

CM-P00065431



### AN EXPERIMENT TO MEASURE $\mu$ -PAIR PRODUCTION IN NUCLEON-NUCLEON COLLISIONS

G. Matthiae and A. Silverman

Yamaguchi (1), KAO11 (2) and Chilton et al. (3), following a suggestion of Van Hove, have calculated the relation between W-meson and μ-pair production in nucleon-nucleon collisions, Assuming the validity of the CVC theory, thus, the observation of μ-pairs, a simpler experimental problem, would be a very useful preliminary to a search for W-production. In this note we propose an experimental arrangement for observing μ-pairs with 2 GeV < Μμως 4 GeV. Μμω = invariant mass of the muon-pair. We consider this as a first step in a search for the W-meson and have designed the apparatus to be useful in such a search, but we do not wish to minimize the intrinsic interest in the μ-pair production, since it is related to the electromagnetic structure of the nucleon for large time-like momentum transfers.

A section through the experimental arrangement is shown in Fig. 1. The apparatus consists of a conical iron shield with apex at the target covering the angular range  $20^{\circ}$  -  $30^{\circ}$ . Embedded in the iron are scintillation counters to measure the ranges (2 GeV/c <P $_{\mu}$  <5 GeV/c), and angles of the two muons observed in coincidence. The mass of the virtual Y-ray, Mau is to be measured to an accuracy of 15% with reasonably constant efficiency for 2 GeV < Muu < 4 GeV. (See below for details).

#### Counting Rate

We have considered two equally unreliable methods for estimating the  $\mu\text{-pair}$  cross section. (a) We relate the  $\mu\text{-pair}$  production to the

<sup>(1)</sup> Y. Yamaguchi: CERN TH. 634, 1965.

<sup>(2)</sup> N. H. Kmoll: Private communication.

<sup>(3)</sup> F. Chilton, A. M. Saperstein and C. Shraumer (to be published). Revised November 1965.

production of pion-systems of the same invariant mass, and make use of the rather sparse experimental information available for the pion production. (b) We use published W-meson cross sections and compute the  $\mu$ -pair from References 1 - 3.

Fig. 2 shows schematically the situation in which a  $\mu$ -pair (2a) and a  $\pi$ -pair (2b) of the same mass are produced. We assume that for every  $\mu$ -pair final state, there is a corresponding  $\pi$ -pair final state for which  $\max = \max_{\pi\pi} \max = \max_{\pi\pi}$ . Then, one might guess that the ratio of the cross sections for these two equivalent configurations is something like

$$\frac{\int_{\mu u}}{\int_{\pi \pi}} \left( \frac{M_{\mu u} = M_{\pi \pi}}{E_{\mu u} = E_{\pi \pi}} \right) \simeq \frac{\lambda^2 f_8^2}{f_s^2}$$

where  $\angle^2 = e^4 = (1/137)^2$  as usual

 $f\chi^2$  = electromagnetic form factor for  $\psi^2 = M\mu u^2$ 

 $f_{1}^{2}$  = form factor for the strong interactions.

Of course, nothing is known of either  $f_{\zeta}^{2}$  or  $f_{\zeta}^{2}$ .

We shall assume  $\frac{\int \chi^2}{\int x^2} = 1$ . This is probably a better assumption

than the usual one that they are separately equal to unity. In fact, since the electromagnetic form factors have their origin in the strong interactions, the assumption may not be completely outrageous. We observe, rather diffidently, that these assumptions give approximately the same branching ratio for the decay of the  $S^0$  or  $W^0$  into  $Z_{\mu}$ 's as do more reliable computations. To obtain information of  $G_{\pi\pi}$  we use two sources - the Aachen - Berlin - CERN collaboration (4) (8 GeV  $\pi p$  collisions) and Cavendish - Hamburg collaboration (5) (10 GeV pp collisions). Each of these gives  $\frac{d}{dm_{\pi\pi}} \simeq 10^{-28} \text{ cm}^2/\text{GeV}$  then  $\frac{dG}{dm_{\pi\pi}} \simeq 10^{-28} \text{ cm}^2/\text{GeV}$ 

<sup>(4)</sup> Aachen - Berlin - CERN collaboration. Phys. Lett. 12, 356 (1965)

<sup>(5)</sup> Cavendish - Hamburg collaboration. Oxford Conference, Sept. 1965.

