Search for a light dark sector particle at LHCb

ANDREA MAURI^{[1](#page-0-0)}

Physik Institut University of Zurich, Switzerland

A search is presented for a hidden-sector boson, χ , produced in the decay $B^0 \to K^*(892)^0 \chi$, with $K^*(892)^0 \to K^+\pi^-$ and $\chi \to \mu^+\mu^-$. The search is performed using a *pp*-collision data sample collected at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ and 8 TeV with the LHCb detector, corresponding to integrated luminosities of 1 and 2 fb^{-1} respectively. No significant signal is observed in the mass range $214 \le m_{\chi} \le 4350$ MeV, and upper limits are placed on the branching fraction product $\mathcal{B}(B^0 \to K^*(892)^0 \chi) \times \mathcal{B}(\chi \to \mu^+\mu^-)$ as a function of the mass and lifetime of the χ boson. These limits place the most stringent constraints to date on many theories that predict the existence of additional low-mass dark bosons.

PRESENTED AT

DPF 2015

The Meeting of the American Physical Society Division of Particles and Fields Ann Arbor, Michigan, August 4–8, 2015

¹On the behalf of the LHCb collaboration.

1 Introduction

Most extensions of the Standard Model (SM) that address the problem of the existence of Dark Matter, postulate the existence of a hidden sector, see for example the review in Ref. [\[1\]](#page-5-0). Particles of the hidden sector are singlets with respect to the SM gauge number, however they can interact with SM particles via kinetic mixing. In this analysis a search for a light scalar particle (dark scalar boson, χ) belonging to the secluded sector and mixing with Higgs boson is performed. Concrete examples of such models are theories where such a χ field was responsible for an inflationary period in the early universe [\[2\]](#page-5-1), and the associated inflaton particle is expected to have a mass in the range $270 < m(\chi) < 1800$ MeV. Another class of models invokes the axial-vector portal [\[3\]](#page-5-2) in theories of dark matter that seek to address the cosmic-ray anomalies, and to explain the suppression of charge-parity (CP) violation in strong interactions [\[4\]](#page-5-3). These theories postulate an additional fundamental symmetry, the spontaneous breaking of which results in a particle called the axion [\[5\]](#page-5-4). The energy scale, $f(\chi)$, at which the symmetry is broken lies in the range $1 \lesssim f(\chi) \lesssim 3$ TeV [\[6\]](#page-5-5).

2 Search for $B^0 \to K^*(892)^0 \chi(\to \mu^+ \mu^-)$

The decay $B^0 \to K^{*0}\chi$, with $K^{*0} \to K^+\pi^-$ and $\chi \to \mu^+\mu^-$ is studied to search for such a hidden-sector particle. An enhanced sensitivity to hidden-sector bosons arises because the $b \rightarrow s$ transition is mediated by a top quark loop at leading order (Fig[.1\)](#page-1-0). Therefore, a χ boson with $2m(\mu) < m(\chi) < m(B^0) - m(K^{*0})$ and a sizable top quark coupling (obtained via mixing with the Higgs sector), could be produced at a substantial rate in such decays.

Similar searches have been performed in the past by B-factories [\[7,](#page-5-6) [8\]](#page-5-7), they were the most stringent direct constraints on a light scalar dark boson. Their exclusion limits on the coupling (i.e. mixing angle) between the Higgs and the dark boson field lie between 7×10^{-4} and 5×10^{-3} , with the most sensitive region just below the J/ψ

Figure 1: Feynman diagram for the decay $B^0 \to K^{*0}\chi$, with $\chi \to \mu^+\mu^-$.

threshold [\[9\]](#page-5-8).

This search is performed with the full Run I dataset collected with the LHCb detector corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 3.0 fb^{-1} .

