

Highlights in Supergravity: CCJ 47 Years Later

Sergio Ferrara^{1,2,3} and Marine Samsonyan¹

¹ Theoretical Physics Department, CERN CH-1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland

² INFN - Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati Via Enrico Fermi 40, I-00044 Frascati, Italy

³ Department of Physics and Astronomy and Mani L.Bhaumik Institute for Theoretical Physics, U.C.L.A., Los Angeles CA 90095-1547, USA

Abstract

We consider an expression for the supercurrent in the superconformal formulation of $N = 1$ supergravity. A chiral compensator provides the supersymmetric formulation of the Callan-Coleman-Jackiw (CCJ) improved stress energy tensor, when the conformal gauge is used. Superconformal and non-superconformal matter give different conservation laws of the supercurrent, when coupled to the curvature supermultiplets which underlie the local superspace geometry. This approach can be applied to any set of auxiliary fields and it is useful to classify rigid curved superspace geometries. Examples with four supersymmetries are briefly described.

*Contribution to the Proceedings of the Erice International School of Subnuclear Physics,
55th Course: "Highlights from LHC and the other frontiers of physics"
Erice, 14-23 June 2017*

Contents

1	Introduction: Resurrection of the Energy Momentum Tensor	3
2	The Improved Stress Tensor, Conformal Algebra and its Noether Currents	3
3	CCJ in Curved Space	6
4	CCJ and Supergravity	7
5	Noether Currents for the Superconformal Algebra	7
6	The Supercurrent and Super Conservation Laws	8
7	Superspace Description of Supergravity	9
8	Superconformal Matter and Supercurrents in Curved Superspace	10
9	Rigid Supersymmetry Breaking	11
10	Rigid Curved Supersymmetry, SuperHiggs Effect and the Cosmological Constant	12
11	No-scale Supergravity	13
12	Summary and Conclusions	14

1 Introduction: Resurrection of the Energy Momentum Tensor

In 1970, during the 8th Course of the Erice International School of Subnuclear Physics: “Elementary Processes at High Energies”, Sidney Coleman gave one of his celebrated lectures on a “New Energy Momentum Tensor” following a paper by Callan, Coleman, Jackiw (CCJ) [1]. This paper solved the problem of defining an improved stress tensor $\Theta_{\mu\nu}$, different from the canonical one. This tensor is conserved and symmetric.

$$\partial^\mu \Theta_{\mu\nu} = 0, \quad \Theta_{\mu\nu} = \Theta_{\nu\mu}, \quad (1.1)$$

as a consequence of Poincarè invariance in flat Minkowski space, but moreover it is also traceless

$$\Theta_\mu^\mu = 0, \quad (1.2)$$

when the theory is scale and conformal invariant. In this way the non vanishing of the trace is an operator which measures the departure from scale and conformal symmetry. This breaking can occur at the classical level due to dimensionful terms in the scalar potential $V(\phi)$

$$\Theta_\mu^\mu = -4V + V_{,i}\phi^i, \quad (1.3)$$

or it can, be due to quantum effects either perturbative (non-vanishing $\beta(g)$ function in perturbation theory) or non-perturbative, such as instanton effects in supersymmetric gauge theories. It is the aim of these lectures to discuss the energy-momentum tensor [2] in supersymmetric field theories and its role in the breaking of “superconformal symmetry”. When considered as a source of the gravitational fields in curved space, the supergravity couplings to the stress tensor multiplet defines the (Super)-Einstein equations, whose source is the “Supercurrent”, introduced in [3]. We will use an off-shell formalism based on the superconformal formulation [4–6] of local superspace geometry. The content of this lectures is mainly based on the results of [2].

2 The Improved Stress Tensor, Conformal Algebra and its Noether Currents

The Noether currents of the “conformal algebra” can be written in a unified way in terms of the CCJ tensor

$$J_\mu^\xi = \Theta_{\mu\nu}(x)\xi^\nu(x). \quad (2.1)$$

The corresponding charges

$$Q^\xi = \int d^3x J_0^\xi, \quad (2.2)$$

are conserved when $\partial^\mu J_\mu^\xi = 0$. This happens when $\partial^\mu \Theta_{\mu\nu} = 0$, $\Theta_\mu^\mu = 0$, provided the displacement $\delta x^\mu = \xi^\mu(x)$ satisfies the following equation for $d > 2$

$$\partial_\mu \xi_\nu(x) + \partial_\nu \xi_\mu(x) = \frac{2}{d} \eta_{\mu\nu} \partial^\lambda \xi_\lambda(x). \quad (2.3)$$

For $d > 2$, in particular $d = 4$, the solution of this equation is

$$\xi^\mu(x) = a^\mu + \omega^{\mu\nu} x_\nu + \lambda x^\mu + 2x^\mu x \cdot c - x^2 c^\mu, \quad (2.4)$$

$$a^\mu, \omega^{\mu\nu} = -\omega^{\nu\mu} \rightarrow \text{Poincaré algebra (translation and Lorentz rotations)}, \quad (2.5)$$

$$\lambda, c_\mu \rightarrow \text{dilatation and special conformal (boosts) transformation}. \quad (2.6)$$

This algebra has 15 generators $P_\mu, M_{\mu\nu}, D, K_\mu$, satisfying the commutation relation of the Lie algebra $SO(4, 2)$ (or $SU(2, 2)$).

$$[M_{\mu\nu}, D] = 0, \quad [P_\mu, D] = iP_\mu, \quad [K_\mu, D] = -iK_\mu, \quad [K_\mu, K_\nu] = 0, \quad (2.7)$$

$$[M_{\mu\nu}, K_\rho] = -i(g_{\rho\mu}K_\nu - g_{\rho\nu}K_\mu), \quad [P_\mu, K_\nu] = 2i(g_{\mu\nu}D - M_{\mu\nu}). \quad (2.8)$$

Note that for $a^\mu, \omega^{\mu\nu}$ $\partial_{(\lambda}\xi_{\mu)} = 0$ so the differential equation (2.3) is empty. When $\Theta_\mu^\mu \neq 0$ the D, K_μ charges are not conserved.

For finite transformations $a_\mu, \Lambda_\mu^\nu, e^\lambda, c_\mu$ we have the action of the conformal group

$$\text{Poincaré} \quad x'_\mu = a_\mu + \Lambda_\mu^\nu x_\nu \quad (\Lambda_\mu^\nu \eta_{\nu\rho} \Lambda^\rho_\sigma = \eta_{\mu\sigma}), \quad (2.9)$$

$$\text{Dilatation} \quad x'_\mu = e^\lambda x_\mu, \quad (2.10)$$

$$\text{Conf transf} \quad x'_\mu = \frac{x_\mu + c_\mu x^2}{1 + 2c \cdot x + c^2 x^2} \left(x'^2 = \frac{x^2}{1 + 2c \cdot x + c^2 x^2}, x^2 = 0 \Rightarrow x'^2 = 0 \right), \quad (2.11)$$

in the infinitesimal $\delta x^\mu = \xi^\mu(x)$. Equations (2.9),(2.10),(2.11) reduce to (2.4).

