $B(M1)$  and  $B(E2)$  transition probabilities were deduced. They data from the EUROGAM spectrometer. From accurate branching ratios and all the transitions associated with the bands have been seen for the first time in high—statistics for several states beneath the two bands in <sup>198</sup>Pb. In addition, several E2-crossover sured with the recoil-distance technique. Estimates of lifetimes have also been found Lifetimes of sixteen states in four different dipole sequences of <sup>197,198</sup>Pb have been mea-

NSF. EUROGAM is jointly funded by the SERC and IN2P3. wish to thank the crews and staff of the Chalk River TASCC facility and the Daresbury PMJ, and AS) acknowledge receipt of UK SERC postgraduate studentships. We also Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada. Five of us (RMC, SC, PJD, and by grants from the UK Science and Engineering Research Council and the Natural us with data prior to its publication. This work was supported by AECL Research, the TAC method. Thanks also go to Dr. Rhys Hughes, and collaborators, for providing gratefully acknowledge several useful discussions with Professor Stefan Frauendorf on his hard work in producing the high-quality target foils used in the experiment. We Acknowledgements We would like to express our gratitude to Peter Dmytrenko, for

# References

- [1] P.Dagnall, C.W.Beausang, P.Fallon, P.D.Forsyth, E.S.Paul, J.F.Sharpey-Schafer, P.J.Twin, I.Ali, D.M.Cullen, M.J.Joyce, G.Smith, R.Wadsworth, R.M.Clark, P.H.Regan, A.Astier, M.Meyer, N.Redon, J. Phys. G 19 (1993) 465
- [2] J.R.Hughes, Y.Liang, R.V.F.Janssens, A.Kuhnert, I.Ahmad, I.G.Bearden, J.A.Becker, M.J.Brinkman, J.Burde, M.P.Carpenter, J.A.Cizewski, P.J.Daly, M.A.Deleplanque, R.M.Diamond, J.E.Draper, C.Duyar, B.Fornal, U.Garg, Z.W.Grabowski, E.A.Henry, W.Hesselink, N.Kalanter-Nayestanaki, W.H.Kelly, T.L.Khoo, T.Lauritsen, R.H.Mayer, D.Nissius, A.J.Plompen, J.R.B.Olivera, W.Reviol, E.Rubel, F.Soramel, F.S.Stephens, M.A.Stoyer, D.Vo, T.F.Wang, Phys. Rev. C 47 (1993) R1337
- [3] R.M.Clark, R.Wadsworth, E.S.Paul, C.W.Beausang, I.Ali, A.Astier, D.M.Cullen, P.J.Dagnall, P.Fallon, M.J.Joyce, M.Meyer, N.Redon, P.H.Regan, J.F.Sharpey-Schafer, W.Nazarewicz, R.Wyss, Z. Phys. A 342 (1992) 371
- [4] A.Kuhnert, M.A.Stoyer, J.A.Becker, E.A.Henry, M.J.Brinkman, S.W. Yates, T.F. Wang, J.A. Cizewski, F.S. Stephens, M.A. Deleplanque, R.M.Diamond, A.O.Macchiavelli, J.E.Draper, F.Azaiez, W.H.Kelly, W.Korten, Phys. Rev. C 46 (1992) 133
- [5] R.M.Clark, R.Wadsworth, E.S.Paul, C.W.Beausang, I.Ali, A.Astier, D.M.Cullen, P.J.Dagnall, P.Fallon, M.J.Joyce, M.Meyer, N.Redon, P.H.Regan, W.Nazarewicz, R.Wyss, Phys. Lett. B 275 (1992) 247
- [6] R.M.Clark, R.Wadsworth, E.S.Paul, C.W.Beausang, I.Ali, A.Astier, D.M.Cullen, P.J.Dagnall, P.Fallon, M.J.Joyce, M.Meyer, N.Redon, P.H.Regan, J.F.Sharpey-Schafer, W.Nazarewicz, R.Wyss, Nucl. Phys. A 562  $(1993) 121$