3 Selection and strategy

Depending on the strength of the mixing with the Higgs boson and its mass, the particle χ can decay in a secondary vertex, displaced from the $B^0 \to K^{*0}\chi$ decay vertex. In order to increase the sensitivity, two regions of reconstructed di-muon lifetime, $\tau(\mu^+\mu^-)$, are defined for each $m(\chi)$ considered in the search: a prompt region, $|\tau(\mu^+\mu^-)| < 3\sigma[\tau(\mu^+\mu^-)]$, and a displaced region, $\tau(\mu^+\mu^-) > 3\sigma[\tau(\mu^+\mu^-)]$, where $\sigma[\tau(\mu^+\mu^-)]$ is the lifetime resolution. When setting a limit on the branching fraction the two regions are combined as a joint likelihood, $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}^{prompt} \cdot \mathcal{L}^{displaced}$. These two regions correspond to the two possible scenarios: the former is sensitive to short lifetime dark boson, it is characterized by high reconstruction efficiency but it is highly contaminated by the irreducible SM background $B^0 \to K^{*0} \mu^+ \mu^-$; the latter suffers of lower reconstruction efficieny but offers a very clear signature thanks to lower background yields.

A multivariate selection is applied to reduce the background, the uBoost algo-rithm [\[10\]](#page-5-9) is employed to ensure that the performance is nearly independent of $m(\chi)$ and $\tau(\chi)$. The inputs to the algorithm include B^0 transverse momentum, various topological features of the decay, the muon identification quality, and isolation criteria. Only candidates with invariant mass $m(B^0)$ within 50 MeV of the known B^0 mass are selected. Then, the reconstructed $m(B^0)$ is constrained to its known value to improve the resolution of the dimuon mass, that results to be less than 8 MeV over the entire $m(\mu^+\mu^-)$ range, and as small as 2 MeV below 220 MeV.

The strategy described in Ref. [\[11\]](#page-5-10) is adopted: the $m(\mu^+\mu^-)$ distribution is scanned for an excess of χ signal candidates over the expected background. Since all the theoretical models predict the dark boson χ to have negligible width compared to the detector resolution, the signal window is entirely determinated by the di-muon mass resolution and is defined to be $\pm 2\sigma[m(\mu^+\mu^-)]$ around the tested mass. The step sizes in $m(\chi)$ are $\sigma[m(\mu^+\mu^-)]/2$. In order to avoid experimenter bias, all aspects of the search are fixed without examining the selected $B^0 \to K^{*0}\chi$ candidates.

Narrow resonances are vetoed by excluding the regions near the ω , ϕ , J/ψ , $\psi(2S)$ and $\psi(3770)$ resonances. These regions are removed in both the prompt and displaced samples.

Figure 2: Distribution of $m(\mu^+\mu^-)$ in the (black) prompt and (red) displaced regions. The shaded bands denote regions where no search is performed due to (possible) resonance contributions. The J/ψ , $\psi(2S)$ and $\psi(3770)$ peaks are suppressed to better display the search region.

Figure 3: Upper limit on the (left-axis) ratio of branching fractions $\mathcal{B}(B^0 \to$ $K^{*0}\chi(\mu^+\mu^-)/\mathcal{B}(B^0\to K^{*0}\mu^+\mu^-)$, where the $B^0\to K^{*0}\mu^+\mu^-$ decay has 1.1 < $m^2(\mu^+\mu^-) < 6.0 \text{ GeV}^2$ and (right-axis) on $\mathcal{B}(B^0 \to K^{*0}\chi(\mu^+\mu^-))$ as a function of the dimuon mass. The limits are given at 95% confidence level. Limits are presented for three different lifetimes of the dark boson. The sparseness of the data leads to rapid fluctuations in the limits. The relative limits for $\tau < 10$ ps are between $0.005 - 0.05$ except near $2m(\mu)$.

4 Results and exclusion limits

Figure [2](#page-3-0) shows the $m(\mu^+\mu^-)$ distributions for the number of observed candidates in both the prompt and displaced regions. The observation is consistent with the background only hypothesis with a p -value of about 80%, therefore an upper limit on $\mathcal{B}(B^0 \to K^{*0}\chi(\to \mu^+\mu^-))$ is set. Figure [3](#page-3-1) shows the upper limits both on the absolute branching fraction $\mathcal{B}(B^0 \to K^{*0}\chi(\mu^+\mu^-))$ and on the relative ratio to the normalization channel $\mathcal{B}(B^0 \to K^{*0} \mu^+ \mu^-)$ in the 1.1 $< m^2(\mu^+ \mu^-) < 6.0$ GeV² region. Limits are set at the 95% confidence level (CL) for several values of $\tau(\chi)$. The limits

Figure 4: Exclusion regions at 95% CL: (left) constraints on the inflaton model of Ref. [\[12\]](#page-5-11); (right) constraints on the axion model of Ref. [\[3\]](#page-5-2). The regions excluded by the theory [\[12\]](#page-5-11) and by the CHARM experiment [\[13\]](#page-5-12) are also shown.

become less stringent for higher values of $\tau(\chi)$, as the probability of the χ boson decaying within the LHCb's silicon vertex detector decreases.