For generic dimension $d > 4$, the conformal group is $SO(d, 2)$ with the following generators:

$$M_{\mu\nu} \quad SO(d-1, 1), \quad (2.12)$$

$$P_\mu, K_\mu \quad d \text{ vectors}, \quad (2.13)$$

$$D \text{ dilation (1 generator)}. \quad (2.14)$$

For certain systems the canonical and improved energy momentum tensors coincide. This is the case of electromagnetic field, whose stress tensor obeys $\Theta_\mu^{em\mu} = 0$ because of its definition:

$$\Theta_{\mu\nu}^{em} = F_{\mu\rho} F_\nu^\rho - \frac{1}{4} \eta_{\mu\nu} F_{\sigma\rho} F^{\sigma\rho} \quad \text{with} \quad F_{\mu\nu}(A) = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu. \quad (2.15)$$

Moreover, by using the cyclic identity $\partial_\rho F_{\mu\nu} + \partial_\mu F_{\nu\rho} + \partial_\nu F_{\rho\mu} = 0$, one can show that

$$\partial^\mu \Theta_{\mu\nu}^{em} = (\partial^\mu F_{\mu\rho}) F_\nu^\rho = J_\rho F_\nu^\rho, \quad (2.16)$$

where for pure electromagnetism, $J_\rho = 0$. If $J_\rho \neq 0$, this term is cancelled by the matter part, $\partial^\mu \Theta_{\mu\nu}^M$, since $\Theta_{\mu\nu} = \Theta_{\mu\nu}^{em} + \Theta_{\mu\nu}^M$.

The simplest theory where an improvement term occurs is the theory of one (or more) neutral scalar fields φ^i with a potential $V(\varphi^i)$. With a mostly plus metric the Lagrangian for this system is given by

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \varphi^i \partial_\nu \varphi^i - V(\varphi^i). \quad (2.17)$$

The canonical energy momentum tensor for this system is

$$T_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu}\mathcal{L} + \partial_\mu\varphi^i\partial_\nu\varphi^i. \quad (2.18)$$

It is conserved using the φ equations of motions

$$\partial^\mu T_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\nu\varphi \left(\square\varphi^i - \frac{\partial V}{\partial\varphi^i} \right) = 0. \quad (2.19)$$

However, this tensor is not traceless

$$T_\mu{}^\mu = -\partial_\mu\varphi^i\partial^\mu\varphi^i - 4V(\varphi^i) \neq 0, \quad (2.20)$$

even when the equations of motions are used. Let's then define a new stress tensor $\Theta_{\mu\nu}$

$$\Theta_{\mu\nu} = T_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{6}(\partial_\mu\partial_\nu - g_{\mu\nu}\square)\varphi^{i2}, \quad (2.21)$$

which is obviously conserved $\partial^\mu\Theta_{\mu\nu} = 0$. However, the second term now contributes to its trace

$$\Theta_\mu{}^\mu = T_\mu{}^\mu + \frac{1}{2}\square\varphi^{i2} = -4V + \varphi^i\frac{\partial V}{\partial\varphi^i}. \quad (2.22)$$

In order to derive eq. (2.22) we used the equations of motions. For a scale invariant theory $\Theta_\mu{}^\mu = 0$ since $V(\varphi)$ is homogeneous of degree 4.

Let us now consider a $U(1)$ gauge theory of complex scalar fields φ^i . The scalar part of the Lagrangian is given by

$$\mathcal{L}^M = (\partial_\mu - iA_\mu)\varphi^i(\partial^\mu + iA^\mu)\bar{\varphi}^i - V(\varphi, \bar{\varphi}), \quad (2.23)$$

with the canonical energy momentum tensor

$$T_{\mu\nu}^M = g_{\mu\nu}\mathcal{L}^M + ((\partial_\mu - iA_\mu)\varphi^i(\partial_\nu + iA_\nu)\bar{\varphi}^i + (\mu \leftrightarrow \nu)). \quad (2.24)$$

To define a traceless energy-momentum tensor $\Theta_{\mu\nu}^M$, we add the improvement term $-\frac{1}{3}(\partial_\mu\partial_\nu - g_{\mu\nu}\square)\varphi^i\bar{\varphi}^i$ to $T_{\mu\nu}^M$. It will be traceless thanks again to the fact that $V(\varphi, \bar{\varphi})$ is homogeneous of degree 4 for scale invariant theories

$$\Theta_\mu{}^{\mu} = 4V - V_i\varphi^i - V_{\bar{i}}\bar{\varphi}^i = 0, \quad (2.25)$$

but its divergence is non vanishing

$$\partial^\mu\Theta_{\mu\nu}^M = -\partial^\mu\Theta_{\mu\nu}^{em} = J^\mu F_{\mu\nu}(A) \neq 0, \quad (2.26)$$

where

$$J_\mu(\varphi, A) = i(\varphi^i D_\mu\bar{\varphi}^i - D_\mu\varphi^i\bar{\varphi}^i), \quad (2.27)$$

$$D_\mu\varphi^i = (\partial_\mu - iA_\mu)\varphi^i, \quad D_\mu\bar{\varphi}^i = (\partial_\mu + iA_\mu)\bar{\varphi}^i. \quad (2.28)$$

In supergravity a $U(1)$ is the R-symmetry of the superconformal algebra (which is a gauge symmetry in the conformal part of the action.), but a term $\Theta_{\mu\nu}^{em}$ is missing, since in Einstein supergravity the A_μ gauge field is non-propagating. It is actually an auxiliary field [7, 8], which appears quadratically in the pure supergravity part of the action

$$\mathcal{L} = \sqrt{-g}\kappa^{-2} \left(\frac{1}{2}R + 3A_\mu^2 + \dots \right) . \quad (2.29)$$

However, A_μ contributes to the Einstein equations $\frac{\delta\mathcal{L}}{\delta g^{\mu\nu}} = 0$ and indeed it contributes to the covariant divergence of the Einstein equations ($G_{\mu\nu} = R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}R$ is the Einstein tensor)

$$\frac{2}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\delta\mathcal{L}}{\delta g^{\mu\nu}} = G_{\mu\nu} + 6A_\mu A_\nu - 3g_{\mu\nu}A_\rho A^\rho + \dots = 0 . \quad (2.30)$$

So the A_μ term contributes to both the trace and divergence of the Einstein equation since

$$\frac{2}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\delta\mathcal{L}}{\delta g^{\mu\nu}} g^{\mu\nu} = -R - 6A_\mu^2 + \dots = 0 , \quad (2.31)$$

$$\nabla^\mu \left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\delta\mathcal{L}}{\delta g^{\mu\nu}} \right) = 6\nabla^\mu A_\mu \cdot A_\nu + 6A^\mu F_{\mu\nu}(A) + \dots = 0 . \quad (2.32)$$

For superconformal matter it turns out that $R + 6A_\mu^2 = 0$, $\nabla^\mu A_\mu = 0$ by using the matter field equations.

