# Search for flavour-changing neutral currents with top quarks

Kirill Skovpen (on behalf of the ATLAS and CMS Collaborations)

> IIHE - VUB Pleinlaan 2, 1050 Brussel, Belgie

Flavour-changing neutral currents are extremely rare processes in the standard model that can be sensitive to various new physics effects. A summary of the latest experimental results from the LHC experiments is given. Preliminary results of sensitivity studies for future colliders are also discussed.

#### PRESENTED AT

 $10^{th}$  International Workshop on Top Quark Physics TOP2017 Braga, Portugal, September 17–22, 2017

# 1 Introduction

In the SM, flavor-changing neutral currents (FCNC) are forbidden at tree level and are strongly suppressed in higher orders by the Glashow-Iliopoulos-Maiani (GIM) mechanism [\[1\]](#page-3-0). The predicted branching fractions for top quark FCNC decays are expected to be  $\mathcal{O}(10^{-12}-10^{-16})$  [\[2,](#page-3-1) [3,](#page-3-2) [4\]](#page-3-3). In various extensions of the SM the FCNC effects are significantly enhanced and can be directly probed at the LHC [\[4,](#page-3-3) [5,](#page-3-4) [6\]](#page-3-5). Top quark FCNC interactions can be written with the following lagrangian:

$$
\mathcal{L} = \sum_{q=u,c} \left[ \sqrt{2}g_s \frac{\kappa_{gqt}}{\Lambda} \bar{t} \sigma^{\mu\nu} T_a (f_{Gq}^L P_L + f_{Gq}^R P_R) q G_{\mu\nu}^a + \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}c_W} \frac{\kappa_{zqt}}{\Lambda} \bar{t} \sigma^{\mu\nu} (f_{Zq}^L P_L + f_{Zq}^R P_R) q Z_{\mu\nu} + \frac{g}{4c_W} \zeta_{zqt} \bar{t} \gamma^{\mu} (f_{Zq}^L P_L + f_{Zq}^R P_R) q Z_{\mu} - e \frac{\kappa_{\gamma qt}}{\Lambda} \bar{t} \sigma^{\mu\nu} (f_{\gamma q}^L P_L + f_{\gamma q}^R P_R) q A_{\mu\nu} + \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{t} \kappa_{Hqt} (f_{Hq}^L P_L + f_{Hq}^R P_R) q H] + h.c., \quad (1)
$$

where  $P_L$  and  $P_R$  are chirality projectors in spin space,  $\kappa_{Xqt}$  is the effective coupling for  $tXq$  vertex  $(X = g, Z, \gamma, H)$ ,  $\zeta_{Zqt}$  is the additional effective coupling for  $tZq$  vertex,  $f_{Xq}^L$  and  $f_{Xq}^R$  are left and right-handed complex chiral parameters with a unitarity constraint of  $|f_{Xq}^L|^2 + |f_{Xq}^R|^2 = 1$ . The ATLAS [\[7\]](#page-3-6) and CMS [\[8\]](#page-5-0) experiments at the LHC study top FCNC anomalous interactions in the decays of top quarks in top quark pair production, as well as in singly produced top quarks.

### 2 Overview of results

The tgq anomalous interactions are studied by CMS [\[9\]](#page-5-1) and ATLAS [\[10\]](#page-5-2) in single top quark events. The event signature considered by CMS in the analysis of data collected at 7 and 8 TeV includes the requirement of one isolated lepton, the presence of a significant amount of transverse missing energy  $(E_T^{miss})$ , exactly one b and one non-b jet. The dominant background is the  $t\bar{t}$ +jets production. A Bayesian neural network technique is used to separate signal from background events. The observed (expected) 95% confidence level (CL) upper limits are found to be  $\mathcal{B}(t \to gu) < 2.0$  (2.8) × 10<sup>-5</sup> and  $\mathcal{B}(t \to gc) < 4.1$  (2.8) × 10<sup>-4</sup>. Selection criteria used in the analysis by ATLAS at 8 TeV include a veto on additional reconstructed jets with requiring only one b jet to be present in the final state. The background is dominated by  $W+$  jets production. Separation between signal and background events is achieved with a neural network classifier. The resultant observed (expected) limits are  $\mathcal{B}(t \to gu) < 4.0$  (3.5) × 10<sup>-5</sup> and  $\mathcal{B}(t \to gc) < 2.0$  (1.8) × 10<sup>-4</sup>.