- [7] T.F.Wang, E.A.Henry, J.A.Becker, A.Kuhnert, M.A.Stoyer, S.W.Yates, M.J.Brinkman, J.A.Cizewski, A.O.Macchiavelli, F.S.Stephens, M.A.Deleplanque, R.M.Diamond, J.E.Draper, F.A.Azaiez, W.H.Kelly, W.Korten, E.Rubel, Y.A.Akovali, Phys. Rev. Lett 69 (1992) 1737
- [8] G.Baldsiefen, H.Hubel, B.V.Thirumala Rao, D.Mehta, U.Birkental, G.Frohlingsdorf, M.Neffgen, N.Nenoff, S.C.Pancholi, N.Singh, W.Schmitz, K.Theine, P.Willsau, H.Grawe, J.Heese, H.Kluge, K.H.Maier, M.Schramm, R.Schubart, Phys. Lett. B 275 (1992) 252
- [9] G.Baldsiefen, H.Hubel, F.Azaiez, C.Bourgeois, D.Hojman, A.Korichi, N.Perrin, H.Sergolle, Z. Phys. A 343 (1992) 245
- $[10]$  J.R.Hughes et al, to be published
- Phys. G 19 (1993) L57 P.Fallon, P.M.Jones, M.J.Joyce, A.Korichi, E.S.Paul, J.F.Sharpey-Schafer, J. [11] R.M.Clark, R.Wadsworth, F.Azaiez, C.W.Beausang, A.M.Bruce, P.J.Dagnall,
- $[12]$  P.Dagnall et al, to be published
- New York) p. 143 erties of Nuclei, Oak Ridge (1982), Nucl. Sci. Res. Conf. Series vol. 4 (Harwood, [13] F.Dönau and S.Frauendorf, Proc. Int. Conf. on High Angular Momentum Prop-
- Nucl Phys A 557 (1993) 259c [14] S.Frauendorf in Proc. 21st Int. Symp. on Rapidly Rotating Nuclei, Tokyo (1992),
- (Chalk River Laboratories, AECL-8329, 1984) J.Gascon, J.C.Waddington, G.Palameta, V.Koslowsky, O.Häusser E.Hagberg, D.Horn, M.A.Lone, H.Schmeiug, D.Ward, P.Taras, [15] The 8pi-Spectrometer, Proposal for a National Facility, eds H.R.Andrews,
- [16] T.K.Alexander and A.Bell, NIM 81 (1970) 22
- [17] T.K.Alexander and J.S.Forster, Adv. Nucl. Phys. 10 (1978) 197
- [18] P.J.Nolan, Nucl. Phys. A 520 (1990) 657c
- [19] H.Bateman, Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 15 (1910) 423
- Physics (Oxford: Oxford University Press) pp504 [20] H.Ejiri and M.J.A.de Voigt, Gamma—Ray and Electron Spectroscopy in Nuclear
- Sons Inc., New York, 1978) [21} Table of Isotopes, 7th edition, eds C.M.Lederer and V.S.Shirley (John Wiley and
- H.J.Riczibos, M.J.A.De Voigt, Nucl. Phys. A 471 (1987) 535 [22] J.Penninga, W.H.A.Hesselink, A.Balanda, A.Stolk, H.Verheul, J. Van Klinken,
- T.L.Khoo, ANL/PHY—79—4, Argonne, (1979) 463 P.Taras, D.Ward, in Symp. on High Spin Phenomena in Nuclei, Argonne, ed [23] H.E.Mahnke, E.Dafni, G.D.Sprouse, T.K.Alexander, H.R.Andrews, O.Häusser,
- [24} D.J.Thouless and J.G.Va1atin, Nucl. Phys. 31 (1962) 24
- [25] M.Harvey and M.G.Vassanji, Nucl. Phys. A 344 (1980) 61
- [26] F.Cuypers, Nucl. Phys. A 468 (1987) 237
- (1990) 214c [27] D.B.Fossan, J.R..Hughes, Y.Liang, R.Ma, E.S.Paul, N.Xu, Nucl. Phys. A 520
- [28] E.S.Paul, D.B.Fossau, Y.Liang, R.Ma, N.Xu, Phys. Rev. C 40 (1989) 1255
- G.A.Leander, Phys. Rev. Lett 58 (1987) 984 [29] E.S.Paul, C.W.Beausang, D.B.Fossan, R.Ma, W.F.Piel, N.Xu, L.Hildingsson,

