

The ATLAS TRT performance in protonproton and ion-ion collisions at the LHC

Sergei Smirnov National Research Nuclear University "MEPhI", Moscow, Russia

On behalf of the ATLAS Collaboration

"Physics of fundamental interactions"

 Conference of the Nuclear physics section of Physics Sciences Department of the Russian Academy of Sciences

ITEP, Moscow, November 24, 2011

Outline

- ATLAS Inner Detector
- Transition Radiation Tracker
- TRT design requirements
- Timeline
- Principles of Operation
- TRT Electronics
- Fast-OR Cosmics trigger
- TRT Performance (pp and Pb-Pb collisions)
- TRT Physics Tasks
- Summary

ATLAS Inner Detector

ATLAS Transition Radiation Tracker

TRT Design Requirements

- Accurate p_{T} measurement in conjunction with the Si tracking detectors:
	- q long lever arm needed
	- \Box many hits (30-40 TRT hits per track)
- Provide Electron Identification
- Reliable and efficient operation with high occupancy \Box up to 30%
- Operation in high counting rate environment
	- q up to 20 MHz/straw
	- \Box time between bunch crossings: 25 ns
- Minimal amount of material (in radiation lengths)
- Harsh radiation environment
	- \square ~10 MRad
	- \square ~10¹⁴ n/cm² year
- Minimal detector ageing effects
	- q fast and chemically neutral active gas
- Chemically resistant straw materials
- Extremely precise and robust mechanical structure q tolerances <30 µm
- Maintenance of temperature stability
	- \Box cooling of both straws and electronics is required

- 1988: Initial paper published on TRT concept
- 1989: R&D for the TRT begins (1990: RD6)
- 1994: LHC machine approved. First full-size TRT prototype completed (10'000 channels for end-cap wheel)
- 1996-1998: Major Technical Design Reports for ATLAS construction approved
- 1998: ISTC project #441
- 2000: Assembly of Barrel modules and end-cap wheels starts Front-end electronics specified and vendor chosen
- 2001: ISTC project #1800p
- 2006: First cosmic track recorded
- 2006: Installation of Barrel ID in ATLAS cavern
- 2007: Installation of ID end-caps in the cavern
- 2008: TRT routinely operated Various Milestones Cosmic runs September 10th: first LHC beam seen (beam splashes)
- 2009: Stable operation Spring/Summer Cosmic Combined runs October ATLAS 24/7 operation first collisions at √s=900 GeV
- 2010: Stable 24/7 operation first high energy proton collisions \sqrt{s} =7 TeV

S.Smirnov/MEPhI **ATLAS TRT, November 24, 2011, ITEP, Moscow**

1988: Initial paper published on TRT concept

CERN 88-03

22 April 1988

ORGANISATION EUROPÉENNE POUR LA RECHERCHE NUCLEAIRE **CERN EUROPEAN ORGANIZATION FOR NUCLEAR RESEARCH**

THE FEASIBILITY OF EXPERIMENTS AT HIGH LUMINOSITY AT THE LARGE HADRON COLLIDER

Report of the High-Luminosity Study Group to the CERN Long-Range Planning Committee

Edited by J.H. Mulvey

FSTIMATE OF A TRANSITION RADIATION DETECTOR'S PERFORMANCE AS PART OF AN ELECTRON IDENTIFICATION SCHEME

T. Åkesson, C.W. Fabian, F. Sauli and J. Schukraft, CERN, Geneva, Switzerland V. Chernjatin and B. Dolgoshein, Institute of Moscow Engineering Physics, Moscow, USSR

In this discussion of electron identification, it will be assumed that the starting point is an electron candidate, i.e. an electromagnetic (e.m.) shower seen in a calorimeter, and an interaction position; this could be known either through a vertex detector or by the calorimeter's capability of pointing the e.m. shower to the beam line. If the centroid of the e.m. shower is determined with a 1 mm precision in two layers separated by 100 mm, a sufficiently narrow road could be defined. The capacity of the calorimeter alone to identify electrons will not be discussed here. It has been demonstrated [1] that a hadron rejection of 10² to 10³ can be achieved, with a 95% electron efficiency, by requirements on longitudinal and lateral shower development. In this note improvements are studied which can be achieved by equipping the region between the calorimeter and the interaction region.

Ideally, this region should be equipped with a tracking detector having a spatial resolution equal to the width of the path defined by the shower and vertex position, which is about a millimetre. In addition, this tracking detector should be as efficient as possible for electrons and as inefficient as possible for hadrons, e.g. a transition radiation detector (TRD). Here it is important to bear in mind that the role of the TRD is to reject hadrons that are in another momentum range than that of the electrons, since the predominant background is an e.m. shower from an energetic π^0 in spatial coincidence with low-energy charged hadrons. Consequently, the optimization of the TRD depends more on these low-energy hadrons than on the energy range of the electrons to be measured. The TRD can thus be made compact.