3 CCJ in Curved Space

To include the improvement term in curved space a modification of the minimal coupling to gravity is required. This coupling is called a ‘‘conformally coupled’’ scalar field

$$(\sqrt{-g})^{-1}\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_M - \frac{1}{12}\varphi^{i2}R + \mathcal{L}_G , \quad (3.1)$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}_M = \frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu\varphi^i\partial_\nu\varphi^i g^{\mu\nu} - V(\varphi), \quad \mathcal{L}_G = \frac{1}{2}\kappa^{-2}R , \quad (3.2)$$

and $\kappa^{-1} = m_P = 2.4 \times 10^{18}$ GeV is the Planck mass. The Einstein equation derived from the above Lagrangians are

$$\frac{\kappa^{-2}}{2}G_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{1}{2}\Theta_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{12}G_{\mu\nu}\varphi^{i2} = -\frac{1}{2}\Theta_{\mu\nu}^c , \quad (3.3)$$

with

$$\Theta_{\mu\nu}^c = T_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{6}(\nabla_\mu\partial_\nu - g_{\mu\nu}\nabla^2)\varphi^{i2} - \frac{1}{6}G_{\mu\nu}\varphi^{i2} . \quad (3.4)$$

$\Theta_{\mu\nu}^c$ is covariantly conserved: $\nabla^\mu\Theta_{\mu\nu}^c = 0$ as a consequence of the modified matter field equations. Note that the term $-\frac{1}{3}G_{\mu\nu}\partial^\mu\varphi^i\varphi^i$ in $\nabla^\mu\Theta_{\mu\nu}^c$ is cancelled by a term coming from $\nabla^\mu T_{\mu\nu}$, which cancels the $\frac{1}{6}R\partial_\nu\varphi^i\varphi^i$ and a term coming from $-\frac{1}{6}(\nabla^2\partial_\nu - \partial_\nu\nabla^2)\varphi^{i2}$, which cancels the $-\frac{1}{3}R_{\mu\nu}\partial^\mu\varphi^i\varphi^i$ term. If the matter system is conformal, then

$$\Theta_\mu^{\mu} = 0 , \quad (3.5)$$

which implies $R = 0$.

4 CCJ and Supergravity

A further modification of $\Theta_{\mu\nu}^c$ occurs if the matter fields φ^i are coupled to a $U(1)$ gauge field, as it occurs in supergravity theory due to the $U(1)$ R-symmetry of the $N = 1$ superconformal algebra. In this case the first term in $\Theta_{\mu\nu}^c$, i.e. the canonical stress tensor $T_{\mu\nu}$ contains the gauge fields, which, through the equations of motion of the scalar field, give an extra term in the conservation equation $\nabla^\mu \Theta_{\mu\nu}^c = J^\mu(\varphi, A)F_{\mu\nu}(A)$. Thanks to its equations of motion, the auxiliary field $A_\mu = J_\mu(\varphi, A)$ produces a term which is exactly cancelled by the two terms obtained by varying the supergravity action

$$\nabla^\mu \left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}_{SG}}{\delta g^{\mu\nu}} \right) = 6A^\mu F_{\mu\nu}(A). \quad (4.1)$$

In other words if we add to $\Theta_{\mu\nu}^c$ a term proportional to $A_\mu A_\nu - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}A_\rho^2$ then $\nabla^\mu \hat{\Theta}_{\mu\nu}^c = 0$, which is consistent with the generalized Einstein equations

$$\frac{1}{2\kappa^2}G_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{1}{2}\hat{\Theta}_{\mu\nu}^c, \quad (4.2)$$

$$\hat{\Theta}_{\mu\nu}^c = T_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{6}(\nabla_\mu \partial_\nu - g_{\mu\nu} \nabla^\rho \nabla_\rho) \varphi^i \bar{\varphi}^i - \frac{1}{6}G_{\mu\nu} \varphi^i \bar{\varphi}^i + A_\mu A_\nu - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}A_\rho^2. \quad (4.3)$$

5 Noether Currents for the Superconformal Algebra

Conformal symmetry in flat space implies Weyl symmetry in curved space. For the vierbein $e_{a\mu}(x)$, the electromagnetic field $F_{\mu\nu}$, and the scalar field ϕ , the Weyl transformations are

$$e'_{a\mu} = e^{\lambda(x)} e_{a\mu}, \quad (5.1)$$

$$F'_{\mu\nu} = F_{\mu\nu}, \quad (5.2)$$

$$\phi^{i'}(x) = e^{-\lambda(x)} \phi^i(x), \quad (5.3)$$

$$R' = e^{-2\lambda(x)} R + \partial\lambda \text{ terms}. \quad (5.4)$$

So for zero scalar masses the electromagnetic system and the scalars (coupled to gauge fields) are Weyl invariant.

The Weyl symmetry of a scalar system actually allows to consider one of them as non-dynamical, since if $\phi(x) \neq 0$ we can choose the Weyl parameter such that $\phi(x) = e^{\lambda(x)}$ and set $\phi'(x) = 1$. Then this procedure introduces a scale $\kappa^{-1} = m_P$. In the absence of the Einstein term \mathcal{L}_G , the scalar Lagrangian (3.1) becomes $\mathcal{L}(\phi' = \kappa^{-1}) = -1/12\kappa^{-2}R$ using Weyl symmetry (since $\mathcal{L}_M(\phi') = 0$ at $\partial\phi' = 0$). This gives the Einstein term with a wrong sign. So, we must introduce a matter field ϕ_0 , with the wrong sign of the kinetic term, $\mathcal{L}_{\phi_0} = -\frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu \phi_0 \partial_\nu \phi_0 g^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{12}\phi_0^2 R - \lambda\phi_0^4$. Notice that the self-interaction term $\lambda\phi_0^4$ introduces a cosmological constant after gauge fixing.

In analogy with the Einstein action obtained by a gauge fixed Weyl action we can obtain supergravity by a chiral multiplet action, which is superconformal invariant in flat space and super Weyl invariant in curved superspace, after gauge fixing $X^0 = (\kappa^{-1}, \psi^0 = 0, F^0 = u)^1$.