The second method of estimating down follows Ref. 1 - 3

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dm_{\mu\nu}} = \frac{e^4 2^3}{G 3\sqrt{2}} \frac{1}{M_W^3} \sigma_W \left(M_W = M_{\mu\nu}\right)$$

$$G = 10^{-5} Mp^{-2}$$

For  $\mathbb{C}_0$  = 20 GeV, and  $\mathcal{M}_{\omega}$  = 3 GeV, Chilton gives us  $\mathbb{C}_{\omega}$  = 7 x  $10^{-32}$  cm<sup>2</sup>

from which
$$\frac{10^{-9}}{\sqrt{3}} = \frac{10^{-9}}{\sqrt{3}} = \frac{7}{\sqrt{2}} \times 10^{-32}$$

$$= 5 \times 10^{-32} \text{ cm}^2/\text{GeV}.$$

from which:

We shall use the smaller estimate of the first method in calculating expected rates since there is good reason to believe that Chilton's cross section is too large. The use of  $\frac{d\sigma}{dm_{p}} = 5 \times 10^{-33} \text{ cm}^2/\text{GeV}$  is equivalent to inserting a form factor of  $\text{F}^2 = 0.1$  in Chilton's result.

In order to calculate the expected counting rates we need to know not only the but the angular and momentum distribution of the virtual 8-rays. We have calculated the efficiency of the detector shown in Fig. 1 for three different assumptions about these 8-ray distributions. (a) They are identical to the distribution computed by Chilton for the Ws. (b) They are produced forward and backward in the CM syntam with a phase space distribution in momentum. (c) They have the same distribution as KMK real particles produced in p-p collisions which we call the "Cocconi" assumption (6). In the latter case, the distribution is given by

The results of these three calculations are shown in Table 1. The detection efficiencies are shown for various angular intervals as a function of  $\mathcal{M}_{\mu\mu}$ . We shall assume that  $\mathcal{E}=1.0 \times 10^{-2}$  for the interval  $2 \text{ GeV} < \mathcal{M}_{\mu\mu} < 4 \text{ GeV}$ . This is almost certainly a rather pessimistic assumption. These efficiencies are calculated assuming the difference

in the azimuthal angles of the two  $\mu\text{'s}$  lies in the region 150°<  $\Delta\phi$  < 210°.

We can calculate the expected counting rate from

$$N_{CR} = N_{T} N_{\rho} \frac{d\sigma}{dm_{\mu}m} (\Delta m_{\mu}m) \mathcal{E}$$
We take  $N_{\rho} = 10''$  protons  $N_{T} = 4 \times 10^{23}$  protons/cm<sup>2</sup>
then  $N_{CR} = (4 \times 10^{23})(10'') 5 \times 10^{-33}$ . 2.  $10^{-2}$ 
= 4 counts/10'' protons.

#### Background

#### (a) Accidental rate

We assume the "Cocconi" formula for  $\pi$ -production  $\frac{d^2G}{ds_{\pi}dP_{\pi}} = \frac{N_{\pi}}{2\pi} \frac{P_{\pi}^2}{P_{1}^{2}P_{1}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}} P_{\pi}/P_{1} = \frac{P_{\pi}}{2\pi} \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{P_{\pi}^2}{P_{1}^{2}P_{1}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{1}$ 

For  $\ell_0=20~{\rm GeV}$   $N_{\rm W}=2$   $\ell_{\rm T}=0.18~{\rm GeV/c}$   $\ell_1=2.8~{\rm GeV/c}$ . From this we calculate the differential cross section for the decay muons  $\frac{d^2 G}{d \pi \mu d} \ell \mu$ . The results for a decay path of 1 cm are shown in Fig. 3. We integrate these curves and average over angles to find the mean differential cross section for  $\ell_{\mu} > 2~{\rm GeV/c}$ .