Transition radiation detectors can be operated in two different modes [2]: i) total ionization measurement, and ii) cluster counting. In the first method the total combined signal of ionization and X-rays is measured in the X-ray absorption gap. The background here comes from the Landau-distributed fluctuations in dE/dx. In the second method the number of energy deposits above a given threshold, i.e. the number of TRD quanta, is counted. Here the background is the Poisson-distributed number of ô-rays. The second method provides somewhat better hadron rejection, and more importantly uses simpler electronics and lends itself to fast-trigger applications.

In this discussion we will consider the cluster-counting method in a TRD of the type described in Ref. [2]. This detector, shown in Fig. 1, consists of a large number of radiator-proportional chamber (PC) sets. Each radiator is about 1 cm thick and consists of 40 polypropylene foils, each foil being 18 um thick. The PC is 3 mm thick and has a wire spacing of 2 mm. The chamber gas is a mixture of xenon (60%) and helium (35%), with methane (5%) as the quencher.

The single-particle response of such a TRD is shown in Figs. 2 and 3. Figure 2 shows the rejection, R = ϵ_x/ϵ_e , as a function of the detector length for 50 GeV particles and a requirement of 90% electron efficiency. The rejection varies strongly with the length of the TRD, and we have taken 40 cm (5% of a radiation length) as a figure for the further discussion. This choice is not completely ad hoc, since our background will be of a combinatorial nature, and we will

GENEVA 1988

S.Smirnov/MEPhI **ATLAS TRT, November 24, 2011, ITEP, Moscow**

31

tun number: 114015 Processed event: 141 Global event ID: 3218 L1id: 0xe0002e4 BCid: 0xdbb

S.Smirnov/MEPhI **ATLAS TRT, November 24, 2011, ITEP, Moscow**

1988: Initial paper published on TRT 1989: R&D for the TRT begins (1990) 1994: LHC machine approved. First channels for end-cap wheel) 1996-1998: Major Technical Design Re 1998: ISTC project #441 2000: Assembly of Barrel modules ε Front-end electronics specified and vertex and vertex specified and vertex or chosen $\mathbf A$ 2001: ISTC project #1800p 2006: First cosmic track recorded 2006: Installation of Barrel ID in ATL 2007: Installation of ID end-caps in the cave 2008: TRT routinely operated Various Milestones Cosmic ru September 10^{th} : first LHC be 2009: Stable operation Spring/Summer: Cosmic Con

TRT: Principles of Operation

TRT Design: The Straw

Straws in a prototype endcap wheel

- Kapton straw $(d = 4$ mm) strung with gold plated tungsten anode wire $(d = 31 \mu m)$
- Reinforced with carbon-fiber for mechanical strength and thermal conductivity
- Filled with gas mixture of $Xe/CO₂/O₂$ (70%/27%/ 3%) chosen for stability and transition radiation absorption)
- Works in proportional mode with the straw wall being held at -1.5 kV (wire at ground)

S.Smirnov/MEPhI ATLAS TRT, November 24, 2011, ITEP, Moscow 12

TRT: R-t dependence

- Converts T_{drift} to drift radius used in tracking
- Gas composition, gas conditions and magnetic field dependent
- Calibrated every 36 hours \Box proved to be very stable □ same performance 900GeV/ 7TeV

 \rightarrow One R-t fit is used for all straws in all TRT partitions: BarrelA, BarrelC, EndcapA, EndcapC

TRT: Electronics

The custom made radiation-hard TRT frontend electronics implements two threshold algorithm to discriminate the signals: a low threshold (>300 eV) for registering the passage of

minimum ionizing particles, and a high threshold (>6 keV) to flag the absorption of transition radiation X-rays.

It consists of analog and digital chips, mounted in Barrel on the opposite sides of PCB and in End-caps – on separate PCBs located on top of each other.

TRT readout chipset:

• ASDBLR – "Amplifier Shaper Discriminator Baseline Restorer" – analog integrated circuit

• DTMROC – "Digital Time Measurement Readout Chip" – digital integrated circuit

Total number of readout channels: 350848

TRT: Fast-OR Cosmics Trigger

• Motivation:

- q High good-track rate in standalone and combined running
- q Enhance track rate in the end-cap region
- \Box Independence from other systems
- After Sept.2008 LHC incident \rightarrow decision to finalize the trigger
- Configuration: use DTMROC high threshold signals lowered to MIP levels
- Implementation was quick: first tracks end Oct. 2008, timing-in completed May 2009
- The number of recorded cosmic muons tracks was doubled in just a week
- Very good trigger timing jitter of 9 ns
- Trigger rate ~10 Hz with a high purity of >90% events with tracks
- Became a major player in ATLAS commissioning: □ reference trigger for timing-in of other ATLAS triggers