¹Note that the u of [2] is identified with \bar{u} of [5].

The superconformal algebra in flat space is a simple superalgebra (in mathematical terms) $SU(2, 2/1)$ with (Lie Algebra) part $SU(2, 2) \times U_R(1)$. Here $SU(2, 2) \sim SO(4, 2)$ and the R-symmetry is part of the algebra. The odd supersymmetry generators are Q_α, S_α . Their anticommutators generate the even-part of the superalgebra

$$\{Q, \bar{Q}\} \rightarrow P_\mu, \quad \{S, \bar{S}\} \rightarrow K_\mu, \quad (5.5)$$

$$\{Q, \bar{S}\} = \{\bar{Q}, S\} = 0, \quad \{Q, S\} \rightarrow M_{\mu\nu}, D, \Pi, \quad (5.6)$$

$$\{Q, D\} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}Q, \quad \{S, D\} \rightarrow -\frac{1}{2}S', \quad (5.7)$$

$$\{Q, \Pi\} \rightarrow Q, \quad \{S, \Pi\} \rightarrow -S, \quad (5.8)$$

$$[Q, P] \rightarrow 0, \quad [S, K] \rightarrow 0, \quad (5.9)$$

$$[Q, K_\mu] \rightarrow S, \quad [S, P] \rightarrow Q. \quad (5.10)$$

The Noether currents of the supercharges (Q, S) can be written in a unified way by introducing an x -dependent (anticommuting) spinor parameter $\epsilon(x) = \epsilon_0 + \not{x}\epsilon_1$ and by writing the spinor Noether currents for Q and S supersymmetry as $\bar{\epsilon}^\alpha(x)J_{\alpha\mu}$. Its conservation implies

$$\epsilon_0 \text{ term} \rightarrow \partial^\mu J_{\alpha\mu} = 0 \quad \epsilon_1 \text{ term} \rightarrow \partial^\mu (\not{x}J_\mu) = 0 \rightarrow \gamma^\mu J_{\mu\alpha} = 0. \quad (5.11)$$

The Q_α, S_α generators are evaluated from the expression $\bar{\epsilon}_0 Q + \bar{\epsilon}_1 S \rightarrow \int d^3x \bar{\epsilon}^\alpha(x)J_{\alpha 0}(x)$. So, two superconformal transformations, of parameters $\epsilon(x), \eta(x)$ generate a space-time conformal transformation of parameter $\xi^\mu(x) = 2i\bar{\epsilon}(x)\gamma^\mu\eta(x)$, which indeed satisfies $\partial_\mu\xi_\nu + \partial_\nu\xi_\mu = \frac{1}{2}\eta_{\mu\nu}\partial^\lambda\xi_\lambda$ as a consequence of $\epsilon(x) = \epsilon_0 + \not{x}\epsilon_1, \eta(x) = \eta_0 + \not{x}\eta_1$ [9, 10].

6 The Supercurrent and Super Conservation Laws

The Lagrangian with an improved energy-momentum tensor, in curved space reads as [1]

$$\mathcal{L}_{improved}^M = \sqrt{-g} \left(\frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \phi^i \partial_\nu \bar{\phi}^i - \frac{1}{12} R \phi^i \bar{\phi}^i \right) - \sqrt{-g} V(\phi). \quad (6.1)$$

Its Einstein equations are

$$\frac{1}{2\kappa^2} (R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}R) = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} G_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{1}{2}T_{\mu\nu}^{improved} = -\frac{1}{2}\Theta_{\mu\nu}^c, \quad (6.2)$$

and $T_{\mu\nu}^M = -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}^M}{\delta g^{\mu\nu}}$. In supergravity these equations are modified because of the R-symmetry in the superconformal algebra.

Let us give some examples [3] of supercurrent multiplets which contain the improved energy-momentum tensor $\Theta_{\mu\nu}$ (in flat space). The supercurrent for a chiral multiplet is

$$J_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}^s = iS^i \sigma_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}^\mu \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_\mu \bar{S}^i + \frac{1}{2} D_\alpha S \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{S}^i. \quad (6.3)$$

The supercurrent for a vector multiplet is

$$J_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}^V = W_\alpha \bar{W}_{\dot{\alpha}}, \quad (W_\alpha = \bar{D}^2 D_\alpha V). \quad (6.4)$$

They both obey $\bar{D}^{\dot{\alpha}} J_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = 0$ as a consequence of the field equations. In the Maxwell case this follows from $\bar{D}^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{W}_{\dot{\alpha}} = 0$. In the non-conformal case the supercurrent for chiral multiplets with canonical kinetic term and superpotential W satisfies

$$\bar{D}^{\dot{\alpha}} \sigma_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}^{\mu} J_{\mu}(x, \theta, \bar{\theta}) = D_{\alpha} Y \quad (\bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}} Y = 0), \quad (6.5)$$

with

$$Y = (X^0)^3 (W - \frac{1}{3} W_i S^i) = (X^0)^3 \Delta W, \quad (6.6)$$

where $Y = 0$ for cubic W . Note that in the local superconformal formulation W must be a function of degree 3 in $X^I = (X^0 S^i, X^0)$, so that $\mathcal{W} = (X^0)^3 W(S^i)$. The Y chiral multiplet satisfying the (partial) conservation equation is made of fields (Y, Ψ_Y, F_Y) of physical dimensions $(3, 7/2, 4)$. Its components are

$$Y = (X^0)^3 (W - \frac{1}{3} W_i S^i), \quad \Psi_Y = (\gamma^{\mu} J_{\mu})_{\alpha}, \quad F_Y = \Theta_{\lambda}^{\lambda} + i \partial^{\mu} J_{\mu}^5. \quad (6.7)$$

Note that $Y = 0$ in the superconformal case, where W is of degree 3 in S^i . In supergravity the multiplet which contains the stress tensor, the supercurrent and the R -symmetry current

$$J_{\mu}^5(x), \Theta_{\mu\nu}(x), J_{\mu\alpha}(x) \rightarrow J_{\mu}^5 + i \theta^{\alpha} J_{\mu\alpha} + i \bar{\theta}_{\dot{\alpha}} J_{\mu}^{\dot{\alpha}} + \theta \sigma^{\nu} \bar{\theta} (\Theta_{\mu\nu} + \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \partial^{\rho} J^5{}^{\sigma}) + \dots, \quad (6.8)$$

should couple to the supergravity fields. When $Y \neq 0$ superconformal symmetry is broken. In supergravity, non-canonical kinetic terms may also contribute to Y [2]. For some particular choices $Y = 0$ as is the case for conformally coupled scalars [1].