P.J.Nolan, Phys. Rev. C 41 (1990) 1576 [30] E.S.Paul, D.B.Fossan, Y.Liang, R.Ma, N.Xu, R.Wadsworth, I.Jenkins,

#### Figure Captions:

- target—stopper separation increases, can be seen. variation of the shifted, S, and unshifted, U, components of the  $\gamma$ -ray line, as the The spectra were formed by summing gates above the transition of interest. The Fig 1a: Partial gated spectra for the 279 and 216 keV transitions of band 3 in <sup>198</sup>Pb.
- sitions of the irregular band seen in <sup>197</sup>Pb. Fig lb: Same as Fig. 1a, showing partial gated spectra for the 294 and 270 keV tran
- ps and  $\tau_{216} \sim 1.8(5)$  ps. fitting procedure. The intrinsic lifetimes extracted were found to be  $\tau_{279} \sim 2.1(5)$ 216 keV transitions in band 3 of <sup>198</sup>Pb (see Fig. 1a). See text for details of the separation, d. The solid curves represent the best fits of the data for the 279 and Fig 2a: Data showing the variation of  $R(=I_U/(I_U+I_S))$  as a function of target-stopper
- found to be  $\tau_{294} \sim 1.3(3)$  ps and  $\tau_{270} \sim 2.8(4)$  ps. the irregular band of <sup>197</sup>Pb (see Fig. 1b). The intrinsic lifetimes extracted were Fig 2b: Same as Fig. 2a, showing decay curves for the 294 and 270 keV transitions in
- B, as described in Fig 3: Partial level scheme for <sup>198</sup>Pb. Shown are bands 1 and 3, and sequences A and
- [3, 4]. Note that the two bands are not drawn to the same energy scale. Fig 4: Partial level schemes for the irregular, I, and regular, R, bands seen in <sup>197</sup>Pb
- dipole sequences described in the text. Fig 5: Plot of the deduced B(M1)-values versus  $\gamma$ -ray energy for transitions in the four
- resulting from the protons and neutrons, respectively. lar momentum (with projections  $I_1$  and  $I_3$ ), whilst  $i_{\pi}$  and  $i_{\nu}$  are the components TAC scenario for the configurations described in the text.  $I_{tot}$  is the total angu-Fig 6: Schematic showing the composition and generation of angular momentum in the

Table 1: Measured lifetimes,  $\tau$  (ps), branching ratios,  $B_{\gamma}$ , and reduced transition strengths,  $B(M1)$  (Wu), of states in <sup>197</sup>Pb and <sup>198</sup>Pb. The third column indicates the lifetime experiment. DSAM results came from [7], whilst RDM results are from the present study. All the branching ratios, used to calculate B(M1)-values, are from the EUROGAM data. A dash in that column indicates that no associated E2-crossover transition could be identified. The 207 keV transition of band 1 in <sup>198</sup>Pb is marked with an asterisk since it is a doublet and the lifetime extracted will have a contribution from both  $\gamma$ -ray components.