The  $t \to Zq$  FCNC decays are probed by ATLAS in top quark pair events at 13 TeV [\[11\]](#page-5-3). The event topology includes the presence of three isolated leptons,  $E_T^{miss} > 40$  GeV, exactly one b jet and at least one non-b jet. Several control regions are defined for each of the dominant background processes:  $WZ + \text{jets}, ZZ + \text{jets}, ttZ$ , and non-prompt leptons. Simultaneous maximum likelihood fit over control and signal regions is performed to extract the exclusion limits,  $\mathcal{B}(t \to Zu) < 1.7$  (2.4) × 10<sup>-4</sup> and  $\mathcal{B}(t \to Zc) < 2.3$  (3.2) × 10<sup>-4</sup>. The analysis done by CMS with 8 TeV data explores a similar final state and additionally includes a single top associated FCNC production with a Z boson in the simulation of signal events [\[12\]](#page-5-4). A boosted decision tree (BDT) discriminant is defined to suppress background events. The resultant limits are  $\mathcal{B}(t \to Zu) < 2.2$  (2.7) × 10<sup>-4</sup> and  $\mathcal{B}(t \to Ze) < 4.9$  (11.8) × 10<sup>-4</sup>.

The  $t\gamma q$  anomalous interactions are probed by CMS at 8 TeV in events with single top quarks produced in association with a photon [\[13\]](#page-5-5). Event selection criteria includes the presence of one isolated lepton, one isolated photon,  $E_T^{miss} > 30 \text{ GeV}$ , and up to one b jet. The dominant  $W\gamma$  background along with  $W+$ jets events are suppressed with a BDT discriminant. The resultant exclusion limits are  $\mathcal{B}(t \to \gamma u)$ 1.3 (1.9) × 10<sup>-4</sup> and  $\mathcal{B}(t \to \gamma c) < 2.0$  (1.7) × 10<sup>-3</sup>.

The  $tHq$  FCNC processes are studied by ATLAS in top quark pair events with  $t \rightarrow$  $qH, H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$  at 13 TeV [\[14\]](#page-5-6). The analysis explores the final state with two isolated photons. For leptonic top quark decays the selection criteria includes the requirement of one isolated lepton, exactly one b jet, and at least one non-b jet. In case of hadronic top quark decays the analysis selects events with no isolated leptons, at least one b jet, and at least three additional non-b jets. The dominant background processes are associated with the production of non-resonant  $\gamma\gamma$ +jets,  $t\bar{t}$ +jets and  $W+\gamma\gamma$  events. The analysis is done in the diphoton mass window of  $100 < m_{\gamma\gamma} < 160$  GeV. The resultant limits are found to be  $\mathcal{B}(t \to Hu) < 2.4$  (1.7) × 10<sup>-3</sup> and  $\mathcal{B}(t \to Hc)$ 2.2 (1.6) × 10<sup>-3</sup>. The  $tHq$  anomalous couplings are probed by CMS in  $H \to b\overline{b}$  channel in top quark pair events, as well as in single top associated production with a Higgs boson, at 13 TeV [\[15\]](#page-5-7). The event selection includes the requirement of one isolated lepton, at least two b jets, and at least one additional non-b jet. The dominant  $t\bar{t}$  background is suppressed with a BDT discriminant to set the exclusion limits of  $\mathcal{B}(t \to Hu) < 4.7 \ (3.4) \times 10^{-3}$  and  $\mathcal{B}(t \to Hc) < 4.7 \ (4.4) \times 10^{-3}$ . The combination of results obtined by CMS at 8 TeV results in  $\mathcal{B}(t \to Hu) < 5.5$  (4.0) × 10<sup>-3</sup> and  $\mathcal{B}(t \to Hc) < 4.0$  (4.3) × 10<sup>-3</sup> [\[16\]](#page-5-8), while a similar combination of ATLAS results yields  $\mathcal{B}(t \to Hu) < 4.5$  (2.9) × 10<sup>-3</sup> and  $\mathcal{B}(t \to Hc) < 4.6$  (2.5) × 10<sup>-3</sup> [\[17\]](#page-5-9).