TRT Performance: Resolution

- Residual distributions show the difference between the fit and measured track position for each hit. They show the spatial resolution of the TRT straws
- Resolution improved in 7 TeV running to 118 µm (barrel) and 132 µm (end-caps) for tracks not suffering multiple scattering
- Much of the improvement is from careful alignment
- Design resolution was exceeded in the barrel
- Barrel benefits more from one extra year of cosmic running
- Physical limit nearly achieved

TRT Performance: Efficiency

TRT Performance: Momentum Resolution

TRT Performance: Particle Identification with TR

High-threshold probability 0.35 **ATLAS** Preliminary 0.3 Data 2010 $(\sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV})$ Transition Radiation (TR): 0.25 $ln < 0.625$ • photons emitted by a charged particles when ■ Data, e^{\pm} from Z 0.2 traversing a boundary between material with • Data, e^{\pm} from γ ▲ Data.π $[±]$ </sup> 0.15 different dielectric constants ϵ_1 , ϵ_2 \Box Simulation, e^{\pm} from Z Simulation, e^{\pm} from γ • Intensity $1 - y = E/m$, $\theta \sim 1/y$ \vartriangle Simulation. π^\pm 0.1 • Emitted photons per transition $\sim O(\alpha_{\text{EM}}V)$ 0.05 ν factor \rightarrow Many transitions needed • Emitted energy $\sim (\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2)$ $10³$ $10⁵$ 10 6 10 10^2 10⁴ \rightarrow gas and light plastic, photon energies $-$ gas and light plastic, photon energies
 $5 - 30 \text{ keV}$

• Gas with high photon absorption required – Xe

based mixture

TRT is able to separate electrons from pion

over a momentum range between 1 and 15 0.35 5 – 30 keV **ATLAS** Preliminary 0.3 • Gas with high photon absorption required – Xe-Data 2010 $(\sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV})$ 0.25 based mixture 1.07<ml<1.304 ■ Data, e^{\pm} from Z 0.2 • Data, e^{\pm} from γ Data. $\pi^{\scriptscriptstyle \pm}$ 0.15 \Box Simulation, e^{\pm} from Z \circ Simulation, e^{\pm} from γ over a momentum range between 1 and 15 \vartriangle Simulation, π^\pm 0.1 GeV 0.05 ν factor • Requirement for the electron candidate selection 10^{2} 10^3 $10⁴$ 10^{5} high-threshold probability on track > 12%1 $0⁶$ 10 $10¹$ 10^{2} 10 Pion momentum [GeV] Electron momentum [GeV] **S.Smirnov/MEPhI ATLAS TRT, November 24, 2011**

TRT Performance: Particle Identification with ToT

Time over (low) threshold (ToT)

- is sensitive to dE/dx of charged particle identification for momentum < 10 GeV
- depends on β (Bethe-Bloch)
- normalized to transverse path length of track in the straw
- corrected for hit position along the straw

Combining TR and ToT technique

• yields additional information to improve the particle identification (pion mis-id $~10^{-2}$ at 90% electron efficiency)

S.Smirnov/MEPhI **ATLAS TRT, November 24, 2011, ITEP, Moscov**

TRT Performance: **Heavy lons**

- A real challenge for the TRT as detector occupancies for the most central collisions are close to 90%
- The readout performs well due to lossless data compression and lower collision rate
- New studies to optimize tracking in high occupancy environments
- Even in high occupancy events, the TRT contributes to particle tracking

Physics with the TRT at the LHC

- Tracking
	- continuous tracking and accurate p_T measurement for charged particles above 0.5 GeV/c and |η|<2
- TR (particle identification)
	- electrons in cosmic rays
	- electrons in photon conversions
	- Standard Model electro-weak measurements (W, Z, Wγ, Zγ, tt ...). Particle identification by TRT is used to minimize the background from fake electrons -
1
	- SUSY searches (those including leptons)
	- searches for exotic stable heavy particles
	- Higgs searches (H →γγ, H → WW^(*) → Iνlν, H → ττ)
	- measurement of inclusive electron spectrum at the LHC

Summary

- The Transition Radiation Tracker in the ATLAS Inner Detector (ID) provides charged particle **tracking** and **identification**
- With the latest alignment and calibration constants spatial residuals of **118 µm (barrel)** and **132 µm (end-caps)** can be achieved
- TRT tracking improves overall **momentum resolution** of the ATLAS ID, transition radiation improves **electron identification**
- TRT plays important role in many ATLAS physics tasks
- Heavy-ion collisions show that the TRT is ready for high occupancies at the LHC ever-increasing luminosities