7 Superspace Description of Supergravity

The basic fields of the supergravity [7, 8, 11, 12] are the vierbein, the gravitino and the auxiliary fields

$$e_{a\mu} \quad (\text{vierbein field}), \quad g_{\mu\nu} = e_{a\mu} e_{b\nu} \eta^{ab}, \quad (7.1)$$

$$\psi_{\mu\alpha} \quad \text{gravitino}, \quad (7.2)$$

$$A_{\mu}, u : \quad \text{auxiliary fields.} \quad (7.3)$$

In superconformal gravity the gauge fields $e_{a\mu}, \psi_{\mu\alpha}, A_{\mu}$ gauge the superconformal algebra (super Weyl symmetry in superspace). u is the complex scalar residual of the gauge fixed superconformal compensator. X^0 set to $(\kappa^{-1}, 0, u)$ to gauge fix the super Weyl symmetry. A_{μ} is the R -symmetry gauge field, whose gauge invariance is broken in Einstein supergravity, so that it has four independent components.

The geometry of superspace is encoded in three basic multiplets [13–19] which contain the superspace curvatures. These multiplets are denoted as $\mathcal{R}, E_{\mu}, W_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$. The chiral curvature multiplet \mathcal{R} contains in the last θ^2 component the scalar curvature R through B_{μ}^{μ} , the real Einstein multiplet E_{μ} contains the Einstein tensor $G_{\mu\nu}$ through $B_{\mu\nu}$ in the $\theta\bar{\theta}$ component.

The Weyl tensor $C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}$ (traceless part of the Riemann tensor) is contained in the θ component of the chiral Weyl multiplet $W_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$. The relevant formulae are given below.

$$\mathcal{R} = \bar{u} + \theta^\alpha \gamma^\mu R_\mu + \theta^2 \left(-\frac{1}{6} B_\mu^\mu - i D^\mu A_\mu \right), \quad (7.4)$$

$$E_\mu = \sigma_\mu^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} E_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = A_\mu + \theta^\alpha Z_{\mu\alpha} + \theta^\alpha \sigma_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}^\nu B_{\mu\nu} \bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}} + \dots, \quad (7.5)$$

$$W_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = \dots \theta^\delta (C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} + F_{(\alpha\beta} \epsilon_{\gamma)\delta}) + \theta^2 (\text{fermion}), \quad (7.6)$$

where

$$B_{\mu\nu} = 3R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} R - 6A_\mu A_\nu + 3g_{\mu\nu} A_\rho^2 + 3g_{\mu\nu} u \bar{u}. \quad (7.7)$$

The x_μ, θ_α are superspace coordinates satisfying

$$x_\mu x_\nu = x_\nu x_\mu, \quad x_\mu \theta_\alpha = \theta_\alpha x_\mu, \quad \theta_\alpha \theta_\beta = -\theta_\beta \theta_\alpha. \quad (7.8)$$

8 Superconformal Matter and Supercurrents in Curved Superspace

The Einstein equations come from a supermultiplet of equations

$$\mathcal{E}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} + J_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = 0, \quad (8.1)$$

whose first component is $\frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta A_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}} = 0$. The trace and conservation of the Einstein tensor come from the fundamental superspace (off-shell) identity

$$\bar{D}^{\dot{\alpha}} \mathcal{E}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = (X^0)^3 D_\alpha \left(\frac{\mathcal{R}}{X^0} \right), \quad (8.2)$$

and (on-shell) supercurrent conservation

$$\bar{D}^{\dot{\alpha}} J_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = (X^0)^3 D_\alpha \left(\frac{Y}{(X^0)^3} \right), \quad (8.3)$$

which, *up to a constant*, imply

$$\mathcal{R} + \frac{Y}{(X^0)^2} = 0. \quad (8.4)$$

In the superconformal gauge $X^0 = \kappa^{-1}$ these equations read ²

$$E_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = -\kappa^2 J_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}, \quad \bar{D}^{\dot{\alpha}} E_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = D_\alpha \mathcal{R}, \quad \bar{D}^{\dot{\alpha}} J_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = D_\alpha Y, \quad \mathcal{R} + \kappa^2 Y = 0. \quad (8.5)$$

They contain the GR identity

$$\nabla^\mu G_{\mu\nu} = 0. \quad (8.6)$$

²Note that $\mathcal{E}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}$ has conformal weight 3, while $E_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}$ and \mathcal{R} have physical dimension 1. Therefore, the physical dimension of all auxiliary fields is one.

The first equation is related to the global formulae in [20–25] and for superconformal matter yields ³

$$\mathcal{R} = Y = 0. \quad (8.7)$$

The constant term is related to a term in Y of the form $\lambda(X^0)^3$ so that $\mathcal{R} + \lambda X^0 = 0$. This corresponds to pure anti de Sitter supergravity [26, 27].

In this setup the supergravity Lagrangian is given by the following expression [7, 8]

$$\mathcal{L}_{SG}(e, \psi, A, u) = \kappa^{-2} \left(\frac{1}{2}R - \frac{1}{2}\bar{\psi}^\mu R_\mu - 3u\bar{u} + 3A_\mu^2 \right), \quad (8.8)$$

so that the bosonic contribution to the (super)Einstein tensor is

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta g^{\mu\nu}} = \frac{1}{2}G_{\mu\nu} + \frac{3}{2}g_{\mu\nu}u\bar{u} + 3A_\mu A_\nu - \frac{3}{2}g_{\mu\nu}A_\rho^2. \quad (8.9)$$

This means that the matter part will have improvement terms to balance the $G_{\mu\nu}$ term in $\nabla^\mu G_{\mu\nu} = 0$, $\nabla^\mu(G_{\mu\nu} + \dots) = 0$.

In pure supergravity $A_\mu = u = 0$, but in matter coupled systems the improved stress tensor will get supergravity corrections

$$\hat{\Theta}_{\mu\nu}^c = \Theta_{\mu\nu}^c + (6A_\mu A_\nu - 3g_{\mu\nu}A_\rho^2 + 3g_{\mu\nu}u\bar{u}) \kappa^{-2}. \quad (8.10)$$

These were found in [2]. They are such that

$$\kappa^{-2}G_{\mu\nu} + \hat{\Theta}_{\mu\nu}^c = 0, \quad (8.11)$$

will be consistent with the matter conservation laws

$$\nabla^\mu \hat{\Theta}_{\mu\nu}^c = 0, \quad (8.12)$$

with the additional property $\hat{\Theta}_\mu^{\mu} = 0$ in the superconformal case.