	$\mathrm{E}_{\gamma}$ (keV)	Expt	$\tau$ (ps)	$\mathbf{B}_{\gamma}$	B(M1) $($ Wu $)$
Band 3	476	<b>DSAM</b>	0.27(7)	0.77(2)	$0.74 + 0.34$ 0.14
198 <sub>Pb</sub>	472	<b>DSAM</b>	0.22(6)	0.84(2)	$0.99^{+0.49}_{-0.17}$ -0.19
	445	<b>DSAM</b>	0.24(4)	0.87(2)	$1.07^{+0.38}_{-0.77}$ -0.17
	423	<b>DSAM</b>	0.46(10)	0.88(2)	$0.69^{+0.26}_{-0.32}$ $-0.12$
	390	<b>DSAM</b>	0.72(10)	0.91(2)	$0.55^{+0.11}_{-0.02}$ -0.08
	343	<b>DSAM</b>	1.14(23)	0.89(2)	$0.48^{+0.11}_{-0.02}$ -0.08
	279	<b>RDM</b>	2.1(5)		$0.45^{+0.12}_{-0.02}$ -0.09
	216	<b>RDM</b>	1.8(5)		$0.83^{+0.32}_{-0.32}$ -0.18
	156	<b>RDM</b>	2.7(9)		$0.67^{+0.34}_{-0.17}$ -0.17
$B$ <sub>198</sub> $b$	322	<b>RDM</b>	$\overline{>8}$		
	264	<b>RDM</b>	>4		
	532	<b>RDM</b>	>4		
Band 1	506	<b>DSAM</b>	0.052(11)	$\overline{0.86(2)}$	3. -0.9
198 pb	464	<b>DSAM</b>	0.099(25)	0.91(2)	$2.6^{+0.9}_{-0.5}$ -0.5
	422	<b>DSAM</b>	0.20(4)	0.90(2)	$1.6^{+0.5}_{-0.2}$
	375	<b>DSAM</b>	0.36(10)	0.91(2)	$2^{+0.5}_{-0.7}$ -0.3
	326	<b>DSAM</b>	0.58(15)	0.95(2)	$1.1^{+0.5}_{-0.3}$
	280	<b>RDM</b>	1.1(6)		$0.8^{+1.0}_{-0.9}$
	238	<b>RDM</b>	0.85(30)		$1.5^{+0.8}_{-0.4}$
	$207*$	<b>RDM</b>	$2.1(4)$ *		$0.75^{+0.18}_{-0.12}$
$\overline{A^{198}Pb}$	429	RDM	3.5(15)		
	228	<b>RDM</b>	4.6(14)		
Regular	267	<b>RDM</b>	1.2(3)		70.87 1.01 -0.29
197 <sub>Pb</sub>	201	<b>RDM</b>	0.9(4)		$2.08_{-0.57}^{+1.25}$
	151	<b>RDM</b>	1.8(8)		$1.32^{+1.32}_{-0.44}$ 0.44
Irregular	294	<b>RDM</b>	1.3(3)	$\overline{0.90(2)}$	$0.59^{+0.17}_{-0.12}$ -0.12
197Pb	365	<b>RDM</b>	1.3(3)	0.85(2)	$0.34^{+0.11}_{-0.02}$ -0.08
	385	<b>RDM</b>	1.1(3)	0.87(2)	$0.35^{+0.14}_{-0.08}$ -0.08
	370	<b>RDM</b>	1.3(3)	0.89(2)	$0.34^{+0.11}_{-0.07}$
	359	<b>RDM</b>	1.3(3)	0.92(2)	$0.38^{+0.11}_{-0.08}$
	270	<b>RDM</b>	2.8(4)		$0.31^{+0.06}_{-0.05}$ -0.05
	152	RDM	3.1(7)		$0.76^{+0.22}_{-0.14}$

Structure	M1 (keV) $\vert$ $\overline{E2}$ (keV)		Measured
			$B(M1)/B(E2)$ $(\mu_N$ /eb) <sup>2</sup>
Band 1	592	1142	21(3)
198 <sub>Pb</sub>	550	1056	30(3)
	506	970	27(3)
	464	886	34(3)
	422	797	26(3)
	375	701	21(2)
	326	607	32(3)
Band 3	476	948	17(2)
198 <sub>Pb</sub>	472	917	23(2)
	444	867	25(3)
	423	812	24(2)
	389	732	26(3)
	343	622	13(4)
Irregular	327	572	23(9)
197Pb	245	530	23(6)
	285	513	20(6)
	228	522	26(7)
	294	659	28(4)
	365	750	15(2)
	385	755	15(2)
	370	729	23(3)
	359	629	17(4)
Regular	467	913	32(5)
197Pb	446	850	17(3)
	404	741	24(4)

Table 2: B(M1)/B(E2) values measured from the EUROGAM data (see text).

band in  $197Pb$  was not assigned and is labelled  $\nu X$  in the table. relative to the  $N=120$  oblate subshell closure. The neutron configuration of the irregular configurations in an unpaired scheme are given in terms of the occupation of states Table 3: The proposed configurations from [6] for the bands in <sup>197,198</sup>Pb. The neutron

Structure	<b>Nucleus</b>	Configuration
Band 1	$198p_{\rm b}$	$\pi(h_{9/2}\otimes i_{13/2})\otimes \nu 6^{-4}$
Band 3	198P <sub>b</sub>	$\pi(h_{9/2})^2 \otimes \nu 6^{-3} 5^{-1}$
Regular	197P <sub>b</sub>	$\pi(h_{9/2}\otimes i_{13/2})\otimes \nu 6^{-3}5^{-2}$
Irregular	197P <sub>b</sub>	$\pi(\mathrm{h}_{9/2}\otimes \mathrm{s}_{1/2})\otimes \nu \mathrm{X}$

Table 4: Deduced B(E2) transition rates (in  $e^2b^2$ ) for bands 1 and 3 in <sup>198</sup>Pb and the irregular band in <sup>197</sup>Pb. Also given are the corresponding quadrupole moments and  $\beta_2$ values.