for a decay path of one centimetre. We will assume a 20 cm decay path which allows 5 cm free flight before the much absorber. Then, the counting rate in each telescope of the detector is

$$N = N_{7} N_{p} \left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\sigma^{2}}\right) \left(d\sigma\right)$$

$$\left(\mu > 2 \text{ GeV}\right)$$

$$= \left(4 \times 10^{23}\right) \left(10^{11}\right) \left(3 \times 10^{-32}\right) \left(20\right) \left(.037\right)$$

$$\sim 10^{3}$$

The number of  $\pi$ 's that survive the absorber without interacting is less than this by a factor of 10 or more. We double this number to take into account muons from K-meson decay, so that the counting rate for each telescope is

 $\mathcal{N}=2\times10^3$  counts/10" protons. Then, the accidental rate between any pair of telescopes is given by

$$(N_{Acc})_{pair} = \frac{(3 \times 10^{-9}) (2 \times 10^{3}) (2 \times 10^{3}) (10)}{1.5}$$
  
= 8 x 10<sup>-2</sup>

Here, we have assumed  $2\Upsilon = 3\,\eta$ s and the pulse duration = 150 msec. Since we insist that the difference in azimuth between the two  $\mu$ 's be in the region  $150^{\circ} < \Delta \, \phi < 210^{\circ}$ , and each telescope subtends  $30^{\circ}$  in azimuth, there are six possible pairs. Thus, the total accidental rate (Nacc) Total = (6)(8) x  $10^{-2}$  = 0.5 counts/10" protons. Background - (b) Detection of  $\pi$ 's as  $\mu$ -pairs according to our earlier assumption

$$\frac{\left(\frac{d\sigma_{\mu\mu}}{dm_{\mu\nu}}\right)}{\left(\frac{d\sigma_{\pi\pi}}{dm_{\pi\pi}}\right)} \simeq \chi^{2} \quad \text{for} \quad M_{\mu\mu} = M_{\pi\pi}$$

$$= \mu_{\mu} = \xi_{\pi\pi}$$

Then, let  $N_{\pi\pi}$  be the number of charged  $\pi$ -pairs which decay into  $\mu$ 's and are detected as  $\mu$ -pairs. Let  $N_{\mu\mu}$  be the true  $\mu$ -pairs, then

$$\frac{\sqrt{\mu\mu}}{\sqrt{\pi\pi}} \simeq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{\sqrt{2}}}$$

where  $P_{\text{N}}$  = probability that a 2 GeV/c  $\pi$  will decay in 20 cm.

$$P_{\text{b}} = \frac{20 \times 1.4}{2.5 \times 20 \times 300} \sim 2 \times 10^{-3}$$

$$\frac{1}{N_{\pi\pi}} \sim \frac{1}{2 \times 10^4.4 \times 10^{-6}} \sim \frac{10}{2}$$

The situation should be considerably better than this, since the energy carried off by the neutrinos will cause the apparent mass of the decay  $\mu$ -pair to be about half the mass of the parent  $\pi$ - pair. Thus, if our assumption about the cross sections is correct to an order of magnitude, we can expect the charged  $\pi$ -pair background to be small at all  $\mathcal{M}_{\mu\mu\nu}$ .

It is easily shown that the background contributed by the neutral pions is an order of magnitude smaller than the charged pions.

It is perhaps unnecessary to point out that there are decisive experimental checks to determine whether the observed rates are due to directly produced  $\mu$ 's or the decay of charged  $\pi$ 's.

We make the following observations about backgrounds:

- (a) The present arrangement is not optimized for signal to noise.
- (b) The counting rates will be limited by the randoms. Our estimates for the function indicate that if the  $f_\chi^2 = 10^{-3}$ , the random rate and real rate will be approximately equal when they are both  $\sim 10 \text{ counts/hour.}$ 
  - (c) The present calculations refer to the mass interval 2 GeV < Mµu < 4 GeV. A rough calculation indicates that the rate at which data can be obtained is not a strong function of Mµu. Therefore, it is likely that measurement can be made down to quite low Mµu, in particular in the region of the  $\rho$ -meson. This would appear to be very desirable.