9 Rigid Supersymmetry Breaking

Given a generic “superfield” $\phi(x, \theta)$ with a given n_{last} , its component expression is (symbolically)

$$\phi(x, \theta) = \phi_0(x) + \theta\phi_1(x) + \dots + \theta^{n-1}\phi_{n-1}(x) + \theta^n\phi_n(x) + \theta^{n+1}\phi_{n+1}(x) + \dots\theta^{n_{last}}\phi_{last}(x). \quad (9.1)$$

The supersymmetry transformation of the θ^n component is

$$\delta_\epsilon\phi_n(x) = \epsilon\partial_x\phi_{n-1}(x) + \epsilon\phi_{n+1}(x). \quad (9.2)$$

³Note that in the superconformal formulation all dimensionfull parameters get their physical dimension from κ factors coming from $X^0 = \kappa^{-1}$. The remaining dimensionless parameters correspond to conformal couplings.

So if $\langle \phi_{n+1} \rangle \neq 0$ then supersymmetry is broken

$$\langle \delta_\epsilon \phi_n(x) \rangle = \langle \epsilon \{Q, \phi_n(x)\} \rangle \neq 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad Q|0\rangle \neq 0. \quad (9.3)$$

Thus the only field which can have a supersymmetry preserving vacuum expectation value is $\phi_0(x)$. This argument, applied to the supercurrent multiplet, implies that supersymmetry is broken if $\langle \Theta_{\mu\nu} \rangle \neq 0$. Indeed, in a Lorentz and translational invariant vacuum, $\langle \Theta_{\mu\nu} \rangle = -\eta_{\mu\nu} \langle V \rangle \geq 0$, where V is the scalar potential. Hence⁴, $V_0 > 0$ corresponds to broken supersymmetry. A supersymmetry preserving vacuum has $V_0 = 0$.

This argument is not valid in curved space as we know that *AdS* (Anti de Sitter) can be a supersymmetry preserving vacuum.

10 Rigid Curved Supersymmetry, SuperHiggs Effect and the Cosmological Constant

Rigid vacua in curved geometries have recently been studied in many papers starting with [28, 29]. For vacua preserving maximal (4 charges) supersymmetry in curved $4d$ space, an efficient method to find them is to look for the solution of the curved superspace identity

$$\bar{D}^{\dot{\alpha}} E_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = D_\alpha \mathcal{R}, \quad (10.1)$$

and ask for vacua for which higher θ components of the geometric superfields \mathcal{R} , $E_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}$, $W_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ are vanishing, but the lowest components are not. Other than Minkowski space ($u = A_\mu = 0$) one finds two vacua with four supersymmetries: $AdS_4 = \frac{SO(3,2)}{SO(3,1)}$ and $S^3 \times L$. For the first case

$$\mathcal{R} = (\langle \bar{u} \rangle \neq 0, 0, 0), \quad (10.2)$$

which hence satisfies

$$E_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = 0 \quad W_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = 0. \quad (10.3)$$

In this case the Ricci tensor is $R_{\mu\nu} = -3g_{\mu\nu}|u|^2$ and it is obtained by $B_\mu{}^\mu = 0$. The $S^3 \times L$ manifold is given by

$$\sigma_\mu^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} E_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = (\langle A_\mu \rangle, 0, 0, \dots, 0), \quad (10.4)$$

where one should choose $\langle A_\mu \rangle = (A_0, \vec{0})$. Both solutions satisfy $\bar{D}^{\dot{\alpha}} E_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = D_\alpha \mathcal{R} = 0$. The Einstein curvature of these two spaces is retrieved by the vanishing of upper components of \mathcal{R} and $E_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}$, respectively given by

$$\frac{1}{6}R + A_\mu^2 + 2u\bar{u} = \frac{1}{6}B_\mu{}^\mu \quad \text{and} \quad B_{\mu\nu}. \quad (10.5)$$

For $S^3 \times L$, $u = 0$ and the Ricci tensor $R_{\mu\nu}$ is computed by the equation $B_{\mu\nu} = 0$. For the non trace part we have

$$R_{00} = R_{0i} = 0, \quad R_{ij} = 2\delta_{ij}A_0^2. \quad (10.6)$$

⁴ $V_0 = V|_{\partial V/\partial\phi=0}$ is an extremum of the potential.

These spaces also satisfy [28, 29, 2]

$$uA_\mu = 0, \quad \nabla_\mu A_\nu = 0, \quad \partial_\mu u = 0, \quad (10.7)$$

$$C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = 0 \quad (\text{conformally flat spaces}). \quad (10.8)$$

The equations (10.7) and (10.8) define supersymmetric curved backgrounds then satisfying $\overline{D}^{\dot{\alpha}} \mathcal{E}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = (X^0)^3 D_\alpha (\mathcal{R}/X^0) = 0$ and $W_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = 0$ [2]. In a similar fashion one can prove that the other maximally symmetric space $dS = \frac{SO(4,1)}{SO(3,1)}$ is not supersymmetric [30–32]. Indeed for this space the upper components of the chiral scalar curvature multiplet $\mathcal{R} = \dots \theta^2 (-\frac{1}{6}R - 2u\bar{u})$ is not vanishing. If one combines the ansatz for the AdS and dS curvatures, which give a cosmological constant,

$$V(\mu, \lambda) = \frac{1}{3}\kappa^{-4} (\mu^2 - 9\lambda^2), \quad (10.9)$$

one gets for the first and last component of the scalar curvature multiplet \mathcal{R} , respectively.

$$\kappa\mathcal{R}|_{first} = \lambda = \kappa^2 F^0, \quad \kappa\mathcal{R}|_{last} = -\frac{2}{9}\kappa^{-1}\mu^2 = -2\kappa^3(F^1)^2. \quad (10.10)$$

These equations show that the μ term breaks supersymmetry. $F^0 = \kappa^{-1}u$ and $F^1 = -\frac{1}{3}\kappa^{-2}\mu$ is the auxiliary field of the goldstino multiplet. Depending on whether $\mu^2 \leq 9\lambda^2$, $\mu^2 > 9\lambda^2$ these configurations break SUSY (SuperHiggs effect) in AdS , Minkowski and dS . SUSY is unbroken whenever $\mu = 0$.

11 No-scale Supergravity

In the conformal setting no-scale supergravity [33] arises as a particular deformation ΔW of the conformal (cubic) superpotential

$$W = \frac{1}{2}(\sigma + S)^3, \quad (11.1)$$

$$\sigma W_S = 3\Delta W = 3W - S W_S. \quad (11.2)$$

Using the general formula for the potential

$$V = \frac{\kappa^{-4}}{3} \left((\Phi_M^{-1})^{i\bar{j}} W_i \overline{W}_{\bar{j}} - |3\Delta W|^2 \right) \quad (11.3)$$

and plugging in (11.2) and (11.1) one finds for the scalar potential

$$V = \frac{\kappa^{-4}}{3} W_S \overline{W}_{\bar{S}} (1 - |\sigma|^2). \quad (11.4)$$

For $\sigma = 1$, $V = 0$ with $W_S \neq 0$ and the no-scale structure is obtained [33].