Structure	$\text{E}_{\gamma}(\text{keV})$	$B_{\gamma}$	$(eb)^2$ B(E2)	$Q_0$ (eb)	$\bm{\beta_2}$
Band 1	970	0.14(2)	$0.24^{+0.06}_{-0.04}$	$2.32_{-0.20}^{+0.27}$	$0.076^{+0.009}_{-0.006}$
198Pb	886	0.09(2)	$0.14^{+0.05}_{-0.03}$	$1.77^{+0.29}_{-0.22}$	$0.059^{+0.010}_{-0.006}$
	797	0.10(2)	$0.11^{+0.03}_{-0.02}$	$1.57^{+0.20}_{-0.39}$	$0.052^{+0.006}_{-0.005}$
	701	0.09(2)	$0.12^{+0.05}_{-0.03}$	$1.64^{+0.31}_{-0.22}$	$0.054^{+0.010}_{-0.007}$
	607	0.05(2)	$0.10^{+0.03}_{-0.02}$	$1.50^{+0.21}_{-0.16}$	$0.049^{+0.007}_{-0.005}$
Band 3	948	0.23(2)	$0.091^{+0.032}_{-0.032}$	$1.43^{+0.23}_{-0.16}$	$0.047^{+0.008}_{-0.007}$
198 <sub>Pb</sub>	917	0.16(2)	$0.092^{+0.035}_{-0.020}$	$1.44^{+0.25}_{-0.17}$	$0.047^{+0.008}_{-0.002}$
	867	0.13(2)	$0.090^{+0.018}_{-0.013}$	$1.42^{+0.14}_{-0.11}$	$0.047^{+0.004}_{-0.004}$
	812	0.12(2)	$0.060^{+0.017}_{-0.011}$	$1.16_{-0.11}^{+0.15}$	$0.038^{+0.005}_{-0.004}$
	732	0.09(2)	$0.051^{+0.008}_{-0.006}$	$1.07^{+0.08}_{-0.07}$	$0.035^{+0.003}_{-0.002}$
	622	0.11(2)	$0.085^{+0.021}_{-0.015}$	$1.38^{+0.16}_{-0.13}$	$0.045^{+0.006}_{-0.004}$
Irregular	659	0.10(2)	$0.050^{+0.016}_{-0.010}$	$1.06 - 0.15$	$0.035^{+0.005}_{-0.004}$
197Pb	750	0.15(2)	$0.040^{+0.012}_{-0.007}$	$0.95^{+0.13}_{-0.09}$	$0.031^{+0.005}_{-0.004}$
	755	0.13(2)	$0.039^{+0.015}_{-0.008}$	$0.94^{+0.16}_{-0.11}$	$0.031^{+0.005}_{-0.004}$
	729	0.11(2)	$0.034^{+0.010}_{-0.006}$	$0.87^{+0.12}_{-0.08}$	$0.029^{+0.004}_{-0.003}$
	629	0.08(2)	$0.051^{+0.015}_{-0.010}$	$1.07^{+0.15}_{-0.11}$	$0.035^{+0.006}_{-0.004}$

Table 5: Calculated B(M1) transition rates (in Wu) for the various proton configurations coupled to different numbers of  $i_{13/2}$  (N=6) neutrons. The calculations were performed using the semi-classical Donau and Frauendorf formula [13].

					63
	$h_{9/2}$ $\otimes i_{13/2}$		$1.97$   3.48   5.06   6.54		
π	${\rm h_{9/2}^2}$		$0.44$   1.01   1.64   2.80		
	$h_{9/2}$ $\otimes$ s <sub>1/2</sub>	0.56		$0.92$   1.28	1.90

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ 



ò,















 $197Pb$ 

 $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ 

# Deduced B(M1) values