#### Detector

The detector shown in Fig. 1 consists of 11 x 12 = 132 scintillators embedded in 85 tons of iron. The first scintillator in each telescope is a "Charpak" counter which, together with the target position, determines  $\Theta_{\mu}$  to  $^{\pm}$  2° for a 10 cm long target. The range is determined to  $^{\pm}$  7%, and the difference in azimuth between the two muons  $\Delta \Phi = ^{\pm}$  30°. The invariant mass of the  $\mu$ -pair is given by (neglecting 2  $M_{\mu}^{\ 2}$ )

$$\gamma \eta_{\mu u}^{2} = 2 E_{1} E_{2} (1 - \cos \theta_{12})$$

 $E_{\gamma}$  = energy of one muon

 $E_2$  = energy of other muon

 $\theta_{12}$  = angle between the two muons

then 
$$\sqrt{\left(\frac{\Delta m_{\mu u}}{m_{\mu u}}\right)^{2}} = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\left(\frac{\Delta E_{1}}{E_{1}}\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{\Delta E_{2}}{E_{2}}\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{\Delta (\cos \theta_{12})}{1 - \cos \theta_{12}}\right)^{2}}$$

Putting in the errors given above

$$\sqrt{\frac{\Delta m_{\mu u}}{m_{\mu u}}}^{2} = \pm 0.15 \text{ as stated earlier.}$$

#### Cost estimate of everything new

#### Electronics

The electronics can be very simple. We trigger on any coincidences between the first three scintillators in any of the appropriate six pairs of telescopes, and look at the output of all the scintillators. This type of electronics exists in sufficient quantity so that probably very little new would be required.

Of course, one could imagine beginning more modestly. Two telescopes, which, of course, reduces the counting rate by a factor of six, could certainly be assembled out of available components. Perhaps a reasonable compromise would be to buy the necessary iron for the total detector, but to start with only two telescopes.

Table I

## a) Chilton

| ر دسروعای | My = | 2.0       | 2.5                  | 3, 0      | 3.5         | 4.0       | GeV |
|-----------|------|-----------|----------------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|-----|
| 0'- 10'   |      | 1.4 10-2  | 4.25.103             | 0.0       | 0.0         | 0.0       |     |
| 10'-20"   |      | 1.56 10-2 | 3.5·10 <sup>-2</sup> | 7.15.102  | 12.0 10-2   | 14.6.10-2 |     |
| 20°-30°   |      | 1.27.102  | 2.04.102             | 2.98.10-2 | 2.96 · 10-2 | 0.58.10   | •   |

# b) "y" formend and backmand in the c. m. s. with phase yace momentum distribution.

| I dogress | $M_y = 2.0$            | 3. 0      | 4.0                     | GaV |  |
|-----------|------------------------|-----------|-------------------------|-----|--|
| 0'-10'    | 11.8 10-2              | 0.95.10-3 | 0.0                     |     |  |
| 10'-20'   | 2.3 · 10 <sup>-3</sup> | S.1 10-2  | 15.3 · 10 <sup>-2</sup> |     |  |
| 2030.     | 2.18 · 10-2            | 4.7.10-2  | 2.56 · 10 2             |     |  |
|           |                        |           |                         |     |  |

| 1       |                       | c) "Cocconi"           | formula   |                |
|---------|-----------------------|------------------------|-----------|----------------|
| Jeseph  | $M_{y} = 2.0$         | 3. 0                   | 4.0       | GeV            |
| 0 - 10  | 6.5 10-4              | 1.5 · 10 - 4           | 0.0       |                |
| 10'-20' | 8.25 10 <sup>-3</sup> | 2,1.10 <sup>3</sup>    | 6. 104    | and the second |
| 20 30.  | 2.16.10-2             | 1.37-10-2              | 7,3 10"   |                |
| 30'-40' | 9,7·10 <sup>-3</sup>  | 3 7.75·10 <sup>3</sup> | 5,05-10-3 |                |
| 40'-50" | 9.2s 10 <sup>-3</sup> | 7.85.10-3              | 5.75 10-3 |                |

Effective against a angle 1500 < \$, -92 \le 2100

So et are sentillatin conters emlidded in gran. For danty strum extraling liegand inn his savorasdoty intuity to be completely shadded by the prin. He compile apporter is them; the longelle apporter is them; the received section often about 13 am. The laws end sultiments is themas he received the received section of the lies of the properties of the sultiments. it somet; Own hatched was is how Scall; 5.5 4.5

F192.

the graph as (a) as intended the represent a final state in which a pair 7 is is produced. I same final egraph B is intended to represent the same final state with the u-pair replaced by a TI-pair of the same warrant wass.