12 Summary and Conclusions

We obtain the Einstein equations for matter-coupled supergravity in the conformal tensor calculus formalism. We paid special attention to what we called the conformal case. This is the supergravity coupling of $\mathcal{N} = 1$ rigid supersymmetric models of chiral multiplets with conformal symmetry. In this case the Kähler couplings imply that there is a U(1) isometry group (the R -symmetry).⁵

In [2] it has been relevant to consider the difference between two gauge choices for super Weyl symmetry. In the Einstein gauge the scalar fields parametrize a Kähler σ -model with Kähler potential $K(S, \bar{S}) = -3 \log(-\Phi(S, \bar{S})/3)$. The conformal case is characterized by a function $\Phi(S, \bar{S}) + 3$ of degree 1 both in S and \bar{S} , and of the superpotential $W(S)$ of degree 3.

A conformal gauge preserves the separation between the pure supergravity part, where the superconformal symmetry is broken in order to get super-Poincaré gravity, and the matter part with preserved conformal symmetry. This separation is maintained by not eliminating the auxiliary gauge field A_μ of the U(1) R -symmetry. Then the matter part has still Kähler couplings, where now the Kähler potential is $\Phi(S, \bar{S})$. These results provide a supersymmetric generalization of the properties of scalar fields coupled to gravity with improvement terms in CCJ [1]. Two kind of bosonic improvement terms emerge, one that couples the scalar fields to the scalar curvature R , the other that couples the scalar fields to an R -current. Both are part of the superconformal covariant derivatives that covariantize the (rigid conformal) CCJ improvement terms. Therefore, the improved energy-momentum tensor that is traceless for superconformal matter contains also U(1) corrections. This also implies an improvement term in the U(1) current. These are part of the supercurrent, which becomes γ -traceless in the superconformal case [3] for which the compensator equation becomes the chiral superfield equation $\mathcal{R} \approx 0$. We clarify the bosonic aspects, which provides the improved currents for conformal Kähler couplings [2].

We have given explicit formulae, in the superconformal approach, for the three basic multiplets that specify the superspace geometry of $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetry. These multiplets play a key role in the construction of higher curvature invariants and they have found applications to classify counterterms [34–37]. More recently they were also relevant in cosmology to provide a generalization of the Starobinsky model [38–43] as well as for nonlinear realizations for local supersymmetry in the framework of $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supergravity [30, 44–47]. The latter is a particular way for implementing the super-Brout-Englert-Higgs effect and to find de Sitter vacua in cosmological scenarios. It is likely that our results will find new applications along this area of research.

Our results can also be relevant in exploring the interplay between different supergravity backgrounds, in the study of rigid supersymmetry in curved space. The simplest examples, preserving four supersymmetries were discussed in [2] and correspond to the conformally flat spaces AdS_4 and $S^3 \times L$. Similar arguments show that the dS background is not supersymmetric. Another related topic is the application of localization techniques in supersymmetric

⁵In the simplest case of the conformally coupled scalar there is an additional SU(N) symmetry, which is not present in the other models satisfying the conformal restriction.

quantum field theories [28, 29, 48, 49].

Acknowledgments

We thank M. Porrati and A. Sagnotti for very useful comments on the manuscript. We especially thank A. van Proeyen and M. Tournoy for a collaboration on the topics of this review. The work of S.F. is supported in part by CERN TH Department and INFN-CSN4-GSS.

References

- [1] C. G. Callan, Jr., S. R. Coleman and R. Jackiw, *A new improved energy - momentum tensor*, Annals Phys. **59** (1970) 42–73
- [2] S. Ferrara, M. Samsonyan, M. Tournoy and A. Van Proeyen, *The supercurrent and Einstein equations in the superconformal formulation*, arXiv:1705.02272 [hep-th]
- [3] S. Ferrara and B. Zumino, *Transformation Properties of the Supercurrent*, Nucl. Phys. **B87** (1975) 207
- [4] S. Ferrara and P. van Nieuwenhuizen, *Tensor calculus for supergravity*, Phys. Lett. **B76** (1978) 404
- [5] E. Cremmer, S. Ferrara, L. Girardello and A. Van Proeyen, *Yang–Mills theories with local supersymmetry: Lagrangian, transformation laws and superhiggs effect*, Nucl. Phys. **B212** (1983) 413
- [6] D. Z. Freedman and A. Van Proeyen, *Supergravity*. Cambridge University Press, 2012.
- [7] S. Ferrara and P. van Nieuwenhuizen, *The auxiliary fields of supergravity*, Phys. Lett. **B74** (1978) 333
- [8] K. S. Stelle and P. C. West, *Minimal auxiliary fields for supergravity*, Phys. Lett. **B74** (1978) 330
- [9] J. Wess and B. Zumino, *Supergauge transformations in four-dimensions*, Nucl. Phys. **B70** (1974) 39–50
- [10] J. Wess and B. Zumino, *A Lagrangian model invariant under supergauge transformations*, Phys. Lett. **B49** (1974) 52
- [11] S. Ferrara and P. Van Nieuwenhuizen, *Structure of supergravity*, Phys. Lett. **B78** (1978) 573
- [12] K. S. Stelle and P. C. West, *Tensor calculus for the vector multiplet coupled to supergravity*, Phys. Lett. **B77** (1978) 376