The upcoming upgrade of the LHC, the High-Luminosity LHC (HL-LHC) project, is expected to introduce a substantial increase in the peak luminosity with accumulating a larger data set to reach  $\approx$  3  $ab^{-1}$  by the end of the data taking. Preliminary sensitivity studies for the HL-LHC suggest the expected 95% CL upper limit of  $\mathcal{B}(t \to Zq) < 2.4 \times 10^{-5}$  [\[18\]](#page-5-10). Similar studies for  $t \gamma q$  and  $t H q$  yield  $\mathcal{B}(t \to \gamma u)$  < 2.7 × 10<sup>-5</sup>,  $\mathcal{B}(t \to \gamma c)$  < 2.0 × 10<sup>-4</sup> [\[19\]](#page-5-11), and  $\mathcal{B}(t \to Hq)$  <

 $\mathcal{O}(10^{-4})$  [\[18,](#page-5-10) [20\]](#page-5-12). A proposed experiment with the focus on the study of deep inelastic lepton-hadron scattering, a Large Hadron electron Collider (LHeC), is expected to provide a competitive sensitivity to  $t\gamma q$  and  $tZq$  searches with the expected limits of  $\mathcal{B}(t \to \gamma u) < 1.62 \times 10^{-5}$  and  $\mathcal{B}(t \to \gamma c) < 1.15 \times 10^{-4}$  [\[21\]](#page-5-13). The tHq projections for LHeC yield  $\mathcal{B}(t \to Hu) < 7.3 \times 10^{-4}$  [\[22\]](#page-5-14). Future Circular Collider for electronpositron (FCC-ee) and proton-proton (FCC-hh) collisions is a planned set of research activities targeted at the timescale beyond the operation time of the HL-LHC. Preliminary projections suggest the following expected limits:  $\mathcal{B}(t \to Zq) < 5.6 \times 10^{-6}$ (FCC-ee) [\[24\]](#page-5-15),  $\mathcal{B}(t \to Zq) < \mathcal{O}(10^{-7})$  (FCC-hh) [\[23\]](#page-5-16),  $\mathcal{B}(t \to \gamma q) < 3.6 \times 10^{-6}$  (FCC-ee) [\[24\]](#page-5-15), and  $\mathcal{B}(t \to \gamma q) < \mathcal{O}(10^{-7})$  (FCC-hh) [\[23\]](#page-5-16). Future linear electron-positron colliders (ILC/CLIC) can also provide a competitive sensitivity to top FCNC studies with the expected limits of  $\mathcal{B}(t \to Zq) < \mathcal{O}(10^{-5})$  [\[25\]](#page-5-17),  $\mathcal{B}(t \to \gamma q) < \mathcal{O}(10^{-5})$  [25], and  $\mathcal{B}(t \to Hq) < \mathcal{O}(10^{-5})$  [\[26\]](#page-5-18).

# 3 Conclusion

The most stringent limits on top quark FCNC branching fractions obtained at the LHC are compared to theoretical predictions in the SM, as well as to various new physics models. A summary of these results is presented in Figure [1,](#page-4-0) and several projections of the upper limits for future experiments are summarized in Figure [2.](#page-4-1) A large number of experimental results on top quark FCNC interactions is available from the LHC. The latest results obtained at 13 TeV signficantly improve the upper limits set with the 8 TeV data. The preliminary results of future projections represent good prospects for pushing top FCNC boundaries to even higher constraints.

### <span id="page-3-0"></span>References

- <span id="page-3-1"></span>[1] S. L. Glashow, J. Iliopoulos and L. Maiani, Phys. Rev. D 2 (1970) 1285.
- <span id="page-3-2"></span>[2] G. Eilam, J. L. Hewett, and A. Soni, Phys. Rev. D 44 (1991) 1473.
- <span id="page-3-3"></span>[3] B. Mele, S. Petrarca, and A. Soddu, Phys. Lett. B 435 (1998) 401.
- <span id="page-3-4"></span>[4] J. A. Aguilar-Saavedra, Acta Phys. Polon. B 35 (2004) 2695, [hep-ph/0409342.](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0409342)
- [5] F. Larios, R. Martinez, M. A. Perez, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 21 (2006) 3473, [hep-ph/0605003.](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0605003)
- <span id="page-3-6"></span><span id="page-3-5"></span>[6] K. Agashe et al., hep-ph/1311.2028.
- [7] ATLAS Collaboration, JINST 3 S08003 (2008).



