Tufts UNIVERSITY

ATLAS measurements of soft particle production and diffraction

Šárka Todorova-Nová,
on behalf of the ATLAS Collaboration

Inclusive particle production

dominated by soft QCD processes (p_T <≈ 1-2 GeV)

Not necessarily well described by models, in particular for very low p_T region (ATLAS tracking : p_T> 100 MeV , $|\eta|$<2.5)







Most of presented results based on 2010 data (low luminosity sample, no pile-up) All results fully corrected for detector effects

New J. Phys. 13 (2011) 053033


Experimentally demanding
(low pT, forward region)
Nonperturbative region
PHENOMENOLOGY
A vast experimental field
SOFT QCD
with MANY uncertainties
Inelastic pp x-section
Nature Commun. 2 (2011) 463
DIFFRACTION
Rapidity gaps
Eur. Phys. J. C72 (2012) 1926
Azimuthal ordering
arXiv:1203.0419
Modelling
Multiple parton interaction
Inclusive angular correlations
arXiv:1203.3549
Hadron spectroscopy
Underlying event
CERN-PH-EP-2012-148
Particle correlations
K_S^0, Λ production
Phys.Rev. D85 (2012) 012001
Forward-backward correlations &
azimuthal particle distributions
arXiv:1203.3100

Physics content



Parton density functions

Non-diffractive scattering & multiparton interaction



Diffractive scattering





Elastic scattering



Parton shower (ISR,FSR)

Hadronization



Diffraction

Diffractive scattering

Large theoretical and experimental uncertainties (often, at least part of diffractive hadron system escapes undetected)

$$f_D = \frac{\sigma_{SD} + \sigma_{DD} + \sigma_{CD}}{\sigma_{inelastic}}$$

measured with help of relative rate of single-side triggered events R_{SS} and model predictions



MBTS trigger



acceptance 2.09<|η|<3.84

[Nature Commun. 2 (2011) 463]

Diffractive scattering

Rapidity gaps [Eur. Phys. J. C72 (2012) 1926]

Characteristic for diffractive particle production: $\Delta\eta^F$ largest forward empty region

No model describes the whole gap range





Fit of Pomeron intercept at large $\Delta\eta^F$



$\xi_X = M_X^2/s$; $\Delta\eta \cong -\ln \xi_X$

Inelastic x-section excluding diffraction with $\xi_X < \xi_{cut}$

$|\eta| < 4.9$ (calorimeter)

Minimum bias : Forward-backward correlations *[arXiv:1203.3100]*

Particle density, underlying event





Multiplicity correlations in symmetrically opposite η intervals

-> described by models with 15% precision

-> long range correlation higher at 7TeV compared to 900 GeV data

Idem for summed p_T





Minimum bias : Azimuthal correlations *[arXiv:1203.3100]*

Particle density, underlying event

A variant of study of properties of the underlying event :
-> particle density wrt leading track
-> same and opposite hemisphere normalized & subtracted



Models tend to overestimate the 'leading jet' structure
-> other regions underpopulated

Re-tune of models clashes with the description of inclusive single particle spectra (more later)



Study of underlying event : dependence on the leading jet

Particle density, underlying event



Leading jet = jet with highest p_T

Dependence of particle density in transverse/away regions on leading jet p_T, R parameter (anti-k_T jet algorithm)





Intended for development of MC tunes
Data reasonably well (10-20%) described by tunes based on LHC data



DATA 2010 $\sqrt{s}$ = 7 TeV
PYTHIA (Z1)
PYTHIA (AUET2B)
HERWIG++ (UE7-2)
PYTHIA (Perugia2011)
PYTHIA (Perugia2011 NOCR)
PYTHIA 8.145 (4C)

$p_T^{track} \geq 0.5$ GeV $|\eta^{track}| \leq 1.5$
anti-k_t jets: $|\eta^{jet}| \leq 1.5$



Minimum Bias: Inclusive angular correlations *[arXiv:1203.3549]*

Inclusive particle correlations

$R(\Delta\eta,\Delta\Phi) = \langle (n_{ch}-1)F(n_{ch}, \Delta\eta,\Delta\phi)\rangle_{ch} / B(\Delta\eta,\Delta\Phi) - \langle n_{ch}-1\rangle_{ch}$

multiplicity independent correlation function

F normalised 2-particle density ; B random event-event combination



Inclusive particle correlations

[arXiv:1203.3549]



-> poor description by Herwig

-> best described with Pythia8 4C and Pythia6 AMBT2B

but no model gives a satisfactory description of strength of correlations

Projections taken separately (F,B integrated before taking ratio)

Results is good agreement with previously published CMS results after correction for differences in the analysis *[JHEP09(2010)091]*



K_S^0, Λ production [Phys.Rev. D85 (2012) 012001]

Selection -> pairs of opposite sign tracks pointing to a common secondary vertex

-> cut on the transverse flight distance (primary vs secondary vertex)

-> cut on the pointing angle (<1.15°)

K_S^0 production slightly underestimated by models,

Λ significantly overestimated











Modelling

Problems in the description of … inclusive pT spectrum

… inclusive charged multiplicity

… particle flow (underlying events)

… size of particle correlations





Modelling

Problems in the description of … inclusive pT spectrum

… inclusive charged multiplicity

… particle flow (underlying events)

… size of particle correlations





HADRONISATION: is the intrisic p_T modelling adequate ?

Modelling

Problems in the description of … inclusive pT spectrum

… inclusive charged multiplicity

… particle flow (underlying events)

… size of particle correlations





HADRONISATION: is the intrisic p_T modelling adequate ?

The idea : replace uncorrelated string break-up vertices and random pt sampling in the vacuum tunneling by helix-like shaped string

-> hadron pT strongly correlated with its longitudinal momentum (2 degrees of freedom removed from fragmentation)

JHEP09(1998)14

arXiv:1204.2655



Minimum bias: Azimuthal ordering of hadrons *[arXiv:1203.0419]*

Particle correlations



The helix-like shape structure of the QCD field should be visible in the azimuthal ordering of hadrons along the string

The exact form of the helix structure not predicted.

With the help of power spectra, we test two (weakly correlated) hypotheses

A/ ΔΦ ~ Δη

$$S_\eta(\xi) = \frac{1}{N_{ev}} \sum_{event} \frac{1}{n_{ch}} \left| \sum_j \exp(i(\xi \eta_j - \phi_j)) \right|^2$$



B/ ΔΦ ~ ΔX (energy-distance - amount of energy stored in the string/ ordered hadron chain - experimentally : ordered in pseudorapidity)

$$S_E(\omega) = \frac{1}{N_{ev}} \sum_{event} \frac{1}{n_{ch}} \left| \sum_j \exp(i(\omega X_j - \phi_j)) \right|^2$$



Search for resonant behaviour -> density of helix winding

Azimuthal ordering of hadrons *[arXiv:1203.0419]*

Particle correlations

- ***Soft event selection to limit contribution from high-pT jets***

max(pT) < 1 GeV -> higher sensitivity to fragmentation effects



A signal observed, not described by conventional models ..





.. showing similarities with the signature of a helix-shaped string



Summary

SUMMARY

This is a short talk only – apologies for not showing older measurements !

ATLAS has potential to say more about soft QCD :

- ongoing correlations studies (Bose-Einstein effect)

- forward physics: ongoing measurements with new ALFA detectors

-> ATLAS upgrade : Atlas Forward Program (AFP)

ATLAS measurements have large impact on development of better model tunes – but there is still a lot to do

We have plenty of data to test alternative models !

Our soft QCD measurements are sensitive to the structure of the confinment field

THANKS & STAY TUNED