- [13] S. Ferrara and B. Zumino, *Structure of linearized supergravity and conformal supergravity*, Nucl. Phys. **B134** (1978) 301–326
- [14] J. Wess and B. Zumino, *The component formalism follows from the superspace formulation of supergravity*, Phys. Lett. **79B** (1978) 394–398
- [15] J. Wess and B. Zumino, *Superfield lagrangian for supergravity*, Phys. Lett. **B74** (1978) 51
- [16] J. Wess and B. Zumino, *Superspace formulation of supergravity*, Phys. Lett. **B66** (1977) 361–364
- [17] S. J. Gates Jr., M. T. Grisaru, M. Roček and W. Siegel, *Superspace, or one thousand and one lessons in supersymmetry*, Front. Phys. **58** (1983) 1–548, arXiv:hep-th/0108200
- [18] P. K. Townsend and P. van Nieuwenhuizen, *Anomalies, topological invariants and the Gauss–Bonnet theorem in supergravity*, Phys. Rev. **D19** (1979) 3592
- [19] S. Ferrara and M. Villasante, *Curvatures, Gauss–Bonnet and Chern–Simons multiplets in old minimal $N = 1$ supergravity*, J. Math. Phys. **30** (1989) 104
- [20] T. E. Clark and S. T. Love, *The Supercurrent in supersymmetric field theories*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A11** (1996) 2807–2823, arXiv:hep-th/9506145 [hep-th]
- [21] Z. Komargodski and N. Seiberg, *From linear SUSY to constrained superfields*, JHEP **0909** (2009) 066, arXiv:0907.2441 [hep-th]
- [22] Z. Komargodski and N. Seiberg, *Comments on supercurrent multiplets, supersymmetric field theories and supergravity*, JHEP **1007** (2010) 017, arXiv:1002.2228 [hep-th]
- [23] S. M. Kuzenko, *Variant supercurrent multiplets*, JHEP **04** (2010) 022, arXiv:1002.4932 [hep-th]
- [24] S. M. Kuzenko, *Variant supercurrents and Noether procedure*, Eur. Phys. J. **C71** (2011) 1513, arXiv:1008.1877 [hep-th]
- [25] Y. Korovin, S. M. Kuzenko and S. Theisen, *The conformal supercurrents in diverse dimensions and conserved superconformal currents*, JHEP **05** (2016) 134, arXiv:1604.00488 [hep-th]
- [26] P. K. Townsend, *Cosmological constant in supergravity*, Phys. Rev. **D15** (1977) 2802–2804
- [27] S. Ferrara, M. T. Grisaru and P. van Nieuwenhuizen, *Poincaré and conformal supergravity models with closed algebras*, Nucl.Phys. **B138** (1978) 430–444

- [28] G. Festuccia and N. Seiberg, *Rigid supersymmetric theories in curved superspace*, JHEP **1106** (2011) 114, arXiv:1105.0689 [hep-th]
- [29] T. T. Dumitrescu, G. Festuccia and N. Seiberg, *Exploring curved superspace*, JHEP **08** (2012) 141, arXiv:1205.1115 [hep-th]
- [30] I. Antoniadis, E. Dudas, S. Ferrara and A. Sagnotti, *The Volkov-Akulov-Starobinsky supergravity*, Phys.Lett. **B733** (2014) 32–35, arXiv:1403.3269 [hep-th]
- [31] E. A. Bergshoeff, D. Z. Freedman, R. Kallosh and A. Van Proeyen, *Pure de Sitter supergravity*, Phys. Rev. **D92** (2015), no. 8, 085040, arXiv:1507.08264 [hep-th], [Erratum: Phys. Rev.D93,no.6,069901(2016)]
- [32] F. Hasegawa and Y. Yamada, *Component action of nilpotent multiplet coupled to matter in 4 dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supergravity*, JHEP **10** (2015) 106, arXiv:1507.08619 [hep-th]
- [33] E. Cremmer, S. Ferrara, C. Kounnas and D. V. Nanopoulos, *Naturally vanishing cosmological constant in $N = 1$ supergravity*, Phys. Lett. **B133** (1983) 61
- [34] K. S. Stelle, *Ultraviolet infinities and counterterms in supersymmetric theories*, Int. J. Geom. Meth. Mod. Phys. **09** (2012) 1261013
- [35] Z. Bern, *Perturbative gravity from gauge theory*, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A29** (2014), no. 32, 1430036
- [36] S. Deser, J. H. Kay and K. S. Stelle, *Renormalizability Properties of Supergravity*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **38** (1977) 527, arXiv:1506.03757 [hep-th]
- [37] R. E. Kallosh, *Counterterms in extended supergravities*, Phys. Lett. **99B** (1981) 122–127
- [38] S. Cecotti, *Higher derivative supergravity is equivalent to standard supergravity coupled to matter.1.*, Phys. Lett. **B190** (1987) 86–92
- [39] S. Cecotti, S. Ferrara, M. Porrati and S. Sabharwal, *New minimal higher derivative supergravity coupled to matter*, Nucl. Phys. **B306** (1988) 160–180
- [40] R. Kallosh and A. Linde, *Superconformal generalizations of the Starobinsky model*, JCAP **1306** (2013) 028, arXiv:1306.3214 [hep-th]
- [41] J. Ellis, D. V. Nanopoulos and K. A. Olive, *No-Scale Supergravity Realization of the Starobinsky Model of Inflation*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **111** (2013) 111301, arXiv:1305.1247 [hep-th], [Erratum: Phys. Rev. Lett.111,no.12,129902(2013)]
- [42] F. Farakos, A. Kehagias and A. Riotto, *On the Starobinsky model of inflation from supergravity*, Nucl.Phys. **B876** (2013) 187–200, arXiv:1307.1137

- [43] S. Ferrara, R. Kallosh, A. Linde and M. Porrati, *Minimal Supergravity Models of Inflation*, Phys. Rev. **D88** (2013), no. 8, 085038, [arXiv:1307.7696 \[hep-th\]](#)
- [44] S. Ferrara, R. Kallosh and A. Linde, *Cosmology with nilpotent superfields*, JHEP **10** (2014) 143, [arXiv:1408.4096 \[hep-th\]](#)
- [45] S. Ferrara and A. Sagnotti, *Supersymmetry and inflation*, in *14th Marcel Grossmann Meeting on Recent Developments in Theoretical and Experimental General Relativity, Astrophysics, and Relativistic Field Theories (MG14) Rome, Italy, July 12-18, 2015*. 2015. [arXiv:1509.01500 \[hep-th\]](#).
<http://inspirehep.net/record/1391782/files/arXiv:1509.01500.pdf>.
- [46] E. Bergshoeff, D. Freedman, R. Kallosh and A. Van Proeyen, *Construction of the de Sitter supergravity*, in *About Various Kinds of Interactions: Workshop in honour of Professor Philippe Spindel Mons, Belgium, June 4-5, 2015*, eds. N. Boulanger and S. Detournay, 2017. 2016. [arXiv:1602.01678 \[hep-th\]](#).
<http://inspirehep.net/record/1419685/files/arXiv:1602.01678.pdf>.
- [47] S. Ferrara, A. Kehagias and A. Sagnotti, *Cosmology and supergravity*, in *Memorial meeting for Nobel laureate Professor Abdus Salam's 90th birthday Singapore, January 25-28, 2016*, vol. A31, p. 1630044. 2016. [arXiv:1605.04791 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [48] V. Pestun *et al.*, *Localization techniques in quantum field theories*, [arXiv:1608.02952 \[hep-th\]](#)
- [49] S. S. Pufu, *The F-theorem and F-maximization*, in *Localization techniques in quantum field theories* (eds. V. Pestun and M. Zabzine). 2016. [arXiv:1608.02960 \[hep-th\]](#).
<https://inspirehep.net/record/1480387/files/arXiv:1608.02960.pdf>.