<span id="page-4-0"></span>Figure 1: Summary of branching fraction limits for top quark FCNC decays, compared to the SM and new physics models predictions. Experimental results are shown from [\[9,](#page-5-1) [10\]](#page-5-2)(tgq), [\[11,](#page-5-3) [12\]](#page-5-4)(tZq), [\[13\]](#page-5-5)(t $\gamma$ q), and [\[14,](#page-5-6) [15,](#page-5-7) [16\]](#page-5-8)(tHq).



<span id="page-4-1"></span>Figure 2: Summary of branching fraction limits for top quark FCNC decays, compared to the SM predictions, as well as to various projections for future experi-ments. Experimental results are shown from [\[9,](#page-5-1) [10\]](#page-5-2)(tgq), [\[11,](#page-5-3) [12\]](#page-5-4)(tZq), [\[13\]](#page-5-5)(t $\gamma q$ ), and [\[14,](#page-5-6) [15,](#page-5-7) [16\]](#page-5-8)( $tHq$ ). Projections are taken from [\[18,](#page-5-10) [19,](#page-5-11) [20\]](#page-5-12)(HL-LHC), [\[21,](#page-5-13)  $22$ [(LHeC), [\[23,](#page-5-16) [24\]](#page-5-15)(FCC), and [\[25\]](#page-5-17)(ILC).

- <span id="page-5-1"></span><span id="page-5-0"></span>[8] CMS Collaboration, JINST 3 S08004 (2008).
- <span id="page-5-2"></span>[9] CMS Collaboration, JHEP 02 (2017) 028, hep-ex/1610.03545.
- <span id="page-5-3"></span>[10] ATLAS Collaboration, Eur. Phys. J. C 76 (2016) 55, hep-ex/1509.00294.
- <span id="page-5-4"></span>[11] ATLAS Collaboration, ATLAS-CONF-2017-070, [http://cds.cern.ch/record/2285808.](http://cds.cern.ch/record/2285808)
- <span id="page-5-5"></span>[12] CMS Collaboration, JHEP 07 (2017) 003, hep-ex/1702.01404.
- <span id="page-5-6"></span>[13] CMS Collaboration, JHEP 04 (2016) 035, hep-ex/1511.03951.
- <span id="page-5-7"></span>[14] ATLAS Collaboration, JHEP 10 (2017) 129, hep-ex/1707.01404.
- <span id="page-5-8"></span>[15] CMS Collaboration, CMS-PAS-TOP-17-003, [http://cds.cern.ch/record/2284743.](http://cds.cern.ch/record/2284743)
- <span id="page-5-9"></span>[16] CMS Collaboration, JHEP 02 (2017) 079, hep-ex/1610.04857.
- <span id="page-5-10"></span>[17] ATLAS Collaboration, JHEP 12 (2015) 061, hep-ex/1509.06047.
- <span id="page-5-11"></span>[18] ATLAS Collaboration, ATL-PHYS-PUB-2016-019, [http://cds.cern.ch/record/2209126.](http://cds.cern.ch/record/2209126)
- [19] CMS Collaboration, CMS-PAS-FTR-16-006, [http://cds.cern.ch/record/2262606.](http://cds.cern.ch/record/2262606)
- <span id="page-5-12"></span>[20] ATLAS Collaboration, ATL-PHYS-PUB-2013-012, [http://cds.cern.ch/record/1604506.](http://cds.cern.ch/record/1604506)
- <span id="page-5-14"></span><span id="page-5-13"></span>[21] I. T. Cakir et al., hep-ph/1705.05419.
- <span id="page-5-16"></span>[22] X. Wang, H. Sun, X. Luo, Adv. High Energy Phys. 4693213 (2017), hepph/1703.02691.
- <span id="page-5-15"></span>[23] M. L. Mangano et al., hep-ph/1607.01831.
- <span id="page-5-17"></span>[24] H. Khanpour, S. Khatibi, M. K. Yanehsari, M. M. Najafabadi, hep-ph/1408.2090.
- <span id="page-5-18"></span>[25] G. Moortgat-Pick et al., Phys. Rept. 460 (2008) 131, [hep-ph/0507011.](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0507011)
- [26] A. Zarnecki (on behalf of the CLICdp collaboration and the ILC Physics and Detector Study), PoS(ICHEP2016) (2016) 666, hep-ex/1611.04492.