Figure [4](#page-4-0) shows the interpretation of the exclusion limit in term of two benchmark models: the inflaton model of Ref. [\[12\]](#page-5-11), which only considers $m(\chi) < 1$ GeV, and the axion model of Ref. [\[3\]](#page-5-2). In the first case, constraints are placed on the mixing angle between the Higgs and inflaton fields, θ , which exclude most of the previously allowed region. For the latter, exclusion regions are set in the limit of large ratio of Higgsdoublet vacuum expectation values, $\tan \beta \gtrsim 3$, for charged-Higgs masses m(h) = 1 and 10 TeV. The branching fraction of the axion into hadrons varies greatly in different models, the results for two extreme cases are shown: $\mathcal{B}(\chi \to hadrons) = 0$ and 0.99.

5 Conclusion

In summary, a search is performed for light scalar dark boson in the decay $B^0 \rightarrow$ $K^{*0}\chi(\rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-)$ using pp-collision data collected at 7 and 8 TeV. No evidence of signal is observed, and upper limits are placed on $\mathcal{B}(B^0 \to K^{*0}\chi) \times \mathcal{B}(\chi \to \mu^+\mu^-)$. This is the most sensitive search to date over the entire accessible mass range and stringent constraints are placed on theories that predict the existence of additional scalar or axial-vector fields.

References

- [1] R. Essig et al., [arXiv:1311.0029](http://arxiv.org/abs/1311.0029) [hep-ph].
- [2] F. Bezrukov and D. Gorbunov, JHEP 1005, 010 (2010) [\[arXiv:0912.0390](http://arxiv.org/abs/0912.0390) [hepph]].
- [3] M. Freytsis, Z. Ligeti and J. Thaler, Phys. Rev. D 81, 034001 (2010) [\[arXiv:0911.5355](http://arxiv.org/abs/0911.5355) [hep-ph]].
- [4] R. D. Peccei, Lect. Notes Phys. 741, 3 (2008) [\[hep-ph/0607268\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0607268).
- [5] R. D. Peccei and H. R. Quinn, Phys. Rev. Lett. 38, (1977) 1440
- [6] Y. Nomura and J. Thaler, Phys. Rev. D 79, 075008 (2009) [\[arXiv:0810.5397](http://arxiv.org/abs/0810.5397) [hep-ph]].
- [7] J. P. Lees et al. [BaBar Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D 86, 032012 (2012) [\[arXiv:1204.3933](http://arxiv.org/abs/1204.3933) [hep-ex]].
- [8] J.-T. Wei et al. [Belle Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, 171801 (2009) [\[arXiv:0904.0770](http://arxiv.org/abs/0904.0770) [hep-ex]].
- [9] M. J. Dolan, F. Kahlhoefer, C. McCabe and K. Schmidt-Hoberg, JHEP 1503, 171 (2015) [JHEP 1507, 103 (2015)] [\[arXiv:1412.5174](http://arxiv.org/abs/1412.5174) [hep-ph]].
- [10] J. Stevens and M. Williams, JINST 8, P12013 (2013) [\[arXiv:1305.7248](http://arxiv.org/abs/1305.7248) [nucl-ex]].
- [11] M. Williams, JINST 10, no. 06, P06002 (2015) [\[arXiv:1503.04767](http://arxiv.org/abs/1503.04767) [hep-ex]].
- [12] F. Bezrukov and D. Gorbunov, Phys. Lett. B 736, 494 (2014) [\[arXiv:1403.4638](http://arxiv.org/abs/1403.4638) $\vert \text{hep-ph} \vert$.
- [13] F. Bergsma et al. [CHARM Collaboration], Phys. Lett. B 157, 458 (1985).